

This is a digital copy of a book that was preserved for generations on library shelves before it was carefully scanned by Google as part of a project to make the world's books discoverable online.

It has survived long enough for the copyright to expire and the book to enter the public domain. A public domain book is one that was never subject to copyright or whose legal copyright term has expired. Whether a book is in the public domain may vary country to country. Public domain books are our gateways to the past, representing a wealth of history, culture and knowledge that's often difficult to discover.

Marks, notations and other marginalia present in the original volume will appear in this file - a reminder of this book's long journey from the publisher to a library and finally to you.

Usage guidelines

Google is proud to partner with libraries to digitize public domain materials and make them widely accessible. Public domain books belong to the public and we are merely their custodians. Nevertheless, this work is expensive, so in order to keep providing this resource, we have taken steps to prevent abuse by commercial parties, including placing technical restrictions on automated querying.

We also ask that you:

- + *Make non-commercial use of the files* We designed Google Book Search for use by individuals, and we request that you use these files for personal, non-commercial purposes.
- + *Refrain from automated querying* Do not send automated queries of any sort to Google's system: If you are conducting research on machine translation, optical character recognition or other areas where access to a large amount of text is helpful, please contact us. We encourage the use of public domain materials for these purposes and may be able to help.
- + *Maintain attribution* The Google "watermark" you see on each file is essential for informing people about this project and helping them find additional materials through Google Book Search. Please do not remove it.
- + Keep it legal Whatever your use, remember that you are responsible for ensuring that what you are doing is legal. Do not assume that just because we believe a book is in the public domain for users in the United States, that the work is also in the public domain for users in other countries. Whether a book is still in copyright varies from country to country, and we can't offer guidance on whether any specific use of any specific book is allowed. Please do not assume that a book's appearance in Google Book Search means it can be used in any manner anywhere in the world. Copyright infringement liability can be quite severe.

About Google Book Search

Google's mission is to organize the world's information and to make it universally accessible and useful. Google Book Search helps readers discover the world's books while helping authors and publishers reach new audiences. You can search through the full text of this book on the web at http://books.google.com/

2929 e. 26 = S. Clan. Gr. 130 C = C Gr H 310







BIBLIOTHECA CLASSICA.

EDITED BY

GEORGE LONG, M.A.,

FORMERLY FELLOW OF TRINITY COLLEGE, CAMBRIDGE.

THE EPICS OF HESIOD.

BY

F. A. PALEY, M.A.

۹

SECOND EDITION, REVISED.

LONDON:

WHITTAKER AND CO. AVE MARIA LANE; GEORGE BELL AND SONS, YORK STREET, COVENT GARDEN.

1883.



EPICS OF HESIOD.

WITH AN ENGLISH COMMENTARY

AND THE READINGS OF FOURTEEN MSS. COLLATED FOR THIS WORK.

BY

F. A. PALEY, M.A.

EDITOR OF AESCHYLUS, ETC.

'Ηγοῦμαι ἐγὰ ἀνδρὶ παιδείας μέγιστον μόρος εἶναι—τὰ ὑπὸ τῶν ποιητῶν λεγόμενα οἶόν τ' εἶναι συνιέναι & τε ὀρθῶς πεποίηται καὶ & μή.

PLATO, Protag. p. 339A.

LONDON;

WHITTAKER AND CO. AVE MARIA LANE; GEORGE BELL AND SONS, YORK STREET, COVENT GARDEN.

1883.



.

UNDERCRADUATED



ł



THE present edition of HESIOD was undertaken, not indeed to satisfy a want in Classical Literature, which can hardly be said to be generally felt, but from a wish to bring more into use in our schools and colleges the works of a poet so widely celebrated in antiquity, yet by a kind of common consent, or long established fashion, in this country at least, so little studied in modern times.

Whatever opinions may be held as to the real dates of the Homeric and the Hesiodic poems, both in their original forms and in the perhaps much altered and interpolated recensions which have come down to us, one fact remains indisputable; they are the only extant Greek writings which have any claim even to approximate to the Epic age, properly so called. Consequently, their value merely as literature is not the only ground of their fitness and utility for students of Greek; they are not less important in a linguistic point of view, viz. as affording an insight into the peculiarities of the Epic style and dialect, all the more valuable because emanating from distinct centres of the epic art.

That there are some causes for the comparative neglect of Hesiod is not fairly to be denied. Hesiod, though regarded by the ancients as the rival and contemporary of Homer, is eclipsed by him both in the choice of a subject and the treatment of it. It is not to be expected that the matter-of-fact and unimpassioned poetry of the "Works and Days¹," full as the poem is of "proverbial philosophy," often obscure, occa-

¹ I use a common, but incorrect version of $E_{P\gamma a}$ kal 'Hµ $i\rho a$, which means, "Farm operations and lucky and unlucky days." The poem, as Prof. Mahaffy well remarks (Hist. Lit. i. 105), comprises much of what the later Greeks called *Oeconomics*, e. g. the choice of a wife and the conduct of a household.

sionally trivial, should attract readers equally with the story of Achilles' wrath, or the wanderings of Ulysses.² Nor is the Theogony more inviting in its subject-matter, or more genial and elevated in its composition. On the contrary, it is certainly a dull poem, for it contains little more than a formal catalogue of names and pedigrees, relieved only by a few brief descriptive episodes,—in a word, it is a compendium of dogmatic theology, according to the earliest Greek notions of it, done into verse. It may be conceded too, that the genius of the two poets, Homer and Hesiod, is as different as are the merit and the object of their compositions and the style of their versification, which may be called respectively the heroic and the didactic, the object of the one being to amuse, of the other to instruct.³

It must be added as a further reason discouraging to the study of Hesiod, that considerable doubts have been raised as to the authenticity of the Theogony, at least in its present form. One of the ancients at least ⁴ did not believe Hesiod to be the author of it; yet Herodotus, in a well-known passage (ii. 53), appears specifically to recognise a Theogony by Hesiod, and to assign to it a date not later than Homer:— $H\sigma lo\delta\sigma\nu$

² "The fundamental feature of the Homeric school is an absorption of the author in his subject. He is the secret mover of the dramatic mechanism by which his herces are exhibited, himself remaining invisible. The genius of Hesiod, on the other hand, is essentially personal, or 'subjective.' This is peculiarly the case with his two chief productions; and the more it is so, the more Hesiodic they are. In the Works, not only is the author never out of sight, but it is the author, at least as much as the subject, which imparts interest to the whole. Instead of an inspired being, transported beyond self into the regions of heroism and glory, a gifted rustic, impelled by his private feelings and necessities, dresses up his own affairs and opinions in that poetical garb which the taste of his age and country enjoined as the best passport to notice and popularity."—Col. Mure, Hist. Gr. Lit. ii. p. 379.

³ "Hesiodi carmina — non tam ad delectandos quam ad docendos auditores comparata sunt."—Schoemann.

⁴ Pausanias, viii. 18. 1; ix. 27. 2; ib. 31. 4, who says the Boeotians themselves did not acknowledge the Theogony as Hesiod's. And G. F. Schoemann, in his "Commentatio Critica" on Hesiod (Berlin, 1869), p. 4, thinks they gave a right judgment, but not one founded on any ancient tradition; they merely adopted the conclusions of the more recent critics.



γὰρ καὶ "Ομηρον ἡλικίην τετρακοσίοισι ἔτεσι δοκέω μευ πρεσβυτέρους γενέσθαι, καὶ οὐ πλέοσι οὖτοι δέ εἰσι οἱ ποιήσαντες Θεογονίην "Ελλησι, καὶ τοῦσι θεοῦσι τὰς ἐπωνυμίας δόντες, καὶ τιμάς τε καὶ τέχνας διελόντες, καὶ εἶδεα αὐτῶν σημήναντες.

Now, though we cannot be at all sure that the present Theogony is the very one alluded to by Herodotus, or that it forms a complete poem as we have it, or is wholly genuine, and that nothing has been lost and nothing interpolated; still there is every reason to think that at least it contains a great deal that has descended from a remote antiquity. The same indeed may be said of it as of the "Works;" that it is possibly a patchwork of several scraps of antiquity,-a compilation rather than an entirely original production,-perhaps adapted by a poet or rhapsodist called Hesiod, perhaps conjecturally attributed to him in the absence of any certain authorship, perhaps put together, arranged, altered, interpolated by successive rhapsodists at a later period. The pure metal of the true epic age may still exist, though it has suffered alloy in passing through many crucibles in the hands of many different workmen.⁵

We say, all this is *possible*, thereby allowing the widest scope for the many theories respecting the Hesiodic poems that have been propounded. We by no means are driven to the necessity of admitting that it must be so, especially in the face of a remarkably uniform and very authentic testimony of great antiquity in favour of the genuineness of at least the two principal Hesiodic poems even as we now have them. To mention only a few of these :—Pindar cites from Hesiod by name ⁶ a

• Mr. Mahaffy (Hist. Gr. Lit. i. p. 110) observes that "both poems agree in their piecemeal character, and seem to be the production of the same sort of poet,—a man of considerable taste for collecting what was old and picturesque, but without any genius for composing from his materials a large and uniform plan." Schoemann (Comment. Crit. p. 8) thinks the poem in the main ancient and genuine, but adds, "hoc ipsum quod nos hodie legimus carmen, eodem quo nunc est ambitu, iisdem partibus, eadem forma et dispositione ab Hesiodo profectum esse non adducor ut credam."

 Isthm. v. 67. Thucydides mentions 'Ησίοδοs δ ποιητήs as buried at Oencon in Locris, iii. 96.

vii

proverb now extant in the "Works." Aeschylus, the contemporary of Pindar, has founded the play of the Prometheus Bound entirely upon the Theogony, and he has copied it so minutely, that it would take a considerable space to bring together the parallel passages from both poems." Aristophanes distinctly refers both to the Theogony and to the "Works;" to the latter under the name of Hesiod. Thus Av. 693,

> Χάος hν και Νύζ Έρεβός τε μέλαν πρώτον και Τάρταρος εύρος, Γή δ' ούδ' hηρ ούδ' ούρανός hν,

manifestly refers to v. 116-124 of the present Theogony. And in Ran. v. 1032,

> 'Opφeds μèv γàρ τελετάς θ' ἡμῖν κατέδειξε φόνων τ' ἀπέχεσθαι, Μουσαῖός τ' ἐξακέσεις τε νόσων καὶ χρησμοὺς, 'Ησίοδος δὲ Γῆς ἐργασίας, καρπῶν ὥρας, ἀρότους:

it is equally clear that the $E_{\rho\gamma a}$ are specified.

Plato more than twenty times refers to Hesiod; it will suffice to cite a single passage, Symp. p. 178, B:— 'Hσιοδος πρώτον μεν Χάος φησί γενέσθαι, Γην τε καί Έρωτα'— 'Hσιόδω δε και 'Ακουσίλεως όμολογεί.

Which alludes to Theog. v. 116-8,

ήτοι μèν πράτιστα Xáos γένετ', abràp έπειτα Γαῖ[°] εὐρύστερνος, πάντων έδος ἀσφαλès aleì [°]Ηδ' [°]Epos.

And surely the testimony of a writer 400 years before Christ should outweigh the opinion of Pausanias, nearly 200 years after that era,⁸ against the genuineness of the Theogony.

⁷ Compare especially Prom. 785 with Theog. 894, where see the note.

⁸ Colonel Mure (Hist. Gr. Lit. vol. ii. p. 418 seqq.) inclines to the opinion, though not very decidedly, that Pausanias' statement is correct; and yet, he observes, there appears to be no trace of scepticism as to the authorship of the Theogony either among the Alexandrian grammarians, or their predecessors of the early Attic school. Of the authorship, integrity, and great antiquity of the "Works," he entertains no doubt; the Theogony he thinks is, in the main, equally ancient, but that it was really written by a poet not even a Boeotian by birth (ii. p. 430).—The opinion of Schoemann is that the Theogony was composed by some poet about the time of Peisistratus; that he adopted the name of Hesiodic' (Comment. Crit. p. 6), and that he designed the Theogony to form an introduction to an older poem attributed to Hesiod, the KardAcyos Yuraxâr.

viii

.



Nevertheless, it may perhaps be granted, and rather as a matter of regret than as materially invalidating the claim to great antiquity which in the main the Hesiodic poems clearly possess, that considerable alterations have been introduced into them in later times. Such are, in all probability, the prefixing of a short proeme or introduction to the "Works," and of a much longer one (or rather, a combination of several proemes ⁹) to the Theogony, and possibly, the addition of a good many verses at the ends of both poems, not to mention the occasional introduction of Homeric verses. The expansion, so to say, or amplification of many passages by a somewhat tiresome repetition, or by the addition of feeble and merely supplementary lines, and lastly, the insertion of episodes more in the descriptive style than was congenial to the Muse of Hesiod, are indications that the original work has been tampered with by the inferior genius of rhapsodists, or by the hands of literary compilers and revisers.¹ Such is, perhaps, the account of the storm in v. 505 seqq. of the "Works," and of the battle of the Titans in v. 675 seqq. of the Theogony. Such liberties were more easily taken with the text of Hesiod than with that of Homer, because no authentic edition of the former poet is known to have been issued and generally received, as was the recension of Homer, said to have been made (whatever may be the value of the story) by the command of Peisistratus. It is not improbable that some such attempt was made, not by promulgating an authorised written text, but by instructions given to rhapsodists, in order to rescue as far as possible the true Homeric poems, as they were then believed to be recognisable, from the accretions which the genius or ambition of Homerids and feebler imitators, was continually adding to them.²

[•] According to K. O. Müller, of an original proeme, a hymn to the Muses, and an epilogue.

¹ Dr. Flack, in his edition of the Theogony (Berlin, 1873), nearly always agrees with the criticism in this work, in the rejection of spurious passages.

² There seems to have been a tradition that Hesiod was revised by order of Peisistratus; see fragm. cxiii. ed. Goettling. But this is doubtless a part

At a time when the ancient epic poems were handed down orally, as the most precious national properties, by professional reciters called *rhapsodists*, - men undoubtedly, in the earlier ages, often of high genius, and quite capable of appreciating and (even when they added to it) of sustaining the unity of a great epic composition, though in Xenophon's time they had become a degenerate race whom he speaks of as έθνος ήλιθιώτατον³,-there were likely to exist several more or less local versions or recensions of Homer and Hesiod, the collation and adaptation of which occupied the critical skill of the compilers and collectors at a time when all Greek literature was regularly committed to writing.⁴ And it was perhaps hardly avoidable but that the earliest transcribers should have sometimes so combined these different recensions as to cause occasional repetition, abruptness, and tautology. Internal evidence strongly confirms a theory highly probable in itself, and one that satisfactorily accounts for many phenomena in our present text of Hesiod, which on any other supposition would be very difficult to explain.⁵

Still, with all these defects, there is much in the curious and unique poem called the "Works and Days," much also even in the inferior Theogony, that deserves a more careful and critical study than it commonly obtains. Besides many legends, evidently derived from the remotest antiquity, and now largely

* Conviv. iii. 6.

of the later story, which made Hesiod to be not only the contemporary but the rival of Homer. It is curious that the ancients themselves identified the "cyclic" with the Homeric poems and even hymns. Pindar does not hesitate to call Homer himself a *rhapsodist*, Isthm. iii. 55—7. In fact, Homer and Hesiod were names representing sometimes a school, sometimes the individual poet.

⁴ This appears, from the evidence we possess, to be much later than has commonly been supposed. See the editor's pamphlet, "Bibliographia Graeca" (Bell and Sons).

⁵ Professor Jebb observes (Primer, p. 43), "The Theogony has come to us in a confused and corrupt state, but is probably Hesiod's in the main, as the ancients generally held." Schoemann, Comment. Crit. p. 65, "same non omnes Theogoniae partes unius aut auctoris aut setatis esse nemo hodie diffitetur."

identified with Hindu literature and mythology, the Theogony has been described by a critic of acknowledged authority as a poem containing "thoughts and descriptions of a lofty and imposing character, and for the history of the religious faith of Greece, a production of *the highest importance.*⁶" If we acquiesce even partially in this judgment, we must allow that it is hardly creditable to English Scholarship that no edition of Hesiod, (if we except Gaisford's, who has attempted little or nothing for the author in original criticism,) should have appeared in this country since Robinson's learned but somewhat heavy quarto edition, published at Oxford in 1737.⁷

If the literary value of Hesiod should be depreciated on the above grounds; if it should be said, that at best we have but fragmentary portions of what never could even in their perfect state have been great poems; there remains the value attached to every remnant of the earliest Greek composition, as illustrative of the language. Attempts have been made to show, that Hesiod must have lived at least a century later than Homer, and a date has been assigned to him as low as B.C. 735. In the opinion of Herodotus, whose authority for the assertion we know not, and who perhaps merely repeated a popular notion, he flourished about B.C. 850.8 Modern scholars in general do not think the earlier date tenable; but no doubt seems ever to have been entertained by the ancients themselves. It has been observed, that Hesiod is even generally mentioned before Homer, as in the passage of Herodotus quoted above. The Parian Marble (though its authority in such matters is worth little) makes Hesiod older than Homer by about thirty years.

⁸ Professor Jebb (Greek Literature Primer, p. 40) says, "The best ancient and modern authorities are probably right in placing Hesiod about 850—800 s.o." In estimating the value of Herodotus's testimony, we must, of course, take into account the absence of written books, and the strong tendency to exaggerate on the side of antiquity.

^{*} K. O. Müller, Hist. Gr. Lit. p. 87.

⁷ Robinson collated, for the first time, three or four of the MSS. in the Bodleian Library. His collations I have often found to be inaccurate; yet I suspect Gaisford took them from Robinson, without consulting the MSS. at all.

The ancient tradition, embodied in the spurious and late poem called Ounoou draw, and in one reading of v. 657 of the "Works," that Homer and Hesiod entered into a personal contest in their art, points in the same direction, and confirms the testimony of antiquity, that Hesiod lived nearly nine centuries before Christ. The reader may find all that perhaps need be said on this subject in the pages of Grote, Mure, K. O. Müller, and in the more recent work of Professor Mahaffy on the History of Classical Greek Literature. Goettling, who has investigated the matter with great learning (see Preface to his edition, p. xvi-xviii), sums up his view of it thus: "Mihi nunc fere acquiescendum videtur in sententia Herodoti, qui Hesiodum, i.e. Theogoniae poetam, et Homerum quadringentis fere annis suam ipsius actatem dicit antecedere." K. O. Müller also (Hist. Gr. Lit. p. 82) pointedly says, "In Hesiod especially, if we may judge from statements of the ancients, and from the tone of his language, sayings and idioms of the highest antiquity are preserved in all their original purity and simplicity."

Less monotonous than the Theogony, if somewhat more desultory, is the poem called the "Works and Days." Indeed, so curious a treatise on the life and farming operations of the primitive Hellenes is at least as well worth the perusal as the mythology of the Attic Tragedies. Though utterly remote from anything like sentiment or pathos, it has a genuine earnestness about it; and it derives some interest too from being the model on which Virgil composed his "Georgics."

Nothing can be more interesting and instructive than to compare the development of mind and character in the two great epic and didactic poets of antiquity, Homer, the representative of the Asiatic and Ionic¹ phase of life, and

¹ The "Aeolo-Asiatic" and "Aeolo-Boeotic" is Col. Mure's expression. Prof. Jebb (Primer, p. 41) remarks that through the wide-felt influence of the Delphic cultus of Apollo, "Hesiod's poetry had some contact with Doric teaching," and he instances the doctrine of $\delta aluoves$ (Op. 122), and the connexion of the office of poet with that of prophet (Theog. 32).

Hesiod, of the European and Hellenic. "Hesiod's poetry," says K. O. Müller, "is a faithful transcript of the whole condition of Boeotian life: and we may, on the other hand, complete our notions of Boeotian life from his poetry." But while Homer represents the chivalrous buoyant susceptibility of the Ionic character, Hesiod takes the more gloomy view of life; of its toils and miseries, the frauds and faithlessness of his fellow-men, the corruption of judges, the selfish unfairness even of brother to brother. It is on this ground alone that we can consent to regard the singular episodes about Prometheus and Pandora, and of the golden and other deteriorated ages, as an integral part of the original poem.² They both indirectly inculcate the same doctrine, that man is born to woe and disappointment; that he has lapsed from a higher and happier estate, and has now to earn a scanty livelihood by the sweat of his brow. The ethical precepts interspersed relate principally to economic, but partly to religious and ceremonial They are not subjects adapted to poetry; but, as matters. assuming an oracular tone, and as designed to be impressed in the most convenient form on men's minds, they are versified and that is all we can say of them. Hesiod himself, however. held that he had the gift of poetry specially imparted by the Muses, and that he was commissioned to sing truth and not fiction. Whence we may fairly draw two inferences; first, that the pre-Hesiodic poetry could not have been of a very high excellence; secondly, that some poems did exist of a fanciful and wholly fictitious kind, but which he considered as beneath

² Goettling unhesitatingly regards the present "Works" as a compilation of various proverbs, fables, and didactic essays, by different hands. Praef. p. xxxv—xl. His theory is stoutly contested by Colonel Mure (ii. p. 388 seqq.). "Most of Hesiod's idioms of sentiment or language are so marked in themselves, so peculiar to this single work (viz. the \mathcal{E}_{PYR}), and so generally distributed over its text, that, had that work been the production of a historical epoch of Literature, and, as such, placed beyond the arena of modern controversy, there are few probably which by their own internal evidence would have so completely excluded, even in the most fanciful quarter, the remotest doubt of their emanating from a single author." (ii. p. 395.)

the dignity of a poet, whose mission it was to benefit his fellow-creatures, rather than to amuse them by giving scope to imagination.

The "Works and Days" is not properly a didactic composition. nor a professed treatise on either economy or agriculture. These are not even the prominent points of the poem, which is rather of a subjective and personal nature, and is concerned principally with the attempt to improve and reinstate by industry a spendthrift brother who has been reduced to beggary by his own folly, dishonesty, and improvidence. Hesiod writes in the tone of one smarting under a sense of injustice, and made a pessimist by the wrongs that, whether real or fancied, rankle in his mind. The poem might, as Colonel Mure remarks³, have been more fitly styled "A letter of remonstrance and Between himself and his brother the advice to a brother." poet's thoughts are mainly divided. The maxims which form so considerable a part, are generally peculiarly applicable to the case of Perses; and the interposed episodes are so many fables or stories symbolically conveying a moral equally applicable to the circumstances. Under Prometheus and Epimetheus, the genius of Forethought and Afterthought, i. e. providence and vain regret, the poet seems, as Colonel Mure observes, to have symbolised himself and his brother. Hence we may explain the apparent anomaly, that nearly the same story of Prometheus and Pandora is repeated in the Theogony.

Nothing, we may safely say, is less likely, or less justified by internal evidence, than that Hesiod was in any way indebted to Homer. The style, the imagery, the subject of each, are as different as the countries where the authors respectively resided. A difficulty certainly presents itself in the many Homeric words and phrases and even passages (as the lists of Water Nymphs and Rivers) found in Hesiod. Both Goettling and K. O. Müller, as well as Colonel Mure, adopt the theory, that both poets separately and independently derived the phrases and ex-

* Vol. ii. p. 385.

xiv



pressions which they exhibit in common, from the common source of an earlier poetry. There must indeed have been a large mass of matter current among the rhapsodists, and partaking of the nature of epic common-places, before literature had become fixed by being written down, revised and edited by more or less competent judges of the spurious and the genuine. Still, it must be confessed, that an interpolation of Homeric verses into the Hesiodic poems might easily have occurred through rhapsodists familiar with both poets alike. That the converse does not appear to have happened to any great extent, may be accounted for, on the ground of the greater popularity and therefore more frequent recitations of the Homeric poems.

No valid argument can be drawn from certain differences, real or supposed, between the Homeric and Hesiodic mythology, as to the relative dates of the two poets. This view has been enlarged upon by Mr. Grote: but these differences do not amount to more than what might naturally be looked for in two contemporary poets treating of religious legends indefinitely older than themselves, and varying somewhat according to local developments.

What Herodotus means by saying that both Hesiod and Homer made a theogony for the Greeks, was perhaps nothing more than this; that their poems gave a sanction, a popularity, and an universal acceptance under a definite form to the most authentic legends then current respecting the names, affinities, and attributes of the gods and demigods. Long examination of this question, from the details of the "Troica" preserved in Pindar and the tragics, as well as in the earlier Greek art, has fully convinced me, that the "Homer" of that period was mainly comprised in the "Cypria," and other poems which we are accustomed to distinguish as "Cyclic." Herodotus must have referred to the "Cypria" in saying that Homer "made a Theogony"; for we know that the origin and pedigrees of the gods were narrated in that work; whereas in our present

Homeric texts the system of polytheism is completely established, and its existence assumed throughout. "Every legend," says K. O. Müller⁴, "which could not be brought into agreement with this poem (Hesiod's Theogony), sank into the obscurity of mere local tradition, and lived only in the limited sphere of the inhabitants of some Arcadian districts, or the ministers of some temple, under the form of a strange and marvellous tale, which was cherished with the greater fondness because its unconformity with the received theogony gave it the charm of mystery." But "if," the same writer well adds, Hesiod's "system had been invented by himself, it would not have met with such ready acceptance from succeeding generations.⁵"

This, in fact, is a point of view from which the writings of Hesiod may be contemplated with peculiar interest. If Hesiod did not *invent* the legends of the Theogony, whence did he obtain them, and what must we suppose to have been their ultimate source? Modern research in the science of comparative mythology unhesitatingly answers, "the religious system and traditions of India.⁶" Incorporated with these we seem to recognise some of the primitive and nearly universal traditions of the human family: obscure reminiscences relating to the creation of the world, to ancient races which had long passed away, and generally to a state of mankind higher, more godlike, more exempt from sin and toil, such as we are used to contemplate man when first placed on the earth, as represented in the Mosaic accounts. Goettling indeed ⁷ strongly opposes

4 Hist. Gr. Lit. p. 87.

⁵ "The poet's chief sources in the Theogony must have been old hymns preserved in the temples, and folklore which lived in the mouths of the people. He was not making a new system on an artistic plan of his own. He was simply trying to piece together a very old system of which he had found the fragments, and which he did not always understand. The legends massed together, rather than blended."

• Sir G. W. Cox's valuable manual, "An Introduction to the Science of Comparative Mythology and Folklore" (Kegan Paul, 1881) should be in the hands of all students of Hesiod, to whom he repeatedly refers. Both Semitic and Assyrian influences must now be taken into account.

⁷ Praef. p. xlviii.



times, was not correctly understood, and therefore was sometimes misapplied. The following passage will give the reader a clear idea of what is meant (II. xvi. 366-378):--

> ώς τῶν ἐκ ναFFῶν (νηῶν) γένετο Fiaχή τε φόβος τε, οδδὲ κατὰ μοῖραν πέραον πάλιν. «Εκτορα δ' Ιπτοι ἔκφερον ὡκύποδες σὺν τεύχεσι, λεῖπε δὲ λαFδν Τρωϊκὸν, οῦς ἀFέκοντας ὀρυκτὴ ταφρὸς ἔρυκεν. πολλοὶ δ' ἐν τάφρφ Fερυσάρματες ὡκέες Ιπποι Fάξαντ' ἐν πρώτφ βυμῷ λίπον ἄρμα Faνάκτων, Πάτροκλος δ' ἔπετο σφεδανὸν Δαναοῖσι κελεύων, Τρωσὶ κακὰ φρονέων. οἱ δὲ Fiaχỹ τε φόβφ τε πάσας πλῆσαν όδοὺς, ἐπεὶ ὰρ τμάγεν ὕψι δ' ὥFελλα σκίδραδ' ὑπὸ νεφέων, τανύοντο δὲ μώνυχες Ιπτοι ἅψορρον προτὶ Γάστυ ναΓῶν (νεῶν) ὥπο καὶ κλισιάων. Πάτροκλος δ' ὅ πλεῦστον δρινόμενον Fiδε λαΓον, τῦ β' ἔχ ᠔μοκλήσας.

Here, in twelve consecutive verses, the F or digamma is to be supplied not less than thirteen times. In the first verse, we compare the Latin *navis* and *navium*, by which we are sure that $\nu\eta\omega\nu$ is not an original form, any more than $\nu\epsilon\omega\nu$ in the last verse but two. But, if the old word for a ship was $\nu\alpha F$ -s, we can readily explain the long and the short genitive plural by the double and the single digamma, $\nu\alpha FF\omega\nu$ and $\nu\alpha F\omega\nu$. So again $i\delta\epsilon$ in the last verse but one must have been $Fi\delta\epsilon$, because the Latins said νid —ere.⁵

We have then not less than *five* distinct proofs of a lost F in the early Greek language, any one of which is sufficient in itself to establish the fact. These are (1) the place of F in the Latin alphabet, left vacant in the Greek. (2) The frequent occurrence of *hiatus* or open syllables in particular words. (3) The analogy of Latin words, evidently identical with the Greek, which take the v, as vinum, vicus, vis, compared with olvos, olcos, l_{S} . (4) Numerous written examples of the F in coins and inscriptions. (5) Words in which v is added as an equivalent to the lost F, e. g. $ain\rho$ for $an\rho$, ains for nis, areiis for nis, ainst a for nis and niscipation nis niscipation niscipation niscipation nis niscipation niscipation

When the Emperor Claudius vainly fancied that imperial * For the digamma in lads and derla, see Curtius, Gr. Et. 364 and 390. The German leute retains it in the former word.

authority could alter a received alphabet⁶, he introduced the use of three letters, one of which was the F, to represent V as distinct from U. This letter he *inverted*, i. e. he wrote EVLGVS, AMAEIT, vulgus, amavit. This then is an additional recognition of its use, which may have lingered on, in some Aeolic *patois*, even to Claudius's own time, though it was probably in him a piece of learned pedantry borrowed from books. But the F of Hesiod and Homer had rather the power of w than of f or v; thus, $\xi \rho \gamma \rho v$ or $F \xi \rho \gamma \rho v$ seems connected with our word work; and olvos and vinum represent our wine, $lon \mu u$ (root $F v_s$ or $F u\delta$) our wise, wist, and wizard.

One of the difficulties in the theory of the digamma arises from the fact, that its use does not seem constant even in those words which nearly always take it; some words take it much more regularly than others; while there are a good many to which it seems arbitrarily or capriciously added or omitted, as the metre may require. Thus, in v. 6 of the Homeric passage quoted above, the ordinary texts do not give appa avakrow, but άρματ' ἀνάκτων, whereas ἄναξ and ἀνάσσειν nearly always take the F in Hesiod and Homer. In Homer indeed appa Favárrov is probably the true reading, because $\partial \tau \pi \rho \omega \tau \varphi$ is also in the singular. But in Hes. Theog. 543, Iamerioviôn, mártor aριδείκετ' aνάκτων, the same omission of the initial F occurs: though there also the true reading may have been doideinere $\lambda a \hat{\omega} v$. The exceptional cases are indeed numerous, and present considerable difficulties to the critic. Thus, to cite only a few: Hesiod nearly always used Fépyov, Foivos (or rather Fivos), Feidos. Féraoros, Fibios or Feibios, Foiros. And yet the following violations (and they are not the only examples)' occur in his extant poems, or at least, in our present MSS. of them :---

Theog. 146,

ίσχύς τ' ήδε βίη και μηχαναί ήσαν επ' έργοις

^e Tacit. Ann. xi. 14.

⁷ Dr. Flack has discussed them all at great length in his *Prolegomena*, with reference throughout to the views expressed in this work, and nearly always assenting to them.

xxxii



of physical bravery and of moral cowardice, — now wading through the blood of slain enemies, now praying in abject fear to the gods, or imploring the mercy of demons, now the complete slave of the vilest passions, now self-denying, selfdevoting, and the champion of justice and morality.

There is another consideration which leads us back to the contemplation of a very remote period of man's existence. If the Greek language, a thousand years before the Christian era, had attained such richness, such varied inflexions, such a high capability for versification as it exhibits in the poems of Homer and Hesiod; how long must it have been in passing from a crude state, and after detaching itself from the main family of cognate languages, above all, how much of pre-Homeric literature must have existed, the composition of which alone could have brought epic Greek to such a state of perfection? Homer, we are sure, must have had an Achilleis to work upon, just as Hesiod must have had songs about the gods, which he in the same manner improved upon and systematised. That such literature had utterly perished at an early period, principally because it was superseded by the more perfect and admired works of these two poets, is a matter not more surprising than that the Romans of the Empire possessed no national literature worthy of the name earlier than about B.C. 200. The art of the rhapsodists was engrossed with these, the most complete and finished specimens of Epic poetry that had yet been created; and thus all that had preceded rapidly fell into disuse and oblivion. Thus then we may readily find an answer to the question put by Lucretius (v. 327),

> "Quur supra bellum Thebanum, et funera Trojae, Non alias alii quoque res cecinere poetae ? Quo tot facta virum totiens cecidere, nec usquam Aeternis famae monumentis insita florent?

A pre-Homeric literature and language then are no vague probabilities: they must have existed in the nature of things. The progress of language is in a remarkable manner simultaneous with the progress of civilisation. In tribes isolated,

b 2

barbarous, and devoted more to war than to the arts, language makes scarcely any advance in even very long periods of time; whereas there are modern European languages which (like our own) have altered very materially within the last three or four centuries. But, in a rude people, the development of language from its earliest forms must certainly have occupied an immensely long time, and especially where the only development that could be made at all was oral, and not assisted by the art of writing. In fact, it is almost difficult to conceive how long the Homeric Greek must have been in its transition from the crude forms and roots which analysis shows to have been the elements out of which it was formed.

The connexion of both the language and the literature of Greece with the Sanskrit is now well understood and admitted, and the great antiquity of the Vedic hymns seems placed beyond the reach of doubt or controversy. It is to these then, probably, that we must mainly look as the source from which Hesiod's Theogony was composed. For example, Sir G. W. Cox observes⁹ that the Hindus believe that they lived in the last and worst of four periods or *Yugs*, corresponding to the golden, silvern, brazen and iron ages; with which compare "Epy. 174.

There are many remarkable coincidences between the Mosaic account and the Hesiodic cosmogony. Both speak of the world as formed out of chaos, and of light and darkness as subsequent creations.

An ancient and universal tradition appears to have been, that the peaceful order of the universe was first interrupted by a rebellion or apostasy among the higher order of primeval beings. Inexplicable as this is to us (unless on the theory that the notion was suggested by the sight of falling stars and meteors), it is very difficult to separate it from the Scriptural doctrine of Satan and the Fallen Angels; and the same idea is contained in the Hesiodic rebellion of Cronus against Uranus,

• "British Rule in India," p. 8. See also Prof. Mahaffy's Hist. of Cl. Gr. Literature, i. p. 103, note 2.

Zeus against Cronus, and the hurling of Cronus (the archrebel), Typhoeus, the great Serpent, and the Titans their compeers, into Tartarus (hell). The golden and silver ages of Hesiod represent man in a state of primitive innocence; the immense duration of human life, which Scripture assigns to the first patriarchs, is described by the infancy of a hundred years¹; the absence of pain and death, by the passing away of this race from the world "as if subdued by sleep.²" The voluntary production of fruit and crops from the primeval earth, without the labour of the farmer; the gradual growth of wickedness and irreligion among degenerate men; the doctrine of angels or good spirits invisibly accompanying human beings on earth³; the suggestion of rebellion first made by the female (Rhea)⁴: the formation of the first woman Pandora (like Adam) from the dust of the earth; lastly, the destruction of mankind, and their annihilation from earth at an early stage of their existence, in punishment for their impiety 5,-all these statements seem reflexions of Mosaic teaching, and are too well marked to be regarded as mere casual resemblances.

Such then, briefly considered, are the literary merits and such the points of archaeological interest which attach to the two principal poems of Hesiod. Of the only other one that has come down to us under his name (i. e. as a production, though doubtless a later one, of the Boeotic school), the "Shield of Hercules," little need be said. Of its merits as a poem, as well as of its date and authorship⁶, very different opinions have been

¹ Opp. v. 180. Colonel Mure takes a different view of the general purport of the Theogony, which he thinks was meant to shadow forth the early progress of creation and of society, the gradual ascendency of mind over matter, of intellect and order over confusion and barbarism (ii. p. 408). But the same writer very justly remarks (*ibid.*) that "of creation in the higher sense, or the calling into existence of habitable animated worlds, by the flat of a supreme eternal spirit, out of Chaos or non-entity, as in the Mosaic system, neither Hesiod nor Homer manifest any conception."

² Opp. 116. ³ Opp. 122. ⁴ Theog. 163. ⁵ Opp. 138. ⁶ Prof. Jebb (Primer, p. 45) speaks of the "Shield" as "not by Hesiod, but of later and perhaps composite authorship," adding, "The description is imitated from that of the shield of Achilles in the 18th Book of the Iliad, but is greatly inferior to it."

entertained, and the question is the more difficult to decide, because the style for the most part, though it has many peculiarities, and is marked by the occurrence of words and inflexions nowhere else to be found, is a manifest imitation of the Homeric, as is the subject itself an imitation of the "Shield of Achilles." As a fragment of antiquity, and as representing a species of short epic of which this is an unique example, it is certainly worth the reading. Critics, however, appear generally to agree in assigning to it very little inventive or poetic talent. Colonel Mure' calls the style "wild and fantastic without originality, and turgid without dignity."-"" Not only is the poetical law against rude collisions of heterogeneous elements completely set at nought, but the text is often, to all appearance, purposely so disposed, that the same line contains the conclusion of one and the commencement of another image of the most offensively opposite character. The joyous is suddenly converted into the pathetic, the tender into the terrible, with an almost burlesque effect."

These are hard words, and the present editor for one is hardly disposed to acquiesce in their justice. The circumstance of this poem being alone preserved seems to show that it had some little repute in antiquity; although the fact, elsewhere remarked, that no Greek writer of the Attic period ever cites or alludes to the poem, might seem on the other hand to argue that its preservation was due more to accident than to its popularity. It may possibly be a compilation in part from the Katáloyos vuvaikôv, attributed to Hesiod, by some unknown hand of the Alexandrine period. We know, from allusions to other shields, e.g. that given by the gods to Peleus,⁸ and from more than one description in Quintus Smyrnæus, that the device on heroes' shields was a very favourite theme with the rhapsodists. Mr. Mahaffy (p. 113) thinks there is enough of Hesiodic character and diction in the "Shield," to make its authenticity at least by no means impossible. If so, it cer-

7 Hist. Gr. Lit. ii. p. 424.

* Eur. El. 455, seqq.

tainly must have been considerably altered and modernised, as a careful examination of the language will show.

It is to be hoped that, whatever be the faults of Hesiod considered merely as a poet, and whatever opinions are held of the genuineness of the works attributed to him, a case has been established in favour of his being more read than he has hitherto been in our schools. In order to promote that end in some degree, and to produce an edition suitable to the present time, and with sufficient explanations to satisfy ordinary students, at least it may be said that no pains have been spared.

In the first place, I have myself collated, besides the Aldine edition of 1495°, not fewer than fourteen MSS, throughout.--a task, I need hardly say, of great labour and no small difficulty. Several of these MSS. had never, I believe, been collated at all; others more or less inaccurately or incompletely.¹ In the second place, I have gone through the whole of the voluminous Scholia (as given in Gaisford's "Poetae Minores"), comprising the commentaries of Proclus, Moschopulus, and Tzetzes on the "Works," an anonymous Scholiast (or Scholiasts) on the Theogony, and the late, but intelligent exegesis of Joannes Diaconus on the "Shield." Thirdly, I have consulted throughout the editions of Hesiod by Gaisford, Goettling, Schoemann, and Van Lennep, and frequently also that of Robinson (1737), and in the Theogony, that of Dr. H. Flack (Berlin, 1873). At the same time, I have gone on the principle of making the author in the main his own interpreter, and of consulting other commentators rather to compare their views with my own, than to take from them at second hand either explanations of the

• The editio princeps of the "Works," printed in 1493, I have not seen; but a collation of it is given in Gaisford's edition, as of other early post-Aldine editions.

¹ Great pains and attention are required to make a *complete* verbal collation, noticing even accents, stops, erasures, changes of hand, &c., of any single Greek MS. Very often, of course, the writing is extremely difficult to read. I have done my best, but cannot positively guarantee freedom from error: nor have I given all the various readings of any one MS., many being trivial and unimportant, such as mere errors of ignorance or carelessness.

text or the critical selection of the readings. Generally, however, the text of Goettling has been followed more nearly than any other, and his views as to interpolated verses and passages have often been accepted, where the subsequent editor, Van Lennep, has argued for the integrity of the vulgate text.

The following is a list of the MSS. collated for this edition.

(A) Codex Galeanus, in Trinity College library, Cambridge. (Gale MSS., O. 9. 27.) A quarto on thick parchment, containing the 'Eoya as far as v. 760), with the commentary of Tzetzes and numerous interlinear glosses. This is a very valuable and excellent MS., apparently of the early part of the fourteenth century, perhaps older. It contains however the writing of several hands, and the first part (to v. 256) is probably of saec. xiii. It is in a damaged condition from the effects of damp or fire, and as it ends abruptly with imaleveo $\phi \eta \mu \eta \nu$, it is probable that it originally contained the 'H $\mu \epsilon \rho a \iota$ or Calendar, and has lost several leaves. In all there are now sixty-four leaves. What remains is very legible throughout. The readings of this MS. approach nearer to the best of the Paris MSS. than any that I have collated; sometimes they are quite peculiar, and on the whole this is perhaps the best MS. of the "Works" in existence. It was collated by Dobree for Gaisford, and other editors have borrowed their notice of it from him, though he does little more than mention it in his list. By the permission of the Master and Fellows of Trinity College, a facsimile of this MS. is presented to the reader. It exhibits a very curious picture of the Hesiodic wain² and other agricultural implements described in the "Works." The following is the passage from Tzetzes' commentary at the bottom of the page :---

Ολμος λέγεται μέρος τοῦ ἀνθρωπείου σώματος, δ καὶ θώραξ καλεῖται· ἔστι δὲ τὸ ἀπὸ τραχήλου μέχρι αἰδοίων. Νῦν δὲ ὅλμος ἡ ἴγδη, ἐν ή κέγχρον καὶ ἕτερα τοιαῦτα οἱ γεωργοὶ κατατέμνουσι.

xxiv

² The Q or Koppa on the hind quarters of the horse illustrates the term Innes Konnarias, Ar. Nub. 23.

τριπόδην: τριών ποδών μήκος ἔχοντα ὁ δὲ ποῦς δακτύλων ἐστὶ ι... Καὶ τρίποδος μὲν καὶ τετράποδος, καὶ τὰ ὅμοια, ὁ ἔχων μῆκος τόσων ποδών. τρίπους δὲ καὶ τετράπους, ὁ τρεῖς ἡ τέσσαρας πόδας ἔχων. ὕπερον. λάκτην, ναγέα, τριβέα, ἡ κόπανον. ἄξονά θ' ἐπταπόδην ἄξων ἐστὶ τὸ ξύλον τῆς ἀμάξης καὶ τῶν ἀρμάτων, οὖ ἑκατέρωθεν ἐμβέβληνται οἱ τροχοί. νῦν δὲ περὶ τοῦ τῆς ἀμάξης ἄξονος λέγει κακῶς, ὅτι ἑπτὰ ποδῶν δεῖ ἔχειν αὐτὸν τὸ πλάτος· μόλις γὰρ ἀν ἄξων ὑπερβαίη τοὺς τέσσαρας πόδας. ἄρμενον οὕτω. ἡσίοδος πάνυ ἁρμόδιον ἄξονα τὸν ἑπταπόδην φησί· ἐγῶ τοῦτον φημὶ πάνυ ἀνάρμοστον· καί τοι μὴ ἔγγιστα ῶν τῶν γεωργικῶν. εἰ δέ κεν ὀκταπόδην.

(B) A MS. in the Bodleian library, also of saec. xiv., on cotton paper (bombycinus), very excellent and critically valuable. It is marked Auct. F. 3. 25 (otherwise Arch. D. 20). It is a large and thick quarto, containing among other writings the "Epya with numerous scholia and glosses, all written in a clear and beautiful hand, with glossy black ink. The readings of this MS. are second only to those of (A). It does not appear to have been before collated, so far as I can ascertain.

(C) A thick paper folio (or rather, a large quarto), also in the Bodleian, and marked Laud 54. It seems of the early part of saec. xv., or the end of saec. xiv. It contains the "Epya besides other writings; it is well written, on glossy paper (not, I think, the silky cotton paper). This is also a good MS., and agrees closely with the readings of (B). It has ample scholia, and interlined glosses in red ink, which appear to belong to three distinct hands.

(D) A paper MS. of saec. xv., also in the Bodleian, marked Laud 10. It is a small quarto, containing the $E\rho\gamma a$ without scholia, but with red interlined glosses up to v. 593, $\kappa \epsilon \kappa o \rho \eta \mu \epsilon' \nu \sigma \nu$ $\eta \tau o \rho \epsilon \delta \omega \delta \eta s$. After this verse the hand changes, and the glosses are written in black ink. On the whole this is a good MS., and here and there it gives peculiar readings of some value. Robinson appears to have collated this, as "B. 699."

(E) Another Bodleian MS., Barocc. 46, also a small quarto

on paper, of the latter end of saec. xv. It contains the $E\rho\gamma a$ with extracts from the scholia of Tzetzes and Moschopulus. These scholia are somewhat scanty, and are collected at intervals so as to interrupt the text. There are no interlined glosses. It agrees in part with (A), as does that next to be described, viz.

(F) Barocc. 60 in the Bodleian collection. This MS., in form, date, and close agreement in the readings, might seem to have been a duplicate of the last made by the same hand. (See however $E\rho\gamma$. 150 and 248.) The present copy contains also the Theogony, to v. 520, but the greater part of the latter is written by a different hand. There are red interlinear glosses, and also scholia to the $E\rho\gamma a$, but these are much more scanty on the $\Theta eoyovia$. The readings of this MS. are given by Robinson in the $'E\rho\gamma a$.

(G) A MS. of the "Epya on paper, a small quarto of saec. xv., in the public library at Cambridge, marked Nn. 3. This appears to be the only MS. of Hesiod in that collection; but it is carefully written, and derived from a good copy. It has numerous interlined glosses, which are often difficult to decipher from the evanescence of the red ink; but they are only extracts from the scholia of Moschopulus.

(H) D'Orville x. 1. 3. 12, in the Bodleian. An octavo on paper, apparently of the first part of sacc. xv., and containing the " $E\rho\gamma a$ with red glosses and scholia. The space left for the verses of the text is so narrow, that nearly every word is contracted, so that this MS. required much care in the collation. It has marginal scholia, and interlined glosses in red ink of remarkable freshness and brilliancy. The errors made by the first hand are numerous, but they have been subsequently corrected. On the whole this is a good copy, and generally agrees with the family of (D) and (G).

(I) D'Orville x. 1. 3. 13, in the Bodleian. A late MS. on paper, containing the $E_{\rho\gamma a}$, with black glosses interlined, but no scholia. An octavo, written in very faded ink, and in a bad style, and with very complex and numerous contractions. It is



consequently a difficult MS. to decipher. Though the readings are often very bad, betraying both ignorance and carelessness on the part of the scribe, they are occasionally good, approximating to (A), and here and there even remarkable. The letters η , v, , are frequently interchanged, and even words are omitted through the haste of writing. This MS. ends with Tpigkaidekáτην αλέασθαι, Έργ. 780.

(K) A very small but elegant paper MS. of the end of saec. xv., preserved in the library of Corpus Christi, Cambridge. It contains the 'E $\rho\gamma a$, and the Theogony as far as v. 50. Probably it has never before been collated. It is very accurately written, and it derives an especial interest from the fact, that it is evidently the very same MS. from which the Aldine edition of 1495 was printed. The proofs of this are conclusive: (1) It contains a short dedicatory letter from Πέτρος ὁ μοναγὸς Ἄλδω $\tau \hat{\omega}$ Mavourly, (2) It agrees almost verbatim with the Aldine readings, (3) It contains also Theognis, Phocylides, the $\chi \rho \nu \sigma \hat{a}$ $\tilde{e}\pi\eta$ of Pythagoras, and one or two other inferior works which are given in the Aldine edition. This little 12mo. is very neatly and minutely written, containing merely the text, with red lines under-ruled, and with the initial letters slightly coloured.

(L) Barocc. 109, in the Bodleian. A small quarto on paper, of saec. xv., containing the Theogony complete. It appears to have been written by three different hands, the first extending to ous θ alphopoles et reference to the second to the second to the the transformation of the second to the transformation of the second to the transformation of the second term of term έλευ ὑπποτέρην κ.τ.λ., v. 548, the third to the end. This MS. is well and clearly written, and contains a few glosses and scholis. Robinson's collation of this MS. was extremely inaccurate.

(M) Barocc. 60, in the Bodleian. This is also a paper MS. of saec. xv., containing, besides the "Epya (see F), the first 519 lines of the Theogony. It agrees verbatim with (L), so far as it In the latter part, which (L) alone contains, the extends. Aldine agrees with it much more closely than in the former part.

These two MSS., (L) and (M), were collated, or at least one of them (L), by Robinson, but not very accurately. Though late, they are of high importance in settling the readings of the Theogony.

(N) A paper MS., very similar to the two last in size (small 4to.) and handwriting, preserved in the library of Emmanuel College, Cambridge. It is beautifully written on paper, and is probably of the middle of saec. xv. Unfortunately, it contains (besides part of the "Shield") only the latter part of the Theogony, beginning (which is a remarkable coincidence) from the very verse at which (M) leaves off. This MS. was collated for Gaisford's edition, and he cites it as "codex recentissimus et mendosissimus, licet optimarum lectionum vestigia subinde exhibeat." The critical importance of this MS. is however very considerable. Though carelessly written, and abounding in errors, it must have been taken from a MS. of a different family from any now existing. Hence its readings are sometimes quite unique, and often better than are found in any other MS. Besides other works, including a very beautiful copy of Lycophron with the Scholia of Tzetzes, and a small portion of Theocritus, this MS. comprises a portion of the "Shield of Hercules," from v. 238 to v. 400 being lost. In this poem, as in the Theogony, the readings are often unique and valuable. It appears originally to have been complete in both poems, and to have lost many leaves containing the missing part; for what now remains has been bound up in such confusion, that the MS. is difficult to use for the purpose of collation.

(O) A paper MS. in the British Museum, marked Harl. 5724, containing the "Shield of Hercules" complete. It is a late paper MS., of saec. xv., very neatly written, and of considerable critical value. The readings differ from those of (N), and agree more nearly with the Aldine. There are no scholia or glosses; and the text is full of mistakes, yet must have been derived from an excellent copy. This MS. was collated, and pretty accurately, for Robinson's edition of 1737.

xxviii

I could not discover any other MS. of Hesiod in the voluminous catalogues of the British Museum. A MS. of Hesiod complete was said to be preserved in the library of Gresham College, London; but on inquiry there I learned that all the books and MSS. had been destroyed in the fire which burnt down the Royal Exchange.

One feature in the present edition will appear new to many, viz. the marking of the digammated words below the text in each page.³ As the digamma forms an important as well as a difficult part of the study of the early epic language, and appears to furnish a test of some critical value in distinguishing spurious from genuine verses, a few remarks on the subject are here appended, not indeed of sufficient length to claim the character of a regular treatise, but merely designed to give the younger student some insight into the theory of it. Recent inquiries have done much to bring this versatile letter under something like regular rules; but its early disappearance and the various substitutions for it in the written literature of later times have left an obscurity, on some points, which is not likely ever to be wholly removed.

It might be expected, that what is called the *Acolic* digamma would be more uniformly and carefully observed by Hesiod, as a Boeotian, than by Homer, whose dialect is a mixture of the old and the new Ionic with the Acolic. And such indeed seems to be the case. As far as we can judge, in really genuine verses, Hesiod's use of the digamma is pretty constant, though perhaps not absolutely invariable.

If we compare the Latin alphabet with the Greek, we find many reasons for believing the former to be the older of the two; and that the statement of Tacitus⁴, that the Latin alphabet resembled the Greek in its earliest state, is quite correct. For example; the Latin retained the H, the F, and the Q (or Koppa), in their original power, though the two last were early

* Dr. Flack has followed my edition in this respect, except that he inserts the initial digamma in his text.

⁴ Ann. xi. 14, "Et forma litteris Latinis, quae veterrimis Græcorum."

dropped by the Greeks, and the H ceased to be the aspirate, and took the place of the long e.

If we place side by side the first seven or eight letters of both alphabets, viz.

A with A	E with E
B B	— — F
Г — С	Z G
Δ D	Н —— Н,

we shall notice, first, that the Roman C (hard) anciently represented the Greek gamma, (e. g. maxister for magister,) while the Roman G takes the place of the Greek Z (dj, sh, sd, j); secondly, that F is wholly wanting in the Greek alphabet. Yet this $\beta a\hat{v}$ or digamma, (pronounced however as u or w (hw), or as the soft Greek β , rather than as our f) bears an important part not only in the language of Homer and Hesiod, but in the formation and inflexion of many words in which its original form and power have been modified or wholly lost.

Bentley had observed,-what in truth was obvious enough,that many instances of short syllables left open in the Homeric poems were to be remedied, according to a pretty constant rule. by the restoration of an F which had once existed at the beginning or middle of such words. A clue to certain metrical phenomena having been once obtained, evidences poured in from all sides entirely confirming the truth of the theory. Coins. inscriptions, Aeolicisms preserved by the old lexicographers, and the distinct testimonies of ancient writers, left no doubt whatever as to the existence and frequent use of the F in the earlier So well is this now understood, that a partially language. successful effort has been made to restore the digamma throughout to the Homeric text. It must however be conceded, that as the use of the digamma (or of the hiatus left by the omission of it) was traditional up to quite late schools of epic poetry, the rather frequent irregularities in our Homeric texts indicate patchwork and interpolation. The phonetic value of the digamma, in fact, while it was felt and acknowledged in later

the position, that the Theogony of the Greeks was derived from either the Persians or the Indians, or from Egypt : and we may grant, readily enough, that it was only indirectly borrowed from those sources. Still it was, so to speak, an Hellenic development of the same common traditions : traditions so immensely ancient, that all traces of anything like a history of them had long before Hesiod's time been irretrievably lost. The coincidences between the earliest traditions of mankind, so much unexpected knowledge of which has resulted from the interpretation of the early Assyrian records, and the Mosaic writings, are much too numerous and important to be purely accidental, and much too widely dispersed to have been borrowed solely from the latter source. Many persons are reluctant to extend the term of years, which appears to them to be made out from Scriptural data, for the duration of man's existence upon earth. And yet science, reason, the general laws of the obstinate permanence of physical type, customs, and language, confirmed as they are by researches and analogies. all tend to a belief in the vast antiquity of man as an inhabitant of the earth. Philosophers have investigated with care the rates of progress,-always very slow, sometimes hardly perceptible,---by which languages gradually change, throw off or adopt kindred dialects, and ultimately develop into something like new languages, as the French or Italian from Latin, the English from Saxon. We know how lasting are the forms and characteristics of the various races of mankind; how tardy the advance from a primitive and savage form of life to the refinements of intellect and the invention of those civilised arts which we see to have been exercised in Egypt, certainly two thousand, probably three thousand⁸ years before the Christian era. Practically we feel and lament the hopelessness

b

⁶ Dr. Lepsius even says, that he has made out "a cultivated epoch dating about the year 4000 B.C." He adds, "One can never recal these till now incredible dates too often to the memory of oneself and others; the more criticism is challenged, and obliged to give a serious examination to the matter, the better for the cause." (Discoveries in Egypt, p. 38.)

of changing the African or the Australian savages into an intelligent and progressive race : we know pretty well the rates at which population will extend itself, or retrograde into final extinction; how it will pass into diverse developments both moral and physical, according to certain conditions of climate, food, and habits of life. We know all this, and we have every reason to conclude, that what man is now, speaking generally, in dispersion, in distinct races, in languages and arts. in traditions if not in written literature,---nay more, in stature, intellect, and average duration of life,-that same man he was four thousand years ago, or at the extreme verge to which historic or monumental evidence carries us. Knowledge has accumulated, with ever increasing resources; but the power to profit by it, so far as we are aware, has ever been the same. That fluctuations in the great tide of human life have taken place, by conquests, immigration, and other causes, is certainly true: that some nations have retrograded while others have progressed in civilisation is probable; but these are mere casualties, mere surges in the great sea of time, and instances of a general cyclic law that seems to pervade all nature. All ancient nations must have had a history, if not always an eventful one. But it was seldom recorded, and therefore it has mostly perished. But though the history of particular nations is lost, the mythology of the early world has survived as a The true and the real have been less cared for than whole. the false and the fictitious. From the storehouses of fable Hesiod drew the materials of his Theogony. In the Homeric poems we see the curious phenomenon of fabulous events mistaken for history, and treated as such; and in Thucydides the still more curious fact, that he shows no doubt at all of the Homeric heroes having been historical personages, living, acting, and speaking as his own contemporaries did, but belonging to a race of higher physical development. The arts of war certainly preceded the arts of peace. Man is a strange being, compounded of cruelty and god-like aspirations,

Opp. 596,

τρίς όδατος προχέειν, το δε τέτρατον ίέμεν σίνου,

Theog. 908,

'Ωκεανοῦ κούρη πολυήρατον eldos έχουσα,

Theog. 459,

καί τούς μέν κατέπινε Κρόνος μέγας, δστις δκαστος

Opp. 187,

σχέτλιοι, ούδε θεών όπιν είδότες.

Theog. 64,

παρ δ' αὐτῆς Χάριτές τε και "Ιμερος οἰκί' έχουσα.

Similar irregularities may easily be cited in the Homeric use of the F. Thus (to give only one or two), even $e^{\pi ros}$, perhaps the most regularly digammated of all Epic words⁸, is not so in Od. xiv. 509,

ούδέ τί πω παρά μοϊραν έπος νηκερδές έειπες.

With which compare Theog. 84,

דסט ל לדב לא סדלאמדסה אבו אבלאוצם,

and Opp. 453,

βηίδιον γάρ έπος είπειν.

In II. xvi. 210, we have θυμόν ἐκάστου. In Od. v. 407, πρός δν μεγαλήτορα θυμόν, whereas commonly Γέκαστος and Γόν, Γεόν, έΓον, or σΓόν (suum) are used.

In verses of this kind, which are tolerably numerous (yet decidedly exceptional to the common usage), we have to decide between three probabilities, or to conclude that each of three causes has exercised some influence on our present texts; (1) Either the digamma was an arbitrary sound, and could be used or omitted according to metrical convenience; or (2) the verses which violate it have been altered and corrupted by grammarians who were displeased with the apparent *hiatus*; or (3) such verses are the composition of an age when the digamma had ceased to be in use and had become merely imitative.

* In II. xxi. 286, it is obvious to read *incréaure Finerau* for *incréaure interaux*. And generally it may be remarked, that a large number of apparent exceptions in the use of digammated words admit of an equally easy correction, so that the number of actual *violations* of the digamma is capable of being greatly reduced.

xxxiii

xxxiv

PREFACE.

The first view is that most commonly entertained, and certainly is the one which at first sight appears the most satisfactory, especially as it has some analogies in modern dialects, e.g. as the French pronounce oiseau nearly like woiseau, the Dutch ouster like woyster, the Yorkshire people oats like wuts. It is further confirmed by the fact, that a large class of words, such as "Hoa, Ιλιον, Ιρις, ίδεῖν, οὐρανὸς (Varuna), ἀνὴρ, ὄσσα, ἡχὴ, ἰαγεῖν, ilágrouai, älus, &c., seem to take or reject the initial digamma as a matter of metrical convenience⁹. The second opinion may be maintained on the ground that a large portion of the verses, where the digamma ought to occur, is susceptible of obvious correction, e.g. in some by the mere omission of the $\nu \,\epsilon \phi \epsilon \lambda \kappa \nu$ στικόν, as θέλουσι Γιδείν for θέλουσιν ίδείν, while in others vestiges of the true reading have been preserved even in our existing MSS., of which examples occur in Hes. Opp. 376. 382. 457. In the first of these the common reading is

> μουνογενής δè πάις σώζοι πατρώων οίκον φερβέμεν,

but the Gale MS. (A) has $\pi \dot{ais} \epsilon i\eta$ by the first hand, showing that the old and true reading was

μουνογενής δε πάϊς Γοϊκον πατρώιον είη φερβέμεν

In the second verse the vulgate is,

δδ' έρδειν, και έργον έπ' έργφ έργάζεσθαι.

But some MSS. give $\epsilon \rho \gamma \rho \nu \delta \epsilon \tau' \epsilon \pi' \epsilon \rho \gamma \phi$, whence $F \epsilon \rho \gamma \rho \nu \delta' \epsilon \pi i$ $F \epsilon \rho \gamma \phi F \epsilon \rho \gamma \delta \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ may easily be restored. In the third of the above verses,

τών πρόσθεν μελέτην δεί έχειν ολκήλα θέσθαι,

some copies retain the correct reading, $\mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \tau \eta \nu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu$ Four $\eta i a$

The third opinion, that those verses in which the usually

• "Boeckhius ostendit, digamma apud Pindarum non facere positionem, sed hiatus vitandi causa quibusdam esse vocibus presfixum, es lege atque conditione, ut, quum inter meras dialecti varietates numeratum esset, pro arbitrio poste vel admitteretur vel omitteretur." Dr. Donaldson's Pindar, p. lv.



digammated words have no digamma are interpolated, is also plausible, and in a number of instances is probably true. Thus, for instance, Opp. 589,

είη πετραίη τε σκιή και βόβλινος olvos,

is self-evidently a spurious verse. So perhaps are Opp. 738,

τρίν γ' εύξη ίδαν ές καλά βάθρα,

and Theog. 440,

και τοις οι γλαυκήν δυσπέμφελον έργάζονται.

And a closer investigation of this question would probably do a great deal in discriminating the additions of later rhapsodists from the genuine verses of Homer and Hesiod.

Professor Mahaffy¹ "does not believe the presence or absence of the digamma can be of the least use in determining the genuineness or spuriousness of any line in Hesiod." This is a sufficiently bold and plain statement; but he adds, "there seems really evidence for the fact that the digamma was a letter which could be arbitrarily used or dispensed with in epic poetry." I doubt very much if scholars generally will be disposed to agree with this view, or rather, I am nearly sure that they will not. No doubt, the F is pretty often "dispensed with"; but who shall say such passages have a genuine antiquity? It is poor reasoning to assume genuineness, and argue from it a legitimate irregularity in the use of F.

That the digamma could not have been wholly arbitrary, even as an initial letter, is proved by its occurrence in inscriptions unfettered by metre. Thus, in the ancient *Tabula Eliaca* we have not fewer than four words with the written digamma, viz. *Féros* (*ĕros*, Lat. vetus), *Fémos*, *Fápyov*, and *Féras* (*ĕrŋs*). From Boeckh's *Corpus Inscriptionum*, early coins, bronzes, and bits of pottery, and from Hesychius, many other words have been collected, which need not here be enumerated.

It has been stated, that one value of the F was probably our w.

¹ Hist. Cl. Gr. Lit. i. p. 120, note.

xxxvi

But it took so many Protean forms and changes, and passed into so many other vowel sounds, as $o, \iota, v, \sigma\phi$, or the simple aspirate, that it is not always easily recognised by those who have not paid attention to the subject.

Of aspirated words, which have lost an initial letter, we have a great many, e. g. ἕκαστος, ἑκών, ἕκας, δς, Ἐκάτη, ἑκατηβόλος, $\epsilon \lambda \pi ls$ (anciently so written). And yet the aspirate was not identical with the F, for Enopal (Lat. sequer) has no F, nor has the relative ôs, while the possessive ôs was, generally at least, σ Fòs, or Fòs, (swos) suus. So far indeed was the F from resembling the modern, or perhaps the Latin, F, that its primary power seems to have been that of an aspirated labial, sv or σF^2 not unlike the A.-S. hw, or our semi-sibilant pronunciation of who and when. The σ , as is usual in Greek, was either evanescent or passed into ϵ , making ϵF for σF , as we shall presently see; or it was the F that vanished, leaving only the σ , which was ultimately represented by the aspirate. Not very many words remain, which exhibit the primary σF . But we may mention $\sigma \phi \partial s$ (for $\sigma F \partial s$), $\sigma \phi \epsilon$ for $\sigma F = \hat{\epsilon}$, suctus from $\sigma F \epsilon \theta$ ($\epsilon \theta o_{S}$, $\eta \theta o_{S}$), and suavis for $\sigma F \eta \delta v_{S}$. We know that in the old epic hous took the digamma; but even at that early time the σ must have been dropped, for this would violate the metre. e. g. in Theog. 1021,

νῦν δὲ γυναικῶν φῦλον ἀείσατε Ϝηδυγέπειαι Μοῦσαι.

But suavis must come from $\sigma F\eta\delta \dot{\upsilon}s$ (or rather $\sigma Fa\delta F-s$, suad-vis). The *d* was dropped euphonically; but it appears in suad-eo, ' to use honied words,' $\mu\epsilon_i\lambda i\sigma\sigma\epsilon\sigma\theta a_i$.

We may further compare the Latin with the Greek reflexive pronoun, which suggests some curious analogies :---

£	hic	ol	sibi
oů	sui	8	86.

Here it is easy to see that the genitive $o\hat{v}$ has passed through the several forms $\sigma F \epsilon o$, ϵo , $\epsilon l o$, δo , besides which there is $\epsilon \theta \epsilon \nu$ for

² See Dr. Donaldson's Larger Greek Grammar, § 18. j, and § 25.

έόθεν. The original dative must have been $\sigma F\iota \phi \iota$ (sibi), and the accusative σFe or σe , the latter however differently pronounced from σe the accusative of σv , while the former passed into $\sigma \phi \acute{e}$. In the plural we have vos by the side of $\sigma \phi \grave{\omega}$, and $\sigma \phi \hat{a}$ s and $\sigma \phi \iota \sigma$ by the side of se and sibi; $\sigma \phi \acute{e} \tau \epsilon \rho \sigma_{S}$ by the side of vester (Féorep-os by transposition).

It is now held that neither the Greek nor the Latin F had the sound of our letter. The Greek ϕ is commonly represented by the Latin f, as $\phi\rho\eta\tau\eta\rho$ is frater, $\phi\epsilon\rho\omega$ is fero, &c., p-h or b-h being more nearly the original sound. The digamma is most commonly the Latin u or v (olways = Fixes vicus), but we cannot certainly say if this u was our v or rather our w, as uinum and uicus are wine and wick. The v and the f are closely allied sounds; between vine and fine there is no other difference than that the former word has a faint echo (so to say) in the throat, and may be called semi-guttural.

What was the exact difference to the Greek ear between the original H or h; the sibilant-aspirate in ξ , $\epsilon \pi \tau d$, $\delta \lambda \eta$, of which the Latin forms are sex, septem, silva; and the digamma presumed to exist in such words as Ferriev, Férragros, and the evanescence of which has left the rough breathing; this seems a difficult question, especially as there must have been some distinction of sound between δ_{S} suus, and δ_{S} the relative³; and between *enoual*, which does not admit of a hiatus before it⁴. though sequer is its Latin form (like $\delta\lambda\lambda\epsilon\sigma\theta a\iota$ compared with salio), and *exactos*, which nearly always does. The sibilantaspirate is well shown in our pronoun she from the Anglo-Saxon Even here a local patois pronounced the latter word withheó. out the s sound, whence has arisen a modern vulgarism, which is often mistaken for an ignorant error of grammar, "her (or hoo) did it," for "she did it." A breathing ejected through compressed teeth, or what might be termed a "dental-guttural"

³ The relative 3s does not seem to take the digamma, though I have seen it so written on a rather early vase.

^{*} We have δμ' έπονται in Theog. 268, and in several passages of Homer.

xxxviii

sound, appears to be the basis of the sibilant-aspirate, which made silva from $i\lambda\eta^{5}$.

Curtius remarks (Gr. Et. 369) that the occasional lengthening of a vowel before λi_{S} ($\omega \sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda i_{S}$, II. xviii. 318) indicates a primitive word $\lambda F i_{S}$. If so, it was clearly pronounced more like slis. Compare the archaic stlis (our strife) with lis, litis. The word in that case would stand for $\lambda eF i_{S}$, like $\lambda \epsilon a \nu a$ for $\lambda eF \alpha \nu a$ and $\lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$ for $\lambda \epsilon F \omega \nu$, from root $\lambda a F = \lambda a \beta$ (New Cratylus, § 455), and the initial σ would be a residue of the original σF .

The loss of the σF from the written language, while it was retained in pronunciation from the necessity of the metre, is singularly illustrated by such verses as Theogon. 819,

δώκε δέ Κυμοπόλειαν δπυίειν, θυγατέρα ήν.

Also Scut. Herc. 59,

αύτον και πατέρα δυ Άρην δτου πολέμοιο.

Where $\sigma F \dot{\eta} v$ and $\sigma F \dot{\sigma} v$ must have been the original words, and do not happen to have passed into the written forms $\sigma \phi \dot{\eta} v$ and $\sigma \phi \dot{\sigma} v$, as in other places. In II. vi. 358,

ένθα με κῦμ' ἀπόερσε πάρος τάδε ἕργα γενίσθαι, compared with II. xxi. 283,

δν βά τ' έναυλος άποέρση χειμώνι περώντα,

and ibid. 329,

μή μιν αποέρσειε μέγας ποταμός βαθυδίνης,

we have an obscure aorist meaning 'to sweep away,' applied to a rapid current. In two of these places the metre shows that the σF must originally have existed. Hence we may infer a root *swer* (our *swirl*), possibly connected with $\sigma i \rho$ -ew, a verb which bears exactly the same sense.

It has been stated above, that the original σF , or F, or sv,

* There were local dialects of this word, several forms of which are known; $\sigma i \lambda \eta$ or $F i \lambda \eta$, in Scaptenula for $\sigma ramma h \delta \lambda \eta$, and Sila, a forest in South Italy; $i \lambda \lambda \eta$ or $F i \lambda \lambda \eta$, silva; and $\delta \lambda F \eta$ or ulva, the reeds and sedge on river banks. In my opinion, the root is $F \epsilon \lambda F$, volvo, seen in $\epsilon^{i} \lambda \epsilon \mu$ and its numerous derivatives, the primary idea being that of dense and close packing. The Homerics "Tôn, our *vood*, seems a change of δ and λ . Compare "1ôn with $i \lambda \eta$. There is no probability in the etymology suggested by Curtius (376) from su, processes. It seems very reasonable to explain Risum (Flass) as the closely-packed or densely-peopled town.

seems to have had the value, not merely of a *letter*, but of a *syllable*, viz. eF (generally changed into $e\partial$), or Fe by transposition. Thus from *loos* (FlorFos) we have which if florgs, from Florelosenifeineloss, FeFounds by the side of the Ionic eounds and the Attie elinis⁶.

If we compare $\epsilon i \kappa \sigma \iota$ with viginti, we shall see that the $\epsilon \iota$ is a long syllable caused by the digamma with the ϵ , i. e. $F \epsilon i \kappa \sigma \iota$ for $F i \kappa \sigma \tau \iota$ ($F i \kappa \sigma \tau \iota$)⁷. This, again, by a singular capability of reduplication, quite consistent with the genius of the Greek language, became $F \epsilon - F \epsilon - i \kappa \sigma \sigma \iota$. So we have in Od. xii. 78,

ούδ et ol χειρές τε FeFeiκοσι και πόδες είεν.

There must have been an old aorist $i\sigma a\tau o$, 'it made itself like,' i. e. 'it appeared.' As in $i\sigma\eta\mu\iota$, it took the digamma (compare our wise); and thus from Fe-loato arose $\dot{\epsilon}$ -Fe-loato. Compare Od. v. 398,

bs 'Οδυσή' άσπαστον έΓείσατο γαία και ύλη.

Where the initial F has vanished from the first $F\epsilon$. Again, we have $\epsilon \epsilon i \pi \epsilon$ ($\epsilon F \epsilon i \pi \epsilon$) by the side of $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon$ or $F\epsilon i \pi \epsilon$, $\epsilon \epsilon \lambda \delta \omega \rho$, $\epsilon \epsilon \rho \gamma \epsilon i$, $\delta r \epsilon \epsilon \lambda \pi \tau \sigma s$ ($\delta v a - F \epsilon \lambda \pi \tau \sigma s$), $\epsilon t \sigma \kappa \omega$, $\epsilon \epsilon \rho \sigma \eta$, $\epsilon \epsilon \lambda \sigma a \iota$.

In all these it is evident that $\epsilon\epsilon$ could not have been an open dissyllable. The Homeric $\epsilon F \epsilon \rho \gamma \epsilon \iota$ passed into $\epsilon \ell \rho \gamma \epsilon \iota$ of the later Attic, $\epsilon \rho \gamma \epsilon \iota$ of the Ionic; while the aspirated $\epsilon \ell \rho \gamma \epsilon \iota$ perhaps represents $\sigma F \epsilon - \epsilon \rho \gamma \omega$. The Homeric $F \epsilon F \ell \sigma \kappa \omega$ is evidently $F \epsilon - F \epsilon \ell \sigma \kappa \omega$. Hesiod too has $\epsilon \ell s \delta m a F \epsilon F \ell \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota v$, Opp. 62.

The above facts appear most clearly from the transition of σfos (or δs), suus, into both $F \epsilon \delta s$ and $\epsilon F \delta s^{*}$. Thus, in Theog. 467, we have

παίδας έγους κατέπινε, 'Pény &' έχε πένθος άλαστον.

⁶ Used also in Il. xxi. 254, r\$ elkos #iter. On the other hand, Thucydides uses the form dress.

⁷ This is a more reasonable explanation than to conclude, with Curtius (134), that "the diphthong in the first syllable seems to be a mistake." This indeed appears to be the true explanation of the *o* in olros, olkos, 'Oikebs = Fike's. The sound of the diphthong represented wi or *husi*, as olorpos and diords are our word whize. Probably then olros was pronounced wheenos.

⁶ Compare mones with *έμόs.* Dr. Flack (Proleg. p. 42) gives the various epic forms σFos, σεFos, *έFos*, Fos, Fos. The old Romans said sie oculis for suis, &c., pronounced suis.

But in Opp. 328,

δε τε κασιγνήτοιο Γεοῦ ἀνὰ δέμνια βαίνει.

Pindar, as well as Homer, uses the simpler form Fo_5 . What is rather remarkable, the still further curtailed form δ_5 seems to have been used in early times; for we find in Od. v. 407,

δχθήσας δ' άρα Feize πρός δν μεγαλήτορα θυμόν.

And here indeed it would be easy to suppose the original reading was $Feine Feine Feine free \mu eyaltimore <math>\theta v \mu \hat{\varphi}$. But a little after the time of Peisistratus, if we may trust an apparently genuine epigram quoted by Thucydides (vi. 54), the word was used without any digamma :—

μνήμα τόδ' ής άρχής Πεισίστρατος 'Ιππίου υίός.

That the digamma often represented Fe or eF, is also shown by the words $\check{e}a\rho$, $\check{e}a\rho\imathv\dot{o}s$, $eia\rho\imathv\dot{o}s$. Comparing the Latin ver, we conclude that the old word was $Fa\rho$ (for $Fe\sigma a\rho$). Hence we obtain Fe- $a\rho$ and eF- $a\rho$, respectively $\check{e}a\rho$ and $eia\rho$ (year), and $eia\rho\imathv\dot{o}s$ for $\check{e}Fa\rho\imathv\dot{o}s$. Vernus is evidently $Fa\rho\imathv\dot{o}s$, as nocturnus is $vv\kappa\tau\epsilon\rho\imathv\dot{o}s$, and aeternus is aeviterinus. Indeed, the words aetas (aevitas) and aevum compared with $ai\omega v$ seem to show that the original form was either \dot{a} -eF- ωv or $aiF\omega v$. A good illustration of the facility with which eF became Fe by transposition, is $\check{e}\kappa\eta\lambda\sigma$ s by the side of $e\check{v}\kappa\eta\lambda\sigma$ s, i. e. $F\acute{e}\kappa\eta\lambda\sigma$ s and $\check{e}F\kappa\eta\lambda\sigma$ s, both from $\check{e}\kappa\omega v$.

As in many words the initial F has left only an aspirate breathing⁹, so it has passed into a vowel when employed, as it constantly was, in the middle of words, or even at the end of root-syllables. Thus we have $\beta o \hat{v}_S$ for $\beta o F_S$, $\check{e}\chi eva$ for $\check{e}\chi \epsilon F a$ or $\check{e}\chi \epsilon F - \sigma a$, $\chi e \check{v} \sigma \omega$ for $\chi \epsilon F - \sigma \omega$, $\chi v \tau \delta s$ for $\chi \epsilon F - \tau \delta s$ ($\chi \epsilon \check{v} \tau \delta s$), $\kappa \lambda v \tau \delta s$

• An example of this is $\delta\delta\nu a$ for $F\delta\delta\nu a$, which is also written $\delta\epsilon\delta\nu a$, i. e. $\deltaF\epsilon\delta\nu a$ (our wed). It is a question if $d\nu\epsilon\delta\nu\nu\nu$, not $d\nu\epsilon\delta\nu\nu\nu$, should be read in II. ix. 146, and $d\nu\epsilon\epsilon\lambda\pi\tau a$ for $d\nu\epsilon\epsilon\lambda\pi\tau a$ in Theog. 660. If a privative is a clipped form of $d\nu a$, 'the backward way,' i. e. the converse (analogous to our like and un-like, &c.), we can thus explain such forms as $rh\nu\epsilon\mu\sigmas$, $r\delta\nu\nu\mu\sigmas$, for $d\nu\epsilon\mu\sigmas$, $d\nu\sigma\nu\mu\sigmas$, and the unmutilated compounds $d\nu\epsilon-F\epsilon\lambda\pi\tau\sigmas$, &c. Otherwise, we must assume a primitive $\nu\epsilon$ (as in $\nu\epsilon\sigma\sigma\delta\epsilons$, 'footless') lost in Greek, but retained in the Latin $n\epsilon$ (nefas, &c.). See Curtius, Gr. Et. 317.



for $\kappa\lambda\epsilon F$ - $\tau\delta\varsigma$. We have, even in Pindar, $ab\dot{a}\tau a$ for $\ddot{a}\tau a$, and $i\pi\sigma$ - $\phi a\dot{\sigma}\tau\iota\epsilon\varsigma$ ($\phi\dot{a}\tau\iota\varsigma$). So $a\ddot{v}\lambda a\xi$ for $\dot{a}F\lambda a\kappa\varsigma$ is from the root $F\epsilon\lambda\kappa$, which becomes a sibilant in sulcus. In other words the F became ι , as in $\lambda\epsilon\tilde{\iota}o\varsigma$ for $\lambda\epsilon Fo\varsigma$ (levis), $\kappa al\omega$ for $\kappa aF\omega$, $\nu\epsilon\iota\dot{o}\varsigma$ for $\nu\epsilon Fo\varsigma$, $\epsilon la\rho$ for $\epsilon Fa\rho$, $\kappa\lambda al\omega$ for $\kappa\lambda aF\omega$ (fut. $\kappa\lambda a\dot{\nu}\sigma\omega$), $\phi a\tau\epsilon\iota\dot{o}\varsigma$ for $\phi a\tau\epsilon Fo\varsigma$, Scut. Herc. 161,—a form which is seen in the Latin verbal adjective sativus, &c. So perhaps $\dot{o}\mu otos$ for $\dot{o}\mu of F\iotao\varsigma$, $\dot{o}\lambda \omega i \sigma\varsigma$ and $\dot{o}\lambda o\iota\dot{o}\varsigma$ for $\dot{o}\lambda of F\iotao\varsigma$ ($b\lambda o\phi\omega i \sigma\varsigma$).

There is some difficulty in accounting for the forms $\partial \partial a$ and *žoura*, in which there is the double influence of the digamma in the root, and the lengthened syllable of the perfect, as in $\pi \epsilon \pi o \partial a$. The participle however is not $\partial \partial \partial s$, but $\epsilon \partial \partial \sigma$, and there are metrical reasons for thinking $F_i \partial \partial s$, $F_i \partial \partial a$, was an epic usage, though whether a genuine or merely an imitative one, seems open to doubt.

It may be conjectured, that the true power of the F was first dropped in monosyllables, where it was not metrically necessary to avoid a histus. A comparison with the Latin shows that there were in the early Greek many digammated monosyllabic roots and crude forms, which became dissyllables in the Thus, vals, Bols, ols, Khals Latin inflexion or vocalisation. (roots vaf, Bof, &F), were changed in Greek into vais, Bois, ols, Rheis, and in Latin into navis, bos, boris, ovis, clavis¹. Other monosyllables might be cited, as $Fa\rho$ ($\eta\rho$) ver, $\kappa\lambda\epsilon Fs$ for $\kappa\lambda\eta s$ (whence kléa, 'lays'), levis (levis (leios), and probably dours for $\delta \rho \hat{v}_s$. The Greek termination of adjectives in $-\dot{v}_s$ or $-\epsilon \dot{v}_s$ may originally have been -Fs. Thus, $\dot{\eta}\dot{v}_{s}$ or $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{v}_{s}$ (whence $\epsilon\dot{v}$, bene) was perhaps éFs, "Apns or 'Apeils was apeFs, hous was $\sigma Fa\delta F-s$ (as shown by suavis). There is a diversity of opinion among scholars, whether T is a letter of the primitive alphabet (and it occurs in the earliest inscriptions), or was at first represented by the vowel-sound of F, as Franz and Donaldson Thus it is somewhat uncertain whether ρv ($\dot{\rho} \epsilon \omega$), maintain.

¹ That κλeleur, 'to shut,' was originally κλέΓειν or κλάΓειν, is proved by the Latin claudo and clavis. Compare καίω, καύσω, κλαίω, κλαύσω.

πνυ (πνέω), πλυ (πλέω), or *ρ*ef, πνef, πλef or πλof, are the true forms of the roots. (See Curtius, Gr. Et. 564.)

It is a singular fact, that the f when represented by v had not in itself the power of lengthening a syllable, even when it made a diphthong. Thus $\chi v \tau \delta s$, $\kappa \lambda v \tau \delta s$, $\dot{\rho} v \tau \delta s$, for $\chi e f \tau \delta s$ &c., have the v short, as is the av in the Pindaric $a\check{v}\acute{a}\tau a$ for $\check{a}\tau a$. So fopavos (Varuna) became $o\check{v}\rho av\delta s$ and metrically $\dot{\delta}\rho a av\delta s$, as $\beta \delta \lambda \rho \mu a\iota$, Lat. volo, is the Aeolic form of $\beta o\check{v} \lambda \rho \mu a\iota$. But in $\check{e}\chi eva$, $\dot{a}\lambda e\check{v}a\sigma\theta a\iota$, $\dot{e}\pi\iota\delta evis$, for $\dot{e}\pi\iota\delta eiss$, the F does make a long syllable. The inference from this is, that in $\dot{\rho}\acute{e}\epsilon\iota$, $\dot{\rho}\acute{e}e\theta \rho ov$, $\check{e}\chi ea$, $\dot{a}\lambda\acute{e}a\sigma\theta a\iota$, véos, and such words, the single digamma really did exist, by which the hiatus was avoided; while in the lengthened forms, $\check{e}\chi eva$ &c., the f was doubled, $\dot{e}\chi e F F a$, $\dot{a}\lambda \acute{e}f F a\sigma\theta a\iota$, and so on.

The variation of the digamma between ι , υ , and σ , is a curious property, as showing how different from our F was the real power of the letter. We have $\dot{\rho}\epsilon i\theta\rho\sigma\nu$ and $\pi\nu\epsilon\ell\omega$ by the side of $\dot{\rho}\epsilon i\sigma\sigma\mu\alpha\iota$, $\dot{\rho}\nu\tau\delta\varsigma$, and $\pi\nu\epsilon\dot{\nu}\sigma\sigma\mu\alpha\iota$, $\pi\nu\epsilon\dot{\nu}\mu\alpha$, $\dot{d}\mu\pi\nu\nu\tau\sigma$. Hence $\dot{\rho}\epsilon f\omega$, $\pi\nu\dot{\epsilon}F\omega$, may well have been the primary forms, like $\chi\dot{\epsilon}f\omega = \chi\dot{\epsilon}\omega$, and $\pi\lambda\dot{\epsilon}F\omega = \pi\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\omega$, fut. $\pi\lambda\epsilon\dot{\nu}\sigma\sigma\mu\alpha\iota$. Both $\dot{a}\epsilon\partial\epsilon\iota\nu$ and $\dot{a}\sigma\iota\delta\dot{\rho}$, come from a digammated form closely connected with $a\dot{v}\delta\dot{a}\nu$, $a\dot{v}\dot{\delta}\dot{\rho}$, viz. $\dot{a}f\dot{\epsilon}\delta\epsilon\iota\nu$ or $\dot{a}f\dot{\nu}\delta\epsilon\iota\nu$. The written form $\tau\rho\alpha\gamma\alpha f\nu\delta\sigma\varsigma$ for $\tau\rho\alpha\gamma\phi\delta\dot{\sigma}\varsigma$ is found in an inscription³. Again, $\kappa\lambda\epsilon\dot{\epsilon}\omega$ is another form of $\kappa\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\omega$, and if we compare $\kappa\lambda\dot{\omega}$ and $\kappa\lambda\nu\tau\dot{\sigma}\varsigma$, we shall arrive at the conclusion that $\kappa\lambda\dot{\epsilon}F\omega$ was the old verb. The first verse of the "Works" might therefore be given thus;—Moû\sigmaai IIiepín $\theta\epsilon\nu$ $\dot{a}fv\delta\eta\sigma\iota$, $\kappa\lambda\dot{\epsilon}f\sigma\nu\tau\epsilon\varsigma$. Thus we account for the expanded form $\kappa\lambda\eta\prime'\xi\epsilon\iota\nu$, $\kappa\lambda\eta'\xi\epsilon\iota\nu$.

There are some words, however, as $\kappa \rho \epsilon i \hat{\omega} \nu$ for $\kappa \rho \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$, $\kappa \rho \epsilon i \hat{\omega} \nu$ for $\kappa \rho \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$, $\epsilon \xi \epsilon i \eta_S$ for $\epsilon \xi \hat{\eta}_S$ ($\epsilon \xi \epsilon \eta_S$), $\epsilon i \hat{a} \nu$ for $\epsilon \hat{a} \nu$, $\lambda \epsilon i \omega \nu$ for $\lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$, $\chi \dot{a} \lambda \kappa \epsilon i \circ S$ for $\chi \dot{a} \lambda \kappa \epsilon \circ S$, &c., which would seem rather to depend on a different principle of arbitrary elongation, viz. the epic property of dwelling on a short syllable for metrical convenience. The large class of verbs in $-\epsilon i \omega$, evidently analogous

* See Donaldson's Greek Grammar, § 18.

to $-\epsilon\omega$, may originally have been digammated, just as $\epsilon\pi\iota\delta\epsilon\nu\eta$ s and $\delta\epsilon\nu\omega\mu$ au appear to represent $\epsilon\pi\iota\delta\epsilon\Gamma\eta$ s and $\delta\epsilon\Gamma\omega\mu$ au.

In questions of Attic orthography, such as del, $\kappa\lambda decv$, $\kappa decv$, deròs, for alel, $\kappa\lambda aleuv$, &c., it is evident that the rejection of the ι is only a final effort to efface the lingering vestiges of the F. On the other hand, a few words in the Attic seem to have retained the F or its representative sound, for metrical reasons, as $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\sigma\epsilon\lambda\epsilon iv$, $\phi\iota d\lambda\lambda\epsilon iv$, $d\rho\chi\epsilon\lambda\epsilon ios$; ($\lambda\epsilon\epsilon$ desch. Pers. 299), $\kappaa\tau\epsilon daya$ (Féyvuµ).

The above remarks are only intended as a popular exposition of an extremely interesting theory, and with the view of directing the attention of younger students to a subject which has not only not been taught, but is even shunned in schools and public lecture-rooms, although rather more attention is now given to phonetic laws and changes, which include the numerous substitutions for the dropped digamma. At present it is perhaps sufficient to refer the student to the important Essay on "Transformations of the F" in Book III. of G. Curtius' "Greek Etymology." I have been unwilling, however, wholly to omit, in reprinting, these remarks of my own, the result of much independent thought, especially as Dr. Flack has throughout referred to them in his edition of the Theogony³. Mr. Mahaffy indeed, who in p. 120 of vol. i. of his History of Literature "damns with faint praise" my edition of Hesiod as "overloaded with very questionable notes about the Digamma, and the etymology of old Greek words," disparages them ; but he writes in the style of one who has not himself gone far into these inquiries. He evidently regards them rather as antiquarian curiosities than as having any practical bearing on the extant literature of Greece. And without doubt, investigators of the digamma must walk warily, as on slippery ground. Yet it is no real gain to scholarship to speak even of their speculations as of no importance, and wholly barren of results. Such inquiries are not by any means barren of results, when

^a Berlin, 1873.

they are applied as a test of the genuineness or spuriousness of a considerable number of verses in the received texts.⁴

It is, I repeat; in some measure conjectural to what extent the digamma was used in the epic language. But this I will venture to affirm; that there are yet left uncorrected many verses in the early epic writings where a $\tau \epsilon$ or a $\gamma \epsilon$ has to be ejected, the $\nu \,\epsilon \phi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \nu \sigma \tau \kappa \partial \nu$ to be removed, or some easy change to be effected either in the order of the words or in their cases or numbers.⁶ The editors of Hesiod hitherto ⁶ have paid no attention to the digamma in his language, and so have failed to discover numerous minor corruptions, which have either been removed or pointed out in the present work.

⁴ See Schoemann, Com. Crit. p. 44, "In toto hoc carmine vix unus locus est, in quo obscuratum in codicibus digamma non adeo facili emendatione restitui possit, ut merito ambigas, verane sit codicum scriptura, an a describentibus propter digammi ignorationem corrupta."

* e. g. In Il. iv. 516, we should read $\delta \pi ov \mu \epsilon \theta i \epsilon \pi a$ Fiborro for $\delta \pi ov \mu \epsilon \theta i \epsilon \pi as$ Borro, and in Il. xxi. 356, for *kalero* 5' is *woreµoûo*, we may restore *kalero* Fis *woreµoûo*. In Od. xv. 334, it is obvious to emend *kal olvov* for $\hbar \delta'$ olvov. One very remarkable instance may be cited from Pindar, Isthm. v. 42, where the absurd reading assawe rowsrdw γ' twos has been introduced in forgetfulness that Pindar used rowsvor Féwos.

⁶ This was written before Dr. Flack had published the Theogony with the digamma restored in the text.

xliv



ΗΣΙΟΔΟΥ Εργα και ημεραι.

•*

3



EPITOME OF THE SUBJECT.

1-10, Address to the Muses and invocation of Zeus.-11-26, Distinction of two kinds of Contention, viz. jealousy and honourable emulation .- 27-41, Complaint of the dishonest conduct of Perses in depriving his brother of part of his inheritance through the favour of corrupt judges.-42-53, Causes of woe and suffering on earth traced to the fraud of Prometheus.-54-89, Episode of Prometheus and the punishment of mankind by the creation of the woman Pandora.-90-105, Primitive happiness of man, and the present evils that issued from Pandora's casket .-- 109-201, The ages of the human race; golden (109-126), silver (127-142), brazen (143-155); the age of heroes (156-173). The present and greatly deteriorated age, and prospects of a yet worse (174-201).--202-211, Story of the kite and the nightingale.-212-218, Its application to Perses, and advice to be honest .---- 219---- 247, Effects of practising justice and injustice on the prosperity of cities .---248-269, Appeal to the judges to decide impartially.-274-285, Reiterated address to Perses to desist from his evil ways, and place right before might.-286-292, The broad road to vice and the narrow road to virtue.---293----326, Advice to Perses to rely on his own industry; the consequences of industry and idleness contrasted; and the end of ill-gotten gains.-327-334, Examples of crimes that bring special vengeance from the gods.-335-341, The practice of piety recommended.-342-382, Maxims, chiefly relating to domestic economy.-PART II. Precepts on Husbandry. -383-390, The proper times for reaping, ploughing, and sowing. -395-404, Threat to Perses that he shall have no further help if he continues idle .- 405-413, Advice to get the required implements of husbandry ready in time.-414-447, Instructions for в 2

making a wain, a plough, a mortar and pestle, &c.-448-454, Advice to keep cattle in good condition, and not to rely on the loan of them from others at a busy season.-458-492, The best times for first and second ploughing.-493-503. What is to be done, and what to be avoided in the cold season. -- 506-563, Description of winter and its effects on man and beast.-564-581, The season of pruning vines and gathering in the vintage.-582-596, Midsummer, and its permissible rest and enjoyments.---597-608, Winnowing and storing corn, and fodder for winter stock.-609-617, How to treat grapes when gathered, and how to store wine.-618-640, Precepts respecting navigation; how to keep boats and tackle in the winter.--632---640, How the poet's father came by sea from Cyme in Aeolis to Ascra.-641-662, The poet's own adventure from Aulis to Euboea, to be present at a musical contest. - 663-677. The time for summer voyaging.--678-694. The time for spring voyaging, more hazardous than the other.--695--705, Advice touching marriage.--706--764, Religious and ceremonial obligations inculcated.-PART III. The Calendar, with the lucky and unlucky days.





١

ΕΡΓΑ ΚΑΙ ΗΜΕΡΑΙ.

Μοῦσαι Πιερίηθεν, ἀοιδησι κλείουσαι,

κλέξουσαι

Title, "Epya cal 'Hulpan. This means, Farming operations and lucky and un-lucky days, viz. both for such opera-tions and for domestic matters generally. It is well explained by Tsetzes (iii p. 17 Gaiss.) διδασκαλία γεωργίας και ήμερών, καθ ας δεί τόδε και τόδε ποιείν. Ηοψ ancient the title is, or whether it has descended from the Author himself, it is impossible to say. In the MSS. generally, the Epya is regarded as a distinct division of the poem (v. 383), the 'Hutpan also forming a separate subject, from v. 765. It is very probable that this part of the poem contains precepts attributed to Orpheus, Musaeus, or Pythagoras, compiled and added at a later period.

1-10. That this proceeded from the pen of Hesiod, was denied by Aristarchus and others of the learned Grammarians. Proclus (ap. Gaisf. iii. p. 8), δτι δέ το προοίμιον τινες διέγραψαν, δσπερ άλλοι τε και 'Αρίσταρχος όβελίζων σουτερ αιχαί τε και πρωταρχού ορεστ σουτίζους, και Πραξιφάνης ό τοῦ Θεο-φράστου μαθητής, μηθὲ τοῦτο ἀγνοῶμεν. Οδτος μέντοι και ἐντυχεῖν φησιν ἀπρο-οιμιάστω τῷ βιβλίω και ἀρχομένω χωρίς τῆς ἐπικλήσεως τῶν Μουσῶν ἐντεῦθεν, Our to a mour to to to the solution of the sol adapted from some ancient Hymn to Zeus, and was prefixed as an Introduction to the genuine poem, after the usual custom of the later hymn-writers, $\epsilon\kappa \Delta\omega_s$ $\delta\rho\chi\phi\mu\sigma\sigma\theta\kappa\kappa.\tau.\lambda$. K. O. Müller (Hist. Gr. Lit. p. 83) regards it as only one of several introductory strains which the Hesiodean rhapsodists could prefix to the 'Works and Days.' Schoemann

(Com. Crit. p. 13) observes, " totum hoe procemium, sive verba sive sententias consideramus, nec bonum poetam prodere nec satis accommodatum videtur insequentis carminis argumento." There is an important passage in Pausanias, ix. 31, 3, which shows that the procemium had not much credit for genuineness, though it existed in his time :---Βοιωτών δε οι περί τον Έλικώνα οἰκοῦντες παρειλημμένα δόξη λέγουσιν, ώς άλλο 'Ησίοδος ποιήσαι οὐδὲν ἡ τὰ Ἐργα·καὶ τούτων δέ τό ές τάς Μούσας άφαιροῦσι προοίμιον, ἀρχήν τῆς ποίησεως είναι τὸ ἐς τὰς Ἐριδας λέγοντες· καί μοι μόλιβδον ἐδείκευσαν, ἕνθα ἡ πηγὴ, τὰ πολλὰ ὑπὸ τοῦ χρόνου λελυμασμένα· γέγραπται δὲ [ἐν] αυτῷ τὰ Ἐργα.—It has no connexion with the subject of the poem, beyond the somewhat forced allusion to the lawsuit with Perses, in the invocation to Zeus 'to set straight the decisions of judges.' Moreover, there is a double address, first to the Muses, to sing of Zeus, then to Zeus himself; and lastly, there is a rapid transition to Perses by the awkward antithesis, 'Do thou, O Zeus, set straight men's decisions, and I will address to Perses the truth.' The probable inference is, (as Goettling has well stated it,) that the first nine verses were prefixed as an introduction by some rhapsodist, while v. 10 was added by a grammarian to connect them with the direct purport of the poem, which com-menced naturally and appropriately with ούκ άρα μοῦνον ἐην Ἐρίδων γένοs. 1. Πιερίηθεν, scil. ἐλθοῦσαι, like Virgil's

Pastor ab Amphryso, Georg. iii. 2. Il.

δεῦτε, Δί ἐννέπετε σφέτερον πατέρ' ὑμνειουσαι· ὄντε διὰ βροτοὶ ἀνδρες ὁμῶς ἀφατοί τε φατοί τε, ῥητοί τ' ἀρρητοί τε Διὸς μεγάλοιο ἔκητι. ῥέα μὲν γὰρ βριάει, ῥέα δὲ βριάοντα χαλέπτει,

4. Γέκητι

2. δεῦτε δι' K, Ald. δεῦτε δὲ EF. δεῦτε δỳ the rest. 5. peia-peia I. peia-peia ABCDEFGK.

xiii. 363, 'Οθρυονήα Καβησόθεν.—κλείονσαι, 'celebrating in lays,' viz. τά τε θεῖα καὶ τὰ ἀνθρώπινα. Cf. Theogon. 32. Od. xvii. 418, ἐγὰ δέ κέ σε κλείω κατ' ἀπείρονα γαῖαν. Theocr. xvi. 1, ἀεὶ τοῦτο Διὸς κώραις μέλει, αἰν ἀοιδοῖς, ὑμνεῦν ἀβανάτους, ὑμνεῦν ἀγαθῶν κλέα ἀνδρῶν. Ευτ. Alc. 448, ἐν ἀλύροις κλείοντες ὅμνοις. Ιρh. Α. 1046, τὸν Αἰακίδαν Κενταύρων ἀν ὅρος κλέουσαι. Ατ. Ρας. 777, Μοῦσα κλείουσα θεῶν τε γάμους ἀνδρῶν τε δαῖτας. The verbal is κλεφτός, as from κλύω κλυτός, τους κλυ and κλεF.

2. Most MSS. give $\delta \epsilon \tilde{\nu} r \epsilon \delta f$. Of those I have collated, one only has $\delta \epsilon \tilde{\nu} r \epsilon \Delta l'$ $\epsilon \nu r \epsilon \pi r \epsilon$. It is clearly a better reading, and is found in some of Goettling's codices. Gaisford however and Schoemann retain δf_{1} — $\sigma \phi \epsilon r \epsilon \rho \sigma r$, (though the word is etymologically connected with vester.) is scarcely used for $\delta \mu \epsilon r \epsilon \rho \sigma r$ in the early epic. See Buttmann, Lexil, p. 422, note. Theorritus (xxii. 67) has $\pi \delta t \delta tar \epsilon t \delta \mu \epsilon r \epsilon \rho s \mu f \phi \epsilon t \delta \epsilon \sigma$ $r \epsilon f \chi \eta r s$.

3. $\delta\mu$ ω̂s κ.τ.λ. 'are alike unmentioned and renowned.' Gloss. cod. Gal. άδοξοι καl irδoξoι. The next verse is merely exceptical, and might be omitted without detriment to the sense. It is impossible to form any sure conclusion respecting repetitions of this kind; but they may often be merely amplifications or expansions of the context emanating from the early rhapsodists. Certain it is, they are very numerous in the writings of Hesiod as we now have them. Generally, words or deeds, rather than persons, are $\delta\rho\rho\eta\tau \alpha$, e.g. Demosth. p. 612, $\tau b\nu$ δδ $\delta\mu\omega\rho$ $\eta\tau \delta\kappa$ και $\delta\rho\eta\tau \tau \kappa$ καλύ $\lambda\epsilon'\gamma \epsilon \nu soul(<math>\omega\nu$, $\beta\eta\tau d\nu$ $\delta\rho\eta\tau \tau \sigma \tau'$ fors. If the verse 4 is genuine, there should be a difference of meaning in the verbals. But Hesychius has $\delta\phi\sigma\tau o\nu$, $\delta\rho\eta\tau \tau \sigma$,

and parol, pyrol. Again, pyrol, of Ev-

5

5. The reason why both obscurity and celebrity depend on Zeus, is declared in what follows: 'for easily he makes strong, and easily the strong one he brings low; easily too the illustrious he humbles, and the obscure one he exalts." For the monosyllable péa Goettling compares II. xvii. 461-2, βέα μέν γάρ φεύ-γεσκεν ύπεκ Τρώων δρυμαγδοῦ, βεῖα δ επαίξασκε πολύν καθ δμιλον ὑπάζων, adding that pia ends the verse as a monosyllable in Il. xii. 381, and xx. 101. So also in xz. 263. $\rho \epsilon a \delta i \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \delta \sigma \sigma \sigma \theta a$ $\kappa \lambda i \sigma (as, II. xiii. 144. <math>\nu \epsilon a \mu \epsilon \nu \mu \sigma i \kappa a \pi \epsilon - a \epsilon, Od. ix. 283. Inf. v. 462, <math>\epsilon a \sigma i \pi \sigma \lambda \epsilon \hat{v}$. It is singular that nearly all the MSS. ρέα διελεύσεσθαι agree in peïa uèr-peïa dè, or peïa-péa Sé. There may have been an old reading peid τε γαρ βριdει, péa τε βριdοντα χαλέπτει.-The transitive use of βριdα and μινύθω is remarkable, especially as contrasted with the intransitive Boidorra contrasted with the intrainistive ppicerra in the same verse. Cf. Theogon. 446, ποίμνας δ' εἰροπόκων δίων – ἐξ δλίγων βριάει. Π. xv. 490, βεία δ' ἀρίγνωτος Διός ἀνδράσι γίγνεται ἀλκή – δτινας μινόθη. xx. 242, Ζεὐς δ' ἀρετήν ἅνδρεσσιν ὀφέλλει τε μινύθει τε. More commonly μινόθειν is 'to dwindle,' as inf. v. 244. So θαρίδει γ 215 – βαιάς, σίους Cal. Cal βαρύθει, V. 215.—βριάει, gloss. Cod. Gal. Ισχυροποιεΐ.—χαλέπτει, έλαττοΐ, id. Lat. affligit, dobilem reddit. Hesych. κακίζει, βλάπτει, els χαλεπότητα άγει. - Tho general doctrine is, that Zeus performs whatever he may will without effort or difficulty. Aesch. Suppl. 93, war amore w. Eum. 621, οὐδἐν ἀσθμαίνων The particular reference is to δαιμόνιον. μένει. the fortunes of Perses and his brother. Horace appears to imitate this passage. Carm. i. 34, 12, 'valet ima summis-Mutare, et insignem attenuat deus Obscura promens.

ЕРГА КАІ НМЕРАІ.

ρεία δ' ἀρίζηλον μινύθει καὶ ἄδηλον ἀέξει, ρεία δέ τ' ἰθύνει σκολιον καὶ ἀγήνορα κάρφει Ζεὺς ὑψιβρεμέτης, ὃς ὑπέρτατα δώματα ναίει. κλῦθι ἰδών ἀΐων τε, δίκη δ' ἴθυνε θέμιστας [τύνη· ἐγὼ δέ κε Πέρση ἐτήτυμα μυθησαίμην]. 1 Οὐκ ἅρα μοῦνον ἔην Ἐρίδων γένος, ἀλλ' ἐπὶ γαῖαν

10

7. δ' έτ' ΕF. 9. Γιδών άΓίων τε

10. $\Pi \epsilon \rho \sigma \eta$ all (but in a few the ι subscript is omitted).

8. This verse reads very tamely as the subject to the verbs which have preceded. Perhaps it was interpolated together with v. 10, or perhaps the first reading was $\kappa\lambda \tilde{v}\theta_i$ idder dias $\tau \epsilon, \delta i \kappa \eta \delta'$ invertiging was scale idder dias $\tau \epsilon, \delta i \kappa \eta \delta'$ invertiging was scale idder dias $\tau \epsilon, \delta i \kappa \eta \delta'$ invertiging was scale idder dias in the scale idder rate diagram raises. This clause is guoted by the Schol. Med. on Aesch. Suppl. 73.

9. $6\ell\mu\nu\sigma\tau as$, which the scholiasts refer to the divine law, must here mean the decisions of men, as inf. v. 221. Theogon. 85 (where see the note).— $\tau \delta r \sigma \delta$, not uncommon in Homer. The quantity of the first syllable has an analogy in the Latin tw. Cf. Theog. 36, $\tau \delta r \sigma$, not *uncodaw* $\delta \chi \delta \mu \delta \theta$. Tzetzes compares $\delta \gamma \delta r \sigma$. Of course, Zeus is addressed, not Perses. That idea was entertained by some who found the vocative $\Pi \delta \rho \sigma \eta$ in place of the dative. Though an inferior reading (since σol must thus be supplied) Goettling adopts it, with Gaisford, adding "Pauci codd. $\Pi \delta \rho \sigma \eta$." All the MSS. I have collated give this latter reading.

11-26. 'There are, it seems, two distinct kinds of contention on earth; the one good, the other bad; the one a source of war and strife, the other the origin of an honourable emulation.' This is said as introductory to the subject immediately on the poet's mind, viz. the unjust quarrel raised against him by his own brother. To divert him from the bad kind of strife to the good, and to stimulate him to honest industry, the whole of the precepts in this didactic poem are directed. There is an interesting allusion to this twofold $\epsilon_{\mu s}$ in Soph. Oed. Col. 867–72, where the $\frac{1}{2}\pi\rho l\nu$ (àyath) $\epsilon_{\mu s} \mu h$ xpairestai work, is contrasted with the η vur rand tois dox η s In the former verse most λαβέσθαι. editors have adopted Tyrwhitt's conjecture Epus.-In Theog. 225 only one Eos is spoken of, as the daughter of Night (inf. v. 17)

11. obx & apa. Goettling would render this, 'To begin then, there was not merely one kind of Contentions sent from the first to men, but two distinct kinds.' There seems however no good reason for departing from the common and idiomatic use of $\pi\nu$ apa, 'Well' it seems that, after all, Contention is of two kinds, not of one only, as we thought.' Schol. on Apoll. Rhod. ii. 440 (quoted by Gaisford), $obx \pi\nu$, is forke, µla $\xi pas.$ Of. Xen. Oecon. i. 20, at $\pi plor ros \tau o \chi porov-kara apareîs <math>\gamma/\gamma$ voral, $\delta \tau i \lambda \delta \pi a i dpa from <math>\hbar \delta \sigma a v$, obx $\delta pa sol \gamma' <math>\epsilon n l cher i al opéves <math>\hbar \sigma a v. - \epsilon n$ $\gamma a i av$, 'over the earth,' with the notion of progress and wide dissemination, not of any fixed locality, which would be $\epsilon n \gamma a i a or \gamma a i as. See on Theog. 95.$

7

είσι δύω. την μέν κεν επαινήσειε νοήσας. ή δ' έπιμωμητή, διά δ' άνδιχα θυμόν έχουσιν. ή μεν γαρ πόλεμόν τε κακόν και δήριν οφέλλει. σχετλίη ούτις τήν γε φιλεί βροτός, άλλ' ύπ' άνάγκης άθανάτων βουλήσιν *Εριν τιμώσι βαρείαν. 16 την δ' έτέρην προτέρην μέν έγείνατο Νύξ έρεβεννή, θήκε δέ μιν Κρονίδης υψίζυγος, αἰθέρι ναίων γαίης τ' έν ρίζησι και ανδράσι, πολλον αμείνω. ητε και απάλαμόν περ όμως επι έργον εγείρει. 20

20. eni Fégyon

12. επαινήσειε Α. επαινέσσειε BDFGI. επαινέσειε CEH. επαινήσ-14. Φθόνον τε κακόν G (gl. τόν ζήλον). oue K. Ald. 15. The C. 17. ετέραν προτέρη Ι. 20. ἀπάλαμον BCHI. ἀπάλαμνον the rest.

12. eraurhoese. The MSS. vary between this and exaresses or exartsσese. For κεν perhaps τις was originally written. But see on v. 291-νοήσας, 'on comprehending its true nature.' For at first sight, and without due re-

flection, all ξοιs might seem culpable. 13. διλ δ' άνδιχα κ.τ.λ. Literally, 'And distinct they keep their dispositions,' or natures (impulses or tendenτουτέστι δίχα, ήγουν ίδία καί cies). xupls an arrhrow Exouri The Cute, Touτίστι διαφόρως ζώσιν. Moschop. It may be that (as inf. 28) θυμόν means the human mind; 'they keep the mind balanced between two different courses. Thus blardixa µeputpuler, II. i. 189, and elsewhere. But in Hom. Hymn. Mero. 315, aupls duply Exorres means 'disputing

14. δφέλλει, 'keeps up,' 'fosters,' 'promotes.' A word often employed by Hesiod. So Il. xvi. 631, µûθον δφέλλειν, ' to keep on talking,' ' make a parade of words.' Passow compares the Homeric Ερις, δφέλλουσα στόνον ανδρών, Π. iv. 445.

16. τιμώσι, sc. άνθρωποι, 'maintain,' 'uphold it,' Schol. χρώνται. The idea is, that they do not indeed love it, but still, by the will of the gods, they do not let it fall into disregard and neglect. Soph. Antig. 514, mus byr' enelyy Buoσεβή τιμάς χάριν; Eur. Baoch. 885, τους ταν αγνωμοσύναν τιμώντας. Aesch. Ag. 686, το νυμφότιμον μέλος εκφάτως τίοντας.

17. προτέρην μέν. He seems to say, that both kinds of tous were born from Night, but the one was the elder, and for that reason the better of the two. "Nempe existimabant Graeci antiqui majores natu esse ceteris praestantiores." Goetling Compare Scut. H. 260, των γε μέν αλλάων προφερής τ ήν πρεσ-βυτάτη τε. Goetling thinks 18, 19 an interpolation. Cartainly την έτέρην— $\pi \tau \epsilon$ forms a simpler and more connected construction; but on the other hand, the $\mu \partial \nu$ seems to require some antithesis. The poet perhaps adopted a common epic formula; cf. Zeds δέ σφι Kporlöns ψίζυγοs alθέρι raler, Il. iv. 166. If the sense is, 'And the son of Cronos, seated aloft' (a metaphor from a pilot's high seat on the poop of a trireme; see Dr. Donaldson on the Athenian Trireme, p. 12), 'having his abode in air, in the lowest regions of Earth (viz. Tartarus, Theog. 728), and among men, made it (caused it to be) much better,' viz. than the other tors, then the superiority of the one was not a quality inherent in its earlier birth, but was specially ordained by Zeus. The scholiasts agree in constru-(see Goettling) explain, tonk in definition of the set strife on earth and among men. There is however much difficulty in explaining yains in plyon, which in Theog. 728 refers to the under side of the world. 'Both in the underworld and among men,' can hardly be the divinely appointed locality for the good Equs. Guietus omits the $\tau \epsilon$.

20. $\eta_{\tau\epsilon}$. On the supposition that 18,



εἰς ἔτερον γάρ τίς τε ἰδὼν ἔργοιο χατίζων πλούσιον, ὃς σπεύδει μὲν ἀρόμμεναι ἠδὲ φυτεύειν, οἶκόν τ' εῦ θέσθαι· ζηλοῖ δέ τε γείτονα γείτων †εἰς ἄφενον σπεύδοντ'· ἀγαθὴ δ' Ἐρις ἦδε βροτοῖσι. καὶ κεραμεὺς κεραμεῖ κοτέει καὶ τέκτονι τέκτων, καὶ πτωχὸς πτωχῷ φθονέει καὶ ἀοιδὸς ἀοιδῷ.

21. Γιδών Γέργοιο 23. Γοικον (Γικον)

21. τις ίδων Ι. 22. άρόμμεναι BCG. άρόμεναι (ω supersor.) Α. άρόμεναι DI. άρόμεναι (μ supersor.) Η. 24. βροτοΐσιν Β.

19 are spurious, we could hardly hesitate to read $\hat{\eta}$ dd $\kappa \tau$. λ . As the text stands, $\hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon$ may represent $\hat{\eta} \tau \iota$ s, as exegetical of ducine. Compare II. xvii. 173, $pir di \sigma ev divordum \pi dry (v opéras, olor$ $detres, 5s <math>\tau \epsilon$ me offs Alarra πελάριον oby $\delta \sigma operator observations of the help$ $less man, <math>\tau dr$ fardagov, even the helpless man, τdr fardagov, even the helpless man, τdr fardagov, See II. v. 597. — $\epsilon \pi$ legror, 'to husbandry.' This, the proper sense of the word, is clearly intended, because of $\delta \rho y o \infty \chi a$ - $\tau f(\omega r, in connexion with dopuerat and$ over over in the next line.

21. els Erepor $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$. 'For when a man conceives a desire to work from having seen another who has become rich,' &c. So Schoemann, Com. Crit. p. 15, explains this verse.

22. bs, for obros. See inf. v. 429. II. vi. 58, $\mu\eta\delta^{\sigma}$ brue yartée. $\mu\eta\tau\rho$ koñoov ébra ofeou, $\mu\eta\delta^{\sigma}$ bs ofevou. Od. vii. 172, kal róre 8h order ésere Médaus, bs ydo þa μ dxuora hrðare knpókar. Ibid. i. 286 (quoted by Goettl.), bs ydo Beóraros hløer 'Axauôr xakoxurairar. It is only a strengthened form of the demonstrative or article 5. The feminine of it, h for abro, is used twice by Aeschylus, Theb. 17. Eum. 7.—døbµµerau, al. àpó µerau. MS. Gale àpóµerau, al. àpó µerau. MS. Gale àpóµerau with a superscribed. Whether the double μ be written or pronounced, is of little moment. See on v. 392, and compare ribhµeror, II. x. 34. On opreview, to plant figtrees, vines, &c., see inf. 781.

10. x. 34. On φυτεφεικό ιδημικό ιδημενον, 10. x. 34. On φυτεφεικό το plant figtrees, vines, &c., see inf. 781. 23. (ηλοί, 'emulates,' endeavours to rival, his neighbour who is (as we say) on the high road to wealth. Of inf. v. 812. Plat. Resp. viii. p. 550, E, έπειτά γε, δίμαι, ἕλλος ἕλλον δρῶν καὶ εἰς (ῆλου ἰδυ τὸ πλῆθος τοιοῦτου abτῶν ἀπειγγάσαυτο. Gloss. MS. Cant. μιμεἶται.—By

άφενος, as distinct from πλοῦτος, the wealth of the farmer is meant. So locuples differs from diese. Cf. v. 120, ἀφνειοl μήλοισι. v. 308, dξ ἕργων δ ἀνδρες πολύμηλοί τ' ἀφνεωί τε. There were two forms of the word, τδ ἁφενος and ἁφενος, between which MSS, generally vary. The etymology of the word is uncertain; Curtius (Gr. Et. 500) refers it to the same root as the Latin opes, copia (con-ops), and the Sanskrit ap-nas, 'revenue.'—It is a question if this verse (24) be not an interpolation. It breaks up the sentence awkwardly, and it repeats σπεύδουτ' inharmoniously after σπείδει. 25—6. That these verses contain a

sentiment scarcely consistent with the preceding, has been objected by Goett-ling after others. He thinks them therefore a later addition, and even extends his condemnation (much beyond the bounds of probability) as far as v. 41. Schoemann also ejects them from his text, but he thinks (Com. Crit. p. 15) they might appropriately follow v. 16. He remarks that Kortes and poortes suit the bad rather than the good fors. The objection is not altogether valid. Men-dicity, as we know from the Odyssey, was a kind of trade or profession, as indeed was that of the bard or wandering minstrel. Hence one beggar may be said to be indignant with a more successful rival, and so to be stirred up to emulate and supplant him, as Irus quarrels with Ulysses in Od. xviii. Both Plato and Aristotle refer to these rather celebrated lines, Ar. De Rep. v. 8, and Plat. Lysid. p. 215, c. There is a clear reference to them also in Soph. Oed. Col. 367-372. It is probable that the ambiguity as to which $\ell \rho s$ was meant caused the insertion of v. 24;

25

9

⁹Ω Πέρση, σὺ δὲ ταῦτα τεῷ ἐνικάτθεο θυμῷ, μηδέ σ' ^{*}Ερις κακόχαρτος †ἀπ' ἔργου θυμὸν ἐρύκοι νείκε' ἀπιπεύοντ' ἀγορῆς ἐπακουὸν ἐόντα. ὥρη γάρ τ' ὀλίγη πέλεται νεικέων τ' ἀγορέων τε ῷτινι μὴ βίος ἔνδον ἐπηετανὸς κατάκειται ὡραῖος, τὸν γαῖα φέρει, Δημήτερος ἀκτήν· τοῦ κε κορεσσάμενος νείκεα καὶ δῆριν ὀφέλλοις κτήμασ' ἔπ' ἀλλοτρίοις· σοὶ δ' οὐκέτι δεύτερον ἔσται

28. «Γεργον θυμόν? 31. επαιγετανός

27. τεφ ένι κάτθεο FH. 29. όπιπτεύοντ' all. 33. κεκορεσσάμενοs all.

and hence it has been marked in the text as doubtful.

27. $\tau a \hat{v} \tau a$, the true distinction between the good and the bad $\xi \rho_{15}$.

30. $\delta\rho\eta$ $\delta\lambda/\eta$. 'For a man can attend little to law-suits and lawcourts, if substance sufficient for the year has not been stored up by him within, the produce of the year's crop which the earth bears, the bread of

Demeter.' The scholiasts agree in explaining $\delta \rho \eta$ by $\phi \rho o \rho \tau is$. Some MSS. are said to give $\delta \rho \eta$, which might mean 'little time for.'

31. ¿myeravós. Curtius, Gr. Et. 388, connects this word with àcł and alár. He supposes the original form was ¿maufo-raròs, and rejects the etymology from Féros, vetus. Inf. 607 the word is of four syllables. The Boeotian form of alcł was ħt, whence ¿mpFraròr seems to have been one mode of pronunciation.

33. $\delta\phi\epsilon\lambda\lambda ous$, Schoemann, Com. Crit. p. 16, suggests $\delta\phi\epsilon\lambda\lambda out$, and $\epsilon\sigma\tau ur$ for $\epsilon\sigma\tau au$ in the next line. 'Rich men only can afford to go to law to get other men's goods; you are too poor to do this a second time.'

34-5. Sevrepov $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$. 'But it shall not again after this be in your power to

Digitized by Google

30

ЕРГА КАІ НМЕРАІ.

δδ έρδειν· άλλ' αὖθι διακρινώμεθα νεῖκος 35 ἰθείησι δίκαις, αἶτ' ἐκ Διός εἰσιν ἄρισται. ἤδη μεν γὰρ κλῆρον ἐδασσάμεθ', ἄλλα τε πολλὰ ἁρπάζων ἐφόρεις, μέγα κυδαίνων βασιλῆας δωροφάγους, οι τήνδε δίκην †ἐθελουσι δικάσσαι· νήπιοι, οὐδὲ ἶσασιν ὄσφ πλέον ἤμισυ παντός, 40 οὐδ' ὅσον ἐν μαλάχη τε καὶ ἀσφοδελφ μέγ' ὄνειαρ.

40. Físasur

36. δίκησιν Α. 37. έδάσσάμεθα Κ, which indicates a correction of έδάσσαμεν. έδασσάμεθα Ald. 39. δικάσσαι Β. δικασαι ΑΚ. δικάσαι DI, Ald. δικάσαι the rest.

act as you have done: rather let us once more get our dispute decided (and this time) by an impartial award, such as coming from Zeus (not from corruptible judges) is best.' There is a kind of subtle irony in the hortative subjunctive, 'I call upon you to have the quarrel settled.' It was not the object of Perses to go before an impartial judge; but the poet says, 'let us make an end of these disputes, and this time let us have a fair hearing.' $ab\theta_i$ is explained by the Schol. abrdet and $dr \tau q =$ $\pi a \rho d \tau t.$ And so Hermann, followed by Goettling, extemplo, illico. But it is very doubtful if it can bear this sense.

87. $\frac{\pi}{2}\sigma_{\mu} \frac{\lambda}{\mu} \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. 'For we had just shared between us our patrimony (literally 'had each of us got our portion assigned'), when you began to plunder and carry off many other things (i.e. beside your just right), paying great compliments to the kings, bribe-swallowers as they are, who are willing enough to decide this suit' (a suit of this kind). Gloss MS. Gale, $i \kappa r d \lambda a$ $\tau \eta \kappa \lambda \eta \rho or \rho (i \alpha i \ell \mu e \rho (\sigma a \mu e r))$. The aorist $\frac{2}{6} a \sigma \sigma d \mu e \ell \mu e \rho (\sigma a \mu e r)$. The aorist $\frac{2}{6} a \sigma \sigma d \mu e \ell \mu e \rho (\sigma a \mu e r)$. The aorist $\frac{2}{6} a \sigma \sigma d \mu e \ell \mu e \rho (\sigma a \mu e r)$. The aorist $\frac{2}{6} a \sigma \sigma d \mu e \ell \mu e \rho (\sigma a \mu e r)$. The aorist $\frac{1}{6} a \sigma \sigma d \mu e \ell \mu e \rho (\sigma a \mu e r)$. The aorist $\frac{1}{6} a \sigma \sigma d \mu e \ell \mu e \rho (\sigma a \mu e r)$. The aorist $\frac{1}{6} a \sigma \sigma d \mu e \ell e \rho (\sigma e r)$ is a constant to rob me at the time of the distribution than after it. We might express the meaning thus; 'We had no sooner divided our inheritance than you began to rob me.' He wished to get back part of the property awarded to Heesiod. Perhaps there was some act of open violence on Perses' part; for there is a similar allusion inf. v. 356, $\frac{3}{6} s \frac{3}{6} r a \theta \eta$, $\frac{6}{6} p m g \delta r a r m heritance \delta r m a \delta r o m e r)$.

άρπακτὰ, θεόσδοτα πολλὸν ἀμείνω. Cf. v. 275, βίης δ' ἐπιλήθεο πάμπαν. The τε seems to represent the more usual καl in the sense of 'when.' Gaisford, after Guietus, reads ἀλλὰ τὰ πολλὰ, for which we should rather have expected τὰ πλείω.

39. $i\theta i \lambda o v \sigma i$. One might easily read $i\theta i \lambda w \sigma i$, 'praising those who may be willing,' &c. The sense would thus be, $\kappa v \delta a l r \omega$ (i. e. $\delta w \rho o \tilde{\nu} a i$) $\delta \mu \tilde{a} s$, $\hbar r i \theta \ell \lambda \tau e \epsilon$ $\delta i \kappa d \sigma a i \mu \sigma t \tau \rho v \delta \epsilon \delta i \kappa \eta r$. Schoemann reads $i \theta \ell \lambda \sigma v \sigma i$ Schoemann having proposed $i \theta \ell \lambda \sigma v \sigma i$ Schoemann having proposed $i \theta \ell \lambda \sigma v \sigma i$ Schoemann having proposed $i \theta \ell \lambda \sigma v \sigma i$ Schoemann having proposed $i \theta \ell \lambda \sigma v \sigma i$ Schoemann having proposed $i \theta \ell \lambda \sigma v \sigma i$ Schoemann havit.' We certainly should have expected $i \theta \epsilon \lambda \sigma v$ rather than $i \theta \ell \lambda \sigma v \sigma i$. But he may mean, that these same judges aro willing enough to hear the suit over again on the same terms. $-\delta w \rho \sigma \phi d \gamma \sigma v s$, a strong and satirical expression for $\delta w \rho \sigma \delta \kappa \sigma v s$. Cf. 221, 264. 40--1. These two lines embody some

40-1. These two lines embody some old adage; but whether the application of it is to the kings, who do not know the happiness of honest contentment, or to the poet himself, whom the corrupt judges wrongly supposed they could really injure, is not very clear. 'Fools that they are, neither do they know how much more the half is that the whole, nor what great blessedness there is in a diet on mallows and squills.' These herbs were the food of the very poor, (Ar. Plut. 544.) and the poet probably means, that the kings do not know how much better it is to have a little with an easy conscience, than much gained by injustice. Moschopulus:-obd' boov metra betos dor us r fi (wfi r fi

11

κρύψαντες γὰρ ἔχουσι θεοὶ βίον ἀνθρώποισι. ῥηϊδίως γάρ κεν καὶ ἐπ' ἦματι ἐργάσσαιο, ὦστε σέ κ' εἰς ἐνιαυτὸν ἔχειν καὶ ἀεργὸν ἐόντα· αἶψά κε πηδάλιον μὲν ὑπὲρ καπνοῦ καταθεῖο, ἔργα βοῶν δ' ἀπόλοιτο καὶ ἡμιόνων ταλαεργῶν·

43. Γεργάσσαιο 44. αξεργόν 46. Γέργα βοξών ταλαξέργων

43. ¿pyárraio BC. ¿pyáraio the rest.

ἐν μαλάχη καὶ ἀσφοδέλῳ, ἀντὶ τοῦ εὐτελεῖ καὶ ἀπερίττῷ διαίτη, τῆ μετὰ δικαιοπραγίας δηλονότι, καὶ ἕξω πλεονεξίας. Plato refers to this passage, De Rep. v. p. 466, B, εἰ οδτως ὁ φύλαξ ἐπιχειρήσει εὐδαίμων γίγνεσθαι, ὅστε μηδὲ φύλαξ εἶναι,—γνάσεται τὸν Ἡσίοδον ὅτι τῷ ὅντι ἦν σοφὸς λέγων πλέον εἶναί πως ἦμισυ παντός. Sea also Phaedr. p. 266, c. Theophrastus, Hist. Plant. vii. 11, πολλὰ δὲ εἰς τροφὴν παρέχεται χρήσιμα (ὁ ἀσφόδελος)· καὶ γὰρ ὁ ἀνθέρικος ἐδώδιμος σταθευόμενος, καὶ τὸ σπέρμα φρυγόμενον· πάντων δὲ μάλιστα ἡ βία κοπτομένη μετὰ σόκου καὶ πλείστην ὅνησιν ἕχει καθ Ἡσίοδον.— The asphodel is a liliaceous plant, allied to the squill. There are many species; that alluded to grows wild in Greece and the Levant.

42. Goettling has an idea, in which it is difficult to acquiesce, (though it receives some countenance from Tzetzes, δ δέ νοῦς τοιοῦτος. Τίρση, μη ἀργός ἐν ταΐε dyopaîs διάτριβε-ol θεοί γαρ, ήγουν ή είμαρμένη, απέκρυψε και δυσπόριστον Excinge Tor Blor Tois dreparous), that the thread of the argument is here resumed from v. 24; as if the poet were now giving a reason why men require some stimulus to industry, viz. because the gods have made it hard to get a livelihood. He seems to have two theories on the subject; (1) That v. 25-41 is an interpolation; (2) That we should read $\kappa \alpha \kappa \kappa \rho \nu \psi \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon s$ $\xi \chi o \nu \sigma \iota \kappa . \tau . \lambda$., to avoid the yap, which seems to give as a reason why there is happiness in poverty, the fact that men live only by hard labour. "Que nullo modo," he objects, "componi possunt." "Quæ nullo One thing is clear; whatever be the point of the fable of Prometheus, as applicable to Perses, the present pas-sage is introductory to it; cf. v. 47. Now both this fable and that which follows, addressed specially to Perses,

(*ërepór roi éyà λόγον ἐκκορυφάσα*, v. 106,) are apparently meant to show the origin of evil on earth ; and thus indirectly, how the poet has been made the victim of injustice. Since, then, he had just before dwelt on the wickedness of the unjust kings, he goes on to argue thus:—' The reason of all which wickedness is, that Zeus made life laborious through the fraud of Prometheus, and so men prefer to gain by injustice rather than by honest toil.' Schoemann (Com. Crit. p. 18) is estisfied that the whole passage 40-105 is the interpolation of an inferior poet.

45

43-4. èn hyan. rour even ès mậ hydoq. Proclus. This is rather a rare use. Cf. II. x. 48, tưởp šơa roordže µépuep èn hyan unticarda. Od. xii 105, rpls µèr yáp r' dưngur èn hydra hở èn vuri. Soph. Oed. Col. 688, ale en hydra en hydros relar hydra hở èn vuri. Soph. Oed. Col. 688, ale en hydros. It would be easy here to read yáp κεν καl èr hyan. The sense is, 'You might easily make enough by your farm even in a single day, (or 'for a day,' with a view to no more than a day's maintenance,) so as to have subsistence for a year without working,' i. e. if Zeus had not made farming a slow and difficult process. Goettling proposes to read κεis for the vulg. κ' eis (κε eis). And the Aldine has κεis.

45-6. $al\psi a \kappa \epsilon$. The Schol. on Ar. Av. 712 preserves a variant abrixa. See on v. 12. 'Quickly (in that case, vir. if it had been easy to get a livelihood) would you store away your boat-paddle over the smoke (to dry and preserve it), and the fields tilled by oxen and by patient mules would go to ruin,' (or, 'there would soon be an end of farmwork for our oxen and mules.') It was the custom to remove the rudder or

ἀλλὰ Ζεὺς ἔκρυψε χολωσάμενος φρεσὶν ἦσιν, ὅπτι μιν ἐξαπάτησε Προμηθεὺς ἀγκυλομήτης. τοὖνεκ' ἆρ' ἀνθρώποισιν ἐμήσατο κήδεα λυγρὰ, κρύψε δὲ πῦρ· τὸ μὲν αὖθις ἐὒς παῖς Ἰαπετοῖο ἔκλεψ' ἀνθρώποισι Διὸς πάρα μητιόεντος

47. φρεσί Γησιν?

48. αγκυλόμητ Α. — μητις BCDGHI. — μήτης EF, Ald. 49. ανθρώποισι μήσατο EF. μήδεα D. κύδεα K.

47. Expresses, scil. Blov aroparous. The general difficulties which henceforth attended the lives of men are expressed but out the invess of men are expressed by inform or the average of the other or the other of the other other other of the other othe Mellaque decussit foliis ignemque re-movil.' This corresponds to the 'cursing of the earth,' in Genesis iii. 17-19. It has been well remarked, that no creature except man makes any use of fre, but that to his existence it is es-sential. Why Zeus withdrew the use of fire is declared in a curious and evidently ancient legend about Prometheus, differ-ing materially from the mythology em-ployed by Acschylus. Prometheus had cheated Zeus (as related in Theog. 535 seqq.) at a sacrifice, by persuading men to offer to him the bones and fat of slain ozen, and to reserve for themselves the mest. Zeus had taken from them, in consequence of this, the use of fire which they had hitherto enjoyed both for sacri-fices and for other purposes. Deprived of fire, they could not mock him by a

burnt-offering of the inferior parts, nor could they cook their own portion of the better parts. Prometheus however had again baffled Zeus by restoring the element stealthily to man. Zeus then devised a punishment to man by creating woman with all her arts of seducing cunning and irresistible grace. She is sent as a present to Epimetheus by Hermes. Prometheus had warned his brother not to accept any gift from Zeus; but Epimetheus, (who is a kind of mythological blunderer, always in the wrong at the time of acting, though accustomed to repair his errors by afterthought, as his name implies,) found out his mistake after he had felt the evil consequences of it (v. 89). It was by the agency of this woman, Pandora, that the scheese $\lambda_{\mu\gamma\rho\lambda}$ (v. 49 compared with v. 95) were first let loose upon mankind. Plato, Protag. p. 320, p. seqq., varies this fable. He makes the mistake of Epimetheus to consist in giving away all the faculties of self-preservation to primely and low income to more animals, and leaving none to man. To remedy this deficiency, Prometheus steals the fire, together with the handisteams the fire, together with the handi-craft, of Athena and Hephaestus, and confers it on man. The legend is very well explained by Sir G. W. Cox in p. 172, seqq. of 'Mythology and Folklore.' He points out that the name *Prometheus* is the Hindu (Vedic) *Pramantha*, which expresses the lighting of fire by the rubbing of two sticks. The name *Epi* metheus is a later invention, to supply a correlative to a word wrongly supposed to mean "Forethought." 50. τδ μέν κ.τ.λ. 'That indeed Pro-

50. $\tau \delta \mu \delta \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$. 'That indeed Prometheus on another occasion stole for men,'---the context suggesting the suppressed sentiment. 'but other ills resulted from a theft, which only aggravated the wrath of Zeus against men.'

13

50

ἐν κοίλφ νάρθηκι, λαθὼν Δία τερπικέραυνον. τὸν δὲ χολωσάμενος προσέφη νεφεληγερέτα Ζεύς·

Ίαπετιονίδη, πάντων πέρι μήδεα είδως, χαίρεις πῦρ κλέψας καὶ ἐμὰς φρένας ἠπεροπεύσας, 55 σοί τ' αὐτῷ μέγα πῆμα καὶ ἀνδράσιν ἐσσομένοισι· τοῖς δ' ἐγὼ ἀντὶ πυρὸς δώσω κακὸν ῷ κεν ẵπαντες τέρπωνται κατὰ θυμὸν ἑὸν κακὸν ἀμφαγαπῶντες.

[•]Ως ἔφατ[·] ἐκ δ΄ ἐγέλασσε πατὴρ ἀνδρῶν τε θεῶν τε· [•]Ηφαιστον δ΄ ἐκέλευσε περικλυτον ὄ ττι τάχιστα 60 γαΐαν ὕδει φύρειν, ἐν δ΄ ἀνθρώπου θέμεν αὐδὴν

54. Feidùs

54. 'Ιαπετεονίδη AEF.

55. xaípois A (gl. arti toù xaîpe) EF.

In D as superscr. in red ink.

58. τέρπονται I, Ald. τέρπονται D.

52. $rdgon_{RL}$ "The narthex is the umbelliferous plant called Kalami in modern Greek, the Ferula communis of Linnaeus, which grows abundantly about the bay of Phalerum. In the stalk is a pith, which makes good tinder when dry. Hence the story, that in it Prometheus brought down from heaven the 'fount of fire' which he gave to mau." Clark, *Peloponnesus*, p. 111. See Aesch. Prom. 109.

nearer the 'fount of hie which he gave to man." Clark, *Peloponnesus*, p. 111. See Acech. Prom. 109. 54. On the patronymic 'laxertorlön see Theog. 528.— $\pi\epsilon\rho_1$, scil. $\pi\epsilon\rho\mu\sigma\sigma\sigma$, $j\pi\lambda\rho$ $\pi dsras.$ Or perhaps, in reference to the name Prometheus, 'who know how to form plans about everything.'

57. $d_{NT}l$ $\pi v \rho \delta s$, in return for the fire they have got, and as a counterbalancing evil for the benefit they have fraudulently obtained. Cf. Theog. 570, $a\delta r f a a$ δ^* $\delta xr l$ $\pi v \rho \delta s$ rev $\xi r v$ $\kappa a \delta r$ $\delta a \sigma \rho \delta \pi \sigma s \sigma s$. Euripides made use of this fable to express his dislike of women; $\delta xr l$ $\gamma d \rho$ $\pi v \rho \delta \Pi \tilde{v} \rho \delta \lambda \lambda o \mu c \tilde{v} \sigma \eta \delta \delta \delta o \sigma \mu a \chi \delta \pi \pi \sigma \sigma \sigma$ 'E $\beta \lambda a \sigma \tau o r a \gamma v r a \tilde{v} c \sigma \tau \delta \kappa v \tau (\rho \pi w \tau a),$ the epic use of the subjunctive, for which the Attics would have said $\delta \kappa r$ τέρποιντο. — ἀμφαγαπῶντες, 'kugging their own misfortune.' Hesych. ἀστα(όμενοι. This is the literal meaning of ἀγαπῶν (as explained in the editor's note on Eur. Suppl. 764. Phoen. 1327). So Apoll. Rhod. iii. 1167, oi δέ μιν ἀμφαγάπα(ον, ὅπων ίδον. Gloss. MS. Cant. περισσῶν ἀγαπῶντες, περιθάλποντες. The meaning is, it shall be an evil to them, while they shall unknowingly delight in it.—4δν for σφέτερον has been criticised by the Grammarian Apollonius (ap. Goettl.) and by Proclus. It is much more common in the later epic. In the earlier indeed it pretty regularly takes the digamma, (not however invariably in Homer,) and always in Hesiod, except here and Theog. 467, 472. Sout. H. 9. 454.

59. $i\kappa \delta' i\gamma i\lambda\alpha\sigma\sigma\epsilon$. Zous was pleased with his own conceit, and laughed outright as he uttered the threat. Origen (who quotes the passages 53-82 and 90-98, contra Cels. iv. 38, p. 187, pointed out by Gaisford) cites this verse with $i\kappa \delta' i\tau i\lambda\alpha\sigma\sigma\epsilon$, i.e. he no sconer conceived than he executed it. Cf. inf. v. 83.

61. 5δει. This dative is quoted from Theognis, v. 955, viv δ' ήδη τεθόλωται, δδωρ δ' ἀναμίσγεται δδει, and the numinative öδοs from Callimachus, frag. 466. Perhaps its origin was a dialectic variety of the Boeotic speech. Compare the Attic δόρει for δόρατι, as if from τὸ δόροs. See G. Curtius, Gr. Et. 248.—The sepa-

ЕРГА КАІ НМЕРАІ.

καὶ σθένος, ἀθανάταις δὲ θεαῖς εἰς ῶπα ἐἰσκειν [παρθενικῆς καλὸν εἶδος, ἐπήρατον· αὐτὰρ ᾿Αθήνην ἔργα διδασκῆσαι, πολυδαίδαλον ἱστὸν ὑφαίνειν·] καὶ χάριν ἀμφιχέαι κεφαλῆ †χρυσέην ᾿Αφροδίτην, 65 καὶ πόθον ἀργαλέον καὶ γυιοκόρους μελεδῶνας·

62. Fefíσκειν 64. Féργa 65. ἀμφιχέfai

62. άθανάτης δè θεĝs AK, Ald. and (by correction) D. 63. παρθενικής Α. παρθενικαîs the rest. 65. χρυσήν Κ.

rate creation of woman, as a partner for man, reminds us of the Mosaic account of the formation of Eve, as well as of the recorded production of the human race from the earth itself, Gen. ii. 7. There is no mention in the context of woman having hitherto existed at all, whatever ideas Hesiod may have had respecting the propagation of man-kind without the double sex. Goettling contends that this was not a new creation of the female for man, but merely the adornment of her with graces and accomplishments hitherto not possessed by her; which accomplish-ments, being contributed by the gods, generally gave rise to the name *Pandora*. But the mixing water and earth evi-dently implies a plastic process, viz. the creation of a new and distinct individual.--- φύρειν, like φυράν, always has reference to this primary sense of mixing and kneeding solid and liquid ingredients to form a paste. Hesych. φύρει· μολύνει, μαλάσσει, μιγνύει. There is evidently an allusion to the primitive fictile statuettes, or to sculptors' clay models.

Ibid. abôn. Not merely voice, ourp., according to the Scholiasts, but the faculty of speaking articulately.—For an oféres Clement of Alexandria has an véry, which is a better reading in itself.—*discur.*, 'to liken it (the *stowhor*) to immortal goddesses in face.' This word is Homeric, and takes the double digamma.

62. Cf. II. iii. 158, alvos àdarátyou defis els dra toucer.

63-4. It is probable that this distich was introduced by some rhapsodist, who thought that it was necessary to express the object after *itoxeux*. But the short a in $\kappa \alpha \lambda \delta \nu$ is fatal to the genuineness of the verse; in the carly epic it is inva-

riably καλός. We have indeed in Theog. 585, αύταρ έπειδη τεῦξε καλύν κακύν ἐντ' ἀγαθοῖο, but there Harmann reads αὐτὰρ ἐπεὶ τεῦξεν. Here Goettling suggests καλύν παρθένου είδοs. But this involves another difficulty; είδοs always has the digamma, except indeed inf. v. 714, σè δὲ μή τι νόον κατελεγχέτω είδος (where see the note), and II. iii. 224, οὐ τότε γ' δδ' 'Οδυσῆος ἀγασσάμεθ' είδοs ἰδόττες. Again, nearly all the copies give παρθενικαῖς or -ικῆς. The word διδασκῆσαι is used by Pindar, Pyth. iv. 217, which perhaps exempts it from the charge of being a purely Ionic word. Hesych. διδασκῆσαι· διδάξαι. Origen however here has the variant διδασκέμεναι. But the gravest objection to the distich consists in this; that whereas Athena is hare directed to teach Pandora to weave embroidery, the same goddess afterwards is described as dressing her with ornaments.

65. $\chi\rho\nu\sigma i\eta\nu'\lambda\phi\rhoo\delta i\eta\nu$. No mention is made of this goddess performing the behests of Zeus. Hence Goettling proposes to read $\delta i' \lambda\phi\rhoo\delta i\eta$ for $\Pi a\lambda\lambda as'$ $\lambda\theta\eta\nu\eta$ in v. 76. The truth is, the insertion of 63—4 suggested that another goddess was here meant. The original reading was $\chi\rho\nu\sigma i\eta s'\lambda\phi\rhoo\delta i\eta s$, that he (Hephaestus) should invest her with the beautiful face of Aphrodite.'

66. $\gamma u o \kappa d \rho o v s$, satisfy, rendering listless, the limbs. So "E pos is $\lambda v \sigma (\mu \kappa \lambda) r_s$, Theog. 121. 911. There seems no reason to derive the compound from $\kappa e(\rho e v)$ $\gamma v a$, with Goettling. Gaisford adopts the conjecture of H. Stephens, $\gamma v u \beta \delta \rho o v s$, which Proclus appears to recognise, $\phi \rho o v \tau \delta a$ sate of the confused) is given in MS. Vat., and is preferred by Schoemann.— $\mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \delta \delta r a s$ Cant. Gale. Corp. Christ. and others for $\mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \delta \delta r a s$.

(

έν δε θέμεν κύνεόν τε νόον και τέπικλοπον ήθος Έρμείαν ήνωγε, διάκτορον 'Αργειφόντην.

Ως έφαθ·οί δ' επίθοντο Διὶ Κρονίωνι ανακτι. αιτίκα δ' έκ γαίης πλάσσε κλυτός 'Αμφιγυήεις 70 παρθένω αίδοίη ικελον Κρονίδεω δια βουλάς. ζώσε δε και κόσμησε θεα γλαυκωπις 'Αθήνη. ἀμφὶ δέ οἱ Χάριτές τε θεαὶ καὶ πότνια Πειθώ δρμους χρυσείους έθεσαν χροί· ἀμφὶ δὲ τήνγε Ωραι καλλίκομοι στέφον ανθεσιν ειαρινοῖσι. 75 [πάντα δέ οι χροί κόσμον έφήρμοσε Παλλας 'Αθήνη.] έν δ' αρα οί στήθεσσι διάκτορος 'Αργειφόντης ψεύδεά θ' αίμυλίους τε λόγους και τέπίκλοπον ήθος

67. επίκλοπα Fήθη? 69. Fávakti 71. Γίκελον 73-6-7. For 75. ανθεσι Γειαρινοΐσι 78. επίκλοπα Fήθη?

77. στήθεσφι Α.

The accusative plural of μελεδώνη seems to be short after the Acolic usage. Cf. Theory xi. 5, alφνίδων θορυβεύσιν έφι-στάμεναι μελεδώναι, but Od. xiz. 517, δξείαι μελεδώνεs. The meaning here is determined by the context, 'the wasting cares of love which the goddess in-spires in others.' The old commentators strangely explained it 'care for adorn-

ing the person.' 67. ἀπίκλοπον Ϋθος, 'a deceitful (tricksy) disposition.' Theognis, v. 959, πολλοί τοι κίβδηλον ἐπίκλοπον Ϋθος ἔχον-Tes. But Hoos takes the digamma (see Buttm. Lexil. p. 245), so that we should probably read, with Bentley, emission for both here and inf. v. 78. This very repetition of the words, and the fact that v. 70-72 occur also in Theog. 571-3, have given rise to a suspicion, that the whole passage from v. 69 to v. 82 was added by the rhapwhich seems clearly another version or recension of v. 72,) there is nothing in these repetitions inconsistent with the genius of the old epic. The difficulty on which Goettling dwells, that no mention is made of what was conferred by Aphrodite on Pandora, is removed

by the correction suggested on v. 65. 71. $i\kappa\epsilon\lambda\sigma\sigma$, the likeness of a modest maid.' The very nature of the word

suggests some such noun as elouhor. Compare Theog. 572. Moschopulus :--έπλασεν-πλάσμα δμοιον παρθένη aldous

άξία. 72. ζώσε και κόσμησε. This may mean, she affixed the girdle, (drn, to the stole, and put on the peplus. So *koppos* seems used in Eur. Hipp. 681. with reference to the embroidered peplus of Athena Polias :-

γέγηθε κόσμον προστιθείς αγάλματι המאלש המהנסדים, המו שלהאטוסוש להשטשבו.

Perhaps however $\kappa \delta \sigma \mu os$ includes all the minor articles of female ornament, as bracelets, chaplet, brooch, hems and borders of embroidery, which are alluded to also in Theog. 574-582.-γλαυκώπις, grey-faced,' is the proper and natural epithet of the dawn-goddess. The rendering "owl-faced" has led Dr. Schliemann into some very erroneous inferences.

75. στέφον άνθεσι. In Theog. 576 the chaplets of flowers are said to have been added by Athena. But in the Homeric hymn to Aphrodite (ii. 5 seqq.) the Hours act as the attiring maidens of the goddees.

76. See on v. 67.

77. στήθεσσι. M.B. Gale has στήθεσφι. 78. Inf. v. 789, ψεύδεά 6 αίμυλίους τε λόγους κρυφίους τ' δαρισμούς.

ЕРГА КАІ НМЕРАІ.

[τεῦξε Διὸς βουλῆσι βαρυκτύπου· ἐν δ' ἄρα φωνὴν] θῆκε θεῶν κῆρυξ· ὀνόμηνε δὲ τήνδε γυναῖκα 80 Πανδώρην, ὅτι πάντες ἘΟλύμπια δώματ' ἔχοντες δῶρον ἐδώρησαν, πῆμ' ἀνδράσιν ἀλφηστῆσιν.

Αὐτὰρ ἐπεὶ δόλον αἰπὺν ἀμήχανον ἐξετελεσσεν, εἰς Ἐπιμηθέα πέμπε πατὴρ κλυτὸν ᾿Αργειφόντην δῶρον ἄγοντα, θεῶν ταχὺν ἄγγελον· οὐδ Ἐπιμηθεὺς 85 ἐφράσαθ, ῶς οἱ ἔειπε Προμηθεὺς μήποτε δῶρον δέξασθαι πὰρ Ζηνὸς Ἐλυμπίου, ἀλλ' ἀποπέμπειν ἐξοπίσω, μή πού τι κακὸν θνητοῖσι γένηται. αὐτὰρ ὃ δεξάμενος, ὅτε δὴ κακὸν εἶχ', ἐνόησε.

Πρίν μέν γαρ ζώεσκον έπι χθονι φῦλ' ἀνθρώπων 90 νόσφιν ἄτερ τε κακῶν και ἄτερ χαλεποίο πόνοιο, νούσων τ' ἀργαλέων, αἴτ' ἀνδράσι κῆρας ἔδωκαν.

86. For éferre

79. omitted in C, but added in the margin by a later hand.
 80. κῆρυξ AG. al. κήρυξ. ὀνόμησε EF (f. ὀνόμασσε).
 89. ἐνόησεν
 GI. 91. ἄτερ τε κακοῦ A. ἄτερ κακῶν E (by the first hand) DHK.
 92. γῆρας AG and D by correction, but with gloss θανάτου.

79. This verse was condemned both by Bentley and by Heyne. Proclus: revoto rures repertor pacture fide yake Housers defenses abder to yarrank: el M sal roure yrforor the yarrank: el M sal roure yrforor the yarrank: el M sal roure yrforor the yarrank stand by it 'the gift of eloquence.') And so Goettling defends the verse. But its weakness is apparent, and the distinction between party and aidth is forced and arbitrary. So inf. v. 104, ever is clearly a synonym of aidth.

80. πηρυξ vulgo. MiSS. Gale and Cant. πηρυξ, rightly. For this epexegenes of 'Aργειφόντης (if v. 79 be spurious) ef. ταχύν άγγελον in v. 85.

rous) ef ταχύν άγγελον in V. 85. 82. The active δωρείν occurs also in Pind. Ol. vi. 131, έδώρησαν θεών κάρυκα λιταϊς θυσίαις.

83. δόλον alwir κ.τ.λ. 'The deep crafty trick from which there was no escape.'

86. ein topdsare, he had not remembased, or observed, how that Prometheus had charged him to beware of the threat of Zeus, Secon nards, v. 57. For his name implies after-thought in contrast with fore-thought. Hence the

poet adds, $\delta \tau \in \delta \eta$ κακδν $\epsilon I_{X}\epsilon$, v. 89. Proclus:—Θέος τίς έστι και οδτος, λογισμού μέν αίτιος, άλλ' οὐ τοῦ προνοητικοῦ τῶν ἐκβησομένων, ὡς ὁ Προμηθεὸς, ἀλλ' ἐκείνου τοῦ μόλις ἐκ τῶν ἀποβάντων πρὸς ἑαυτὸν ἐπιστρέφοντος.— Plutaroh, De audiend. Poet. p. 23, ¤, (quoted by Gaisford,) interprets Διὸς δῶρα as the great and splendid gifts of fortune, such as wealth, illustrious marriages, high offices, &c., which often bring unhappiness to those who do not know how to use them.

90. $\pi\rho i\nu \mu i\nu \gamma d\rho$. These particles introduce the cause and the reason of mankind now first suffering calamity. "Hoc pertinet ad illud tempus quod fuit ante Pandoram receptam, sed post ignem recuperatum." Schoemann, Com. Crit. p. 19, who supposes the story of Pandora was clumsily introduced by some "epitomator." He thinks Pandora was intended to typify luxury.— $\delta \tau \epsilon \rho$ rakŵr several others. Brunck proposed $\delta \tau \epsilon \rho \delta \epsilon$. See on v. 113.

С

[αἶψα γὰρ ἐν κακότητι βροτοὶ καταγηράσκουσι.] ἀλλὰ γυνὴ χείρεσσι πίθου μέγα πῶμ' ἀφελοῦσα ἐσκέδασ' ἀνθρώποισι δ' †ἐμήσατο κήδεα λυγρά. μούνη δ' αὐτόθι Ἐλπὶς ἐν ἀρρήκτοισι δόμοισιν ἔνδον ἔμιμνε πίθου ὑπὸ χείλεσιν, οὐδὲ θύραζε

96. Feλπìs

93. omitted in A, but added in the margin by a later hand. 97. *Eµeuve* BCDEFHI.

93. This verse (and possibly the preceding one also) must be regarded as spurious. A false reading $\gamma \bar{\eta} \rho \alpha s$ for $\kappa \bar{\eta} \rho \alpha s$ led to the addition of v. 93, by way of illustration, from Od. xix. 360. The former verse (92) may have been suggested by v. 102 inf. On the form $l \delta \alpha \kappa \omega s e e inf. v. 741$.

94. The abruptness of the narrative following is remarkable. No definite mention is made of human ills having hitherto been shut up in a chest; it is only stated that Pandora (out of feminine curiosity, we must suppose) opened it and let all out except Hope, which was at the bottom, and so had not time to escape before the lid was closed upon it. Goettling thinks a single verse may have dropped out, like mara yap els πίθον είρξε Προμηθεύς άγκυλομήτης, but suggests that a larger lacuna is more Otherwise, the poet must probable. have had in mind the Homeric account, II. XXIV. 527, δοιοί γάρ τε πίθοι κατακείαται έν Διός ούδει Δώρων, ολα δίδωσι, κακών, έτερος δε έδων. According to Randr, Erepos de édar. According to this view, Pandors brought with her from heaven one of these crocks, which we may further suppose was given her as a gift by one of the gods, or by Zeus himself, with the express intention of in-juring mortals. There is yet another explanation; that Prometheus had imprisoned human evils in a jar placed in the house of Epimetheus, where Pandors found them. And this is supported by the comment of Proclus;φησίν, δτι Προμηθεύς τόν τῶν κακῶν πίθον παρά των Σατύρων λαβών, και παραθέμενος τῷ Ἐπιμηθεῖ, παρήγγειλε την Παν-δώραν μη δέξασθαι. If the poet had this legend in view, he probably enlarged upon it in some verses now lost.

95. *duffoaro*, 'she designed.' This reading seems rather doubtful, first, be-

cause the very same words occurred at v. 49, used of the deliberate intention of Zeus to punish man; secondly, because this implies that the sending evils among men was an act of malice in Pandora; thirdly, because there are variants $\mu \hbar \delta \epsilon \alpha$ and $\kappa \epsilon \rho \delta \epsilon \alpha$, and Plutarch is said to have read $\mu \hbar \delta \epsilon r o$. Qu. $\lambda \lambda \epsilon \sigma r o$ $\kappa \hbar \delta \epsilon \alpha \lambda u \gamma \rho d$? The middle $\lambda \delta \sigma a \sigma \delta \alpha$ is very often used where we should have expected $\lambda \tilde{v} \sigma a$. See on Aesch. Prom. 243. Theog. 523.

96. 'E $\lambda \pi i$ s. The point of the legend is, that Hope still remains to man even under the most grievous afflictions : that he may always be able to find Hope as a final resource. But it is objected, that Hope is a blessing, and had no place amongst the ills incident to humanity. Goettling truly replies, that darks has its unfavourable as well as its favourable meaning. In the bad sense, it is that motive which incites men to vain and wrong enterprises. (Soph. Ant. 615, ά γάρ δη πολύπλαγκτος έλπις πολλοίς μέν όνασις ανδρών, πολλοίς δ' απάτα κουφο-νόων ερώτων.) But, being left, it became blessing to man in its good sense. When Prometheus (in Aesch. Prom. 258) declares that among the benefits to man τυφλàs er abrois eλπίδαs κατφκισε, the reply of the chorus is, μέγ' ἀφέλημα τοῦτ' ἐδωρήσω βροτοῖs. Sir G. W. Cox, in a note on p. 176 of 'Mythology and Folk-lore,' contends that we have two contradictory and irreconcileable legends in the gift of fire by Prometheus and the letting out of the evils by Pandora. But v. 105 seems to show that the mischief done to man by Pandora was in the counsels of Zeus, enraged at the theft of fire for the benefit of man. The sole alleviation to his misery is hope, which (if v. 99 is genuine) Zeus permitted to remain with him.

97. In Erdor Empre and deptherouse

18

95

ΕΡΓΑ ΚΑΙ ΗΜΕΡΑΙ.

ἐξέπτη· πρόσθεν γὰρ ἐπέμβαλε πῶμα πίθοιο [αἰγιόχου βουλῆσι Διὸς νεφεληγερέταο.] ἄλλα δὲ μυρία λυγρὰ κατ' ἀνθρώπους ἀλάληται. 100 πλείη μὲν γὰρ γαῖα κακῶν, πλείη δὲ θάλασσα· νοῦσοι δ' ἀνθρώποισιν ἐφ' ἡμέρῃ ἠδ' ἐπὶ νυκτὶ αὐτόματοι φοιτῶσι κακὰ θνητοῖσι φέρουσαι σιγῆ, ἐπεὶ φωνὴν ἐξείλετο μητίετα Ζεύς. οῦτως οὖτι πη ἔστι Διὸς νόον ἐξαλέασθαι. 105

105. έξαλέγασθαι

102. and in H, but added at the bottom of the page by a later hand. 105. $\pi\eta$ AEF. $\pi\sigma\nu$ the rest.

δόμουσ: Heinsius (ap. Gaisford) finds an allusion to the custom of maidens staying at home, παρθενευόμεται. Rather, one would say, there is the notion of a strong prison-house, from which there is no escape. Gloss. MS. Cant. *έν δοφα*. *λεστάτφ* of aφ. Thus Hope was left an involuntary benefactness to mankind.

involuntary benefactress to mankind. *Ibid.* πίθου ύπὸ χείλεσυ. 'Under the (inner) rim of the casket,' or earthen jar. The χείλος or lip is often mentioned, apparently as a mark of the proper fulmess in vessels of capacity. Hence Ar. Equit. 814, bs ἐποίησεν τὴν πόλιν ἡμῶν μεστὴν, εἰρῶν ἐπιχειλῆ. Aesch. Agam. 790, τῷ δ' ἐπωτίφ κότε: ἐλπὶς προσῆει χείλος οὐ πληρουμένφ (MS. χειρός). The idea is, that Hope took up her abode, not at the bottom of the jar, but immediately beneath the lid, the closing of which intercepted her escape.

98. $tarnp, \pi ripca, \pi r às, are somewhat$ rare in the earlier epic. The middle $compare <math>tr \lambda np, \tau \lambda np and ripca, \tau \lambda as, where$ $<math>\tau \lambda np and rip a n np and ripca, \tau \lambda np and ripca, \tau \lambda np and ripca, the sudden$ escape of the rest, or she designedly enclosed Hope as a counterbalance to themischief ahe had let loose upon theworld. The scholiasts were aware of thisdifficulty. Moschopulus asks; 'How,having come as for mischief, did she stayher hand as if sparing?' And he suggests several answers; as, that shethought all the evils had escaped, andao shut in Hope inadvartently; or that

the lid abut of itself; but the real reason, he adds, was, that this was done by the counsels of Zeus. Now this very verse (99) is enclosed in brackets by Gaisford and Goettling as spurious. It is wanting in some MSS., and in Plutarch, who quotes v. 94—104, Consol. ad Apollon. vii. p. 326 (p. 105, E). It does not however appear that (as Goettling alleges) it is incompatible with v. 105. For Zeus may be represented as a partial benefactor, though desirous to punish mankind. One might indeed suggest the transposition of v. 99 after v. 100, by which the passage would better suit v. 49 seq.

v. 49 seqq. 102. rovoros. See v. 92. Hence Hor. Carm. i. 3, 29, 'Post ignem aetheria domo subductum macies et nova febrium terris incubuit cohors.' Some good copies give all' tri rusri. So also Stobacus (vol. iii. p. 228, ed. Teub.), who cites v. 100-102.

105. $\ell_{\xi\alpha\lambda}\ell_{\alpha\sigma}\sigma_{\alpha\iota}$, which Moschopulus rightly compares with $\ell_{\chi\epsilon\alpha}$ and $\chi\ell_{\alpha}$, undoubtedly, like it, took the digamma, whence the other forms $\ell_{\chi\epsilon\nu\alpha}$, $\lambda\lambda\epsilon'_{\alpha\sigma}\sigma_{\alpha\iota}$ (inf. v. 505). Hesychius explains the word by $\ell_{\kappa\kappa\lambda}\ell_{\nu\epsilon\iota\nu}$.—For $\pi\eta$ many MSS.

[Εἰ δ ἐθέλεις, ἔτερόν τοι ἐγὼ λόγον ἐκκορυφώσω εὖ καὶ ἐπισταμένως (σὺ δ ἐνὶ φρεσὶ βάλλεο σῆσιν), ὡς ὁμόθεν γεγάασι θεοὶ θνητοί τ' ἀνθρωποι.]

Χρύσεον μέν πρώτιστα γένος μερόπων ἀνθρώπων ἀθάνατοι ποίησαν 'Ολύμπια δώματ' ἔχοντες. 110 οἱ μὲν ἐπὶ Κρόνου ἦσαν, ὅτ' οὐρανῷ ἐμβασίλευεν

106. εἰ δὲ θέλεις AEI. 108. A full stop at ἄνθρωποι in BC and others. 111. ἐβασίλευεν Α.

give $\pi ov.$ —obves, viz. as was shown by the unsuccessful attempt of Prometheus to cheat Zeus.

106-201. The celebrated episode respecting the gradual degeneration of man appears to be an integral part of the poem, and to have this connexion with what precedes, that it still further explains and illustrates, not indeed specially but in a general way, the proposition enunciated at v. 42 seqq., viz. that human life is less happy than it was in primitive times. The three introductory verses 106-8 are probably due to the rhapsodists, who wished to distinguish as ετερος λόγος the account of the Cycles or Ages of man from the story of Pandora. There is a tendency in all poets, and generally in those of sentimental and imaginative tempera-ment, to exaggerate the blessings of primitive times, to the disparagement of the present. Hence, though the Hesiodic account is not inconsistent either with the record of Scripture or the conclusions of modern science respecting the real degeneracy of many tribes on earth from a nobler type or stock, it seems safer to attach no further weight to it (viz. as possibly representing very remote and authentic traditions) than as an ancient opinion.

106. ϵ ккорифобов. Tzetzes, кефала обов каl ϵ кллробов, ϵ is корифри айтди каl ϵ кллробов, ϵ is корифри айтди каl ϵ дахуй, \hbar δ тд корифри айтди каl ϵ дахуй. Gloss. MS. Cant. δ иакалубов ϵ ϵ дахой. Cf. Aesch. Cho. 519, каl тоî $\tau\epsilon$ леит \tilde{a} каl карагойта: λ дуоз; Ibid. v. 692, тогобобе трауµа µh карагойта: ϕ (λ os. But one can hardly suppose Hesiod himself to have used so quaint a word to express 'I will relate in full. Rather perhaps the meaning is, 'I will give the heads of the legend,' i. e. briofly

103. δμόθεν, 'from the same stock' Od. v. 476, δοιούs δ' δρ' ὑπήλυθε θέμνους έξ δμόθεν πεφυῶτας. Gloss. MS. Cant. ἀπό τῆς αὐτῆς ῥίζης ἐγεντήθησας, viz. from mother Earth. Pind. Nem. vi. 1, & ἀνδρῶν, ἐν θεῶν γένος· ἐκ μῶς δὲ πνέομεν ματρὸς ἀμφότεροι. The meaning ia, 'I will show you how men were once equal to the gods, but have degenerated and become wicked.' In Gaisford's and the ordinary editions, ώς δμάθεν κ.τ.λ. commences the new paragraph. But thus ώς γεγάσσι should have been ἐπεὶ ἐγίνοντο, 'when they were born.' Tzetzes appears to have understood it rightly, ὅτι ἐκ τῆς aὐτῆς aἰτίας καὶ ὅλης ὁμοῦ οἱ θεοὶ — καὶ eἰ ἀνθρωποι γεγόνασιν.—Hesiod however, in the following narrative, says nothing whatever about the origin of men and gods being the same. He merely compares the happy life of primitive men with that of the gods. It is therefore more than probable that the passage is spurious.

111. ¿βασίλευεν MS. Gale. Goettling thinks this verse must be an interpolation, because Kronos is nowhere reckoned by Hesiod among the Olympian gods. This appears rather a doubtful point; for in Theog. 634. 648, the Olympian gods born from Kronos are contrasted with the Titans; while ibid. v. 851 the Titans are described as *inoragrifout Kobror dupls torres*. Compare II. xiv. 274. Aesch. Prom. 228. The later writers, especially the Roman, placed the golden age under Saturn's reign, as Tibulus, 'Quam bene Saturnis regna.' It is, of course, by no means ωστε θεοί δ' έζωον ακηδέα θυμον έχοντες, νόσφιν άτερ τε πόνων και διζύος ούδε τι δειλον γήρας έπην, αίει δε πόδας και χείρας όμοιοι τέρποντ' έν θαλίησι κακών έκτοσθεν απάντων 115 θνησκον δ' ώς υπνω δεδμημένοι έσθλα δε πάντα τοισιν έην καρπόν δ' έφερε ζείδωρος άρουρα αὐτομάτη πολλόν τε καὶ ἀφθονον οι δ' ἐθελημοὶ ήσυχα έργ' ενέμοντο σύν εσθλοίσιν πολέεσσιν, [άφνειοι μήλοισι, φίλοι μακάρεσσι θεοίσι.] 120

119. ήσυχα Γέργ

113. arep norwy A (with re erased) EFGH. arep re DIK, Ald. ovo in A. 119. novyou all.

impossible that this suggested the presumed interpolation of v. 111. It is to be observed, that with the Olympian dynasty in heaven Man, the especial object of its care, first comes upon earth. Diodorus Siculus, in citing v. 111-120, acknowledges this verse (v. 6).

112. 45 de deol (deonor Gaisford, from a var. lect. in Diodorus ; where however the best edition (Teubner) gives the vulgate.

113. arep rover MS. Cant. with three of the Bodleian and Cod. Gale, which has $\tau \epsilon$ (or $\theta \epsilon$) erased. Goettling gives the same readings from other MSS. Compare v. 91. It is singular that Tzetzes should recognise this strange reading, for he says, τὸ ΠΟ κοινή ἐστι συλλαβή. — οὐδ ἔτι δειλον Cod. Gale.

114. Spoio. The first symptoms of age were thought to be failure of the knees and tremour of the hands. Hence kiedes sind tremoti of the matter. Hence such expressions as 'dumque virent genus,' ols γόνυ χλωρόν, 'viridis senec-tus,' &c. Cf. Od. xi. 497, οδνεκά μιν κατα γήρας έχει χειράς τε πόδας τε. II. xiii. 627, ού γαρ έτ' έμπεδα γυΐα, φίλος, πόδος, οδδ' έτι χειρες όμων αμφοτέρωθεν ματαποτι δι τον επαίσσονται ελαφραί.

116. θrησκον, they used to die without pain or bodily decay, but as if falling asleep. This is so far consonant with the Mosaic account, that with sin came death (Gen. iii. 19), that it implies an easy passage from this world. 118. αυτομάτη. Virg. Georg. i. 127, 'ipsaque tellus Omnia liberius, nullo

poscente, ferebat.' Ibid. ii. 500, 'Quos postence, tereat. Total if 500, "Quote rami fructus, quos ipas volentia rura Sponte tulere sua, carpait." The diet on *mapros, fruges*, viz. corn and fruits ($\sigma \tau \sigma \sigma$), is opposed to the diet on meat in the brazen age, v. 146. So Ovid, Met. i. 103, writing of the golden age, super from a single super states and the second says, 'Contentique cibis nullo cogente creatis Arbuteos fetus montanaque fraga legebant.

Ibid. ¿θελημοl, 'tranquil.' Possibly this word was originally demends (compare equellia and equeeria) from the notion of stability and laying or depositing, while even yuds crept in from a mistaken reference to $i\theta i\lambda\omega$, and should be written θελημός (for θέλεμμος or θέλεμvos, whence the epic προθέλυμνος). It is remarkable that Hesychius and Photius explain bedeuds or bednuds by hovxos, while in this place houxos seems to violate the metre on account of the disamma in Fépya. (See on v. 28, $d\pi^{*}$ épyou.) Bentley's reading is probably correct, $\hbar\sigma\nu\chi\alpha$ épya véµorro, 'held their farms in quiet, in the possession of many blessings.' Yet in II. ii. 751 we read οΐ τ' αμφ' ίμερτον Τιταρήσιον έργ' ένέμοντο. Apollon. Rhod. ii. 655, ούδέ οί ββρις ήνδανεν, άλλ' έθελημος έφ' όδασι πατρός έοιο μητέρι συνναίεσκεν. Acsch. Suppl. 1005, ποταμούς θ' οι δια χώρας θελεμών

πώμα χέονσω. 120. This verse is added from Diodor. Sic. v. 66. It is wanting in all the MSS. of Hesiod. Robinson, following Graevius, places it after v. 115. Spohn would insert two others from Origen, contra

αὐτὰρ ἐπεὶ †καὶ τοῦτο γένος κατὰ γαῖα κάλυψεν, (120) τοὶ μὲν δαίμονές εἰσι Διὸς μεγάλου διὰ βουλὰς ἐσθλοὶ, ἐπιχθόνιοι, φύλακες θνητῶν ἀνθρώπων· οἶ ῥα φυλάσσουσίν τε δίκας καὶ σχέτλια ἔργα, ἠέρα ἑσσάμενοι πάντη φοιτῶντες ἐπ' αἶαν, 125 πλουτοδόται· καὶ τοῦτο γέρας βασιλήϊον ἔσχον. (125)

124. Γέργα 125. Γεσσάμενοι

αἰ 121. ἐπεί κε Α. ἐπεί κεν the rest. κάλυψε Α. 124. φυλάσσουσί τε ADK, Ald.

Oels. iv. p. 216, Eural vap tore daîtes έσαν, ξυνοί δε θόωκοι 'Αθανάτοισι θεοίσι καταθνητοΐε τ' ἀνθρώποιε. Goettling ob-serves, that Homer (Od. i. 22-5, and vii. 201 seq.) speaks of the gods as associating with men even in the heroic age, and therefore that this can hardly have been regarded by Hesiod as a distinctive characteristic of the golden age. That many alterations in this poem were introduced by the early rhapsodists, is but too evident. The very next verses (122 -123) are twice cited by Plato (Cratyl. p. 397, and De Rep. v. p. 469), with re-markable variants, of μέν δαίμονες άγνο? έπιχθόνιοι (ύποχθ.) τελέθουσι (καλέ-ονται), έσθλοι, άλεξίκακοι, and μερόπων for θνητῶν. The reading άγνοι is sup-ported by Plutarch, De Defectu Orac. 39, and perhaps by Aesch. Pers. 630, άλλά χθόνιοι δαίμονες άγνοι Γη τε καί 'Epu $\hat{\eta}$, who probably also here read δποχθόνιοι.

121. $d\pi \epsilon i \kappa \epsilon v$ MSS. $d\pi \epsilon u \partial \eta$ Plato, Oratyl. p. 397, and so Gaisford, Schoemann, and Goettling. But Cod. Gale has $d\pi \epsilon i \kappa \epsilon$ with κal superscribed. This may indeed have come from v. 140, where the same is $\kappa al \tau o \tilde{r} \sigma \gamma \epsilon v s \sigma$. Yet $d\pi e l \kappa al$ is in itself a very common combination, like $d\pi e i \tau \sigma i \kappa al$.

123. $\phi i \lambda a \kappa e s$. This passage may be called a *locus classicus* on the early Greek notions of *daluores*. If $d\pi_i \chi \theta \delta m i$ be the right reading (and it is supported by $\pi d \mu \tau \eta$ $\phi or \pi \partial \mu \tau e s$ is a supported by $\pi d \mu \tau \eta$ $\phi or \pi \partial \mu \tau e s$ is a support idea must have been, that the invisible spirits of the departed attend men in all their actions like guardian angels. According to a later view, the *daluores* as

well as the *fpwes* were Chthonian powers both to be feared and to be propitisted. Here they are beneficent genii more nearly allied to the Olympian gods. There is an obvious resemblance between this and the belief in guardian angels and spirits who are supposed to be conscious of and to take interest in the affairs of man upon earth. Goettling considers that the opinion was not one of Greek origin, but was borrowed from the east. Schoemann (p. 28) observes that this doctrine of $\delta alwoves$ "a communi Graecorum religione alienum fuisse pro certo affirmari potest." 125. $\delta \sigma \sigma d \mu s \nu o$ takes the digamma.

125. έσσάμενοι takes the digamma. Compare vestis, and see inf. v. 536..... πάντη φοιτώντες, of. Plat. Symp. p. 203, A, where he has very similar sentiments on the intermediate ministry of these angelie powers, ούτοι δη οί δαίμωνες πολλοί και παντοδαποί είσιν.

126. τοῦτο γέρας βασιλήζον. This royal prerogative, viz. of rightly administering justice and of punishing unjust deeds, σχέτλια έργα, as well as of conferring wealth and honour on whomso-ever they pleased. The scholiasts find an allusion to the Basileis Supopdyoi of v. 39. The poet may mean, that they retain this office of kings even after this life, as Aeschylus taught that kings on earth were kings in Hades, Cho. 348 seqq. Moschopulus ;--- ήγουν ταιs δαντών δωρεαίs πλουτίζοντες τούς ανθρώπους και τούτο, ήγουν το πλουτοδόται είναι, έσχον τιμήν βασιλικήν, ήγουν βασιλεύσι πρέ-The words Kal TOUTO K.T.A. are πουσαν. rather obscure. Bentley regarded 124 -126 as an interpolation.

ЕРГА КАІ НМЕРАІ.

Δεύτερον αὐτε γένος πολὺ χειρότερον μετόπισί	lev 🛛
άργύρεον ποίησαν 'Ολύμπια δώματ' έχοντες,	
χρυσέω ούτε φυήν έναλίγκιον ούτε νόημα.	
άλλ' έκατον μέν παις έτεα παρά μητέρι κεδνή	130
ἐτρέφετ' ἀτάλλων μέγα νήπιος †ῷ ἐνὶ οἶκφ·	(130)
άλλ' †ότ' αν ήβήσειε και ήβης μέτρον ικοιτο,	
παυρίδιον ζώεσκον έπὶ χρόνον, ἄλγε' ἔχοντες	
άφραδίης υβριν γαρ ατάσθαλον ουκ εδύναντο	
άλλήλων απέχειν, ούδ' αθανάτους θεραπεύειν	135
ήθελον, ούδ' έρδειν μακάρων ίεροις έπι βωμοις,	(135)

130. πάις Γεκατών Γέτεα? 131. Γοίκω

131. $d\tau \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega v$ A, Ald. $d\tau \tau \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega v$ EFH. $d\tau \tau \alpha \lambda \omega v$ BCGI. $d\tau \tau \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega v$ K, with "al. $d\tau \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega v$ " in the margin. 134. $d\phi \rho \alpha \delta \phi \sigma \omega v$ A. In D the whole passage 134—155 is omitted by the first hand, and added afterwards in the space of four verses originally left vacant. The transcriber mistook v. 156 for v. 140.

127. xepórepor. See inf. v. 158. 128. voivear. Cf. v. 110. Hesiod seems to speak of the successive races as new creations consequent on the extinction of the preceding. Cf. vv. 121. 140. 156. The blessedness of the silver race seems to have consisted in the long period of happy and innocent childhood. The latter part of life was a declension towards the next step in degeneracy; men became quarrelsome, indifferent to religion, and full of cares and griefs. We are forcibly reminded of the immensely long lives assigned in Scripture to the primitive generations of man.

180. inards appears (Curtius, Gr. Et. 134) to have taken the F. The Bosotic form was Flaar: and Hesychius has preserved the form *learriv* (viginti), elecoris. See inf. 456.

181. ἀτάλλων, in childish sport. Soph. Ajac. 558, τέως δέ κούφοις πνεύμασιν βόσκου, νέων ψυχην ἀτάλλων. The ais properly short, as in II. xiii. 27, βη δ' ἐλάων ἐτι κύμωτ', ἄταλλε δὲ κήτε' ὑπ' αὐτοῦ πάστοθεν ἐκ κευθμῶν. The repetition of the dental in pronunciation (ἐττάλλων) may be compared with τῦταίνονται in Theog. 209. It is more common with a liquid, os Όλλυμπος (Οδλυμ-

πος), Τελλεότας, οτ a labial, as απάλαμον sup. v. 20. 'Απόλλωνα Theog. 14. αποτέσγουν Od. xxiv. 7. απονέσσθαι ib. xvii. 260. έπει xxi. 25, &c. Bentley and Heyne thought this couplet spurious, perhaps because the \$\$ does not take the aspirated digamma, σΓφ. Perhaps &s έν Folke, which would imply that fixed habitations were not then in use, but came in with the brazen age, v. 150. μέγα νήπιος, 'very childish,' like μέγα νήπιε Κροϊσε, in the oracle ap. Herod. i. 85. So μέγα νήπιε Πέρση in v. 286. μέγ' ἀμείνων Sout. Η. 51. μέγ' ἀνακτι Theog. 486.—Τzetzes;—είκδs δέ και τοδs ἐν γυναικωνίτιδι τεθραμμένους τλῆπαί τι, βραχυβίους είναι καὶ οῦτω τελευτῶν δθεν καὶ μεγαλοιηπίους αὐτοῦς λόγει, διά τὴν τοιαίτην ἀνατροφήν. 182. δταν. The Attic idiom would re-

132. $\delta \tau a \nu$. The Attic idiom would reject the $\delta \nu$, and perhaps the construction is not easily defended by Epic examples. Probably we should read $\delta \tau \delta \tau' \delta \beta \delta \sigma \epsilon \epsilon$, 'whenever any one of them came to his full growth.' Boissonade proposed $\delta \tau'$ $\delta \rho' \delta \beta \delta \sigma \epsilon \epsilon$, which Gaisford gives as the reading of MS. Par. 2771, and so Schoemann has edited.

134. appablyour Cod. Gale.

23



η θέμις ἀνθρώποισι κατ' ηθεα. τοὺς μὲν ἔπειτα Ζεὺς Κρονίδης ἔκρυψε χολούμενος, οὖνεκα τιμὰς οὐκ ἐδίδουν μακάρεσσι θεοῖς οἶ Όλυμπον ἔχουσιν. αὐτὰρ ἐπεὶ καὶ τοῦτο γένος κατὰ γαῖα κάλυψε, 140 τοὶ μὲν ὑποχθόνιοι μάκαρες θνητοὶ καλέονται, (140) δεύτεροι, ἀλλ' ἔμπης τιμὴ καὶ τοῖσιν ὀπηδεῖ.

Ζεὺς δὲ πατὴρ τρίτον ẳλλο γένος μερόπων ἀνθρώπων χάλκειον ποίησ', οὐκ ἀργυρέῷ οὐδὲν ὁμοῖον, ἐκ μελιὰν δεινόν τε καὶ ὅμβριμον οἶσιν Åρηος 145 ἔργ' ἔμελε στονόεντα καὶ ὕβριες οὐδέ τι σῖτον (145)

137. ἀνθρώποις κατὰ Γήθεα. 146. Γέργ'

139. ἐδίδων AEF. θεοῖσιν Α. 141. τοὶ μέν τοι χθόνιοι Α, but ὑπὸ in the margin. ὑποχθόνιοι the rest, but τοὶ μὲν οὖτοι πολυχθόνιοι Ι. 144. ἀργυρῷ all. 145. ὅβριμον ABCHI.

137. $\kappa \alpha \tau' \, \# \theta \epsilon \alpha$, throughout their settlements, $\kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \, \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \iota s$, each nation in its respective city. As $\# \partial \theta s$ takes the digamma, Bentley's reading is probably correct, $\# \theta \ell \mu \mu s \, d\nu \theta \rho \, d\pi \sigma s$.

correct, η θέμις ανθρώποις κατα Γήθεα. 138. Zebs Κρονίδης. This marks the period of the silver age. Those of the golden age έπι Κρόνου ήσαν, v. 111.

139. đôlôw Cod. Gale, perhaps rightly, for tôlôorar. So also Par. 2771. But ôtôw occurs inf. 225. ôtôoûra: II. xxiv. 425. ôtôoî Aesch. Suppl. 987.

141. $\delta\pi\sigma\chi\theta\delta\nu\omega$ the MSS. and Proclus. $\delta\pi\chi\xi\theta\delta\nu\omega$ Gaisford, with Tzetzes and some copies (ap. Goettl.) $\tau\sigmal \ \mu\ell\nu \ \tau\omega$ $\chi\theta\delta\nu\omega$ Cod. Gale, but with $\delta\pi\delta$ in the margin. The poet appears to distinguish the 'blessed spirits of men' in Hades from the $\delta al \mu \sigma \nu s$, the genii or angelic powers who lived and moved on the earth, sup. v. 123, and to regard the $\delta\pi\sigma\chi\theta\delta\nu\omega$. The latter were immortals; the $\mu d\kappa a\rho s \theta \sigma \eta \tau o l more resembled the Latin$ Manes, or 'good spirits' of the departed,and had not the divine attribute of immortality. Of these the poet says, $that although of the second class (<math>\delta\epsilon\phi-\tau\epsilon\rho\omega$), nevertheless they had honour paid to them, viz. commemorations and propitiatory sacrifices from men on the carth, $\delta\tau\sigma\gamma\sigma\mu\sigma l$ or $si\mu ascoplas$.

145. Goettling construes $\ell \kappa \mu \epsilon \lambda n \tilde{k} \tau \delta \epsilon_{\ell}$ $\nu \delta r$, *timendum propler arma*, while the common interpretation is $\pi \delta (\eta \sigma \epsilon_{\ell} \delta \epsilon_{\ell})$, *is ashonewood*? It was the idea of Proclus that the Merran N $\delta \mu \phi a$: were meant (Theog. 187). It is rather difficult to decide; but $\pi \delta \hat{\eta} \sigma \epsilon_{\ell}$ $\ell \kappa \mu \epsilon \lambda n \delta \tau$ is at least a more usual idiom than $\delta \epsilon \nu \delta \nu$ $\ell \kappa \mu \epsilon \lambda n \delta \tau$. The race was made out of a tough material, and hence they were tough warriors and carried tough arms; while their implements generally being of bronze (v. 149) gave them the name of $\chi d \lambda \kappa \epsilon i \delta \nu \sigma \epsilon \nu \delta \tau$. We know from Homer how much the $\mu \epsilon i \lambda \tau - \nu \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \gamma \lambda \sigma$ was used in war. Virgil, Aenviii. 315, supports the above explanation; 'Gensque virum truncis et dero robore nata.' Ovid leaves the matter ambiguous, Met. i. 125, 'Tertis post illas successit aenea proles, Szevior ingenis et ad horrida promptior arma.'

146. $\sigma i rov$, fruges, corn and vegetable products, as opposed to a diet on meet, which was thought to render men ferocious.— $\delta d \mu a ros$, probably basall, the material from which the primitive celts or axe-heads were often made,—' facta ex adamante securi,' Orid, Fast. iii. 805. It has the appropriate epithet $\chi \lambda a p \delta s$ in Scut. Herc. 231.

ήσθιον, άλλ' άδάμαντος έχον κρατερόφρονα θυμόν, απλητοι· μεγάλη δε βίη και χειρες ααπτοι έξ ώμων επέφυκον επί στιβαροίσι μελεσσιν. τοις δ' ην χάλκεα μέν τεύχεα, χάλκεοι δέ τε οίκοι, 150 χαλκώ [δ'] εἰργάζοντο· μέλας δ' οὐκ ἔσκε σίδηρος. (150) καί τοι μέν χείρεσσιν ύπο σφετέρησι δαμέντες βήσαν ές εὐρώεντα δόμον κρυεροῦ 'Αίδαο νώνυμνοι θάνατος δε και εκπάγλους περ εόντας είλε μελας, λαμπρόν δ' έλιπον φάος ήελίοιο. 155 Αύταρ έπει και τουτο γένος κατά γαία κάλυψεν, (155)

150. Foikou 151. χαλκώ Γειργάζοντο 153. 'Afibao

148. απλατοι (γρ. απλαστοι) Α. απλαστοι GK, Ald. άπλάτοι Β. απλατοι CDHI. 149. στιβαροῦσι μέλεσσιν BCDEF. στιβαροῦς μελέεσσιν AGK, Ald. στιβαροῦσι μελέεσσιν Η. στιβαροῦσι μέλεσιν Ι. στιβαροῖς 150. των δ' AEF. χάλκεοι δέ τ' Α. χάλκεοι δε οίκοι D. χάλκεοι οίκοι (with a syllable erased between, and obv supersor. before $\tau \epsilon i \chi \epsilon a$) E, and F has the same readings. 151. χαλκώ δ all the MSS. εἰργάζοντο ABCDHI, and G with the ρ supersor. ἐργάζοντο EFK, Ald. 152. σφετέροισι G. 154. νώνυμνοι ABCG. νώνυμοι DEFHIK, Ald.

148. The MSS., as usual, vary between άπλητοι, άπλατοι, and άπλαστοι. Goettling prefers the first in the sense of ' unapproachable' (πελάω, πλάω). See Theog. 151. Tzetzes read andaoros, but explains it by appoonthation, ols oudels πλησιάζει. - άαπτοι, ήγουν άψαυστοι Mos-chopulus. 'Not to be grappled with,' from anreota. In pronouncing it, some vowel-sound probably represented the aspirate. So dáaros in Homer was perhaps àFaFaros.

149. eréqueor. Some take this form for exceptnessar, (like Edor or Edwr for Edorar, Theog. v. 30,) but it appears to be the imperfect of a secondary present πεφύκω. See on Scut. H. 228.-στιβαροΐσι μέλεσσιν Goettl. with some MSS. Others στιβαροίs μελέεσσιν. 150. τοίs δ'. Cod. Gale των δ'.

151. χαλκώ δ' elpyd (orro the best MSS. with Cod. Gale. εργά (orro others. If this distich be genuine (and Bentley rejected 150-1), the original reading must have been xarky Feipyd (ovro,

'they tilled the ground with copper' or bronze. Ovid, Fast. iv. 405, 'Aes erat in pretio, Chalybeïa massa latebat.' Lucret. v. 1286, 'Et prior aeris erat quam ferri cognitus usus.' It is to be observed that the poet calls this age 'brazen' not because inferior to the olden and the silvern, but literally, because they made use of bronze, or brass.

154. rorvaros Cod. Gale and many others; vulg. νώνυμοι. Π. xii. 70, νω-νύμνους ἀπολέσθαι. Od. i. 222, ού μέν τοι γενεήν γε θεολ νώνυμνον όπίσσω θη-καν. The euphonic insertion of v may be compared with andrauros, for anaraµos and δίδυµros for δίδυµos. See sup. on v. 118.—This ignominious descent of the brazen race into Hades is contrasted with the honour which their predecessors of the silver age obtained as δaluoves and μάκαρες θνητοl, v. 142.-έκπάγλους, 'formidable,' 'monstrous,' dervous, for exarcay lovs, the termination being as in $\sigma_{1}\gamma\eta\lambda\delta s$, $\delta_{1}\gamma\eta\lambda\delta s$, &c.

25

αὖθις ἐτ' ἄλλο τέταρτον ἐπὶ χθονὶ πουλυβοτείρη Ζεὺς Κρονίδης ποίησε δικαιότερον καὶ ἄρειον, ἀνδρῶν ἡρώων θεῖον γένος, οἱ καλέονται

157. adres BCDGH. addes AEF. addes da' Ald. At this verse another hand commences in A.

157. άλλο τέταρτον. We do not find that the iron age immediately succeeds to the brazen, nor that the degeneration of man is continuous. For here we have an interval between the brazen and the iron, which is occupied by a race who are an improvement on the last: and what appears at first still more singular, these are not named after any of the metals. This is rather difficult to explain. Goettling's theory is some-what involved, that the poet describes three great cycles, each commencing better than it closes; and so cycle 1 comprehends the golden, the silver, and the brasen ; cycle 2 the heroic, ending with the poet's own age; cycle 3 commences with the better era which he hints at in Ereira yevérbai, v. 175. He says (on v. 109) that there were in the first cycle (1) 'aureum saeculum, innocentiae humanae tempus;' (2) 'argen-teum, desidiae humanae tempus;' (3) 'aeneum, quo impietati hominum accedebant facinors.' In the second cycle he places (4) 'actas heroica insignis jus-titia, sed bellicosa;' (5) the age of Hesiod, 'quam ipsa Justitia et Pudor relicturae sunt.' The third cycle he supposes is yet to follow. All this however is too artificial, though to a certain extent plausible. A simpler explanation is this :--Having mentioned the dalµoves on earth and the Spirits in Hades, the poet was bound to find some place for the heroes, the cultus of whom formed so prominent a feature in the religion of Hellas. As these were made on earth as warriors, it was natural, and indeed necessary, to connect them with the warlike race (v. 145) of the brazen age, while it was not less necessary to speak of their virtues and justice as qualities far superior to the Spres of their compeers. To these accordingly he assigns a happy abode after death in the Isles of the Blest, as Homer does to Menelaus in the Odyssey, iv. 562, and Euripides in the Helena, v. 1677.

158. άρειον. Proclus; - ή βέλτιον, ή

πολεμικόν. Tzetzes :— η πολεμικόν η deri ὑπερθετικοῦ, ἄριστον καl βέλτιστον. The word is here a synonym of άμεινος, and the comparative of an old word ἀρεὐς, of which ἁριστος is the superlative (as η̈̃ο̈is, ἡδίων, ῆδιστος). We have χερεὐς (rather than χέρης) in Homer, from which the forms χέρηζ, χέρπα, χέρπα, χέρεια, are inflected, after the analogy of ἀξός. Hence χειρότερος (sup. v. 127), χείρων by hyperthesis for χερίων (i), χερειότερος from a by-form of the positive, χέρειος, and a later Attic superlative χείρωτος. It appears to have been a mistake of the rhapeodists to use χέρπα for χείρων ain II. iv. 400, and Od. xiv. 176, of τι χέρπα πατρός ἑοῖο φίλοιο, but apparently form κακά in Od. xv. 324. xviii. 229. With ἀρείων we may compare the Epic form χερείων.

Proclus; -of male-159. Kaléoptai. ortai אווטבטו גמדע סליארטוסוי אראש אועמג, ύστέρους όντας έκείνων. προτέρη δέ, ήτοι προτέρων ανθρώπων. Hesiod, speaking with respect to his own time, the fifth age, (v. 174.) might have said raliorro, especially as *sporteny* yeseff means in the generation preceding his own. It seems best however, with Aldus and Bobinson, to put a comma after huldeon, so that προτέρη γενεή refers to their existence in by-gone times, and is equivalent to πρότερον γενομένων. Schoemann pre-fors προτέρη γενεή, with two Paris MSS. Hermann compares the similar expression ημιθέων γένος ανδρών, in 11. xii. 23. Cf. Plat. Oratyl. p. 398, c, obr oloba bre ημίθεοι οι ήρωες; Τι οδη; Πάντες δη-που γεγόνασιν έρασθέντος η θεοῦ θνητής ή θνητοῦ θeâs. Gaisford cites Eustathius on II. A. p. 17, τους ανθρώπους (διαιρεί) είς τε ήρωας καl είς αυτό τοῦτο, ανθρώπους. Και ύποβεβηκέναι μέν φησι θεοίς δαίμονας, ανθρώπους δε πρωσιν, obs καl έκ θείου καl ανθρωπίνου σώματος φῦναι λέγουσι· διο καl 'Ησίοδος ήμιθέους abrobs $\lambda \ell \gamma \epsilon i$. Simonides (frag. 1) remarks that the $\eta \mu (\theta \epsilon o \epsilon)$ did not attain old age anovor oude appertor oud anirouror βίον τελέσαντες.



ЕРГА КАІ НМЕРАІ.

ήμίθεοι, προτέρη γενεή κατ' απείρονα γαίαν. 160 και τους μέν πόλεμός τε κακός και φύλοπις αινή (160) τοὺς μεν ἐφ' έπταπύλφ Θήβη, Καδμηΐδι γαίη, ώλεσε μαρναμένους μήλων ένεκ' Οιδιπόδαο, τούς δε και έν νήεσσιν ύπερ μέγα λαίτμα θαλάσσης ές Τροίην άγαγών Έλένης ένεκ' η ϋκόμοιο. 165 ένθ ήτοι τους μέν θανάτου τέλος αμφεκάλυψε (165) τοις δε δίχ ανθρώπων βίοτον και ήθε όπάσσας Ζεὺς Κρονίδης κατένασσε πατήρ ἐς πείρατα γαίης [τηλοῦ ἀπ' ἀθανάτων τοισιν Κρόνος ἐμβασιλεύει.] και τοι μέν ναίουσιν ακηδέα θυμον έχοντες 170

164. νάξεσσιν 167. Γήθε

161. Omitted in E, but inserted by the first hand. 165. τροίαν
 D. 166. ἀμφεκάλυψεν ADI. 167. ὅπάσας ACG, Ald. ὅπάσας K.
 This and the two next verses are wanting in H. 169. omitted in ABCEGI, and in F, but added in the last after 173, with βασίλευε.

162. This verse, not without good reason, was regarded by Heyne as spurious. It is not indeed improbable that the whole passage 161-9 was added by the rhapsodists in consequence of the celebrity of the Thebaid and the Iliad, which were alike attributed to Homer. Prof. Mahaffy remarks on this passage (Hist Gr. Lit. i. p. 103), "So powerful was the effect of the Heroic [Homeric] epics, that the shrewd poet of the Works thought it necessary to find a niche for this race [the warriors at Troy] in his temple of fame; and so the legend was distorted to admit them as a fifth race, created out of due time by the Father of gods and of men." Similarly Sir G. W. Cox (Mythology and Folklore, p. 173); "The vast body of epical tradition related to men who could not be classed with those of either the gold, the silver, or the brazen ages, and who in bravery, power, and strength of will, immeasurably surpassed the degenerate creatures of the age of iron. It thus became necessary to find a place for them, and so the Heroic age was interposed immediately before that of iron." Schoemann observes (Com. Crit. p. 24) that the poet regards the fifth race as descended from the heroes, and not as a new creation. like the foregoing Compare inf. v. 653.

Compare inf. v. 653. 163. Olðardózo. Cf. II. xxiii. 679, dedoubtless interpolated, with many others in our present texts, from the *Thebais*.

165. ἀγαγών. War itself is said ἀγαγεῖν ήρωαs ἐν νήεσσι, though more properly νῆες ήγαγον ήρωαs ἐς πόλεμον. 166. ήτοι. The meaning seems to be,

166. ήτοι. The meaning seems to be, η απώλοντο η έσώθησαν ές μακάρων νησους,-for of μέν-οί δέ.

σους,—for ol μέν—ol δέ. 167. τοîs δέ. This alludes primarily to the legend about Menelaus. See on v. 156 ad fin.—fiθεa (Fhθεa), see v. 137.

168. Hesych. κατένασσε, κατφκισε. See Theog. 329. 620.

169. $\epsilon\mu\beta\alpha\sigma\iota\lambda\epsilon\epsilon$ Buttmann for $\epsilon\mu\beta\alpha\sigma$ $\sigmai\lambda\epsilon\nu\epsilon$. Gaisford omits this verse, which appears to be wanting in nearly all the MSS., as well as the early editions. Compare Pind. Ol. ii. 70, where the abode of the beatified herces is called Kpórov $\tau\phi\rho\sigma$ s.

έν μακάρων νήσοισι παρ' Ώκεανὸν βαθυδίνην, ὄλβιοι ἦρωες, τοῖσιν μελιηδέα καρπὸν (170) τρὶς ἔτεος θάλλοντα φέρει ζείδωρος ἄρουρα.

Μηκέτ' ἔπειτ' ὦφελλον ἐγὼ πέμπτοισι μετειναι ἀνδράσιν, ἀλλ' ἢ πρόσθε θανειν ἢ ἔπειτα γενέσθαι. 175 νῦν γὰρ δὴ γένος ἐστι σιδήρεον οὐδέ ποτ' ἦμαρ παύσονται καμάτου καὶ ὀϊζύος, οὐδέ τι νύκτωρ (175)

173. TPis Féreos

172. τοίσι ADEFGH. τοίσι δέ Ι. 173. τρίς έτεος AEF. τρίς τοῦ

črovs the rest. 174. ωφειλον A. ὤφειλον DK, Ald. ἐγὼ omitted in H by the first hand. 176. οὐδέτ ἡμαρ D by the first hand, corrected to οὐδέ τι. οὐδέποτ' οἶμαι I. 177. added in the margin in A. καμάτοιο G.

171. $\pi a \rho'$ 'Accarór. Near, or extending along, the outer ocean stream, which was supposed to environ the earth. Horace had this passage in view, Epod. 16, 63, 'Jupiter illa piae secrevit litora genti, Ut inquinavit aere tempus aureum; Aere, dehinc ferro duravit saecula.'

173. MS. Cant. and many others give τρίs τοῦ έτους, which arose from ignorance of the digamma.

rance of the digamma. 174. $\mu\eta\kappa\dot{\epsilon}\tau_{i}\kappa.\tau.\lambda$. 'Would that, after thia, it had not been my lot to live among 'men of the fifth race.' The seems virtually is, freera δ ? ' $\epsilon\tau_{i}$ $\pi\dot{\epsilon}\mu\pi\tau\sigma\sigma$ $\gamma\dot{\epsilon}\nu\sigmas$ $\exists\nu$, $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ ofs effe $\mu\lambda$ $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\epsilon\nu\dot{\epsilon}\mu\pi\tau\sigma\sigma$ $\gamma\dot{\epsilon}\nu\sigmas$ $\exists\nu$, $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ ofs effe $\mu\lambda$ $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\sigma\dot{\epsilon}\mu\sigma\sigma$. The form $\delta\phi\epsilon\nu\lambda\sigma\sigma$ (so MSS. and edd.) seems doubtful. Rather perhaps, $\dot{\delta\phi}\epsilon\lambda\lambda\sigma\nu$, (an Homeric form.) which is quoted by Goettling from Cramer's Anecdota, iii. p. 221. And Cod. Gale

In the second s

than in this.' Hesiod however does not drop any further hint about this supposed amelioration; generally, his mind seems to have been impressed with the regular and progressive decadence towards evil. See on v. 156. It was this view of the sense which Juvenal must have taken, Sat. xiii. 28, 'Nona aetas agitur, pejoraque saecula farri Temporibus; quorum sceleri non invenit ipsa Nomen, et a nullo posuit Natura metallo.' Plaut. Trinum. 290, 'lacrumas haec mibi, quum video, eliciunt, quia ego ad hoc genus hominum perduravi.'

177. $\pi \alpha \delta \sigma \sigma \tau \alpha \alpha$. As the poet uses the future uniformly in his account of the iron and post-iron age (down to v. 201), we must conclude that he regards his own lifetime as but the commencement of the former, and the transition period, as it were, between it and the preceding. Bad as matters are now, he says, they will be yet worse. Hence Goettling has little ground for making a difficulty about $\mu e \mu l \{era i n v. 179$. He says, there is no meaning in the future tense if we interpret, with Proclus, 'Yet nevertheless even to these some good shall be mixed up with bad,'-badness has not entirely prevailed, for there shall still be some respect for virtue,—i. e. the fifth race shall not prove wholly evil. And he encloses 179—81 within brackets as spurious. The poet seems to have had in view a

φθειρόμενοι χαλεπὰς δὲ θεοὶ δώσουσι μερίμνας ἀλλ' ἔμπης καὶ τοῖσι μεμίζεται ἐσθλὰ κακοῖσιν. Ζεὺς δ' ὀλέσει καὶ τοῦτο γένος μερόπων ἀνθρώπων, 180 εὖτ' ἂν γεινόμενοι πολιοκρόταφοι τελέθωσιν.

οὐδὲ πατὴρ παίδεσσιν ὑμοίιος οὐδέ τι παίδες, (180) †οὐδὲ ξείνος ξεινοδόκῷ καὶ ἑταῖρος ἐταίρῷ, οὐδὲ κασίγνητος φίλος ἔσσεται, ὡς τὸ πάρος περ. αἶψα δὲ γηράσκοντας ἀτιμήσουσι τοκῆας[•] 185

181. γινόμενοι BCDEFH. 184. έσσεται φίλος ώστε πάρος περ Ι.

doctrine of which frequent glimpses appear in later times, that there was a tolerably equal balance between good and evil in the world. Cf. Eur. Suppl. 195:---

άλλοισι δη 'πόνησ' ἁμιλληθελε λόγφ τοιφδ' έλεξε γάρ τις ώς τὰ χείρονα πλείω βροτοῖσίν ἐστι τῶν ἀμεινόνων.

Those who explain the text as if it were serve doftains $\mu e \mu l_{erral}$, (will shall be mixed up with good,' fail to notice the qualifying $\lambda \lambda'$ furns, 'yet nevertheless.' The meaning is, that matters shall not as yet be wholly bad: but, when Zeus shall have destroyed this race also, another shall succeed which will be utterly depraved, v. 182-201. On the whole, there seem very sufficient grounds for Hermann's suspicion, that after v. 181 several lines have been lost. It is clear from v. 273, where the poet expresses a hope that not all justice has vanished in his time, compared with $\kappa al \tau \delta r \in \delta \eta$ $\kappa \tau \lambda$. (v. 197), where he predicts that all respect for another's rights ($\omega \delta k_{0}$) will depart, that he is there speaking of a distinct and subsequent age, viz. the sixth, or that of the utmost depravity.

181. $\epsilon \delta \tau' \delta \nu \kappa.\tau.\lambda$. 'When they shall be born with grey hairs on their temples.' The meaning of this is very obscure. Goettling supposes an allusion to some oracle which had predicted the arrival of a nation having light hair from early youth, e.g. after the Teutonic type. But this is very far-fetched. If the Greek could mean (reading yurduevo) 'so soon as they become old,' the sense would be simple

enough, that they will be destroyed for their wickedness. But the use of the language and the order of the words are alike against this. Probably the phrase merely expresses premature old age, one of the certain signs of physical degeneration. So conversely the silver age had a childhood of a hundred years (v. 130). By *sporape*, the Greeks meant the part of the head in the region near the ear and eye (the temple). Old age first shows itself in the upper part of the whisker becoming grey, and then it descends to the beard, according to Theocritus, xiv. 68, από κροτάφων πελόμεσθα πάντες γηραλέοι, και έπισχερα ές γένυν έρπει λευκαίνων ό χρόνος. Ibid. Χν. 85, πράτον ίουλον άπο κροτάφων κατα-Moschopulus :--- ἀπὸ τῶν κροβάλλων. τάφων γάρ ως έπι το πλειστον άρχονται πολιούσθαι οί άνθρωποι.

182. Before this verse (as remarked above) something seems lost which introduced the depravity of the sixth and last age, yet to come.— $\pi a \partial e_s$, scil. $\pi a \tau p l \partial_{\mu o o t} \ell \sigma o \tau a ... - \delta \mu o loss, here, as the$ $context shows, for <math>\delta \mu o \gamma \nu \delta \mu o \tau \sigma \tau \tau \kappa \delta s$, 'Neither will father be likeminded (in accord) with sons, nor sons at all with father.'

183. As *traipos* should take the digamma (Curtius, Gr. Et. 674), it is probable that this verse was interpolated.

185. alψa, 'as soon as they begin to grow old (i.e. no longer able to maintain their authority), parents will forthwith be slighted by their own children.' The Greeks regarded insult to aged parents as one of the most heinous of crimes. Thus γονεῖς τιμῶν was one of

μέμψονται δ' ἄρα τοὺς χαλεποῖς βάζοντε ἐπεσσι, σχέτλιοι, οὐδὲ θεῶν †ὅπιν εἰδότες· οὐδέ κεν οἶγε (185) γηράντεσσι τοκεῦσιν ἀπὸ θρεπτήρια δοῖεν, χειροδίκαι· ἔτερος δ' ἑτέρου πόλιν ἐξαλαπάξει. οὐδέ τις εὐόρκου χάρις ἔσσεται οὖτε δικαίου 190 οὖτ' ἀγαθοῦ, μᾶλλον δὲ κακῶν ῥεκτῆρα καὶ †ὖβριν

186. Γέπεσσι

186. βάζοντες ἐπέεσσιν CEFGHIK, Ald. βάζοντες ἐπεσσι Α (γρ. βάζοντ' ἐπέεσσι). βάζοντες ἔπεσσιν D. βάζοντ' ἐπέεσσιν Β. 187. οῦτε θεῶν all (?) but Ald., and οὐδὲ μέν. 190. οὐδέ δικαίου Α.

the decrees of the Draconian θεσμοί. There is a very similar verse in Theognis, 819; of δ' ἀπογηράσκονταs ἀτιμά-(ουσι τοκήαs.

186. μέμψονται, they will express their dislike of them, or grunble at them.— $\beta d_i \phi vre έπεσσι$ (Féπεσσι) is clearly the true reading. Goettling gives $\beta d_i \phi vres$ έπεσσι, Gaisford $\beta d_i \phi vr'$ έπέεσσιν. Tzetzes distinctly recognises the dual; $\beta d_i \phi vre$, δvrl τοῦ $\beta d_i \phi vres$, το δυϊκόν ἀντι τοῦ πληθυντικοῦ. So perhaps Aesch. Pers. 418, $\delta vrol$.—παίοντ έθραυον πάντα κωπήρη στόλον, where the Schol. Med. observes, παράλογον το δυϊκόν. The MSS., as might be expected, vary be-

tween the two. MS. Cant. has βάζοντ επέεσσω. Cod. Gale βάζοντες έπεσσι, with γρ. βάζοντ' έπέεσσι in the margin. The meaning is, δνειδίζοντες, καθαπτόμενοι. So with a dative Aesch. Theb. 567, κακοῖφι βάζει πολλά Τυδέως βίαν.

187. obdé decor. Cod. Gale obre decor 187. obdé decor. Cod. Gale obre decor and so Tzetzes, Moschopulus, and the majority of the MSS.—brue, the cognizance or regard of the gods towards human affairs. Cf. inf. v. 251. 706. Pind. Ol. ii. 6, bru δίκαιον ξένων. But, as eldores takes the digamma, the true reading is perhaps bra eldores. Tzetzes $\tau h \nu$ έντολην τών decor, who perhaps found bra.—obdé κεν Goettl., apparently with some MSS. Those I have collated give obdé μέν, i. e. obdé μήν. Cf. v. 214.

188. γηράντεσσι. From γήρημι, γηράω, γηράσκω, an aorist έγηραν was formed (like έδραν from διδράσκω), whence έγήρασαν(transitively) in Aesch. Suppl. 870. The participle γήρας, anciently γήραντς, occurs II. xvii. 197, $\delta \delta' \delta \rho a \hat{\phi}$ rank $\delta \pi a \sigma \sigma e \nu \gamma \eta \rho ds$. The old dative plural was formed by adding $e\sigma\sigma w$ (for $s\sigma w$) to the stem $\gamma \eta \rho a \nu r$. See Donaldson, Gr. Gr. § 153. We have similar forms $\kappa \lambda a \delta \nu r e \sigma \sigma i$. Od. xii. 311. $\delta \kappa c w \delta \nu r e \sigma \sigma i$ 352. $\sigma \pi e v \delta \delta \nu r e \sigma \sigma i$. I. xxii. 745.

332. **Structure of II.** XIII. 123. 189. $\chi \epsilon_{10} o \delta (\kappa a_{1}, taking the law into$ $their own hands. Tzetzes; of <math>\mu h$ $\kappa \rho \sigma \epsilon_{1}$ κal $\nu \delta \mu \rho o s$ $\pi \epsilon_{10} \delta \mu \mu \sigma \sigma_{10}$, $\lambda \lambda \lambda \chi \epsilon_{20} \rho \sigma I$ mal $l \sigma \chi \delta I$ máwra $\delta \rho \tilde{\rho} \sigma \tau r s$. The 'justice of hands' (lynch law, or what the Germans call 'fist-law') is contrasted with the justice of regular tribunals. To be $\delta \tau$ $\chi \epsilon_{10} \tilde{\rho} \nu \delta \delta \sigma \sigma_{10}$ was to be summarily dealt with. So inf. v. 192, $\delta \epsilon_{10} \delta \delta \tau$ $\chi \epsilon_{20} \rho \sigma_{1}$. See Eur. Bacch. 738. Suppl. 431. Aesch. Prom. 194. Plat. Theset p. 172 fin., $\delta \nu \chi \epsilon_{20} l \tau h \nu \delta (\kappa \eta \nu \delta \chi \sigma \tau \tau z_{1})$ 190. $\chi \delta \rho s$. No favour shall be shown

190. xdors. No favour shall be shown to the man of honour; there will be no return, no gratitude, for his virtuous conduct.—oidd ducator Cod. Gale, with Stobaeus, Serm. ii. p. 30, who quotes 190-6.

191. $\delta\beta\rho\mu\nu$. This is taken for an adjective; but as such it is $\delta\pi\alpha\xi$ $\lambdaeroimeror.$ Schoemann (Com. Crit. p. 27) regards. Avois of Avoias, Zŵois for Zwoias. Moschopulus and gloss. Cod. Gale $\delta\beta\rho\mu\sigma$ chopulus and gloss. Cod. Gale $\delta\beta\rho\mu\sigma$ $\tauh\nu$. Conversely, $\delta\rho\pi\alpha\xi$ seems used for $\delta\rho\pi\alpha\gamma\eta$ inf. v. 356. Some regard $\delta\beta\rho\mu\sigma$ as truly a substantive, and an example of the thing put for the person, as Soph. Ant. 320, $\delta\mu'$ is $\lambda d\lambda\eta\mu a \delta\eta\lambda\sigmar$ interweaking is $\delta\beta\rho\mu\omega\sigma$, by synizesis, or the poet wrote $\mu\lambda\lambda\sigma\sigma$ $\delta\epsilon$ kanch $\delta\epsilon_{\delta}\sigma\sigma\sigma\tau$ and $\delta\beta\rho\mu\nu$, the next three verses, which contain several peculiarities, being inter-

ἀνέρα τιμήσουσι· δίκη δ' ἐν χερσὶ, καὶ αἰδὼς (190) οὐκ ἐσται· βλάψει δ' ὁ κακὸς τὸν ἀρείονα φῶτα μύθοισι σκολιοῖς ἐνέπων, ἐπὶ δ' ὅρκον ὀμεῖται· ζῆλος δ' ἀνθρώποισιν ὀζυροῖσιν ἄπασιν 195 δυσκέλαδος κακόχαρτος ὁμαρτήσει στυγερώπης. καὶ τότε δὴ πρὸς Ὅλυμπον ἀπὸ χθονὸς εὐρυοδείης (195) λευκοῖσιν φάρεσσι καλυψαμένω χρόα καλὸν ἀθανάτων μετὰ φῦλον ἴτον προλιπόντ' ἀνθρώπους Αἰδὼς καὶ Νέμεσις· τὰ δὲ λείψεται ἀλγεα λυγρὰ 200 θνητοῖς ἀνθρώποισι· κακοῦ δ' οὐκ ἔσσεται ἀλκή.

195. απασι D. 198. λευκοίσι EG. λευκοίσιν & A. φαρέεσσι MSS. 199. πην AEFI. πον BCD (by correction in D) GHK, Ald.

polated. The word pertup, 'a doer,' probably does not elsewhere occur.

192. $\delta(\kappa\eta \ \epsilon \nu \ \chi \epsilon \rho \sigma l$. This seems a mere repetition of $\chi \epsilon \iota \rho \sigma \delta(\kappa a a bove.$ Goettling translates, 'in corum manibus neque justitia neque pudor agnoscetur.' But it is better to join $\kappa a l a \delta s$ ('fellowfeeling') obs $\ell \sigma \tau a$, with Dindorf and Gaisford.

193. ó nands the deciona. The use of the article, not at all common in the older epic, is here to be noticed. So inf. v. 703, the dyachis, --the nands. Bentley read nands. On doctor see v. 158.

be better, perhaps, to real $\xi \pi i \delta' \delta \delta \mu$. 195. ($\eta \lambda os$, 'jealousy ;' the epithets to which are singularly appropriate, 'evil-speaking, exulting in mischief, sour-faced.'

198. odsess: raivyandres, veiling their fair forms in white robes, to conceal the unwelcome spectacle of man's wickedness. If the vulg. oasdecor be right, the

a is short, as occasionally in Euripides. Hermann reads $\phi d\rho e \sigma \sigma_i$, (like $\xi \pi e \sigma \sigma_i$ in v. 186.) and Schoemann (Com. Crit. p. 28) says this is now found in an Attic inscription. Cod. Gale $\lambda e u \kappa \sigma \sigma_i r e^{i\rho} \phi a \rho \epsilon e \sigma \sigma_i$, which implies a variant $\lambda e u \kappa \sigma \sigma_i$ $\epsilon^{i\rho} \phi$. Sc. $\epsilon^{i\rho} \kappa a \lambda v \psi a \mu \epsilon' \nu \omega$. MS. Cant. $\lambda e u \kappa \sigma \sigma_i$.

199. Trov, ' will depart.' So MS. Cant. and other good copies, the former with the marginal gloss $\epsilon raveau$ $\epsilon \pi i$ μ $\epsilon \lambda \lambda \rho r r o s$, $\epsilon r r i$ [r o v] $\epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \sigma o r r a i$ (from Moschopulus). Cod. Gale has $i \tau \eta v$, the imperfect, which is adopted by Gaisford, but is clearly against the sense. The MSS. appear to vary between the two. The sentiment is nearly that expressed by Ovid, 'Ultima caelestum terras Astraea reliquit,' viz. 'When matters have come to the worst, both self-respect and respect for others, and retributive justice, will depart, and nothing but evil will be left on earth.'-προλιπbrre, the masculine for the feminine, which the nominative dual has a tendency to assume in all its forms, e.g. καλυψαμένω above, τούτω for ταύτα Aesch. καλυψαμένω above, τούτω for ταύτα Aesch. Pera 190. So Soph. Oed. Col. 1113, έρείσατ', & παΐ, πλευρόν ἀμφιδέξιον ἐμ-φύντε τῷ φύσαντι. Ibid. v. 1676, ἰδόντε καὶ παθούσα. II. viii. 455, πληγέντε κεραυνῷ, said of Athena and Hera. 2001 Δλ. Δ.

201. $\lambda \lambda \kappa \eta$. One of the meanings given by Hesychius is $\lambda \lambda \xi \eta \sigma \eta s$. But $\lambda \lambda \kappa a \rho$ may here be the true reading, i.e. $\lambda \lambda \xi \eta \mu a$, $\beta \sigma \eta \theta \eta \mu a$ (id.).

Νῦν δ' αἶνον βασιλεῦσιν ἐρέω φρονέουσι καὶ αὐτοῖς. δδ' ἴρηξ προσέειπεν ἀηδόνα ποικιλόδειρον, (201) ὕψι μάλ' ἐν νεφέεσσι φέρων ὀνύχεσσι μεμαρπώς· ή δ' ἐλεὸν γναμπτοῖσι πεπαρμένη ἀμφ' ὀνύχεσσι 205 μύρετο· τὴν δ' ὄγ' ἐπικρατέως πρὸς μῦθον ἔειπε·

Δαιμονίη, τί λέληκας; ἔχει νὖ σε πολλὸν ἀρείων (205) τῆδ' †εἶς η σ' αν ἐγώ περ ἀγω καὶ ἀοιδὸν ἐοῦσαν δεῖπνον δ', αι κ' ἐθέλω, ποιήσομαι, ήὲ μεθήσω.

202. βασιλεῦσι Γερέω

203, 206. προσέ ειπεν.

205. γναπτοίσι Α. γναπτοίσι G. γναπτοίσι Β. γαμπτοίσι Ι. γναμπτοίσι CDEFHK, Ald. 207. λέλακας GK, Ald. 208. καὶ ἀηδόν Α (gl. καίπερ οὖσαν ἀηδόνα). καὶ ἀηδών 1. 209. αἴκε θέλω Α.

202. alvor, a tale, a story; a fable involving a moral, viz. that might is right. The kings are the unjust judges who have decided the suit in favour of Perses, and who are said poveir ral abrol, to be themselves aware of what is right and what is wrong, or (which is the same thing in effect) what is the point and purport of the story addressed to them. Cf. II. xxiii. 304, $\pi a \tau h \rho \, \delta \epsilon \, o l$ άγχι παραστάς μυθείτ' els άγαθά φρονέων νοέοντι και αυτφ. Goettling would omit this verse. But some introduction seems necessary for the purpose of connexion : otherwise we must acquiesce in the unsatisfactory conclusion that such myths and fables are but fragments of more complete poems, strung together in their present form by the rhapsodists. Rightly read, the verse bears its own evidence of genuineness in the use of the digamma and synizesis, βασιλεῦσι Γερέω. The MSS. give βασιλεῦσ' ἐρέω. So in Il. xxiii. 787, εἰδόσιν δμμι Γερέω should be read for tun' ipia. Goettling Basilevour epéw, after Thiersch.

203. $\pi \circ \iota \kappa \iota \lambda \delta \delta \epsilon \iota \rho \circ \nu$. Some explained this $\pi \circ \iota \kappa \iota \lambda \delta \gamma \eta \rho \iota \nu \gamma$, as if $\delta \epsilon \rho \eta$ were the vocal organ. And so Aesch. Agam. S19, $o \delta \kappa \epsilon \tau'$ $\epsilon \xi \ \lambda \epsilon \iota \vartheta \epsilon \epsilon \rho \circ \delta \epsilon \rho \eta s \ \delta \pi \circ \iota \iota \iota \omega \delta (o \circ \iota \epsilon) \phi \iota \lambda \tau \delta \tau \circ \iota \mu \delta \rho \circ \sigma$. But the meaning probably is 'spotted-necked.' So the night-

ingale was called *ξουθà*, and hence, like those on the swallow, certain marks, taken for blood-drops, suggested the legend about Tereus, Procne, and Philomela.

204. μεμαρτώς. Hesych. είληφώς, ήρπακώς. See on Scut. H. 245.

205. ελεόν, a remarkable form for ελεεινόν.—πεπαρμένη, (πείρω,) ' pierced,' ' transfixed.'

206. ἐπικρατέως, gloss. Cod. Gale àπηνέως καl ίσχυρῶς. Perhaps ἐπικρατέως, 'tightly grasping.' But the adverb occurs Sout. H. S21, 419. 461.

207. $\lambda \epsilon \lambda \eta nas$ Cod. Gale. $\lambda \epsilon \lambda a nas MS.$ Cant. and Corp. Christ. The former is the Epic, the latter the Attic form.

the type, the latter the Attic form. 208. els, 'you shall go.' See on v. 199. But the true form is probably elsta, Hesych. $\pi ope \delta \sigma y$. II. x. 450, $\frac{1}{7}$ $\tau \epsilon$ kal $\delta \sigma \tau e \rho \sigma e l \sigma d a b o s er l r r as$ $'A xauôr. Od. xix. 69, <math>\frac{1}{7}$ r d x kal $\delta a \lambda \tilde{\varphi}$ $\beta \epsilon \beta \lambda \eta d r a s c \delta \delta \sigma \delta c$.—The particle $\pi \epsilon \rho$ belongs to $\frac{1}{2}$. A few examples of this occur in indefinite propositions, e. g. Soph. Trach. 715, $\delta \pi \pi \epsilon \rho \delta r \delta (\gamma g)$. —kal doibly, said in banter, as if that faculty would exempt her from injury. There is a remarkable reading in Cod. Gale, $d \eta \delta \sigma r$ for doibly, with the gloss kalme o obsar dry dora.

•

ἄφρων δ' οs κ' έθελη προs κρείσσοναs αντιφερίζειν, 210 νίκης τε στέρεται πρός τ' αἴσχεσιν άλγεα πάσχει.

210. ἐθέλη BCG. ἐθέλοι EFK, and by correction DH. ἐθέλει A. ὑς κ ἐθέλη I. 213. ὕβριν δ' A. 215. δὲ ὑπ' A. 216. ἐτέρηφι CEK and others, rightly. ἐτέρηφι vulg. 217. κρείσσων, ἐς τὰ δ. Η.

213. Spence, pursue, keep up. Cf. v. 14. The Codex Galeanus has the remarkable reading $\mu\eta\delta'$ $\delta\beta\rho\mu\sigma\delta'$ $\delta\phi\epsilon\lambda\lambda\epsilon$. Was there an old variant $\delta\beta\rho\mu\sigma\delta'$ $\delta\phi\epsilon\lambda\lambda\epsilon$, as we have both $\delta\pi\mu\sigma$ and $\delta\pi\lambda\deltaa$, $\delta\mu\mu\sigma$ and $\delta\mu\deltaa$ (inf. v. 426)? So $\delta\rho\mu\sigma$ and $\delta\rho\deltaa$, $\delta\rho\mu\sigma$ and $\delta\rho\mu\deltaa$ (Schol. on II. iii. 219), $\theta\ell\mu\mu\sigma$ and $\theta\ell\mu\mu\sigma\sigmaa$ (II. v. 761).

214. $\gamma d\rho \tau \epsilon$. Equivalent to $\gamma d\rho \tau o_i$, in a gnomic sentiment. Cf. v. 311, 324-6. 'Don't keep up those insolent ways of yours,' says the poet, 'for people won't stand them, be they high or low.' obde $\mu d\nu$, i. e. obde $\mu f\nu$. Cf. v. 187. 'Overbearing conduct is bad for a poor wight, nay, not even a gentleman can bear the weight of it easily, but he is borne down by it when he has fallen into the mischiefs it causes.' Goettling explains $\phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \nu \circ \delta \delta \nu \sigma \pi \alpha \omega$ differently, 'a petulantia se abripi patitur,' (gives way to it.' Schoemann (p. 30) suggests $\tau \rho \epsilon \phi \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu$. The metaphor is derived from a crushing burden, as inf. v. 762.— $\beta a \rho \delta \sigma \epsilon$. The metaphor is derived from a crushing burden, is a neuter sense, for $\beta a \rho \delta \sigma \epsilon \epsilon$. II. xvi. 519, $\beta a \rho \delta \theta \epsilon \delta \epsilon \mu \omega \delta \mu \omega \delta \delta \sigma^* a \delta \tau \sigma \delta'$. Apoll. Rhod. i. 43, $\beta a \rho \delta \theta \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \delta f \delta \eta \gamma \nu \omega a$, and $\beta a \rho \delta \rho \omega \epsilon \delta t \delta \eta \delta \eta \gamma \nu \omega a$, and $\beta a \rho \delta \rho \omega \epsilon \delta t \delta \eta \delta \eta \gamma \nu \omega a$.

216. Ernor, the evil consequences, the misfortunes enumerated inf. v. 239 seqq. -μετελθείν, ' to pursue,' MS. Par. 2771. -It seems best to take έs τὰ δίκαια as exceptical of $\ell \tau \epsilon \rho \eta \phi i$, 'the road to pass in the other direction is better, that towards justice.' A comma has therefore been placed after spelsowr. Similarly Soph. Ant. 990, τοις τυφλοίσι γαρ αθτη κέλευθος, έκ προηγητοῦ, πέλει. The notion is that of avoiding 58pis by stepping aside from it into the path of justice. By a somewhat similar figure Justice herself is said to cross over from one side to the other, µeraβaíveir, Aesch. Cho. 300. Goettling : " ir ipnqu est contrario modo." He should have said, diversa via. Proclus; - κρείσσων έστιν ή els τὰ δίκαια όδὸs, ἐτέρα οδσα τῆs δβρεωs. κρείσσων δè els τὸ (f. κρεῖσσον δ' ἐστὶ τὸ) δι' αύτης παρελθείν μάλλον, η δια τής έναντίας της κατά την δβριν.

217. ὑπέρ—Ισχει, ὑπερέχει, gets the better of injustice when it comes to the final issue. Tzetzes :—καν μη παραυτίκα φανή της δικαιοσύνης ή δύναμις, αλλα

ές τέλος ἐξελθοῦσα· παθὼν δέ τε νήπιος ἔγνω. αὐτίκα γὰρ τρέχει Ὅρκος ἆμα σκολιῆσι δίκησι. τῆς δὲ δίκης ῥόθος ἑλκομένης ἦ κ' ἆνδρες ἆγωσι 220 [δωροφάγοι, σκολιαῖς δὲ δίκαις κρίνωσι θέμιστας.] ἡ δ' ἔπεται κλαίουσα †πόλιν καὶ ἦθεα λαῶν, (220)

222. Γήθεα λαξών

219. δίκησι AK. δίκησιν vulg. 220. άγωσιν DI. 222. πόλιν και ήθεα D. πόλιν τε και ήθεα the rest.

χρόνψ ποτὲ δοτερον όρἂται, περιπιπτόντων συμφοραϊς τῶν ἀδίκων.—παθῶν κ.τ.λ., when a man has suffered the consequence of his folly and avarice, he knows it to his cost; he learns it by experience. Goettling compares II. xvi. 32, ῥεχθὲν δέ τε νήπιος ἕγνω. Possibly this verse is the addition of some rhapeodist; but it seems to have existed in Plato's time. Sympos. p. 222, B, μή κατὰ τὴν παροιμίαν ὥσπερ νήπιον παθώντα γνώνα.—unless indeed he merely quotes a proverb from which this verse was made up, the well-known maxims of Aeschylus, δράσαντα παθεῖν and πάθει μάθος (Ag. 176).

220. $\beta\delta\theta\sigma$, a murmur of dissatisfaction. The article is added with $\delta\iota_{n\eta}$, which is here personified, from the former mention of it in 213, 217. The general meaning is, that the people are dissatisfied when justice is outraged by the corrupt judges. But the poet expresses this by the figure of a woman being dragged forcibly along the streets.

There is a similar passage on the secret mumur of discontent against the A tridae for undertaking the Trojan war, in Aesch. Agam. 436—8. Tzetzes rightly paraphrases thus; $\tau \eta s \delta \delta \delta \kappa au \delta \sigma \rho \eta sec$ $sizera <math>\tau \delta v \delta \delta \kappa au \delta \gamma \sigma s \kappa au \delta \delta \rho \sigma \beta \sigma s$ sizera $\tau \delta v \delta \delta \kappa au \delta \gamma \sigma s \kappa au \delta \delta \rho \sigma \beta \sigma s$ sizera $\tau \delta v \delta \delta \kappa au \delta \gamma \sigma s \kappa au \delta \delta \rho \sigma \delta \sigma s$ pieren $\tau \delta v \delta \delta \kappa au \delta \gamma \sigma \sigma s$ denotes the sense of $\delta \delta \theta \sigma s$, nor did Goettling, who explains it by "ruit justitia eo quo ab injustis hominibus rapta trahitur." But $\delta \kappa \sigma s$ is the genitive absolute.—The next verse is probably spurious, as Heyne parceived. It is perhaps adapted from v. 39. The repetition of $\sigma \kappa a \delta \kappa \sigma s$ from v. 219, especially with $\delta \kappa \sigma s$ in the intervening verse, is at least awkward and unpleasing. There is no need of an epithet to $\delta \sigma \delta \sigma s$, which means males as contrasted with the $\pi a \rho \delta \sigma \sigma \delta \kappa \sigma s$, inf. v. 256.

221. σκολιής δè δίκης Goettling, apparently against all the MSS.

ήέρα έσσαμένη, κακὸν ἀνθρώποισι φέρουσα οἶτε μιν ἐξελάσωσι καὶ οὐκ ἰθεῖαν ἐνειμαν οῦ δὲ δίκας ξείνοισι καὶ ἐνδήμοισι διδοῦσιν 225 ἰθείας, καὶ μή τι παρεκβαίνουσι δικαίου, τοἶσι τέθηλε πόλις, λαοὶ δ' ἀνθεῦσιν ἐν αὐτỹ· (225) εἰρήνη δ' ἀνὰ γῆν κουροτρόφος, οὐδέ ποτ' αὐτοῖς ἀργαλέον πόλεμον τεκμαίρεται εὐρύοπα Ζεύς· οὐδέ ποτ' ἰθυδίκησι μετ' ἀνδράσι λιμὸς ὀπηδεῖ, 230 μ.μ. οὐδ' ἄτη, θ<u>αλ</u>ίης δὲ μεμηλότα ἔργα ν<u>έμοντ</u>αι. τοῖσι φέρει μὲν γαῖα πολὺν βίον, οὖρεσι δὲ δρῦς (230)

223. Γεσσαμένη 227. λαξοί 231. Γέργα

224. $\xi \in \lambda$ are A. $\xi \in \lambda$ are τ in δt . 225. oi — $\delta t \delta \hat{w} \tau \tau$ is $\gamma \rho$. isomore, A. 230. isoticry μ in τ' isotic D. (The μ in τ' was introduced from the following δ , and the τ' came from a superscribed correction, μ isotic Λ . isotic K. isotic K. isotic Λ isotic Λ .

223, *hépa éorapéry*, clothed in mist. See on v. 125. Men do not see her, and do not know that she is the author of the calamities they are conscious of.

224. Electowor: Gaisford, and so the majority of the copies. Electowor: Goettling, and so Cod. Gale. Other MSS. give Electorower.--obs. Becar Foremar, do not sward it (justice) fairly and uprightly.' The sense is, 'Who shall have expelled her from her own tribunals through the held, or practice, of deciding unjustly.' There is a very similar passage in 11. xvi. 206 mag., where the anger of Zeus is denomed against those who βip elv imper induces the futures, is be imper induces for our dimoras, is be imper induces for our dimoras.

225. The ξένοι and ἕνδημοι are coupled, because in a suit between a native and an alien partiality might be shown to the former.—Cod. Gale $ol=\delta i \delta \hat{o} v \tau \epsilon_s$, with γρ. διδοῦσι. Perhaps διδῶσι and repected for or, especially as μη is used on account of the indefinite of. Cf. Aesch. Cha. 633, τὸ τῶν Διὸs σ έβαs παρεκβάντοs & δαμοτῶs.

228. *resuper péqos.* Peace is so called because she brings to full age those who would be destroyed in war, and because

she encourages marriage. Eur. Suppl. 488 :---

πολέμου κρεϊσσον εἰρήνη βροτοϊς, η πρώτα μèν μούσαισι προσφιλεστάτη, γόοισι δ' έχθρα, τέρπεται δ' εὐπαιδία.

In Eur. Bacch. 420, Elphrn is likewise called κουροτρόφοs θεά.

229. τ explains real, δ elfavor, $\delta pl(\epsilon_i, \pi_i$ pavorkei, 'ordains.' Cf. v. 239, and 398, $\xi p\gamma a, \tau a' r' aroparour 6eol dierexµhparro.$ $II. vii. 70, <math>\delta \lambda \lambda a$ kanà oporteur $\tau exµal$ perai dµporteouru (Kportons). See Buttmann, Lexil. in v., who derives it from $<math>\tau \epsilon \mu u p$, 'an end.' It is not very easy to explain the middle or deponent use, where the action is the giving a warning to others.

231. $\delta \tau \eta$, calamity in a general sense, but especially such as affects the mind, as contrasted with $\lambda \iota \mu \delta s$, an evil pertaining to the body.— $\ell \rho \gamma a \nu \ell \mu \delta \tau \pi$, see v. 119.— $\mu \iota \eta \lambda \delta \tau a$, here in a neuter sense, whereas Homer generally uses it actively, as $\pi o \lambda \ell \mu o \iota o \mu \epsilon \mu \eta \lambda \delta s$, II. xiii. 297. The farms ($\ell \rho \gamma a$) are said $\mu \ell \lambda \epsilon \iota r$ $\theta a \lambda \ell a \iota s$, to be a care to festive meetings, by a kind of poetical inversion for $\ell \rho \gamma a$ 2

Enjoy the front of

άκοη μέν τε φέρει βαλάνους, μέσση δε μελίσσας. είροπόκοι δ' διες μαλλοίς καταβεβρίθασι. welly τίκτουσιν δε γυναικες εοικότα τέκνα γονεύσιν 235 θάλλουσιν δ' άγαθοισι διαμπερές ούδ' έπι νηών νίσσονται, καρπόν δε φέρει ζείδωρος αρουρα. (235)

hand οΐς δ' υβρις τε μέμηλε κακή και σχέτλια έργα,

> 234. ofies 235. Hoikóta. au. tokevor fefoikóta tékva vuvaikes 238. Féora

233. akpy-µéooy CDEGI. akpy-µéooy ABH and perhaps F. 236. θάλλουσι AG. 235. TINTOVOL ADK, Ald. 237. veio ortal (γρ. νίσσονται) Α. νήσσονται Ι. νείσσονται the rest. 238. Kaki om. A, but added by a later hand in marg.

ols barlas pérovos. Cf. inf. v. 238. So Eur. Hel. 196, 'Ιλίου κατασκαφάν πυρι μέλουσαν δαίφ. Pind. Ol. i. 89, τέκε δε λαγέτας έξ άρεταῖσι μεμαλότας vlobs. Schoemann thinks θαλίης έργα should perhaps be read, so that $\mu \epsilon \mu \eta \lambda \delta \tau a(a \delta \tau o \hat{s})$ would stand as a mere epithet, like $i \pi h$.

ρατα έργα, &c. 233. άκρη Cod. Gale, (gloss. τοι κλά-Sois.) and µéooy .- By Bardrovs the poet may mean chestnuts, which to this day form an important part of the diet of the poor in the southern parts of Europe. The same perhaps were meant by dapoδρυα, though this term seems to include figs and olives, Xen. Oecon. xix. 12. Cf. Theore. xv. 112, rdp 56 of Spin Restran, Son Spuds (qu. Spies?) Expa péperri. It is difficult to place any belief in the supposed primitive diet of man, acorns, said to be the fruit of Quercus esculus; yet we cannot be sure that the early Greeks had the chestnut-tree, though the Romans had. Plato cites this passage, De Rep. ii. p. 363, ό γενναίος Ήσίοδός τε και Όμηρός φασιν, ό μέν τὰς δρῦς τοῖς δικαίοις τους θεούς ποιείν Ακρας μέν τε φέρειν βαλάνους, μέσσας δε μελίσσας. elροπόκοι δ' δίες, φησίν, μαλλοίς κατα-βεβρίθασι. And he adds Od. xix. 109-13, a very similar sentiment to the present .--- It has been thought, with some probability, that v. 232-7 are a kind of duplicate, or different recension, in place of v. 227-31.

Ibid. µehloras. The meaning is, that the bees shall make honey in the hollows

of the tree or the bark. This is repeatedly mentioned by the posts as a sign of felicity. Ovid, Met. i. 112, 'Flavaque de viridi stillabant ilice mella,' Virg. Ecl. iv. 30, 'Et durae quercus sudabunt roscida mella." Ti-bullus, i. 3, 45, 'Ipsac mella dabant quercus, ultroque ferebant Obvia securis ubera lactis oves.' But some of the an-cients appear to have interpreted this of what is called honey-dew, which is really deposit from the exudation of sap 8 through the stomates of the leaves. Theophrast. Hist. Plant. iii. 6, ad fin. ούδεν ήττον, δοπερ ελέχθη, πλειστοφόρου έστιν ή δρύς. εί γε δή καθ 'Ησίοδον φέρει μέλι και μελίττας, έτι μάλλον. φύσται δ οδν καί ό μελιττώδης οδτος χυλός έκ του across ent rairy uditors apool for. The poets feigned that the bees collected honey from this saccharine juice; whence Virgil says that Jupiter 'Mellaque decussit foliis, ignemque removit,' Georg. i. 131.

235. doinóra. See on v. 182. The reading of this verse is doubtful, since tours takes the digamma. Perhaps, yo-vevor (or tokevor, with one MS.) Fereκότα τέκνα γυναϊκες. But see Theog. 295

236. en mar. They are not compelled to go long voyages in ships, be-cause their own land satisfies all wants. Gaisford and Dindorf prefer relocorres. with most of the MSS .- referra Cod. Gale, but yp. viorovrau.

36

Digitized by Google

u suber los

τοις δε δίκην Κρονίδης τεκμαίρεται ευρύοπα Ζεύς. πολλάκι και ξύμπασα πόλις κακοῦ ἀνδρὸς ἀπηύρα, 240 presum peturice s sins δοτις άλιτραίνη και ατάσθαλα μηχανάαται. τοίσιν δ' ουρανόθεν μέγ' επήγαγε πήμα Κρονίων, (240) λιμον όμου και λοιμόν αποφθινύθουσι δε λαοί. ούδε γυναϊκες τίκτουσιν μινύθουσι δε οίκοι Ζηνός φ<u>ραδμοσύνη</u>σιν Ολυμπίου αλλοτε δ' αυτε 245 ή τώνγε στρατόν εύρυν απώλεσεν ή όγε τείχος, ή νέας έν πόντω Κρονίδης αποτίνυται αύτων. (245) ²Ω βασιλείς, †ύμεις δε καταφράζεσθε και αυτοί

> 243. Nafol 244. Foikou

240. any pa A. ana open G, and by correction H. έπαυρεί BCEFIK, Ald., and by correction D. 242. roioi 8' AEFHI, Ald. 244. τίκτουσι ΑΙ. 243. αποφθινοῦσι Α (not ἀποφθίνουσι). 247. arorívvra: BCGHI, and D by the first hand. arorívvvra: the rest. 248. incis & & Basileis karappáleste E by the first hand, altered to the vulgate, which is found in all the rest, including F.

239. TERMAIPETAL. See sup. v. 229. 240. TOLLARI K.T.L. 'Often even a whole city in common suffers from a bad man, who is a sinner and who devices arrogant plans. On ἀπαυρῶν, which Buttmann (Lexil. p. 150) consi-ders a genuine separable form from ἐπαυρῶν (inf. v. 419), see Sout. Here. v. 173. Theog. 423. Acsch. Prom. 28, τοῶτ ἀπηύρω τοῦ φιλασθρώπου τρόπου. Pers. 929, Ίἀτων γὰρ ἀπηύρα, Ἰἀτων πάφρακτος ᾿Αρης ἐτεραλκής. Ευτ. An-drom. 1026, αἰτά τ' ἐναλλάζασα φόνον antro | nods réares danjopa. There is wriant eraupei in many good copies. MB. Cont. απαυρεί, (gloss. απέλαβεν wortz.) Aeschines, who quotes 240-7, (amitting however 244-5,) gives dryipa, in Ctesiph. p. 427.

241. Ss new Arrpairy Accolines. Vulg. Ions Arrpaire. Goettling contends that the subjunctive is necessary, become any aradian can only stand for any general. The correctness of this view is genericanable. See the is questionable. See inf. on v. 392 and v. 696. The short a may be inserted before the long a of the contraction, just as we have καρηκομοώντες, &c. These and similar forms are principally exphonic, being so many efforts of the

epic language to reduce inconvenient inflections to a metrical use. So lx 0vdq, Od. xii. 95.

242. ἐπήγαγε. Plutarch, De Stoic. Repugn. § 15, gives ἐπήλασε. 244-5. This couplet must be re-garded as of dubious authenticity. Not only does Aeschines omit it (see on v. 240), but Proclus expressly says, deî de συνάπτειν το Λιμον δμού κ.τ.λ. τοις έν πολλοίς φερομένοις, ύπερβάντα δύο στί-χους, ή τών γε στρατόν κ.τ.λ. Ούτω Πλούταρχος. Which seems to mean, that in many of the then MSS. v. 246 followed next after v. 243, though

others contained the disputed verses. 247. $\Delta \pi \sigma t \nu \tau \sigma a$, avenges himself upon, viz. by striking them with lightning. The r is doubled in the pronunciation, as in $\partial \pi \omega \rho \mu \sigma \delta c$ (i) v. 677, $\sigma \mu \sigma \sigma a$ inf. v. 318. So $\delta ls \tau \delta \sigma a \tau i \nu \sigma \theta a$, inf. v. 711. U, vi 202 m) $(\sigma r \sigma \sigma a \tau \sigma \sigma c)$ Π. xvi. 398, πολέων δ' απετίνυτο ποινήν. See on Theog. 209. Both τίνειν and τ (vertex, are occasionally long in Homer, which may have suggested to rhapsodists the lengthening of ατίτος to ατίτος in 11. xiv. 484, and πολυτίτος to the χρησμολόγοι (Herod. v. 92). Here the MSS. generally give αποτίντυται. 248. όμεῖς καὶ αὐτοί. He continues to

254. Γέργα 255. Γεσσάμενοι

256 ёкүеүагы

250. φραζονται D. λεύσουσιν G, Ald. λεύσσουσιν the rest. δίκησιν GK. 254. φυλάσσουσί τε ADI. 256. δίκη om. A and E, where it is added by a later hand.

address the unjust judges; ' Do you also yourselves,' he says, 'mind this vengeance,' which I have described as certain to overtake the unjust. Gloss. Cod. Gale, ratrap the tweeter. It might, perhaps, be questioned, if *karappd(ea8e* be not rather the indicative, and *kal abrol* added as in v. 202, *operfours kal abroîs*. The sense would thus be, 'You well know, even without my telling you how the gods punish the unjust in this way.' So Od. xxi. 257, Ebpúµax', obx οδτως έσται νοέεις δε και αυτός. ΙΙ. παιίι. 305, μυθετ' εις άγαθα φρονέων νοέοντι και αυτφ. If however καταφρά-(crose be the imperative, then $\tau \eta \nu \delta \epsilon$ $\delta (\kappa \eta \nu may have the same sense as in v. 39 and 269, viz. 'consider well this$ kind of justice which you administer.' On account of the unusual vocative Barileis (see inf. on v. 261), and because one MS. gives ύμειs δε βασιλείs κ.τ.λ., Hermann proposed ύμεις δ', & βασιλήες, επιφράζεσθε και αυτοί. This is condraphaderove κal abrol. This is con-firmed by the reading of one of the Bodleian MSS., υμείς δ δ βασιλείς κ.τ.λ. The compound καταφράζεσθαι is not ommon. Herod. iv. 76, καl τών τις common. Σκυθέων καταφρασθείς αύτον ταύτα ποιεύντα έσημηνε τῷ βασιλέι.

250. opdforra. So Goettling. Gaisford gives *Actogonous* with the majority of the copies. Certainly the former is the less pleasing to the ear so closely after *manopolicade*.

sfter καταφράζεσθε. 251. θεών δπιν. See v. 187. Inf. v. 706. 252—3. By τρισμόριοι an indefinite number is meant, as Goettling observes. So τρls χίλιαι 'Ωκανῦκαι, Theog. 364. Plato, Symp. p. 175, **z**, *ir μάστυσι* τῶκ 'Ελλήνων πλέον ή τρισμυρίοις (viz. the people in the theatre at Athens, probably a very exaggerated expression). The δάθακτοι φύλακες are the δαίμωνες of v. 122, who are there also called φύλακες θεητῶν ἀνθρόπων. Clemens, citing this passage, Protrept. p. 35, read δαίμωνες ἀθάσκτοι, φύλακες μερόπων ἀνβρώπων. The two following verses are repeated from the same passage above. (v. 124—2.) whence it appears that φύλακες are not so much 'protectors,' as 'observers,' ἀπίσκοποι, of men's actions. 256. Δίκη. Whether the predicate is

256. $\Delta i \kappa \eta$. Whether the predicate is $\phi i \lambda a i$ (Goettling), or $\pi a \rho \delta i \kappa \sigma s$ (Tzetzes), or $\kappa v \delta \rho \eta'$ aldoin $\tau \epsilon$ (Moschopulus), is a matter of some uncertainty. The natural order of the words points to the second explanation: 'Now Justice, the child of Zeus, is a maiden, well-bred and respected by the gods who dwell in Olympus.' The purity and unsullied character of Justice is described; and this is the point of $\delta \lambda \kappa o \mu \delta r \eta s$ in τ 220, viz. that $\delta \beta \rho s$ or unseemly outrage is offered to her. She is aldoin $\theta \cos i$, and therefore not to be insulted with impunity by man.— $\Delta i \delta s \kappa \cdot \lambda \lambda$, so in Theog. 901—3 Zeus is said to have married Themis, and by her to have had Justice, with other sisters, who superintend ($\delta \rho \kappa \delta o v \sigma$) the deeds of men. Aratus, Phaen. 97—136, has a fine pas-

νομ καλ κυδρή τ' αίδοίη τε θεοίς οι Ολυμπον έχουσιν. (255) καί δ' δπότ' αν τίς μιν βλάπτη σκολιώς δνοτάζων, αὐτίκα πὰρ Διὶ πατρὶ καθεζομένη Κρονίωνι γηρύετ' ανθρώπων άδικον νόον, όφρ' αποτίση yest 260 δήμος ατασθαλίας βασιλέων, οι λυγρα νοεύντες μ. μ. αλλη παρκλίνωσι δίκας σκολιώς ενέποντες. Ταῦτα φυλασσόμενοι, βασιλεῖς, ἰθύνετε μύθους, (260)δωροφάγοι, σκολιών δε δικών επί πάγχυ λάθεσθε.] οί αὐτῷ κακὰ τεύχει ἀνὴρ ἄλλῷ κακὰ τεύχων, 265 ή δε κακή βουλή τώ βουλεύσαντι κακίστη. πάντα ίδων Διός όφθαλμός και πάντα νοήσας (265)

265. Foi 267. FLOW

κυδνή τ' ΕΓΙ. 257. ĸέδνη K. έχουσι AEF. In A a different hand and on thicker parchment commences here. 260. abinw AE. 261. βασιλήων All. 262. παρακλίνουσι AGK. παρκλίνουσι the rest. 263. Baois nes all. ibuvere Sixas E. 265. of & airý AE.

sage on Virgo the constellation, once the maiden daughter of Astraeus, who in the golden age conversed freely with men and directed their counsels, but made her visits more rarely in the silvern, and witbdrew them entirely in the brazen age. - For kuoph the Corp. Christ. MS. has keon. "Pauci kuorh." Goettl.

258. σκολιώs δνοτάζων, 'unrighteously disparaging,' viz. in her character of raphiros, but with reference to unjust decisions. Hesych. ύβρίζων, ἐκφαυλίζων. Compare rà dinai à yopebeur, inf. 280.-βλάπτη, ύβρίζη, έξευτελίζη. See v. 263.
 260. άδικον. Cod. Gale άδίκων.
 261-4. These verses cannot be con-

sidered certainly genuine, even though there is some difficulty in making Zeos the subject to $d\pi or i\sigma p$, 'that he may pay back to them their injustice,' i. e. make them suffer for it. The forms Basilian and the vocative Basileis are not epio: Barithur and Barithes would have been used; and it is remarkable that almost all the MSS. as well as Tzetzes recognise the unmetrical forms ties, σκολιώs evener 'to decide unjustly,'

and iouver woods 'to set straight words,' and topped phonors to be straight words, for 'legal decisions,' are unusual phrases. Schoemann, Com. Crit. p. 32, suggests $\partial \epsilon \sigma_{\mu o \delta s}$. The address too to the kings, with the off-repeated $\delta \omega \rho o \phi d \gamma o \iota$ and $\sigma \kappa o \lambda a l$ $\delta | \kappa a \iota$, is quite out of place. Goetling agrees with Lehrs in think-ing the response from a later band ing the passage came from a later hand. In defence of v. 263-4, we might com-pare 7à dikai' àyopeveur, inf. v. 280, and διορθεύειν λόγους, Eur. Suppl. 417 — λυγρα νοεύντες, 'intending evil.' Cf. έσθλα νοέων, inf. v. 286. Hesych. λυγρόν κακόν.

262. evénorres. This form occurs in Il. xi. 641, for the more usual ervereur, and sup. 194.

265. of θ' air φ Cod. Gale. This distich enunciates a proverb, which means that honesty is the best policy. Plu-tarch, De Sera Num. Vindicta, § iz., 7à λοιπά δε 'Ησιόδου χρή νομίζειν ακροάσθαι. λέγοντος—Η γαρ κακή βουλή τῷ βουλεό-σαντι κακίστη, και 'Os δ' άλλφ κακά τεύχει, έῷ κακόν ήπατι τεύχει. Goett-ling thinks this reading of v. 265 savours more of antiquity, though Aris-toto Phot iii 6 o quotes the minete totle, Rhet. iii. § 9, quotes the vulgate. 267-73. Some have thought these

verses spurious, as Proclus tells us of

39

atone

καί νυ τάδ', αι κ' ἐθέλησ', ἐπιδέρκεται, οὐδέ ἐ λήθει, οἴην δὴ καὶ τήνδε δίκην πόλις ἐντὸς ἐέργει. νῦν δὴ ἐγὼ μήτ' ἀντὸς ἐν ἀνθρώποισι δίκαιος 270 εἴην μήτ' ἐμὸς υἱός· ἐπεὶ κακὸν ἄνδρα δίκαιον ἔμμεναι, εἰ μείζω γε δίκην ἀδικώτερος ἔξει· (270) ἀλλὰ τάγ' οὖπω ἔολπα τελεῖν Δία τερπικέραυνον. [°]Ω Πέρση, σὺ δὲ ταῦτα μετὰ φρεσὶ βάλλεο σησι, καί νυ δίκης ἐπάκουε, βίης δ' ἐπιλήθεο πάμπαν. 275 τόνδε γὰρ ἀνθρώποισι νόμον διέταξε Κρονίων, ἰχθύσι μὲν καὶ θηρσὶ καὶ οἰωνοῖς πετεηνοῖς (275) ἔσθειν ἀλλήλους, ἐπεὶ οὐ δίκη ἐστὶν ἐν αὐτοῖς·

268. Fe 269. έΓέργει. 273. Ετολπα (ου τι Fefoλπα?)

268. After ξ an erasure in D. ξ om. I. There may have been a variant $i\pi\iota\lambda\eta\theta\epsilon\iota$. 270. $\nu\partial\nu$ $\delta\eta$ $\xi\gamma\omega$ A. $\nu\partial\nu$ $\delta\ell$ $\xi\gamma\omega$ BCEFGK. $\nu\partial\nu$ δ' $\xi\gamma\omega$ DHI, Ald. 272. In G another hand from this verse to the end. 273. $\mu\eta\tau\iota\delta\epsilon\nu\tau a$ (gl. $\tau\partial\nu$ $\beta\sigma\nu\lambda\epsilon\nu\tau\iota\kappa\partial\nu$) A. 277. $\pi\epsilon\tau\epsilon\epsilon\iota\nu\sigma\delta$; K, Ald. $\pi\epsilon\tau\epsilon\sigma\iota\nu\sigma\delta$; I. 278. $\epsilon\nu$ $a\dot{\nu}\tau\sigma\delta$; D. $\epsilon\pi'$ $a\dot{\nu}\tau\sigma\delta$; the rest.

Plutarch: 'Ο Πλούταρχος τοὺς έπτα τούτους στίχους ἐκβάλλει, ἀπό τοῦ Πάντα ίδών κ.τ.λ. έως τοῦ ᾿Αλλὰ τάγ' ούπωis strations $\tau \eta s$ Houddon $\pi \epsilon \rho l$ dualar kal addinar kploreas. And Goettling agrees with this criticism. The truth is, the objectors have missed the irony of the passage. Hesiod says, that the Eye of Zeus is on the corrupt administration of justice which prevails in his native city; that it is no use trying to be just, since a man only loses by it; though he hopes Zeus will not let matters as yet become quite so bad as that. Compare Plat. Do Rep. i. p. 343, D., σκοπείσθαι δέ, δ εἰηθέστατε Σώκρατες, ούτωσὶ χρή, ὅτι δίκαιος ἀνήρ ἀδίκου πανταχοῦ ἕλαττον έχει. πρώτον μέν έν τοις πρός άλληλους ξυμβολαίοις, όπου αν ό τοιούτος τώ τοιούτω κοινωνήση, ούδαμού αν εδροις έν τη διαλύσει της κοινωνίας πλέον έχοντα τον δίκαιον τοῦ ἀδίκου, ἀλλ' ἕλαττον κ.τ.λ. Ibid. p. 344, init. τουτον ούν σκόπει, είπερ βούλει κρίνειν δσφ μάλλον ξυμφέρει ίδια αυτφ άδικον είναι η το δίκαιον. There is a very similar sentiment in Theognis, 745-50, in Ar. Plut. 36-8, and in Od. ii. 230, μήτις ξτι πρόφρων άγανδς καl

ήπιος έστω σκηπτοῦχος βασιλεψς, μηδὲ φρεσίν αἴσιμα εἰδώς, ἀλλ' ἀεἰ χαλεπός τ' εἴη καὶ αἴσυλα ῥέζοι.

ein al aloua tous, and the formation of the sense is, our kal vo see Theog. 22. The sense is, our kod vo see Theog. 22. The sense is, our kod to be sense is, our kod to be sense is, our kod to be sense to see what sort of justice this is which the city contains within itself,' i. e. what corrupt judges. The kal seems to mean 'in this case, as in so many others.'

270. vũv đẻ Cant. and others, vũv độ Cod. Gale, vũv đẻ Moschopulus.

273. οδπω, viz. not in my time.—μητιόεντα Cod. Gale (gloss. τδν βουλευτικόν). This reading, found in a few other MSS., is also recognised by Proclus.

275. Perhaps $\delta\pi d\kappa ove$, 'obey the dictates of,' these two forms being generally confused in MSS.—For $\beta d\eta s d\eta s$. Affee of. v. 37, $\delta\lambda\lambda a \tau \epsilon$ wolld $\delta\eta \pi d \beta \omega s$ $\epsilon \phi \delta \rho \epsilon is$, and v. 213. It appears that not only injustice, but open force, βla and $\delta \beta \rho is$, had been employed by Perses against his brother.

278. έσθειν, Aesoh. Ag. 1575, inf. v. 306. έσθέμεν Clemens.

ανθρώποισι δ' έδωκε δίκην, ή πολλον αρίστη γίγνεται. εί γάρ τίς κ' έθελη τα δίκαι' άγορεύειν 280 γιγνώσκων, τώ μέν τ' όλβον διδοί εὐρύοπα Ζεύς. δς δέ κε μαρτυρίησιν έκων επίορκον δμόσσας (280)ψεύσεται, έν δε δίκην βλάψας νήκεστον αασθή, οίσαων τοῦ δέ τ' αμαυροτέρη γενεή μετόπισθε λέλειπται. άνδρος δ' ευόρκου γενεή μετόπισθεν αμείνων. 285

> Σοι δ' έγω έσθλα νοέων έρέω, μέγα νήπιε Πέρση. την μέν τοι κακότητα και ίλαδον έστιν έλέσθαι (285)

in an atta, lerd - 1.

1 abundance

ρηϊδίως. *λείη μεν όδος, μάλα δ' εγγύθι ναίει.

282. Ferie 286. Fepéw

279. ανθρώποισι δέδωκε Α. 280. εθέλει Α. εί γάρ τις εθέλοι Η, by the first hand. 284. rous " au. A. arauporton I. 285. om. I, but added in marg. by the same hand. 288. δλίγη the MSS.

280. ¿θέλη κ.τ.λ. 'If a man is willing to deliver a judgment which is right, knowing that it is so, to him the far-sceing Zeus gives prosperity.' The meaning is much the same as $\partial \theta \lambda y$ Sixous circu, and yryrworker is added, as Tzetzes says, because many practise jus-tice unwillingly and from self-interest. It may mean, perhaps, noscens, *kplvwv*, 'in taking cognisance of a case.' The very frequent repetition of $\delta l \kappa \eta$ and Size from sup. 213 (about 20 times) shows how the wrong rankled in the poet's mind. See on v. 299 for a similar

repetition of $l_{p\gamma or}$. 282. 35 δέ κε. Schoemann reads $i\pi l$ δρασν, referring the $i\pi l$ to μαρτυρίησαν. • But whoseever by deliberately for-swearing himself in giving evidence shall have proved false, and by doing wrong to justice shall have been incurably led into sin, of him the family left after him tends ever to obscurity; but of a man who observes his oath the family after him is more thriving. This is pretty plainly levelled at Perses, who had forsworn himself before the judges in the matter of the disputed inheritance.

283. Hesych. rhkeoror drakeoror, alepareuror.—The reading adoth (daw) was corrected by Schaefer, yeuveras being the old epic for yevenna. --- er de, apparently for mode de, or ev adrais, or έν αὐτῷ, viz. τῷ ψεύδεσθαι, us there is no ground for supposing a tmesis. Mos-δε βλάψας ανιάτως εβλάβη. For βλάπτειν $\delta(\kappa\eta \nu)$, to impede the course of justice, see v. 258.

284. τοῦδ' ἔτ' ἀμαυροτέρη Cod. Gale. 285. This verse is cited in Herod. vi. 86, as delivered in an oracle to Glaucus. 286. μέγα rhaie. See on v. 131.

287. The particle rot introduces a proverbial saying, as it so often does in Attic tragedy. Xenophon (Mom. ii. 1, 20) gives $\tau h \nu \mu k \nu \gamma d\rho$, and Plato (who cites 287-9, De Rep. p. 864, c), is $\tau h \nu$ $\mu \epsilon \nu$. But these are not various readings. They are adaptations of the verse to the narrative.—nal ihaddr vel affatim, 'even in abundance.' Perhaps a metaphor from capturing animals in great numbers together, ¿λεîr being changed to $i\lambda/ectau$ when the phrase took an ethical meaning. Gaisford remarks on this passage (287-92), "Locus amplissimis antiquorum testimoniis celebra-tus;" and he cites a long list of authors

who have quoted or refarred to it. 288. raise. Not $\delta\delta\delta s$, but scattring is the subject.— $\lambda\epsilon i\eta$, for $\delta\lambda i\eta\eta$ of the MSS., was restored from Plato and Xenophon ut supra. Yet $\delta\lambda i\eta\eta$, in the sense of $\beta \rho a \chi \epsilon i a$, may be right, in apposchool in the steat

τής δ' αρετής ίδρωτα θεοί προπάροιθεν έθηκαν άθάνατοι· μακρός δε και όρθιος οίμος ές αύτην καί τρηχύς τοπρώτον έπην δ' είς ακρον ίκηται, ρηϊδίη δη έπειτα πέλει, χαλεπή περ έουσα. (290) Ούτος μέν πανάριστος, δς *αυτός πάντα νοήση, [φρασσάμενος τά κ' έπειτα καὶ ἐς τέλος ἦσιν ἀμείνω·] έσθλος δ' αι κακείνος, δς ει είπόντι πίθηται. 295 ·

295. Γειπόντι

290. huos (gl. boos) is airin A. in airin the rest. oppos olvos I. 291. TPaxis H. ingrai all. 293. αύτῷ πάντα νοήσει all.

sition with μ axpos in 290. The rough-ness (291) is that of the beginning compared with the end, not that of the path of virtue contrasted with the path of vice.

289. προπάροιθεν, 'in front of,' viz. as something to be undergone and overcome before the desired object can be The form tonkar, though reached. found in the Homeric writings, seems to savour of a later age. Here it would be easy to read beds προπάροιθεν έθηκεν ανθράποις. See inf. v. 741. Plato however has beel tonnar. See also Scut. Herc. 465.

290. Twos is about Cod. Gale. Vulgo έπ' αυτήν.

291. Ίκηται, Boil. τις, or ό πορευόμενος. Cf. v. 12. Plato and one or two MSS. (ap. Goettl.) give Irna, which is pre-ferred by Gaisford. But Plato also quotes the passage in Protag. p. 340, D, with ίκηται :—ίσως αν φαίη Πρόδικος δδε και άλλοι πολλοί, καθ 'Ησίοδον, γενέσθαι μέν άγαθόν χαλεπόν είναι της γάρ άρετής έμπροσθεν τούς θεούς ίδρωτα θείναι δταν δέ τις αὐτῆς εἰς ἄκρον Ικηται, ρηιδίην δήπειτα πέλειν, χαλεπήν περ *coῦσαν*. See also Sophist. p. 229. Hence we might conjecture that emp de ris aspor inntal was the original reading ; that τ_{is} was ejected from the insertion tentionally to express laborious ascent and easy descent. Compare the well-

known lines about the stone of Sisyphus, Od. xi. 595-8.

293. πανάριστος, έκ πάντων άριστος. "Sensum expressit Livius, xxii. 29, laudatus Graevio :-- Saepe ego audivi, milites, eum primum esse virum (i. e. maxima laude dignum) qui ipse polleat ingenio, ut consulere sibi optime possit, qui ipse consulat, quid in rem sit ; secundum eum, qui bene monenti obediat; qui nec ipse consulere nec alteri parere sciat, esse extremi ingenii . . . Et Cicero pro Cluent. c. 31 :--- 'Sapien-tissimum esse eum dicunt, cui quod opus sit veniat in mentem; proxime accedere illum, qui alterius bene in-ventis obtemperet." Goettling. Schoemann, with Gaisford, prefers 8s airos rarra vonoce, which is given by some of the authors who quote the verse, e.g. Aristotle, Eth. Nic. i. 4. This is rather confirmed by uhr' autos roin in v. 296 (though here there is a variant $\mu d\theta$ air φ), and by Livy's qui ipse consulat. Goettling gives $air\varphi$ —voloy, but the MSS. generally have $air\varphi$ —volore. Tzetzes has δ_3 ris $d\varphi$ ' éauroù sal abroδιδάκτως το πρέπον νοεί, Moschopulus δε δι' έαυτοῦ πάντα νοήσει. Again, Moschopulus explains v. 296 by 85 8' ar µhre di' éavroû vojî. Hence they might seem to have read adrou or adrou in other writers proves. All of them, except Aristotle, ignore v. 294, which in

290 path

δς δε κε μήτ' αὐτὸς νοέη μήτ' άλλου ἀκούων έν θυμώ βάλληται, δ δ' αυτ' αχρήϊος ανήρ. (295)Αλλά σύ γ' ήμετέρης μεμνημένος αίεν έφετμης έργάζευ, Πέρση, δίον γένος, ὄφρα σε Λιμός έχθαίρη, φιλέη δέ σ' ευστέφανος Δημήτηρ αίδοίη, βιότου δε τεήν πιμπλησι καλιήν. λιμός γάρ τοι πάμπαν άεργω σύμφορος άνδρί. (300) τῷ δὲ θεοὶ νεμεσῶσι καὶ ἀνέρες, ὄς κεν ἀεργὸς

ζώη, κηφήνεσσι κοθούροις είκελος όργην,

299. Γεργάζευ 301. πίμπλησι MSS. 302. df epyŵ 303. dfepyùs 304. Feikelos

296. $\mu\eta\theta'$ avrý voén all, but avròs voée D, $\mu\eta\tau'$ avrov voée I. 300. $\phi\lambda\eta$ dé o' ABCDGHL $\phi\lambda\eta$ dé oe K. $\phi\lambda\eta$ de EF. 302. TOL OM. I. 304. είκελος (or ικελος) όρμην all.

ner Four, in Attic & by ein, 'after full consideration of what may be best as the next course and also in the end."

296. 440' abry vulg. 447' abros Goettling.

299. epyd (ev, go on tilling your land, or working at your farm. The primary meaning of eryd(ersa. Cf. v. 20-1. The word fryor in some form or other is repeated in this sense in a remarkable manner, inf. 302-16, where within fourteen lines it occurs not less than thirteen times.—Sior yéros, as remotely descended from Zeus, from whom Perseus boasted his origin. This is the ever (Com. Crit. p. 34) thinks that a worthless fellow is so called in bitter irony and ridicule. And perhaps Perses used to boast of his descent. Some of the ancients seem to have read Alov yéros, 'son of Dius,' as in the 'Houddou καλ 'Ομήρου άγων, 'Ησίοδ', ξκγονε Δίου, exorra pe ravra nedebeis Elneir. Goettling thinks nothing more is meant than 'a probo patre natus,' and he compares ¹a probo parte natus, and ne compares the Homeric δios Eύμαῖος, said of the honest goatherd. See Müller, Hist. Gr. Lit. p. 81. The MS. Cant. has this marginal gloss:—δίον γένος ή διότι παῖ-δες δίου τωνός ήσαν, ή δτι εἰς δεοδς ἀνέφε-ρον τὴν γένεσω. εἰς γὰρ ὀρφέα καὶ καλι-όπτην (sic) τὸ γένος ἀνῆγον. ΤΕσίzes

hesitated between the two interpreta-

tions; ui Δίου, η ετγενές γένος. 300. φιλέη δέ σ' Ood. Gale. φιλέη δέ σε or φιλέη δè others.—τυστέφανος, in allusion to her crown of wheat-ears. A fresco-painting of Ceres so attired may be seen in Plate 40 of Raccolta of the Museo Borbonico, from Pompeii.

301. καλιήν, your garner or home-stead. Of. v. 374. 411. 503. Photius, καλιά νοσσία ή οίκος ξύλινος κυρίως κάλα (κάλα) γάρ τα ξύλα. Heeych. καλιήν-τόν οίκον κυρίως δέ τόν άπό ξύλων οίκον κάλα γάρ τα ξύλα.—The etymology is uncertain, the a in κάλον (from καίω) being long. After this verse Goettling would transfer v. 341.

302. σύμφοροs, is befitting to a man who will not till the soil. Tzetzes, συνοπαδός, συνακόλουθος τουτέστιν, ό άργος συμφέρεται πενία, και συζή τφ λιμφ. Hesych. σύμφορος λιμός δ συμπίπτων καλ συνών.

304. κηφήσεσσι κοθούροις, 'stingless drones.' The etymology of this epithet is very obscure. Some take it as for κολούροιs, from κολούειν and ούρα, 'lop-tailed,' which can hardly be considered probable. Heaych. κόθουρος άργος, άκεν-τρος, κολοβοῦρος. Photius, κηφήνεσσι κοθούροισι τοῖς καθεζομένοις καὶ φυλάττουσι την των μελισσών έξοδον οδροι γάρ of our ances wal superpol, of tas super

43

300

1,

i.e

1

οἶτε μελισσάων κάματον τρύχουσιν ἀεργοὶ 305 [έσθοντες· σοὶ δ' ἔργα φίλ' ἔστω μέτρια κοσμεῖν, ὦς κέ τοι ὡραίου βιότου πλήθωσι καλιαί.] (305) ἐξ ἔργων δ' ἀνδρες πολύμηλοί τ' ἀφνειοί τε· καὶ ἐργαζόμενος πολὺ φίλτερος ἀθανάτοισιν ἔσσεαι ἡδὲ βροτοῖς· μάλα γὰρ στυγέουσιν ἀεργούς. 310 ἔργον δ' οὐδὲν ὄνειδος, ἀεργίη δέ τ' ὄνειδος. εἰ δέ κεν ἐργάζῃ, τάχα σε ζηλώσει ἀεργὸς (310)

305. ἀΓεργοὶ 308. ἐκ Γέργων 309. καὶ Γεργαζόμενος 312. κε Γεργάζη

307. ώς καί ώρ. Η. πλήθουσι ΕΓ. 309. και τ' εργαζόμενος all. 310. βροτοίσι Α. 311. αργίη C. 312. κ' άεργος K, Ald.

φυλάσσυντες. He therefore read καθούpois. Didymus the grammarian thought κοτούροις was the true form. One of the guesses of Tzetzes is, τοῦς κάτον ⁴χουσιν ἀν τῆ οὐρậ, which shows that he did not know that the drone has no sting.—όρμην Cod. Gale, with the gloss κατὰ κήναυν. Tzetzes:—όμοιος ὑτάρχων κατὰ την όρμην, ἡ την ὀργήν. These words are constantly confused in MSS. and the latter is here clearly the right reading. For this meaning (= τρόπον, 'temper') see New Cratylus § 480.

305. $\kappa d\mu a \tau \sigma r$, the store; as $\pi \sigma \sigma \sigma s$ sometimes means the result of a man's labour: see Aesch. Cho. 180.—For $\tau \rho \sigma'$. $\chi \sigma \sigma \sigma r$ depyol Stohaeus gives $r \eta \sigma \sigma \sigma \sigma \sigma$ $\delta \sigma \sigma \sigma r$. This is perhaps genuine, and altered on the insertion of the following distich, which appears spurious. For v. 307 is nearly a reproduction of v. 301, and $\delta \rho \gamma a$ used without the digamma is liable to the gravest doubts. We might criticise the phrase $\kappa \sigma \sigma \mu \epsilon \tilde{r} r \sigma \mu \epsilon \sigma r \sigma$ "to keep in order a moderate-sized farm" as unlike the older epic. The sentiment is the same as in Virg. Georg. ii. 412, 'laudato ingentia rurg. Exigum colito?— $\delta \rho \sigma \delta \sigma$, sup v. 32. 309. The $\tau \epsilon$ in the MSS. must be re-

309. The $\tau\epsilon$ in the MSS. must be regarded as an insertion consequent on the loss of the digamma, like $d\xi \ \epsilon_{\rho\gamma\sigma\nu}$ for $i\kappa \ F\epsilon_{\rho\gamma\sigma\nu}$ in the preceding verse. Translate (with emphasis) "Tis from farm-work that men become rich in flocks and wealthy in substance; besides that by farming you will be much dearer to the immortals and to mortal man; for they greatly detest the idlo. Besides, tilling the soil (or work in general) is no reproach; 'tis the want of occupation that is the real reproach.'

310. Goettling puts this verse within brackets, as wanting in one MS. and not riven by Stobeus, who quotes 308-13, Fl. xxix. p. 198. But if this be spurious, the preceding one also must be con-demned, or the plural must be adopted. Plato, Charm. p. 163, B, eine µou, ny 8' לאש, ou דמטדטא אמאפוֹג דט אסופוא אמן דט πράττειν; Ού μέντοι, έφη· ούδέ γε το έργαζεσθαι και το ποιείν έμαθον γαρ παρ Ήσιόδου, δε έφη Έργον δ' οὐδὲν ὅνειδος. Xen. Mem. i. 2, 56, έφη δ' αὐτὸν ὁ κατήγορος και των ενδοξοτάτων ποιητών έκ-λεγόμενον τα πονηρότατα, και τούτοις μαρτυρίοις χρώμενον, διδάσκειν τούς συνόντας κακούργους τε είναι και τυραννι-κούς. Ήσιόδου μέν το Έργον δ' ούδεν δνειδος, δεργίη δέ τ' δνειδος. τοῦτο δη λέγειν αυτόν ώς ό ποιητής κελεύει μηδενός έργου μήτ' άδίκου μήτ' αίσχροῦ ἀπέχεσθαι, άλλά και ταύτα ποιείν έπι τω κέρδει. It appears from this that some perversely construed obder toyor breides tori. Moschopulus rightly explains it, ή έργασία δε ούδαμώς εστίν αίσχρον, ή άργία δε αίσχρόν.

311. dé re, for dé roi, as sup. 214, inf. 324.

312. ζηλώσει, will be jealous of you. See v. 23, ζηλοϊ δέ τε γείτονα γείτων els άφενον σπεύδοντα.

44

πλουτεῦντα' πλούτφ δ' ἀρετὴ καὶ κῦδος ὀπηδεῖ. - ' · · ····· †δαίμονι δ' οໂος ἔησθα, τὸ ἐργάζεσθαι ἄμεινον, εἶ κεν ἀπ' ἀλλοτρίων κτεάνων ἀεσίφρονα θυμὸν 315 εἰς ἔργον τρέψας μελετậς βίου, ὥς σε κελεύω. [αἰδὼς δ' οὐκ ἀγαθὴ κεχρημένον ἀνδρα κομίζει, (315)

314. Γεργάζεσθαι 316. ές Γέργον

314. ἔησθα the MSS.

313. aperty, for dota aperfis.

314. If the reading of this verse be right, the sense is, 'But whatever you may be in fortune (viz. rich or poor), working is better than being idle. For Enota Proclus appears to have found the subjunctive ipova, since he explains it by όποῖος ἀν ἐκάστφ τυγχάνη βίος ἀποδε-δομένος, τούτφ ἁμεινον ἐργάζεσθαι, είτε ἀμείνων είτε χείρων. Ho therefore read $\tau \phi$ ipyd(eotau, which violates the use of the digamma. Cf. inf. 438. We have the similar optative form einoba in Theognis, v. 715, ωκότερος δ' είησθα πόδας ταχεών Αρπυιών (where οὐδ' el ποιοῖs precedes). Homer too has y κεν Ipσθa, Il. x. 67. Goettling thinks δαίμων α synonym of δαήμων, quoting Hesy-chius, δαίμων δαήμων. Archilochus (ap. Plut. Vit. Thes. 5), ταύτης γάρ κείνοι δαίμωνές είσι μάχης, and Plat. Cratyl. p. 398, Β, τοῦτο τοίνυν παντός μάλλον λέγει, ώς έμοι δοκεί, τοὺς δαίμωνας δτι φρότιμοι και δαήμοτες ήσαν, δαίμονας αύτους ώνόμασε. και έν γε τῆ ἀρχαία τῦ ἡμετέρα φωνῆ αὐτὸ συμβαίνει τὸ ὄνομα. He therefore renders it thus :- 'To a prudent man, such as you used to be' (Engels the MSS.), viz. before you gave your attention to law-suits, 'to work is the better course.' Schoemann reads δαίμονι δ' loos έση. τῷ κ.τ.λ., 'You will be as happy as a god; therefore, you had better work.' L. Dindorf daluor δ Ισος έησθα· τῷ ἐργάζεσθαι άμεινον. It is possible that daluovi is corrupt; but the comment of Proclus is sound; Saluer ού μόνον δ απονέμων ήμιν τον βίον και διοικών τὰ ἡμέτερα, κρείττων ἡμῶν, καλεῖται, άλλα και αύτος ό απ' έκείνου Blos Exáctors anovenónevos, els ou Brénoutes τούς μέν εύδαιμονείν φαμέν, τούς δέ κακο-δαμοτείν. Cf. Soph. Oed. Col. 75, έπείπερ εί γενναίος ώς ίδόντι πλήν τοῦ

δαίμονος. Homer has the strange phrase δαίμονα δοῦναι, Il. viii. 166, and πρὸς δαίμονα, contra fatum, xvii. 98. Eur. Phoen. 1653, οὐκοῦν ἔδωκε τῆ τύχῃ τὸν δαίμονα, 'he surrendered his fate to fortune.'

815. ἀλλοτρίων κ.τ.λ. Theognis, v. 1145, aièv ἐπ ἀλλοτρίοις κτεάνοις ἐπέχουσι νόημα. The condition introduced, ' if, turning your mind from other people's property, you attend to your own livelihood,' does not seem well to accord with the preceding verse. Compare however inf. 645. Moschopulus:— ἐλν οῦτως ἐργάζῃ ὅστε μὴ ζημιοῦν τινα. But we may without much difficulty understand either ἄμεινον εὐρήσεις ἐδν κ.τ.λ., or ἅμεινων τὸ ἐργάζεσθαι καὶ τὸ τρέψωντα—μελετῶν. The genitive βίου depends on the sense of μέλεσθαι implied in μελετῶν. Bo inf. v. 443, ὅς κ' ἕργου (ὑς Γέργου) μελετῶν ἰθεῖαν αὕλακ' ἐλαύνοι.

317. As aldes means both 'shame' in a bad sense, and 'modesty,' with many other significations, in a good one, our dynash is here added as a distinguishing epithet. The sense is 'no man who is in want need be ashamed to work.' Schoemann (p. 37) observes that 317—19 would follow better after v. 311. And thus the precept 'not to rob' in 320 naturally follows the advice not to covet the possessions of others, v. 315. There is a similar verse in Hom. Od. xvii. 347, aldes d' observes, the advice $\chi \rho \eta \mu i \gamma \sigma$ independent of the set of the set of $\chi \rho \eta \mu i \gamma \sigma$ is a similar verse in Hom. Od. xvii. 347, aldes d' observes, where Bekker rejects this latter verse as interpolated from the present passage. Gaisford, after H. Stephens, encloses 317—8 in brackets, supposing dudp mapeiveu to have been changed to dudpa mapeiveu to

αίδώς, ητ' ανδρας μέγα σίνεται ηδ' ονίνησιν.] αίδώς τοι πρός ανολβίη, θάρσος δε πρός όλβω. χρήματα δ' ούχ άρπακτά, θεόσδοτα πολλόν άμείνω. 320 εί γάρ τις και χερσι βίη μέγαν όλβον έληται, ή ογ' από γλώσσης λητσσεται, οξά τε πολλά (320) νίννεται, ευτ' αν δη κέρδος νόον έξαπατήση άνθρώπων, αίδω δέ τ' άναιδείη κατοπάζη.

--ίη --βφ BCDEFGK, Ald. --ίη 319. ανολβίην --- όλβον ΑΗ. -Bov I. 321. κέν χερσί Ι. 322. ληίσεται Α. 324. aidus δ' έτ' άναιδείη Α. κατοπάζει Ι.

v. 500 inf., έλπls δ' οὐκ ἀγαθη κεχρημένον aropa noulles. Proclus :- nal rouror nal τον έξης στίχον παρεμβεβλησθαι ληφθέν-τας από τοῦ 'Ομήρου, και Πλουταρχος elwe. Goettling, admitting the great similarity of the Homeric and Hesiodic maxims, thinks both may be genuine, as derived from an older source. Hermann would read noul(ew, 'Shame is not good to attend on a man.' But the poet probably distinguishes two kinds of alows. Eur. Hipp. 385 :-

aldús τε, δισσα) δ' είσίν· ή μέν οὐ κακή, σαφής,

ούκ δυ δύ ήτην ταυτ' έχοντε γράμματα.

So two kinds of this were defined, sup. 11-13.- Rexpnµévor, egenum. In Attic Greek generally this participle has either a genitive in the sense of $\chi peios$, or a dative in the sense of $\kappa \epsilon \kappa \tau \eta \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma s$. Properly, it was a euphemism for *wirns*. One who has used his means is one who is without present resources.

318. olveral. Pronounced olveral. See on v. 247.

319. On three consecutive lines commencing with the same word, see on v. 6. inf. 578. προς ανολβίη δλβφ most of the MSS. προs ανολβίην-δλβον Cod. Gale. Goettling prefers the dative, which means is closely associated with misery;' Gaisford the accusative, i. e. φέρει πρός κ.τ.λ. Compare the long i in δεργίη, v. 311. Of the explanations of the scholiasts, that of Proclus seems the best :- & aldas obreoti th nerla, πτωχός γάρ ούχ ύφίσταται απειλήν θάρσος έπεται τῷ πλούτφ, ό γὰρ πλοῦτος θάρσος τίκτει. Or simply, 'a poor man

is ashamed of himself, while the rich man has confidence.'

320. apparta, like orpertos and many similar verbals, might take a gerundial sense, 'wealth is not to be clutched at." dorl being supplied. But it is better to follow the explanation of Tzetzes, ra хрушата та вебобота поллоу как ката β is a set from the gods is far bottle from the gods is far bottle from the gods is far bottle.' See sup. v. 38, άλλα τε πολλά άρπάζων έφόρεις.

321. el γdo κ.τ.λ. He illustrates his η 5° axoos of kor el 5° ó kaupos η r aproposition by two kinds of theft, the τa άληθώs apranta (βíp), and that by false declarations, such as Perses made before the judges, and so was said $\mu a \rho \tau \nu \rho l \rho \sigma w$ $e \pi l o \rho \kappa \sigma \sigma a \mu \sigma \sigma \sigma a$, sup. v. 282.— ral ap-pears to qualify $\mu e \gamma a r$, though some-what out of place; 'even great wealth, if got by violence, fails to make the possessor secure.' Perhaps $\epsilon l \gamma d\rho \tau ls \tau$ ή χερσί κ.τ.λ. λητσσεται for λητσηται. Cod. Gale Antorrai. - old TE, see on Theog. 93.

324. sarowd(y, 'should put behind it,' thrust aside or overcome, Hesych. ἀκολουθῆ. Moschopulus, κατόπιν ἐαντῆs ποιή. Proclus, την αίδω ή αναίδεια νικήσει. The albas here meant is the feeling of shame in doing wrong. Properly, oragen meant urgere, a tergo premere; thence to pursue, chase away. Hom. Il. xvii. 462, peia d' eratzaone roddr and δμιλον δπάζων. Photius, δπάζει θεωρεί, ή παρέχει, ή διώκει. Eur. El. 1192, φόνια δ' ώπασας λέχε' ἀπὸ γας Ἐλληνίδος.— For the sentiment compare Theognis, v. 647, ήδη νύν αίδώς μέν έν άνθρώποισα δλωλεν, Αύταρ αναιδείη γαΐαν επιστρέφε-Tal.



A	ρεία δε μιν μαυρούσι θεοί, μινύθουσι δε οίκοι	325
	ανέρι τῷ, παῦρον δέ τ' ἐπὶ χρόνον ὅλβος ἀπηδεί.	
	Ίσον δ' ος θ' ικέτην ος τε ξείνον κακόν έρξη,	(325)
	ός τε κασιγνήτοιο έου άνα δέμνια βαίνη	
	[κρυπταδίης ευνής αλόχου, παρακαίρια ρέζων],	
	ός τέ τευ αφραδίης αλιταίνεται δρφανά τέκνα,	330
	ός τε γονήα γέροντα κακῷ ἐπὶ γήραος οὐδῷ	
الأ. ه		(330)
	τώ δ' ήτοι Ζεύς αυτός άγαίεται, ές δε τελευτήν	

325. Foixol 327. Firov 328. Feoù 332. Feréerre

325. βεία δὲ BGHI. βείά τε AEF, Ald. 327. ἔρξει all. 328. βαίνοι AK, Ald. βαίνει the rest. 332. νεικείει BCDGI. ἐπέεσσιν AEF. ἐπέεσσι the rest. 333. τῷδ ἤτοι Η. τῷ δή τοι the rest. ἀγαίρεται (γρ. ἀγαίεται) Ι.

325. pine $\delta \epsilon$. See on v. 5—7. The $\delta \epsilon$ marks the spodosis, which is common enough after ϵi , δs , $\epsilon r \epsilon i$, δc . Most MSS. seem to give $\delta \epsilon i \delta \tau \epsilon$.

seem to give seid re. 327-8. Epice and Balros Cod. Gale; but most give Baires. Goettling edits $\epsilon_{\rho\xi\gamma}$ —Bairy, but does not specify his authority.—Having warned Perses that the crime of which he has been guilty is likely to have its punishment in the declension of his family prosperity, he adds a catalogue of offences which are not less likely to excite the divine wrath, and of which he bids Perses to beware (v. 835). These are, (1) injuring a suppliant or a guest; (2) seducing a brother's wife (as Thyestes did that of Atreus); (3) defrauding orphans; (4) insulting and contumeliously reproaching an aged parent. This is curious as giving a code of principal sins accord-ing to the early Hellenes. We do not find our notions of the graver crimes, murder, fornication, nor adultery in the general sense, here recognised. All turns upon the injuring some member of a household; the morality is that of a patriarchal community; and therefore Goettling seems to be wrong in thinking marry is used in the widest sense for δ πέλας, 'another man.' For the construction, which is loov randor έρδει δε ίκέτην τε έρδει και δε ξένον, compare Od. xv. 72, Ισέν τοι κακόν έσθ,

δς τ' ούκ έθέλοντα νέεσθαι ξεϊνον ἐποτρύνει, και δε έσσύμενον κατερύκει. 329. This verse has been thought

329. This verse has been thought spurious, and it is rejected by Schoemann. It is unnecessary to the sense, and it only involves the syntax, which is avagalry $\delta \ell \mu \nu ia$ $\kappa \rho \nu \pi \tau a \delta (\eta s \ e \nu r \eta s)$ $\delta \lambda \delta \chi_{00} \kappa \alpha s (\gamma \nu f \tau o v)$. The meaning is sufficiently plain without any addition : cf. Aesch. Ag. 1164, $e i \nu \delta s$ $\delta \delta e \lambda \phi o v$ $\tau \phi$ $\pi a \tau o \tilde{\nu} \tau i$. But without supply $\delta \nu e k$ Moschopulus) would supply $\delta \nu e k$ before $e \nu r \eta s$. Tzetzes, $\delta i k \rho \nu \pi \tau a \delta (\eta s \ e \nu r \eta s)$. If the verse be genuine, it would be easiest to read $\kappa \rho \nu \pi \tau a \delta (\eta s \ e \nu \eta s)$.

333. δ' ήτοι Herm. for δή τοι.—άγαίeraı, νεμεσῷ, ἀγανακτεῖ, Mosch. The same as ἀγῶται, Theog. 619, and apparently ἄγασθαι in Attic Greek, Herc.

Digitized by Google

47

indiquant

έργων αντ' αδίκων χαλεπήν επέθηκεν αμοιβήν. α άλλα σύ των μεν πάμπαν έεργ' αεσίφρονα θυμόν. 335 καδ δύναμιν δ' έρδειν ίερ' αθανάτοισι θεοίσιν άγνως και καθαρως, έπι δ' άγλαα μηρία καίειν. (335) άλλοτε δε σπονδήσι θύεσσί τε ίλάσκεσθαι. ήμεν οτ' ευνάζη και ότ' αν φάος ίερον έλθη. ως κέ τοι ίλαον κραδίην και θυμόν έχωσιν. 340 όφρ' άλλων ώνη κληρον, μη τον τεον άλλος. Τον φιλέοντ' έπι δαίτα καλείν, τον δ' έχθρον έασαι (340) τον δε μάλιστα καλείν, όστις σέθεν εγγύθι ναίει.

> 334. Fégywr 335. Ferr' 337. Káfeiv

336. θ eoîor A. 338. δη all the MSS. σπονδήσι θυέεσσί τε CEFGIK, Ald., D by first hand, and H by correction. onorogou θύεσσί τε AB. 340. ώς καί τοι A. ώς κέν τοι K.

Fur. 845. Archilochus uses it as a synonym of ayaovas, frag. x. 2, obs elde

Syndym of a partial rate, i.e., i.e αις, και μα τη παιτη το παιτο του ογ στοτε. Τzotzes; άγνως έπι ψυχής λέγεται, καθαρώς δέ το άρρυπάντως έχειν, ώς έπι τοῦ σώματος.—This is one of the many supplementary verses which may be due to the rhapsodists. Xenophon, quoting v. 336, Mem. i. 3, 3, has no allusion to this.

338. Probably we should read & for δη with Hermann. -σπονδήσι θύεσσί τε is the reading of Col. Gale. Goettling and Hermann, with other MSS., give σπονδήs θυέεσσί τε. Spohn θύεσσι, but the v in twos seems to be short, e. g. Aesch. Ag. 1381. Il. iv. 270, ξρχεο σύν θυέεσσιν, δολλίσσασα γεραιάς. Hesych. θύεσσι θυσίαις, η θυμιάμασι. Goettling imagines the poet intended to specify the three ways of reconciling the gods, by Sacrifice, Libations, and Incense; and also to distinguish (in v. 339) the times of offering each, viz., the liba-tions in the evening (after the $\delta e^{i\pi v \sigma v}$), the other two in the morning.

340. is ral to Cod. Gale, which shows that some transcribers supposed $7\lambda aov$ had the two first syllables short.

341. δφρ' άλλων κ.τ.λ. That you may buy your neighbour's farm, not he yours, i. e. that the gods may bless you with prosperity. Goettling thinks this verse 'ineptissimus' as it stands, and would transpose it to follow v. 301. Schoemann (p. 37) assents to this. 342—382. Here follows a collection

of very ancient maxims, somewhat after the manner of Theognis, and strung together without any nearer connexion than the general relations existing between neighbours. We may compare a series of similar brief saws in Wolsey's farewell speech, K. Hen. VIII. iii. 2, ad fin. :-

- 'Love thyself last. Cherish those hearts that hate thee :
 - Corruption wins not more than honesty.
- Still in thy right hand carry gentle peace,
- To silence envious tongues. Be just and fear not.
- Let all the ends thou aim'st at be thy country's, Thy God's and Truth's.'

It is to be remarked, that all Hesiod's apophthegms are given on the grounds of expediency. It is better to be hospitable, honest, generous, &c., because you will meet with the like return yourself from others.

reep they issland

thigh imme

. a . t early

εἰ γάρ τοι καὶ χρῆμ' ἐγκώμιον ἄλλο γένοιτο,
 γείτονες ἄζωστοι ἔκιον, ζώσαντο δὲ πηοί. 345
 Πῆμα κακὸς γείτων ὅσσοντ' ἀγαθὸς μέγ' ὄνειαρ. Κωντης
 ³⁴⁵ Εμμορέ τοι τιμῆς ὅστ' ἔμμορε γείτονος ἐσθλοῦ. (345)
 Οὐδ' ἀν βοῦς ἀπόλοιτ', εἰ μὴ γείτων κακὸς εἶη.
 Εὖ μὲν μετρεῖσθαι παρὰ γείτονος, εὖ δ' ἀποδοῦναι
 αἰτῷ τῷ μέτρῳ, καὶ λώῦον, αἴ κε δύνηαι, 350
 ὡς ἀν χρηΐζων καὶ ἐς ῦστερον ἄρκιον εὖρης.

344. γώνηται all. 349. A different hand in A as far as v. 372. 350. om. A. αὐτῷ μ^{μ} $\epsilon_{\tau\rho\varphi}$ D. αὐτῷ τὸ μέτρον I.

344. χρήμ' ἐγκώμιον ἄλλο. 'Any untoward affair in the village where you reside...-ἐγκώμιον Proclus and Steph. Byzant in ν. κώμη, for the vulg. ἐγχώριον. Hesych. ἐγκώμιον ἕνδημον κώμαι γὰρ τὰ τοῦ δήμον συστήματα. Similarly in Proverba, 'Better in adversity is a friend that is near than a brother that is far off.' The euphemism in ἄλλο is to be noticed; like ἕτερον, μὴ τοῖον, ἀc., it signifies κακών. See the note on Aesch. Suppl. 394, eĭ κού τι μὴ τοῦον τύχρ. The poet had in view his native κώμη of Asera.

345. δίωστοι έκιον, 'come without gining their clothes,'i. e. at a moment's notice, and on a hasty summons. Tetres, ημίγυμνοι μονουχί και συντόμως άνδιους: προς άρωγην και βοήθειαν.--πηο! α.τ.λ., 'but kinsmen stay to gird them,' άντι τοῦ προφάσει χρώνται και ἀναβολῆ, Much., who adds, πηο! γὰρ ο! ἐξ ἐπιγαμάκ συγγανεῖs.

347. $\tau_{u\bar{v}\bar{j}}$, "praemium a diis honoris cause datum," Goettling. Hermann explains it 'pretium,' a prize. Tzetzes, $\tau_{v\bar{v}}$ $\tau_{w\bar{v}}$, $\tau_{\mu\bar{w}}$, $\gamma_{d\bar{v}}$ $\delta\lambda\lambda\eta\lambdaous of \chi\eta\sigma\tauol$ $<math>\tau\bar{v}$ purform.) He refers to an anecdote teld of Themistocles, that in selling a field he advertised that it had a good meighbour. In this case, $\tau_{\mu}\lambda\eta$ must mean 'that which enhances the value,' $\delta \pi \tau_{\mu}\bar{q}$.

i tranµφ. 348. eið tr βoῦs. 'You would not so much as lose a single ox (by a foray), ware it not that your neighbour was here; viz. remiss in pursuing the thief. Goettling thinks there is an allusion to i foral custom of the people of Cyme in Acolis (see inf. 636), from Heraclides Ponticus (Polit. xi.), έθος δὲ ἦν αὐτοῖς εἰς τὰ κλοπιμαῖα συμβάλλεσθαι τοὺς γείτονας διὸ καὶ ὅλίγα ἀπώλλυστο· πάντες γὰρ ὁμοίως ἐτήρουν. Καὶ 'Ησίοδος ἐντεῦθεν ὅοκεῖ λέγειν, Οὐδ' ἀν βοῦς ἀπόλοιτ', εἰ μὴ γείτων κακὸς εἶη.

349. $\mu \epsilon \tau \rho e i \sigma \theta a \iota$, the infinitive for the imperative, 'take care to get good measure,' or 'to have measured out to you,'&c., Heaych. $\mu \epsilon \tau \rho e i \sigma \theta a \iota$. $-a \nu \tau \tilde{\varphi} \quad \tau \tilde{\varphi} \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \rho \varphi$, 'with the measure itself.' Equivalent in fact to $\tau \tilde{\varphi} \quad a \nu \tau \tilde{\varphi}$ $\mu \epsilon \tau \rho \varphi$. See on $a \nu \tau \tilde{\varphi} \quad \nu \kappa \tau l$ Scut. Herc. 35.— $\kappa a l \lambda \delta i o r, \kappa \tau \lambda$, allow him something over and above by way of interest; the motive being however, (as the next verse shows,) not so much love of justice as a principle, as self-interest. To this passage Alciphro alludes, Ep. i. 24, $\kappa a \rho \pi \tilde{\omega} r \delta t$ evopolas $\gamma \epsilon \sigma \rho \mu \epsilon \tau r s$ $a \phi \theta \sigma \nu i a \tau r s$. Compare Hor. Ep. i. 17, 44, 'distat, sumasne pudenter, an rapias.'

351. is an κ.τ.λ. 'In order that when you need it, you may afterwards also find a sure and certain supply.' On δρειος the student should refer to Buttmann's Lexilogus in v. So inf. 370, μισθο δ' ἀνδρὶ φίλφ εἰρημένος ἄρκιος είη. Curtius, Gr. Et. 132, gives the roots ἀρκ and ἀλκ (ἀλέξειν, ἀλαλκεῦν.) as identical, and the meaning of ἄρκιος as 'safe,' i.e. sure, because secured and protected ; and this seems a good account of the word. Proclus and Tzetzes took ἄρκιον to agree with γείτονα, and explained it by ἐπαρκοῦντα. Moschopulus; ὅπως ἀν χρήζων καὶ ἐς ὅστερον, ἤγουν εἰς τὸ ἐπιὸν, εἶρῃς τὸ ἀρκοῦν σοι.

Μη κακὰ κερδαίνειν κακὰ κέρδεα ἰσ' ἄτησιν. (350)
Τὸν φιλέοντα φιλεῖν, καὶ τῷ προσιόντι προσεῖναι καὶ δόμεν ὅς κεν δῷ, καὶ μη δόμεν ὅς κεν μη δῷ.
[δώτη μέν τις ἔδωκεν, ἀδώτη δ' οὖτις ἔδωκεν.] 355
Δὼς ἀγαθη, †ἄρπαξ δὲ κακη, θανάτοιο δότειρα.
δς μὲν γάρ κεν ἀνηρ ἐθέλων, ὄγε κεἰ μέγα δοίη, (355)
χαίρει τῷ δώρῳ καὶ τέρπεται ὃν κατὰ θυμόν
δς δέ κεν αὐτὸς ἔληται ἀναιδείηφι πιθήσας,
καί τε σμικρὸν ἐὸν, τόγ' ἐπάχνωσεν φίλον ῆτορ. 360

352. Fur' dfáryour

355. έδωκε EF. 357. καν μέγα δώη (or δώη) all. 360. καί τοι AD. τόγ' ἐπάχνωσε ADEF. τότ' ἐπάχνωσεν GIK, Ald. τό τ' ἐπάχνωσε Η.

353-5. Some suspicion attaches to these verses. Both Proclus and Tzetzes attest that Plutarch rejected them on the ground that this doctrine made giving a mere selfish and obligatory affair, to the denial of generosity. The meaning seems to be, 'attach yourself Interning counts to spin the point of the spin to a friend; be friendly to one who is friendly to you.' So sup. 319, $\theta d\rho \sigma \sigma s \delta t \pi \rho \delta s \delta \delta \phi$, i.e. $\pi \rho \delta \sigma \sigma \sigma \tau \iota$. There is a difficulty in $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma c \partial \tau u$, which the context requires us to infloot from $\epsilon l \mu \iota$, not $\epsilon l \mu \iota$. Gloss. MS. Cant. $\tau \varphi$ προσέρχο-uένφ προσέρχεσθαι. Goettling, who quotes Apollonius, Lex. Hom. in v. $\epsilon l r a \iota$. δ 'Hσίοδος άντι τοῦ lέναι και τῷ προσιόντι προσείναι, thinks the same form is found in Ar. Equit. 751, άλλ' eis το πρόσθε χρή παρείναι 's την Πνύκα. But there it is clearly from eiul, like πάρειμι Δίρκης νάματ', Eur. Bacch. 5. Still greater difficulty is presented by άδώτη, in v. 355, which must mean 'a non-giver,' contrary to the analogy of the language; though we have $\&\beta o orns$, 'ox-less,' or without a team of oxen, in v. 451, according to which abarns might mean 'giftless,' i.e. one not having a gift to offer. Something similar is arl-This, Heaven. ariumpyros. Still this is a somewhat forced explanation. Tzetzes, τό δώτη και άδώτη νοητέον τῷ δωρητικήν γνώμην έχοντι καλ μή τοιαύτην. The other Scholiasts give μεταδοτικώ καλ μη μεταδοτικφ. Gloss. Cod. Gale αμετα-

 $\delta \dot{\omega} \tau \varphi$ (1.— $\delta \dot{\sigma} \tau \varphi$). Anyhow, this varse (355) is a mere repetition of the preceding, of which it is probably but another version or recension.

856. $\delta \partial a$ (Lat. dos), the same as $\delta a - \tau (x\eta, a \text{ free gift. Hesych. } \delta \delta \sigma is. - \delta \sigma r a \xi, for <math>\delta \rho \pi a \gamma \eta$, is very difficult to defend. One cannot help suspecting the verse has been altered from some other proverb, e.g. $\delta \rho \pi a \xi \ \delta \xi \ \gamma v r \eta \ \kappa. \tau. \lambda.$, taken from some diatribe against women ; indeed the verse would well follow 375. And thus $\delta \delta s$ will have borne its original sense of dos.

357. For $\delta \delta \eta$ or $\delta \delta \eta$ some MSS. give $\delta o(\eta, \text{ and a few copies have sal for size. Stobaeus, Flor. x. 16, has <math>\delta \delta \epsilon$ sal $\mu \epsilon \gamma a$ $\delta \delta \eta$. Proclus, $\tau br \ \mu \epsilon \kappa ourless \delta \delta \epsilon ra, sal el <math>\mu \epsilon \gamma a \tau i \ \delta o(\eta)$. Schoemann with Trotzes and Moschopulus, sar $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \delta \delta \eta$ ($\delta \phi$). Goettling, $\delta \gamma \epsilon \kappa \delta r \ \mu \epsilon \gamma a \delta \delta \eta$ ($\delta \phi$). It seems best to follow the reading suggested by Proclus, set $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \delta o(\eta)$. It seems best to follow the reading suggested by Proclus, set $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \delta o(\eta)$. The triple antithesis, in brief, is this: the giver is pleased in giving much; the person robbed is vexed at losing even a little. Therefore, it is better to give than to take. Perhaps in $ab \tau \delta s \delta \eta \tau a$ there is rather the notion of claiming as a right than of taking away by force.

360. καί τε, the same as καίπερ in the Attic dialect. See inf. 871. II. x. 224, σύν τε δύ' έρχομένω καί τε πρό δ τοῦ ἐνόησεν. The sense here is, 'it may

.

*ος δ' έπ' ἐόντι φέρει, ο δ' ἀλύξεται αἴθοπα λιμόν 363 εἰ γάρ κεν καὶ σμικρὸν ἐπὶ σμικρῷ καταθεῖο, καὶ θαμὰ τοῦτ' ἔρδοις, τάχα κεν μέγα καὶ τὸ γένοιτο. (360) οὐδὲ τόγ' εἰν οἴκῷ κατακείμενον ἀνέρα κήδει. οἶκοι βέλτερον εἶναι, ἐπεὶ βλαβερὸν τὸ θύρηφι. 365 Ἐσθλὸν μὲν παρεόντος ἐλέσθαι, πῆμα δὲ θυμῷ χρηίζειν ἀπεόντος, ἄ σε φράζεσθαι ἄνωγα. (365) ᾿Αρχομένου δὲ <u>πίθου</u> καὶ λήγοντος κορέσασθαι, μεσσόθι φείδεσθαι· δειλὴ δ' ἐνὶ πυθμένι φειδώ.

364. ir Foixy 365. Foixor

362. έρδεις DEF. έρδεις G. 365. βέλτιον Α. 366. παρ' έόντος Α. 369. δεινη-πιθμένι Α. δεινή the rest.

be that it is small, but it brings a chill to a friendly heart,' i.e. it alienates the person (in this case the poet himself) from whom it was unfairly taken. Hesych. ἐπάχνωσεν ἐλύπησεν, ἡνίασεν. And so Moschop., ἐλύπησε τὴν ψυχὴν τοῦ ἀφαιρεθέντος. Photius, παχνοῦται, πάγσεται, πήγνυται, λυπέται. Ibid. παχνουμέτης, ἀνιωμένης. Eur. Hipp. 803, λυτῆ παχνωθεῖσ' ἡ 'πὸ συμφορῶς τινός; Λεφοh. Cho. 75. κρυφαίοις πένθεσιν παχνουμίτη. II. xvii. 111, τοῦ ở ἐν φρεσιν ἁλυμον ῆτορ παχνοῦται.

isk

'For, as little 361. el yap K.T.A. added to little makes much, so a person is vexed at being robbed of that little, $\tau\delta$ sumply, which he might have laid by.' This adage, Proclus observes, is connected with the preceding. But his view of the sense, which greatly inter-feres with the logic of the passage, appears to have resulted from the apparent reference to kal σμικρόν preceding. But I think it is clear that this couplet really explains 363, which I have ventured to transpose accordingly. This introduces a new precept: 'it is better to be thrifty than to be dishonest; for little gains accumulated make a large total; and you need not fear that your house will be too full; it is not the having stores at home, but the having to get them from without, that vexes a man.' There is some slight irony in the remark. For *karaθέσθαι*, 'to store up,' 'to lay by,' compare Soph. Oed. Col. 1215, ἐπεὶ πολλὰ μὲν ai μακραὶ ἀμέpau κατέθεντο δὴ λύπας ἐγγυτέρω, i.e. 'for long days lay up in store for us many things nearer to grief than to joy.' Goettling's version is, 'si iterum iterumque surripias quanvis exiguum.'

362. Kal rd, for Kal rouro. Cf. inf. 756, 759.—For \$poors MS. Cant. gives

έρδειs, others έρδειs. Hermann would read κατάθημι and έρδηs.

363. ἐπ' ἐδυτι, ἐπὶ τῷ ὑπάρχουτι. 'He who brings and adds to what is already there, that man, I say, will avoid keen famine.'

866. He proceeds, still connectedly, "Tis good to take from what you have at hand, but a vexation to the mind to want what is absent." Goettling, who considers all these (363 seqq.) to be perfectly distinct maxims, attaches a different and less satisfactory meaning. He regards v. 365 as addressed to housewives, who are advised to stay at home, and not to go a-gossiping to others' houses. And in this sense the verse is used in the Homeric Hymn to Hermes, v. 36, in reference to a tortoise found wandering on a meadow.

367. drecorros. The genitive follows $\chi \rho f \zeta \epsilon \nu$, as inf. v. 499, in the sense of deiordau, erdens elvau.

369-9. $\pi i \theta ov.$ 'Take your fill from a wine jar at the opening of it and when near the end, but be sparing at the middle of it; 'tis a sorry thrift at the



Μισθὸς δ' ανδρὶ φίλῷ εἰρημένος ẵ<u>ρκιος</u> ἔστω. 370 5εω Καί τε κασιγνήτῷ γελάσας ἐπὶ μάρτυρα θέσθαι πίστεις γάρ τοι ὑμῶς καὶ ἀπιστίαι ὥλεσαν ἄνδρας. (370) Μηδὲ γυνή σε νόον πυγ<u>οστόλο</u>ς ἐξαπατάτω μικ.) αἰμύλα κωτίλλουσα, τεὴν διφῶσα καλιήν. sukmu

370. Felonphéros.

372. δ' ἄρα ὁμῶs all. ἀνδρας ὥλεσαν (ὅλεσσαν ?) Ι. 373-5. A different hand in A, after which again a different one occurs.

bottom (when it is nearly drained).' Misella est parsimonia cum ad fundum perveneris. For this sense of $\delta \epsilon_i \lambda \eta$ see inf. v. 713. The meaning is, 'You may live well when you have plenty, but you should live carefully when you have little. To begin to be thrifty when nearly all is spent, is a poor expedient. Thus the poet recommends a mean between profuse liberality and miserly stinginess. Nothing can be better than the comment of Moschopulus: άρχην δε λαμβάνοντος άναλοῦσθαι τοῦ εν πίθφ σίνου, και λήγοντος, ήγουν ότε άρχεται ό πίθος άναλοῦσθαι, και ότε λήγει άναλούμενος, κορέσθητι κατά το μέσον δε έγκρατώς αύτῷ χρῶ. χαλεπή δε έν τῷ τέλει ἡ φειδώ ὁ γὰρ καταλειπόμενος, φησίν, δλίγος οίνος ἐν ἀγγείφ εὐκόλως έχει τραπήναι και άχρηστος γενέσθαι.---For SetAh he perhaps read SetAh, which is found in most of the copies. Perhaps to this passage Persius alludes, Sat. ii. 51, 'Nequiequam fundo suspirat nummus in imo, where the commentators quote Seneca, Ep. i. 4, 'Sera parsimonia in fundo est.' Compare also Theorr. xvi. 10, κενεας έπι πυθμένι χηλώ ψυχροίς έν γονάτεσσι κάρη μίμνοντι βαλοΐσαι.--μεσσόθι, for έν μέσσφ. Compare Κορινθόθι, Il. xiii. 664, οδρανόθι, αυτόθι, έγγυθι, &c. 11. 111. 004, ουρωσικά, αυτών, εγγου, αυτών, εγγου, αυτών, εγγου, αυτών, εντών, εντών, αυτών, εντών, αυτών, αυ

θάνατον καταγνόντες έπανειπον άργόριον τῷ ἀποκτείναντι.—ἄρκιος, 'Beoure,' 'Certain,' i. e. honourably adhered to. See on v. 351, Hom. II. x. 303, τίς κέν μοι τόδε έργον ὑποσχόμενος τελέσειεν Δώρφ ἐπὶ μεγάλφ; μισθός δέ οἱ ἀρκιος ἔσται. Goettling explains, 'let it

satisfy a friend,' and so Moschopulus, $\mu ard bs$ forw on $\sigma \mu \pi \epsilon \phi w n \mu \ell \phi os i kards$ $\tau \tilde{\eta} \gamma r \omega \mu \eta$ abroi. Buttmann, also followed by Müller (Gr. Lit. p. 82), construes $\epsilon i \eta \mu \ell r os$ forw, 'let the reward be surely agreed on with a friend.' The order of the words however is in favour of making $\delta p \kappa i s$ the predicate. 371-2. This distich contains a sepa-

371--2. This distich contains a separate maxim: 'don't trust your own brother without a witness,' viz. in business transactions; 'confidence in the dishonest is as ruinous as want of confidence in the honest.'-γελάσας, viz. as if in playful adherence to a useless matter of form, and so not to arouse his suspicions. The poet, of course, spoke feelingly, as having been cheated by Perses. The combination κa $\tau \epsilon$ (sup. 360) is difficult to explain. It seems little better than a metrical shift, occurring often in the Homeric Hymn to Aphredite. In the Hymn to Hermes, v. 132, it is clearly the same as $\kappa a (\pi \epsilon \rho, d \lambda \lambda' o i \delta a ol <math>\delta \pi \epsilon i \theta = r \theta \delta u d \delta \delta s$

373. άρα όμιδο vulgo. άρ τοι Guietus. γάρ τοι Bentley. Cf. Soph. Oed. Col. 611, θνήσκει δὲ πίστις, βλαστάνει δ' ἀπιστία. Theognis, v. 829, πίστει χρήματ ὅλεσσα, ἀπιστίη δ' ἐσάωσα. Eur. Hel. 1617, σώφρονος δ' ἀπιστίαs οὐκ ἔστιν οὐδὲν χρησιμώτερον βροτοΐς,—which implies that the ordinary ἀπιστία is harmful. Hesych. κωτίλλουσα καλακείουσα. Id., διφῶσα (ητοῦσα, ψηλαφῶσα. According to Goettling, v. 370-2 are wanting in some MSS.

373. πυγοστόλοs, dressed out behind ; artificially arrayed to set off the figure ; γυν) έταιρ!s, Proclus. Photius and Suidas; πυγοστόλοs, μωυλίστρια (lena). The defect of flatness of figure is alluded to

ЕРГА КАІ НМЕРАІ.

53

δς δὲ γυναικὶ πέποιθε, πέποιθ ὄγε φηλήτησι.
875 κυαντο
Μουνογενὴς δὲ πάϊς οἶκον πατρώιον εἶη
φερβέμεν ŵς γὰρ πλοῦτος ἀέξεται ἐν μεγάροισι. (375)
[γηραιος δὲ θάνοις ἔτερον παιδ' ἐγκαταλείπων.]
βεία δέ κεν πλεόνεσσι πόροι Ζεὺς ἄσπετον ὅλβον.
πλείων μὲν πλεόνων μελέτη, μείζων δ' ἐπιθήκη.
380 σοιν
σοι δ' εἰ πλούτου θυμος ἐξεδεται ἐν φρεσι σῆσιν,

376. máfus foikov

fend

377. ἀΓέξεται 381. ἐΓέλδεται

375. φιλήτησι DK. φηλήτησι DEF. φηλήτησιν ABCGI. 376. πάϊς εἰη A by the first hand (gl. ἔστω), πάϊς σώζοι by the second. σώζει GI. σώζοι the rest. In EF οἶκον — (indicating a lacuna or deficient sense). 379. δέ κ' ἐν A. 381. φρεσὶ σῆσιν A. φρεσὶν ἦσιν the rest.

by the pseudo-Simonides, who calls an ugly woman $\xi\pi\nu\gamma\sigmas$, $d\nu\tau\delta\kappa\omega\lambda\sigmas$. From Ar. Plut. 149—52, it is clear that the poet's advice is directed against courtesans, who wish to gain a footing in a wealthy man's house.—Stobaeus, Flor. 85, 3, quotes v. 373—4. 375. $\phi\eta\lambda\eta\tau\sigma\sigma$, 'to cheats :' Hesych.

375. $\phi\eta\lambda\eta\tau\eta\sigma\iota$, 'to cheats :' Hesych. $\lambda\eta\sigma\tauai$?, from $\phi\eta\lambda\epsilon i\nu = \phi\eta\lambda\delta i\nu$, 'to deceive,' Aesch. Agam. 475. Cho. 988, roworov $k\nu$ erforato $\phi\eta\lambda\eta\tau$, arfor Rhes. 217, $\phi\eta\lambda\eta\tau i\nu$ kraf. Curtius (Gr. Et 376) connects the word with $\sigma\phid\lambda\epsilon_{i\nu}$ and fallere, and our word fall.—After this line (as remarked on 356) it would be appropriate to read $\delta\delta s \, \delta\gamma a\theta\eta$. $d\rho\pi\alpha\xi$ $\delta i \gamma urh \thetaard \tauoio \delta \delta traipa. 'It is all very$ well, if she brings you a dowry; but ifshe robs and squanders, it is death tothe house.'

376. Most copies give $\sigma \phi(\alpha) \pi \alpha \tau \rho \phi(\alpha)$ olass, and so Proclus and Moschopulus read. But the verse is in some way corrupt, since olass always takes the digamma. The Cod. Gale has $\epsilon(\eta)$ by the first hand, (with gloss $\epsilon \sigma \tau \omega$,) $\sigma \phi(\alpha)$ by the second hand. Doubtless we should read olass $\pi \alpha \tau \rho \phi(\alpha) = \epsilon(\eta + \tau, \tau, \lambda)$.— The meaning of $\mu \sigma \nu \sigma \gamma e \tau \eta$ s. τ, λ .— The meaning of $\mu \sigma \nu \sigma \gamma e \tau \eta$ s as explained by Tzetzes as 'children born of one mother;' but it is clear the poet is speaking of an only son, because the autithesis is in $\pi \lambda \epsilon \delta \nu \epsilon \sigma \sigma a$. "It is well to have at least one son, if you look to increasing or 'feeding' your property;

378. ἐγκαταλείπων, 'leaving in your place, or to fill up the vacancy; alium sufficiens. So Plat. Symp. p. 208, B, τούτφ γάρ τρόπφ και το θυητόν σώζεται -- τφ το άπιον και παλαιούμενον ἔτερον νέον ἔγκαταλείπειν οἶον αὐτό ῆν.

véor éykaraleímeir olor aðrö fir. 380. $\pi \lambda \epsilon l \omega r \mu \epsilon r$. 'The more there are, the greater is the caretaking, and the larger is the added store; so if *wealth* is what your heart desires within you, act as I tell you, and do work upon work.'

381. $i \neq \phi \rho e \sigma l \sigma \hat{\rho} \sigma \iota (\sigma \hat{\rho} \sigma \nu)$ MS. Cant. Cod. Gale. $i \neq \phi \rho e \sigma l \nu \hat{\rho} \sigma \nu$ vulgo, and so Goettling; who regards this distich as interpolated by way of closing the last subject and entering upon a new one. The chief evidence against it is the violation of the digamma in $i \rho \gamma \varphi$. As however the MSS. vary between $\kappa a l$

ῶδ' ἔρδειν, καὶ ἔργον †ἐπ' ἔργψ ἐργάζεσθαι. (380)

Πληϊάδων Ατλαγγενέων επιτελλομενάων κανεν άρχεσθ αμητοῦ αρότοιο δε <u>δυσομενά</u>ων. αί δ' ήτοι νύκτας τε και ήματα τεσσαράκοντα 385

382. Γέργον δ' επὶ Γέργῳ Γεργάζεσθαι

382. καὶ ἔργον ἐπ' ἔργψ AEF. ἔργον δέ τ' ἐπ' ἔργψ the rest. 383. Bíβλος δεύτερος in K. An initial rubricated or otherwise marked in EGHI. 'Ατλαγενάων (ε superscr.) A, and HI by the first hand. 'Ατλαγενέων the rest. 384. ἀμήτου ἀροτοῖο G. ἀρότοιο A, Ald.

δυσσομενάων A. δυσσομενάων the rest.

ξργον ἐπ' ἕργφ and ἕργον δέ τ' ἐπ' ἕργφ, we should probably read ἕργον δ' ἐπὶ ἕργφ ἐργάζεσθα. By 'one work after another' he means the successive operations of farming, e. g. sowing and reaping after ploughing. Schoemann, p. 41, thinks 381-22 following v. 326, the intervening lines having been interpolated or disarranged.

\$83. Here commences quite a distinct part of the poem, more exclusively didactic and less ethic than the preceding. Precepts on the practice of agriculture are the topic now before us. In the MSS. some distinction is commonly made at this place, either by a rubricated letter or the title $B(\beta\lambda or \delta \epsilon \delta r \epsilon \rho or$.

Indic at the place, ender by a fullcated letter or the title B(B) so $\delta\epsilon' repos.$ *Ibid.* IIAnid $\delta\omega\nu$. The Pleiades rise in early summer (May) and set in November. While they were hidden, the sailing-season was suspended, (inf. v. 622.) and when they set, then commenced the work on the farms (v. 616). See Virg. Georg. iv. 231-3. Aesch. Agam. 799. Ar. Av. 710-11. Theocr. Xiii. 25, $\delta\mu\omegas\delta' \delta rr \epsilon \lambda \lambda orr i. Ichedses,$ $<math>\epsilon \sigma \chi arial \delta \delta' A \rho va v \delta or B \delta \sigma \kappa o r ri. Ter pau$ µ é vw e lapos fi dn, Tâµos var ri las apurdoσκετο θείοs δω ros 'Hρώων. Ovid, Fast.v. 599 (13th of May), 'Pleiadas aspiciesomnes, totumque sororum Agmen, ubiante Idus nox erit una super. Tummihi non dubiis auctoribus incipit aestas, Et tepidi finem tempora veris habent.'—'A τ ary vevéwv (Cod. Gale 'A τ λa

yerdwr), not for 'Athartoyeréwr, as

Goettling teaches, but for 'A $\tau\lambda arr-\gamma e\nu \epsilon \omega r$, derived at once from the stem.

384. ἀμητοῦ. Goettling gives ἀμήτου, with Dindorf, and so Cod. Gale.—δυσομενάων, the Homeric aorist, as δόσετο δ' ήέλιος, and in Od. i. 24, oi μέν δυσομένου 'Τπερίονος. Cod. Gale has δυσ-

σομενάων. Tzetzes took it for the future, μελλουσών δύναι την έσπερίας δύσας, où the éwar. By auntos and aportos, the reaping and the ploughing, the summer and the winter or post-autumnal seasons are meant. As with us, the ploughing and sowing for the early crops took place in late autumn. So Virg. Georg. i. 219, 'At si triticeam in messem robustaque farra Exercebis humum, solisque instabis aristis, Ante tibi Ecao Atlantides abscondantur,-Debita quam sulcis committas semina.'-It is to be observed that the a in $d\mu\eta\tau ds$ is long, not by crasis with Excerta, but by the poetical pronunciation auuntov. So we have ἀτάλλων (ā) sup. v. 131, āμdar inf. v. 392, but ăµâraı in v. 778. Apollonius Rhodius has aucorros, ii. 1187 and 1382, and Theocritus $\delta \psi \bar{a} \mu \bar{a} \tau \pi$ ($\bar{a} \mu \eta \tau \eta s$), x. 7. Again $\bar{a} \mu \eta \tau o \bar{v}$ occurs, inf. v. 575. Homer reduplicates the μ even in έμαθες, Od. xviii. 362, άλλ' έπει οδν δή έργα κάκ' έμμαθες. He also uses anauhoeie, Il. xviii. 34, and auhoarres in Od. xxi. 301. aµ@ev ib. ix. 135. In tragedy the a is short, e.g. dianorat in Bacch. 709. See also inf. 775-8.



ЕРГА КАІ НМЕРАІ.

κεκρύφαται, αὖτις δὲ περιπλομένου ἐνιαυτοῦ φαίνονται ταπρῶτα χ<u>αρασσομ</u>ένοιο σιδήρου. (385) statter (οὖτός τοι πεδίων πέλεται νόμος, οἴ τε θαλάσσης ἐγγύθι ναιετάουσ', οἴ τ' ἄγκεα βησσήεντα [πόντου κυμαίνοντος ἀπόπροθι, πίονα χῶρον] 390 [ναίουσιν. Γυμνὸν σπείρειν, γυμνὸν δὲ βοωτεῖν, β^{μωι}κ γυμνὸν δ' ἀμάαν, εἴ χ' ὥρια πάντ' ἐθέλησθα (390)

neal,

385. Sé toi G. Sý toi the rest. 391. Bofwreiv

386. αδθις D. 389. ναιστάωσ' ΑΕΓΚ. ναιστάουσ' BCGHI. 391. ναίωσ' γυμνόν & Α. ναίουσι ΕΓΚ, Ald. ναίουσιν BCDGI. 392. αμάσιν Α. αμασθαι the rest.

385. 34 701 vulgo. 34 701 MS. Cant. 8 4701 Hermann. Cf. v. 388.

İbid. τεσσαράκοντα. The heliacal setting of the Pleiades in Hesiod's time was (according to Goettling after Ideler) on the 4th of April, the rising on the 18th of May, an interval of forty-four days inclusively.—περιπλομένου ένααντοϋ, as the year rolls on in its circular course, or as the sun enters new signs of the zodisc.

387. χαρασσομένουο, when the sickle is being sharpened (or rather roughened, serated, so as to become καρχαφόδους, Theog. 175) for the early harvest, έμητος, v. 384. Inf. v. 573, άλλ άρτας τζ χαρασσέμεναι καὶ δμῶας δγείρευ.

391. raiousu. Something is wrong in this verse, since racerdous' has just preceded, and the addition of miora xôpor after áynea is harsh. Probably v. 390 was interpolated, and some word was expelled from the next verse in consequence. For a new precept should commence a new verse; and we cannot otherwise account for the variant $\gamma \nu \mu \nu \lambda \nu$ $\delta \ell$ or elpew, found in Cod. Gale and two or three of Goettling's MSS. The former also gives rearders' and reless' (aic). Schoemann (p. 42) suggests $\gamma \nu \mu \nu \lambda \nu$ orelpew $\kappa \ell \lambda \rho \mu \mu \lambda \nu$ orelpew $\kappa \ell \lambda \rho \mu \mu \lambda \nu$ Goettling thinks obros $\nu \ell \mu \nu \lambda$ Goettling thinks obros $\nu \ell \mu \nu \lambda$ main to refer obros $\nu \ell \mu \nu \lambda$ than to refer obros $\nu \ell \mu \nu \lambda$ or main to refer obros $\nu \ell \mu \nu \lambda$ than to refer obros $\nu \ell \mu \nu \lambda$ main to refer obros $\nu \ell \mu \nu \lambda$ than to refer obros $\nu \ell \mu \nu \lambda$ main to refer obros $\nu \ell \mu \nu \lambda$ than to refer obros $\nu \ell \mu \nu \lambda$ than to refer obros $\nu \ell \mu \nu \lambda$ main to refer obros $\nu \ell \mu \nu \lambda$ than to refer obros $\nu \ell \mu \nu \lambda$ than to refer obros $\nu \ell \mu \nu \lambda$ than to refer obros $\nu \ell \mu \nu \lambda$ than to refer obros $\nu \ell \mu \nu \lambda$ than to refer obros $\nu \ell \mu \nu \lambda$ than to refer obros $\nu \ell \mu \nu \lambda$ than to refer obros $\nu \ell \mu \nu \lambda$ than to refer obros $\nu \ell \mu \nu \lambda$ than to refer obros $\nu \ell \mu \nu \lambda$ than the refer obros $\nu \ell \mu \nu \lambda$ throwing back the $\mu \mu \nu \lambda$ of the limit of the second throwing back the $\mu \nu \mu \lambda$ of the observe. Some $\nu \mu \mu \nu \lambda$ throwing back the $\mu \mu \nu \lambda$ of the second $\nu \mu \nu \mu \lambda$ throwing back the $\mu \mu \nu \lambda$ of the second $\nu \mu \mu \lambda$ throwing back the $\mu \mu \nu \lambda$ of the second λ of the second λ throwing back the $\mu \mu \nu \lambda$ of the second λ of the second

392. $\dot{a}\mu dar$ Goettling for $\dot{a}\mu derr$, in which the middle syllable would be short. Here the long a is prefixed to the contracted a of the infinitive, as ω in $\dot{\eta} \beta \delta \omega \sigma a$, Od. v. 69, whereas $\mu \eta \chi a$ r darau sup. 241 follows the analogy of $\kappa a \eta \kappa o \mu \delta \omega \sigma r e$, δc . Similar instances are $\delta r e \mu r a \sigma \theta e$ Od. xxii. 38. $\dot{a} \sigma a r$ ibid. x. 68. $\mu r \ddot{a} a \sigma \theta a$ ibid. i. 39. $\dot{\eta} \gamma \ddot{a} a \sigma \theta e$ v. 122, but $\dot{e} \gamma da \sigma \theta e$ ib. v. 119. $\mu e \nu o r \ddot{v} \ddot{a}$ 1 xix. 164.—Many copies give $\dot{a} \mu \ddot{a} \sigma \theta a$, and so Moschopulus read, and Gaisford has edited. But this reading doubtless arose from a misapprehension of the prosody of the active infinitive. The middle voice means 'to heap up,' collect or scrape together,' as inf. v. 778, $\delta r e$ ' $\delta \rho_{15} \sigma \tilde{\omega} \rho_{25} \dot{a} \mu \ddot{a} r a$, v. 775, et $\phi \rho_{25} r a$ $\kappa a \rho \pi \partial r a \mu \ddot{a} \sigma \theta a$, 'to get in the harvest.'

ἔργα κομίζεσθαι [Δημήτερος· ὥς τοι ἕκαστα
ὥρι' ἀέξηται,] μή πως τὰ μέταζε χατίζων
πτώσσης ἀλλοτρίους οἶκους, καὶ μηδὲν ἀνύσσης. 395
ὡς καὶ νῦν ἐπ' ἔμ' ἦλθες· ἐγὼ δέ τοι οὐκ ἐπιδώσω,
οὐδ' ἐπιμετρήσω· ἐργάζευ, νήπιε Πέρση, (395)
ἔργα, τάτ' ἀνθρώποισι θεοὶ διετεκμήραντο,
μήποτε σὺν παίδεσσι γυναικί τε θυμὸν ἀχεύων
ζητεύης βίοτον κατὰ γείτονας, οἱ δ' ἀμελῶσιν. 400

393. Fépya

395. Foírans

397. Γεργάζευ

394. µeratù MSS.

395. ἀνύσης Α.

400. αμελώσι AD.

398. Fépya

393. So toi Export K.T.A. This seems but a repetition of what had just been said. Moreover, Exactors is a digammated word (for Sorts Exactors in Theog. 459 is corrupt). Here Bentley read Sorte Féxacra. See Curtius, Gr. Et. 460, who quotes Féxacros from a Locrian inscription. But the passage has certainly been interpolated; for the recurrence of Soia is intolerable, and the particle of purpose, is — žélyraa, illogically follows et K' délyroa K.T.A. Probably $\Delta \eta \mu \eta \tau i \rho s$ was added by those who thought $i \rho \gamma a$ would not stand alone for 'farm-produce.' Tzetzes perceived that the passage was faulty: — $\tau \delta$ et χ' Soia kal $\tau \delta$ So toi Exacta Soi' à légrau arrup's épezyelia kal $\phi \lambda uapla é ort.$

394. $\tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \tau a \zeta \epsilon$ was restored by Spohn from several of the grammarians, who cite the word as $\delta \pi a \xi \lambda \epsilon \gamma \delta \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma \nu$ from Hesiod. The MSS. and scholiasts give $\tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \tau a \xi \dot{\sigma}$, but the Aldine has $\mu \epsilon \tau a \zeta \dot{\sigma}$. The sense is, 'between now and next harvest.' Hesychius seems to have misunderstood the sense; $\tau \dot{\sigma} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \tau a \zeta \dot{\epsilon}$. The word occurs in the Homeric Hymn to Hermes, v. 125, $\dot{\omega} s$ $\xi \tau_1 \nu \bar{\nu} \tau \dot{\sigma} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \tau a \zeta \epsilon$ $\pi \sigma \lambda \nu \chi \rho \dot{\sigma} \nu \omega_1$ $\pi \epsilon \phi \dot{\nu} a \tau \dot{\epsilon}$, and $\dot{\iota} \dot{\upsilon} d$. 159.

895. πτώσσης, πτωχεύης, η δίκην πτωκός γυμνός και περιδεής πορεόη πρός τους αλλοτρίους σίκους, Tzetzes. Cf. Od. xvii. 227, άλλα πτώστων κατά δήμον βούλεται αίτίζων βόσκειν ην γαστέρ' άναλτον. The accusative appears to depend on the implied sense of motion from one place to another, combined with that of προσ-

αιτών, λιπαρών, ένοχλών. Of. Theognis, v. 918, πτωχεύει δε φίλους πάντας, δπου τιν ίδη. Χεθ. Oecon. xx. 15, δ μάντε άλλην τέχνην χρηματοποιον επιστάμενος, μήτε γεωργείν έθέλων, φανερου δτι κλόπτων ή άρπάζων ή προσαιτών διαυοεόται βιοτεύειν.

396. ἐπ' ἐμὲ, 'after me' (as we say, in the sense of coming to and looking for). We might have expected προσ ἐμὲ, but the Greeks used ἐπαιτεῖν and προσαιτεῖν indifferently for πτωχείω. Ibid. ἐπιδώσω, 'I will not give you

398. διετεκμήραντο, διώρισαν, have defined, appointed, ordained. See on v. 229.— In ξργα, as before, agriculture is mainly included. Hence there is an allusion, perhaps, to the times and seasons assigned by the gods, and marked by the stars.

400. $(\eta \tau \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon w \text{ is a length} end form of$

+ + + + Hish

from the them δις μέν γαρ και τρις τάχα τεύξεαι ήν δ έτι λυπής, χρήμα μεν ου πρήξεις, του δ' ετώσια πόλλ' αγορεύσεις το και άχρειος δ' έσται έπέων νομός. άλλά σ' άνωγα (401) avoidance φράζεσθαι χρειών τε λύσιν λιμοῦ τ' ἀλεωρήν. Οίκον μέν πρώτιστα γυναϊκά τε, βούν τ' άροτήρα, 405 [κτητήν, ού γαμετήν, ήτις καί βουσιν εποιτο,] (405) . Hung χρήματα δ' είν οἶκφ πάντ' ἄρμενα ποιήσασθαι,

403. Γεπέων

road

405. Foirov 407. ir Foikw

401. y dé n CEFI. 402. om. I. où dé r'érwora C. 403. vóµos DH. erreral A. Erray EF. 405. 7' om. A.

(ntéw, as knocebe of knoce, dokebe of tontes, axetes of axees, and so rourrever and doveveur in Euripides. - due Loor Cod.

Gale, perhaps rightly. 402. The emphatic συ forms an unnatural antithesis with $\chi p \bar{\eta} \mu a \ \mu \epsilon \nu$, &c., and perhaps we should read $\tau a \ \delta' \ \epsilon \tau \omega$ **en** s. τ . λ , where $\tau \dot{c}$ would be the de-monstrative; though neither is this satisfactory, followed by $\pi \circ \lambda \lambda \dot{d}$. Compare, however, Il. x. 237, μηδέ σύ γ αίδόμενος σήσι φρεσί τον μέν άρείω καλλείπεις, σύδε χείρον δπάστει αίδοι είκων. Qu. τὰ δ' ἐτώσια πάντ' ἐγορεύσεις It is not improbable indeed that ἐτώσιος took the digamma. Thus inf. v. 440, Bentley read Fépyor δε Ferώσιον αδθι λίποιεν. (Compare however v. 411.) The verse indeed is entirely omitted in one of the Bodician MSS., (D'Or. x. i. 3. 13,) which, though late, exhibits many remarkable readings. Perhaps it is an interpolation. We should probably read to & Eri Aunis, axpeios Fenteur fora rouss, which was altered to avoid the supposed hiatus in extern-vouds, the range, compass, or extent of your eloquent appeals. An Homeric phrase, II. xx. 249, επέων δε πολύς νομός ένθα na) Erba

404. χρειών λύσιν, a way of getting out of debt, viz. some better way than by begging. It would be better, perhaps, to continue olkor µer &c. in apposition, than to make it a new and independent sentence, in which olkow is the object of

wochrasea. We might thus translate, 'a household consisting of a woman-slave, and an ox for the plough.'

405 seqq. An enumeration of the stock and the implements necessary for farming.-olkov, a homestead including the usual possessions and appurtenances. Xenophon, Oecon. vi. 4, defines olkos to be sthous h mara. A female slave and an ox for the plough are mentioned as the minimum for commoncing. The following verse is justly regarded as interpolated, by way of explaining what kind of a *yurh* was meant. It does not seem to have been known to Aristotle, who quotes this passage, Polit. i. 2, and Oecon. 2, and took *yurafua* to mean 'a wife.' Not only the repetition of Bougly after Bour, but the interposing Bour aportipa between yuraika and its epithet κτητήν, is very clumsy. Moreover, έπεσθαι should mean 'to follow at the plough;' but this office is assigned not to a woman, but to a sturdy man, inf. v. 441. Perhaps ' to attend upon ' is used in a more general sense.

407. $\chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$, the goods, i. e. the farming implements, $\sigma \kappa \epsilon \upsilon \eta$. — $\delta \rho \mu \epsilon \sigma \alpha$, $\delta \rho \mu \delta \delta \alpha$, Moschop., 'get them suited to hand,' or ready for use. Goetling, by referring to a precept in Xen. Oecon. § viii. 3, and ibid. 18, about rdgis, or order, seems to take the sense somewhat differently. But Equeros is frequent in Hesiod, and in every place has the sense of aptus, habilis.

μη συ μεν αἰτῆς ἄλλον, ὁ δ' ἀρνηται, συ δε τητῷ, <
ή ὅ ὥρη παραμείβηται, μινύθη δέ †τοι ἔργον.
μηδ' ἀναβάλλεσθαι ἔς τ' αὖριον ἔς τ' ἔννηφιν·
410 40
οὐ γὰρ ἐτωσιοεργὰς ἀνὴρ πίμπλησι καλιὴν,
οὐδ' ἀναβαλλόμενος· μελέτη [δέ] τοι ἔργον ὀφέλλει. (410, αικ

*Ημος δη λήγει μένος ὀξέος ἠελίοιο

409. δέ τε Γέργον? 411. ἐτωσιόΓεργος 412. μελέτη δέ τε Γέργον? 413. ἀμβολίΓεργος ἀΓάτησι

408. aireis EFH and D by the first hand. apreira AEF. 409. $\pi a pa \mu e i \beta e rat AEF$ and D by the first hand. $\mu u r i \theta e u$ A and D by the first hand. $\mu u r i \theta \eta$ BCEFH. In GI, Ald. the subjunctives are rightly given. 411. irwo uppos AD. 412. S i prov I. 413. araw HK, Ald. 414. $\eta \mu o s$ Si H.

408—9. doreira: — mapaueißera: — μ . róðe: Cod. Gale; a reading the more remarkable, as it is found in other vary good copies. Here μh is for $l \nu a \ \mu h$, by a not very uncommon use.— dé τe Férror Bentley, probably rightly, as *èr Foirw* just above.

410. Erryqur. This is a difficult world to explain. It is called the epic genitive for Erns, scil. is xporor Erns nucpas, 'to the day after to morrow.' But G. Curtius, Gr. Et. 311, tells us that aros, 'old,' is the Sanscrit sanas, the Latin senex, while *ivenous* he refers (310) to Sansor. anjas, alius. According to this, ern and errn are quite unconnected. A more common phrase in this sense is els ένην, Ar. Ach. 172, (is) ένας, Theorr. xviii. 14. Goettling's theory is that Evos bears the same relation to dy that imus, for inimus (infimus) bears to in. As every definite line may be said to have a beginning, a middle and an end, the last of the triple division came to signify the third day from the present. Similarly, *irn val via*, the last day of the month, has reference to the extreme end of the last of the triad, loranévov, peroveros, and polivorros, the addition of sal véa alluding to the half-day borrowed from the next month to make up the deficiency in a lunar month of 291 days, to the full complement of 30. Below, v. 770, in appears to mean the

first day of the month. This shows an early perplexity as to the exact ending and commencement of the true lunar month; or rather, a day made up, as it were, of two halves, was distinguished by a complex term, the shortened form of which (im_{1}) came to mean a whole day which included one of the halves, and that the wrong one.

412. μελέτη, 'diligence,' 'attention.' $\dot{\eta} \phi \rho \rho r ls, \dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \pi \mu \epsilon h \epsilon \epsilon a, Moschopulus. It$ $is naturally contrasted with <math>d \nu \epsilon \beta \delta \lambda \lambda$, Isthm. vi. 95, Λ d μπων δ δ μελέταν έργοις $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \delta (\omega r 'H \sigma i \delta \delta \delta \omega \mu \delta \lambda \epsilon \tau \mu \hat{\epsilon} r \sigma \delta \tau ' \dot{\epsilon} \tau \sigma s.$ The Schol. there, in citing this passage, omits the δ d, which Gaisford approves. Bentley would read μωνόθη δ $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon f \dot{\epsilon} \rho \gamma \omega$ in 409. But the violation of the digamma is a just ground of suspecting an interpolation. According to Goettling, this verse is wanting in three MSS.

413. atpo: malaie: 'has to contend with losses.' atrus. MS. Corp. Christ. and vulg., but atpo: nearly all the MSS.

414. $\bar{\eta}\mu\sigmas \,\delta\eta$, 'when therefore,'—as if in continuation of the advice in v. 407. Cf. inf. v. 648. 679. But $\bar{\eta}\mu\sigmas \,\delta\delta\lambda$ dyrec is more probably right, the λ being regarded as doubled. And this is the reading of one of the Bodleian MSS.

58

shi gin they

delating



καύματος ίδαλίμου, μετοπωρινον όμβρήσαντος Ζηνος έρισθενέος, μετα δε τρέπεται βρότεος χρώς πολλον έλαφρότερος. δη γαρ τότε Σείριος άστηρ βαιον ύπερ κεφαλής κηριτρεφέων άνθρώπων μιν τό έρχεται ημάτιος, πλείων δε τε νυκτος έπαυρεί τήμος άδηκτοτάτη πελεται τμηθείσα σιδήρω νημος αρ' ύλοτομείν μεμνημένος. ώρια έργα.

rams 415 moses was !! (415) lorn to die mare shareft if end indding **4**20 **(42**0)

421. χέξει 422. ώρια ξέργα

417. σίριος EF. 420. τημος Α. ημος the rest. 422. δ' ἄρ' Α. ώρια έργα Α. ώριον έργον the rest.

415. Вадінов from Ros = Ториз. Невусh. Годинов кайна: то Горитопой. Id. кабиатов Вадінов той вернотатов. A Притоповой. Scut. Herc. v. 397, Тон is absordre, бябте хрба Zelpus ä(en. неготирида к.т.д., when the autumnal rains have cooled the ground after the season of the vintage, отбра.

417. *λλαφρότερος*, soil. *δστε γίγνεσθαι*, viz. when the languor caused by the heat has passed away. Perhaps χρός has reference to the swarthy colour of sunburnt men, which becomes evanescent as the autumn advances; or the phrase may be borrowed from the *τροπαl heλίου*, as we say, 'men's bodies *lake a turn* to greater agility.' Moschopulus:-*μεταβάλλεται δι το άνθράπυνον σώμα άπο τοῦ άνειμένου ἐπι το τυκιόν και ίσχυρου* (*ίσχυδυ* gloss. MS. Cant.), κατά πολύ *έλαφρότερον γιγνόμενου*.-τότε, viz. in the autumn, after the time when the dog-star brings the greatest heat, Aceoh. Ag. 940.

419. ἐπαυρεί, which Buttmann (Lexil. p. 150) regards as a separable form from ἐπαυρῶν, means, that the dog-star gets more night, i.e. exercises its influence in a greater proportion by night than by day, as the nights become longer. It is nearly a synonym of ἐπαυρίσκεται. Cf. Il. xv. 316, πολλά δὰ (δοῦρα) καὶ μεσσηγὺ, πάρος χρόα λευκὸν ἐπαυρεῦν, ἐν γαίη Ισταντο. Ib. xviii. 302, τῶν τυὰ βέλτερόν ἐστιν ἐπαυρέμεν ἡ περ ᾿Αχαιοὺs, and xxiii. 340, λίδου δ' ἀλέασθαι ἐπαυρεῦν. "The star Sirius moves but for a short time above us during the daytime and

confines himself mainly to the night." (Dr. Pearson, Transactions of Camb. Philolog. Soc. i. p. 177.) Astronomical calculations abow, that owing to the Precession of the equinoxes Sirius would have risen in B.C. 750 about two hours earlier than it now does, but would have been above the horizon for about an equal time, viz. 10 hours, in the latitude of Ascra (*ibid.*).

420. $\tau_{\mu\nu\sigma}$ Cod. Gale, Goettling. $\eta_{\mu\sigma}$ Gaisford, with most MSS. ($\tau\sigma\tau$ Tzetzes.) — $\lambda\partial\eta\kappa\tau\sigma\tau\eta$, 'the least worm-eaten when cut down with the axe.' Some malady like our dry rot seems to be meant, and perhaps arising from the same cause, the cutting down trees while the sap is in active circulation. Plautus, Mostell. iii. 2, 141, 'edepol ambo ab infimo tarmes secat; intempestivos excisos credo.'

421. πτόρθοιο, the sprouting of the twigs; τοῦ κλωνοφυεῖν, Tzetzes. This is an unusual sense of a word which means 'a young shoot.' Perhaps πτορθμοῖο.

422. $\delta \lambda \sigma \tau \rho \mu \hat{\omega}$, be careful to cut your timber, duly mindful of the season, or of the advice here given. Cf. v. 623. 711.—*apua ĕpya* Cod. Gale, and a few other good copies, for the vulg. *&piov ĕpyov*, which violates the digamma. So *ἐπίκλοπον ῆθοs* has crept into the text for *ἐπίκλοπα Γήθη*, sup. 67, 78. The verse however may be spurious, as Goetling says it is wanting in one copy. The construction is certainly obsoure; perhaps it is best to make *äpia* **ä***fpya* **a**

mortan usele jace

, 'm

δ<u>μον</u> μεν τριπόδην τάμνειν, υπερον δε τρίπηχυν,
 αξονά θ επταπόδην· μάλα γάρ νύ τοι αρμενος ουτως·
 εἰ δε κεν ὀκταπόδην, ἀπὸ καὶ σφῦράν κε τάμοιο.
 425 και^λ
 τρισπίθαμον δ' αψιν τάμνειν δεκαδώρω άμάξη.

423. τέμνειν Ι. 424. ἄρμενος ΕFK, Ald., and D by correction. *ἄρμενον* the rest. 425. ἀπό κεν Α. 426. δωδεκαδώρφ C.

distinct exceptical clause, 'for then the timber is in season.' Commonly, no stop is placed at $\mu \in \mu r \eta \mu \neq \sigma \sigma$, by which $\ell p \gamma \sigma r$ becomes a cognate accusative. Virgil seems to have rendered this verse, Georg. i. 256, 'aut *tempestivam* silvis evertere pinum.'

423. $\delta \lambda \mu o \nu \kappa. \tau. \lambda$. Cut your mortar of the length of three feet, but your pestle of three cubits.' The custom of crushing or bruising corn in a wooden mortar carries us back to a state of primitive rustic simplicity; yet the implement was in use even in the time of Aristophanes, Vesp. 238, περιπατοῦντε νύκτωρ μιαιός, του, πυραπτουντέ Ρυκτώρ | της αρτοπώλιδος λαθόντ ἐκλέψαμεν τον δλμον. Mention is made of pestle and mortar, αλετρίβανος, δοίδυξ, and θύεια, in Ar. Pac. 228, 259. Equit. 984. If any διέμ is the lambda in the second seco faith is to be placed in the quaint drawings of rustic implements which are given in three MSS. (A, C, H), the pestle was a horizontal pounder working on a pivot, similar to that still used in Italy in preparing maccaroni-paste. G. Curtius, Gr. Et. 290, derives Swepos (superus) from ύπερ, and δλμος (361) from the root Feλ, volvo. Cf. II. xi. 147, δλμον δ' δς έσσευε κυλίνδεσθαι δι' όμίλου. Photius records both words in Swépou περιτροπή and δλμοι. He quotes from the Φίλοι of Eupolis, 'Ρέγκειν δε τούς δλμους οίμοι των κακών. Read, βέγκειν δέ τοις δλμοισιν σίμοι των κακών. Here δλμos means φορβειά, the mouth-piece of the flute, probably from having a circular cavity fitting on to the instrument.

424. $\delta\rho\mu\nu\sigma\sigma$, 'well-proportioned.' See on v. 407. $\mu d\lambda a$ is here poetically used for $\mu d\lambda \nu \sigma \tau a$. The handle of the pestle is clearly meant by $\delta \xi \omega v$. Pinto, in his travels through Africa, gives aketches of women pounding rice with pestles fully as long as that here mentioned. It seems wrongly referred to a cart-axle. 'Hereiod,' Tzetzes quaintly observes, 'calls the seven-feet axle very convenient in size: I should call it very inconvenient, though no great farmer myself."

425. σφῦραν, 'a mallet,' i.e. a billet of wood one foot long, sawn off from the superfluous length of the *ator* to form the head of a mallet such as is used for driving stakes. Cf. Ar. Pac. 566, $rh \Delta l'$, $h \gamma \alpha \rho$ σφῦρα λαμπρόν h v ắζ έξωπ-λισμένη. On all these minute directions Proclus has a note of some interest :πολύς έν τούτοις ό Πλούταρχος, άμυνόμενος τούς γελώντας τον Ήσίοδον της μικρολογίας, και Πλάτωνα λέγων περί τῆς τών σκευών έν τοις οίκοις διειλέχθαι συμμετρίας, και Δυκούργον περί της των θυρών κατασκευής, 1/ από πρίονος δοι και πελέκεως μόνον αποίκιλοι. Δεί οδν αποδέχεσθαι και τον 'Ησίοδον μέτρα παραδόντα και δλμου και ύπέρου και άξονος και σφύραs.—The objection, in fact, would apply equally to Virgil's Georgics, and to all the instructions conveyed in didactic poems.



πόλλ' ἐπι καμπύλα καλα· φέρειν δὲ γύην, ὅτ' ἀν εὖρης, ΄ εἰς οἶκον, κατ' ὄρος διζήμενος ἢ κατ' ἀρουραν, (426) πρίνινον· ὃς γὰρ βουσὶν ἀροῦν ὀχυρώτατός ἐστιν, εὖτ' ἀν ᾿Αθηναίης δμῶος ἐν ἐλύματι πήξας 430 γόμφοισιν πελάσας προσαρήρεται ἱστοβοηϊ.

428. Foîkov

427. επικαμπύλα κάλα AG. 429. πρίνιον D. of γαρ K. 430. δμώος BCGK, Ald., and others. Vulg. δμωός. εν om. A. 431. γόμφοισι AEFH. προσαρήσεται ίστοβοίει A.

Homer.gives $\delta\kappa\kappa a\delta\omega \rho a$ as the width of the horns from tip to tip, of a species of ibex, II. iv. 109. From the calculations of Proclus and Tzetzes, it would seem that the poet ought to have said $\delta\omega\delta\epsilon\kappa a\delta\delta\rho \phi$ as the diameter of a wheel of twelve $\sigma\pi i\delta\alpha\mu a$, each $\sigma\pi i\delta\alpha\mu$ containing three $\delta\omega\rho a$. For the whole periphery would thus measure 36 $\delta\omega\rho a$, a third of which, or the approximate diameter, is 12. And $\delta\omega\delta\epsilon\kappa a\delta\delta\rho \phi$ is found in one of the Bodleian MSS. This requires $\tau d\mu\epsilon$ for $\tau d\mu\epsilon \mu \nu$.—Van Lennep and Goetling edit $\delta\mu \nu$ with the lenis, against the copies.

hills or the level plain. 429. δs γdρ. See sup. v. 22.

430. 'Adyralys Succes, the servant of Athena, viz. the carpenter. Either the uncontracted or the lengthened form of δμώs. See inf. v. 470. The metre (unless the poet wrote dugos 'Admains) suggests dugos.—Admart, 'upon the share-beam' (dentale). This was a timber projecting transversely downwards, so as to scratch up the earth in the manner of a hook, when the point was shod with the iron share (Syris, vomer). Heaven the hard to a the correst répor. Read πείρον, 'the part that pierces the earth. Both the pole and the share-beam are here distinct parts, to be fastened to the ploughstock with wooden pegs, yóµφoi. .-. προσαρήρεται, the reduplicated aorist middle (like ayayéssa), for *moorapénna*, probably by an interchange of the long vowels. Goettling calls it "conjunctivus perfecti passivi significatione media." (Tzet-208 :-προσαρήρηται ώφειλεν, ol "lwves δè ούκ εκτείνουσιν δθεν προσαρήρεται γρί-φεται.) The true medial sense, ' to get it fastened by another,' is clearly out of place. Translate, according to the order of the words, 'bringing it close up by pegs shall have fitted it tight to the pole.' In few words, 'This makes the strongest plough, when both pole and share-beam are separately affixed to it. According to Hesychius, loroβοεύς means δεσμός (υγοῦ, οτ μέρος τοῦ ἀρότρου ὀρθόν έστος ὅσπερ Ιστός. Μοτο probably the pole was so called from making the oxen stand on each side. By $\pi \epsilon \lambda d\sigma as$ he means, that till the peg is driven in, the mortise and tenon present a loose and somewhat gaping joint. Το construe γόμφοισιν προσαρήperal is against the natural order of the words.

δοιὰ δὲ θέσθαι ἄροτρα πονησάμενος κατὰ οἶκον, (430) αὐτόγυον καὶ πηκτὸν, ἐπεὶ πολὺ λώϊον οὖτω· εἴ χ' ἔτερόν [γ'] ἄξαις, ἔτερόν κ' ἐπὶ βουσὶ βάλοιο. δάφνης δ' ἢ πτελέης ἀκιώτατοι ἱστοβοῆες· δρυὸς ἕλυμα, γύην πρίνου, βόε δ' ἐνναετήρω ἄρσενε κεκτῆσθαι, τῶν γὰρ σθένος οὐκ ἀλαπαδνὸν, (435) [ἦβης μέτρον ἔχοντε· τὼ ἐργάζεσθαι ἀρίστω.]

432. Γοϊκον 434. ετερον Γάξαις 436. δρυδός βόδε δ' εννεδετήρω 438. το Γεργάζεσθαι?

434. $\gamma' \notin \pi$ AI, Ald. $\kappa' \notin \pi$ BCE and others. 435. δ' om. AEF. 436. $\pi \rho i rou \delta \lambda' \gamma \circ \eta s$ A. $\pi \rho i rou \delta \lambda' \gamma \circ \eta v$ (with an erasure) D. $\pi \rho i rou \gamma \circ \eta v$ BCGHIK, Ald. 438. $\xi \gamma \circ \tau s$ D. $\tau \hat{\psi}$ E and D by the first hand.

484. $\delta\xi as' (\delta\gamma rv\mu)$ is a digammated word, and one of the few which in composition retained the written form of it, *καυάξαιs* for *καΓάξαιs*, inf. v. 666. Hence the $\gamma\epsilon$ is a mere metrical interpolation. $-i\pi_i\beta a\lambda \epsilon \sigma \delta a$ is said in reference to the yoke thrown upon the cattle. Otherwise we put oxen to the plough, rather than the plough to oxen. And this is the meaning of $i\sigma\tau\sigma\beta\sigma\epsilon$, $\pi\alpha\rho\dot{a}$ $\tau\dot{b}$ $i\sigma\tau d\kappa a$ $\tau\dot{a}s$ $\beta\sigma\hat{v}s$, from bringing up an ox on each side of the pole, or possibly, from *weighing*, as it were, one against the other by the balanced yoke, which was

also called πλάστιγξ, 'a scale,' Eur. Rhes. 303.— #τερά κ' ἐτὶ MS. Cant. and others, as Spohn had corrected. Vulgo ἔτερά γ' ἐπὶ, and so Cod. Gale. 435. ἀκιώτατοι, the same as ἀδηκτότα-

435. $dx_i deraroi$, the same as $d\delta\eta xr dera$ roi, v. 420, from kis, kids, a small worm. $Hesych. <math>\delta \sigma \eta \pi roi: kis \gamma d\rho \ \theta \eta \rho lov \gamma deros.$ The wood of the bay-tree does not seem very strong; but it may contain some of the essential oil which makes the leaves so fragrant, and which makes the leaves so fragrant, and which may impart an antiseptic property. Tzetzes says that the bay and the elm $\delta \rho_{i\mu} derarai obserai$ ob of more a ballow, which is certainlytrue of the elm.—The Oodex Galeanus $omits 8' after <math>\delta d\rho m_{3}$.

and of the second state of the context of the context of the second state state state state in the context of the second state the double digamma, $\delta p \nu F f s$. Curtius (Gr. Et. 237), while he compares the Slavonic drevo, 'a tree,' says nothing about the *F.-eivaertpoo*, for *eiveFertpoo*. Compare *dredets* and *eivaros*. In Theog. 801, another form *eisderes* occurs.

438. This verse, which is omitted by Suidas in v. $\langle \nu\gamma \varphi_{0,2} \varphi_{i} \nu$, was probably added by some one who thought the age of nine years was too advanced to be a useful one. Unless we adopt the correction, $\tau \delta$ i in the digamma is violated. Compare $\tau \delta$ Ferráleva and intervers, sup. v. 314. Probably, omitting this verse, we should read in the next, $\sigma \delta^{*}$ du $\tau \delta \gamma^{*}$

οὐκ ἀν τώ γ' ἐρίσαντ' ἐν αὖλακι κὰμ μὲν ἄροτρον ἀξειαν, τὸ δὲ ἔργον ἐτώσιον αὖθι λίποιεν. 440 τοῖς δ' ἄμα τεσσαρακονταετὴς αἰζηὸς ἔποιτο ἄρτον δειπνήσας τετράτρυφον, ὀκτάβλωμον, (440) ὄς [κ'] ἔργου μελετῶν ἰθείαν αὖλακ' ἐλαύνοι, μηκέτι παπταίνων μεθ' ὁμήλικας, ἀλλ' ἐπὶ ἔργῷ θυμὸν ἔχων· τοῦ δ' οὖτι νεώτερος ἄλλος ἀμείνων 445

439. ἐν Γάλοκι 440. Γάξειαν, Γέργον δὲ Γετώσιον 441. τεσσαρακονταΓετής
 443. ὅς Γέργου ? Γάλακ' 444. Γέργω 445. νεΓώτερος

439. ¿ρίσαντε AEFGI. 443. ¿λαύνη G.

and they would not be likely to break the plough by frolics and strifes with each other.'

439. $i\rho i\sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon$ Cod. Gale and others. The same metaphor from a pair restive under the yoke occurs in II. i. 6, $d\xi$ of $\partial \eta$ $\pi \pi \rho \partial \tau a$ subtractions in II. i. 6, $d\xi$ of $\partial \eta$ $\pi \pi \rho \partial \tau a$ subtractions in the second second part $i = i \rho \sigma \sigma \tau \tau c$. The common reading here is $i \rho \sigma \sigma \sigma \tau c$, but $a \delta \lambda a \xi$ takes the F (Curtius, 136). $i \rho \sigma \sigma \tau \tau c$ Fabban Schoemann and Van Lennep. $\kappa d\mu \mu \mu r$, for $\kappa \sigma \tau d\mu \mu r$, as $\kappa d\sigma$ ρa II. xx. 421. $\kappa d \gamma \gamma \sigma \nu$ ib. 458. $\kappa d \pi$ $\phi d \lambda a \rho a xvi. 106, & co. In II. xiii. 707,$ $We have <math>i \mu \ell \tau \nu \sigma \sigma \sigma \lambda c$ fabra, by hyperthesis Fdolka, F $\partial \lambda \kappa a$. The nominative $\delta \lambda \xi$ seems a figment of the lexicographers. But the root may be Fear or Folk.

440. *ξργον*, the farming operations. Virg. Georg. iii. 519, 'atque opere in medio defixa reliquit aratra.' - *ξτώσιον*, see v. 402.

441. $al(\eta \delta s, a vigorous man, juvenis;$ one whom we are wont to describe as 'a steady active man.' It is worthy of remark, that in the choice of oxen (v. 436), of an attendant on them, and in specifying the ages for marrying (inf. v. 696-8), Hesiod inclines to the side of experience and staid babits. Thus $al(\eta \delta s)$ is explained by Tzetzes dxhp $\tau i \lambda euos$. The word occurs in Theogon. 863, where the melting of metals by stalwart forgemen is described; and in I. xxiii. 432, to express the vigorous throw of the quoit. Curtius (615) thinks the etymology doubtful. Donaldson (New Crat. § 265) identifies it with $\hbar t \delta e o.$

442. rerpárpupor, ' which breaks into

four parts. — δκτάβλωμον, ' which gives eight mouthfuls,' δκτά δήγματς έχοντα, Schol. Cobet, Misc. Orit. p. 413, shows that analogy is in favour of $\delta\kappa\tau\omega\beta\lambda\omega$ - $\mu\sigma\nu$, like $\delta\kappa\tau\omega\pi\sigma\nu\sigma$ s. A square cake scored across in one direction with three incised lines, and in the other direction with one in the middle. would give eight morsels or bites. The Romans adopted the same plan in the quadra, and it has descended to our times in the marking of cake-gingerbread and cross-buns. Martial, iii. 77, 8, 'sectae quadra placentae.' Hor. Ep. i. 17, 49, 'Et mihi dividuo findetur munere quadra.' It was done to facilitata the acural distribution of to facilitate the equal distribution of the slaves' allowance, dopalit. It is thought that the cross on the obverse of medieval silver coins was derived from the Saxon custom of breaking a penny into two half-pennies and four farthings; which last were further broken into minuta (Aerrà), corrupted to mite.

443. The see in this verse must be regarded as inserted to supply the loss of the digamma, bs *Fépyov*. The optative depends on the preceding *Exotro i*Aaúry MS. Cant. For the genitive after $\mu e \lambda e \tau \hat{w} v$, see v. 316.

441. $\mu\eta\kappa\epsilon\tau\iota$. The negative is affected by the optative. Compare v. 489. 591. — $\mu\epsilon\theta'$ $\delta\mu\eta\lambda\kappa\sigmas$, 'looking after his equals in age.' Cf. v. 447. $\tau\eta\nu\gamma\tau\delta\mu\eta\nu$ $\tau\rho\epsilon\tau\sigma\nu\mu\epsilon\theta'\eta\lambda\kappa\kappa\delta\tau\sigmas$, Moschop. By 'no longer' he means 'too old to,' &c. A man quite as active as, and more steady than, a mere youth, is recommended.

63

σπέρματα δάσσασθαι και έπισπορίην αλέασθαι. κουρότερος γαρ ανήρ μεθ όμήλικας επτοίηται. (445) Φράζεσθαι δ', ευτ' αν γεράνου φωνήν επακούσης ύψόθεν έκ νεφέων ένιαύσια κεκληγυίης. ἦτ' ἀρότοιό τε ση̂μα φέρει, καὶ χείματος ὥρην 450 δεικνύει ομβρηρού κραδίην δ' έδακ' ανδρός αβούτεω. δη τότε χορτάζειν έλικας βους ένδον έόντας (450) ρητδιον †γαρ έπος είπειν Βόε δός και αμαξαν ρητδιον δ' απανήνασθαι Πάρα †δ' έργα βόεσσιν. φησί δ' άνηρ φρένας άφνειος πήξεσθαι άμαξαν, 455

452. Férikas BóFas 446. άλθασθαι 453. δε Γέπος Γειπείν, βόΓε δός 454. παρά ξέργα βόξεσσιν

446. Sárarbar A. Sárarbar EK, Ald. 448. φωνην γεράνου ΕΓΚ. 451. oµβρηνοῦ DEF, Ald. 449. eriavoios, K. Ald. 452. Sei τότε ΕΓ. εούσας (γρ. εόντας) Α. 453. om. E, but inserted by 454. Boeron AE. the same hand. 455. φήσει (γρ. φησί) Α. πήξασθαι MSS.

446. encompone, ' sowing over again.' Tzetzes :- την δευτέραν και έπανασποράν φυγείν. Οί γαρ κακώς σπείροντες πάλιν επανασπείρουσιν επιβάλλοντες έτερον σπορόν. In δάσσασθαι (Cod. Gale δάσασθαι) there is a notion of equal distri-bution in sowing broad-cast.—ἀλέασθαι appears to take the digamma, as the other form alevarbas shows (inf. v. 505).

Compare χέω with χείω. 447. ἐπτοίηται, ' looks flightily after.' Cf. v. 444. Eur. Bacch. 214, és ἐπτόηrau, 'how agitated he is!' Plat. Protag. p. 310, D, איזאיש מעדטי דאד מיסף בומי cal the stoly or, 'sware of his impetuo-sity and his flightiness.' There is a good illustration of the custom here alluded to, of stopping the plough to gossip with a fellow-slave, in an Egyp-tian painting given by Wilkinson, vol. ii. p. 13.

448. ppd (cortas, for ppd (ov, ' mark,' or observe, the advice given, viz. to feed your oxen well when the signs of the autumnal ploughing are heard .--- yepdvou querty (al. querty reparou, with Cod. Gale), the voice of the crane migrating to warmer climes at the approach of winter; a familiar sign to agriculturists. See Ar. Av. 710, σπείρειν μέν, δταν γέρανος κρώζουσ' ές την Λιβύην μεταχωρή. Theognis, v. 1197, δρνιθος φωνην, Πολυπαίδη, όξυ βοώσης ήκουσ', ή γε βροτοιs άγγελος ηλθ αρότου.

450. χείμα δμβρηρον is the wet or autumnal part of the winter. Cf. v. regarded as a later form than deixver. We have deixrue de duceroi inf. v. 502. Archilochus used Shave, frag. 79.

451. άβούτης, without oxen. τοῦ μη ῶν εὐποροῦντος, Mosch. See on βοών εὐποροῦντος, Mosch. See on ἀδώτης, sup. v. 355. To this probably Hesychius refers; ἀβούγηs· ἀκτήμων. 452. βόαs Gaisford, with many copies,

perhaps rightly, i.e. $\beta \delta Fas.$ 453. $\beta \eta t \delta \omega r \gamma d \rho \kappa \tau \lambda$. 'For, if it is easy for you to ask a loan, it is easy for others to refuse it, on the ground that work is going on. The probable readings are, bythior be Férros and raph Fépya.

455. ¢péras àpreids, sibi * sapiens, Goettling after Spohn. Proclus, 4701 ούκ άληθως πλούσιος, άλλά τη ψυχη δοκών πλοντεών. This ironical phrase is like our saying, 'a builder of castles in the air.' As aφevos implied tangible or real property, a visionary money-maker,



νήπιος, οὐδὲ τὸ οἶδ ἐκατὸν δέ τε δούραθ ἁμάξης. τῶν πρόσθεν μελέτην ἐχέμεν οἰκήϊα θέσθαι. (45δ) εὖτ ἀν δὲ πρώτιστ ἀροτος θνητοῖσι φανείη, δὴ τότ ἐφορμηθηναι ὑμῶς δμῶές τε καὶ αὐτὸς αὖην καὶ διερὴν ἀρόων ἀρότοιο καθ ὦρην, 460

456. το Foide · Fixarri δέ? 457. Foikýia

who relied too much on his own wit, was called 'rich in fancy.'- $\pi h \xi a \sigma \theta a$ is the common reading, and it may be defended as the acrist for the future, which however is here the more natural and obvious reading, sibi facturum esse. See Theog. 625.

456. $\tau \dot{\gamma} \dot{\gamma}$. The γ' has taken the place of the digamma. The meaning is, he does not certainly know if he ever will make himself a wain; for an implement so complex is not completed in a day. The language seems proverbial in its tone. The verse was very celebrated in antiquity. Plato cites it, among others, These tot, p. 207, A. The connexion with the preceding couplet is clear enough: if you can neither borrow nor construct a wain in the time of emergency, you had better keep one ready for use.

457. τ' $\ell_X \ell \mu e Cod.$ Gale, with $\delta \epsilon i$ $\ell_X \epsilon w$ by a later hand. $\delta \epsilon i$ $\ell_X \epsilon w$ is found in soveral other copies. The change was made from not recognising the digamma in Fourfita.— $\theta \ell \sigma \theta a_L$ to lay up in store, as $\theta \ell \sigma \theta a_L$ door ρa sup. v. 432. Virg. Georg. i. 167, 'omnia quae multo ante memor provisa repones.'— $\tau \hat{w} \mu a \lambda \ell \tau \eta r$, 'care of these things you should have beforehand ($\pi \rho \delta \sigma \theta e_r$), to get them stored in your house.'

458. *parely* for *parely*, and that for *parif*. See on v. 470. The common reading is *pareln*, corrected by Spohn. Cl. v. 680, and sup. on v. 132.

460. adny sal diephy, whether the land be wet or dry. Cf. Georg. i. 213, 'jam-

dudum incumbere aratris, Dum sieca tellure licet, dum nubila pendent.' The first ploughing (proscessio of the Romans) took place in late autumn; the next in spring, (or rather, the first ploughing in spring of land not occupied by a winter crop,) was to modely, the turning the glebe, after it had re-ceived the benefit of the winter's frost, (Georg. i. 64,) when some kinds of sowing took place (ibid. v. 215 seqq.); and the third in summer, for a second crop. For this last operation the technical term seems to have been veav, novellare, Ar. Nub. 1117, and for the field itself years, while by novalis the Romans generally meant land ploughed for the first time. Goettling well observes, that to this triple ploughing not only the term reiss $\tau p i \pi \sigma \lambda \sigma s$ is referable, Il. xviii. 542. Theog. 971, but also the name $T \rho \pi \pi \sigma \lambda \epsilon \mu \sigma s$ (for $\tau \rho \epsilon$ πόλιμος). Compare Xen. Oecon. xvi. 11, ούκοῦν τοῦτο οἶσθα, ὅτι τῷ σπορῷ νεὸν δεῖ ὑπεργάζεσθαι; Οἶδα γὰρ, ἔφην ἐγώ. Εἰ οῦν ἀοχοίμεθα, ἔφη, ἀροῦν τὴν γῆν χειμῶνος; ᾿Αλλὰ πηλὸς ἀν είη, ἐγώ ἔφην. ᾿Αλλὰ τοῦ θέρους σοι δοκεί; Σκληρά, έφην, έγω, ή γή έσται κινείν τῷ ζεύγει. Κινδυνεύει έαρος, έφη, είναι τούτου τοῦ ἔργου ἀρκτέον. For the benefit of the summer-ploughing, see ibid. x vii. 14, οίδα μέν ούν, έφη, άκριβώς, δτι ούδαμώς αν μάλλον ή μέν δλη έπι-τολάζοι και αύαινοιτο ύτο τοῦ καύματος, ή δε γη όπτφτο ύπο του ήλίου, ή et τις αύτην έν μέσφ τῷ θέρει και ἐν μέση τῆ ημέρα κινοίη τῷ ζεύγει.

πρωὶ μάλα σπεύδων, ἶνα τοι πλήθωσιν ἄρουραι. ^{*}Εαρι πολεῖν· θέρεος δὲ νεωμένη οὖ σ' ἀπατήσει. (460) νειὸν δὲ σπείρειν ἔτι κουφίζουσαν ἄρουραν. νειὸς ἀλεξιάρη παίδων εὐκηλήτειρα. εὖχεσθαι δὲ Διὶ χθονίω Δημήτερί θ' ἁγνῆ, 465 ἐκτελέα βρίθειν Δημήτερος ἱερὸν ἀκτὴν, ἀρχόμενος ταπρῶτ' ἀρότου, ὅτ' ἂν ἄκρον ἐχέτλης (465) χειρὶ λαβῶν ὅρπηκα βοῶν ἐπὶ νῶτον ἶκηαι

462. Γέαρι 463. νεδον 468. βοξών

462. πωλεΐν A. 464. λ λεξιάρι A and E by the first hand. 467. $\delta \rho \delta \tau \rho ov$ Ald. 468. After $\lambda \alpha \beta \delta \omega v$ a comma in the MSS. generally, which points to the reading $\delta \rho \pi \eta \kappa \iota$.

461. what $\mu d\lambda \alpha \ \kappa.\tau.\lambda$, very early in the season, if you wish to have a good crop.

462. πολείν. Cod. Gale πωλείν, with gloss βωλοστροφείν. Tzetzes also explains πολείν by τὰς βώλους τῆς γῆς ἀνάστρεφε τῆ δικέλλρ. All the copies give εἰαρι, and the scansion of this verse seems to have given some trouble to the old commentators. The synizesis in ἑαρι is as in ῥέα μὲν γὰρ βριάει, v. 5.—ἀπατήσει, 'disappoint your hopes.' Georg. i. 226, 'sed illos Expectata seges vanis elusit aristis.'

463. The form reads is due to the digamma, réfos, Lat. norms. Cf. relaps and relator, comparative and superlative. -tri κουφίζουσαν, before it has become hard and soddened again by the rain and sun after ploughing; for in this case the seed would lie on the surface. Probably this failure is alluded to in the Scripture parable of the Sower. The lightening of the crust is also meant by tenus suspendere sulco, Virg. Georg. i. 68.—κουφίζουσαν seems intransitively used also by Euripides, Hel. 1555, of the nimble step of animals. — Κρουραν belongs to κουφίζουσαν, while yet light as to its surface.

464. The exact meaning of this verse is doubtful. It seems to contain a subogy of the summer-ploughing as the best of the three; it 'averts a curse and is the comforter of children.' If a field did not bear a good crop, it was thought to be bewitched. But the summer crop was (with due precautions) generally a good one; and therefore, incantations against it would not take effect. Again, the earth was κουροτόφοs, and so by supplying plenty was said to make children εδκήλουs, quiet and contented. Compare παρευκηλείν, 'to tranquilling.' Eur. Here. Fur. 99. Hesych. εδκηλήτερα.

466. *kπτελέα βρίθεω*. 'That it may be heavy when full grown.' The gods who send up good things from below the earth, are to be supplicated when first the ploughing begins.—*lepbe*, lively, vigorous; Sanacrit *iskira*. (Max Müller, "Chipa," & c., i. p. 136.) Cf. 566, Theog. 788, where it is an epithet of running water.

467. The δκροs δρτηξ ἐχέτλης was the upper cross-piece of the plough-handle; Lat. stivae manicula. Schoemann, with Brunck and Dindorf, reads δρτης, 'when you come down on their backs with a stick.' Hesych. δρτης' κλάδες ἐκτεφικές, κάτσθει ἀναβλαστήσας, η ἀπὸ τῆς μίζης τοῦ δένδρου, δρθος κλάδες. --ἰφικέσθαι νῶτόν τινος, or simply τινός, is to apply the lash or goad. Bee examples of this idiom quoted on Aesch. Suppl. 550. Soph. Oed. R. 809, κάσε δεκλοῖς κάντροισί μου καθίκετο. As κέντρον is specified in this latter passage, it is likely that καθικέσθαι and ἐφικέσθαι were technical terms for applying the goad.

ЕРГА КАІ НМЕРАІ.

ένδρυον έλκόντων μεσάβφ. δ δε τυτθον δπισθεν δμῶος ἔχων μακέλην πόνον ὀρνίθεσσι τιθείη 470 σπέρμα κατακρύπτων· εὐθημοσύνη γὰρ ἀρίστη

469. μεσάβων (gl. λώρων) Α. μεσάβω the rest. τυτθός all. 471. σπέρμα κατακρύπτων ABCDGHI. σπέρματά τε κρύπτων EF. σπέρματα κακκρύπτων K, Ald. εθθυμοσύνη EFH, Ald.

Plat. Hipp. Maj. p. 292, Α, Δυ τόχη βακτηρίαυ έχων, Δυ μὴ ἐκφόγω φεόγων αυτόν, εὄ μάλα μου ἐφικέσθαι πειράσεται. II. xiii. 618, δμα δ' ἐλλήλων ἐφίκοντο. As this verb seems regularly to govern a genitive in the sense of τυγχάνειν, we should perhaps here read νώτου for νώτου.

469. Iropuor έλκόντων, 'drawing the pole by the yoke-strap.' $-\mu\epsilon\sigma d\beta\omega\nu$ Cod. Gale (gloss $\lambda\delta\rho\omega\nu$), with two or three of Goettling's MSS., and so Schoemann, who (com. crit. p. 48) explains it as a genitive plural of the parts taken hold of, eropuor being, as he thinks, a peg inserted at the end of the pole. The MSS. and scholiasts vary between the genitive and the dative. According to Moschopulus and Tzetzes, iropvor is the pole, and µsordBous or µsordBour the thong by which the yoke is fastened to the pole, or rather, to a ring on the pole. Compare Apoll. Rhod. iii. 1317, μεσσηγύ & delpas χάλκεον Ιστοβοήα, θοή takes indpoor to mean the wooden ring of the pole. Hesych. µéoraßor · повоевых індитох, ф тох істовоба проз μίσον τον ζυγον προσδέουσιν, δ τινες x Bour. Pollux, i. 252, & maris luas ό τῷ ζυγῷ παρακαθαπτόμενος έχέβοιον ή μεσάβοιον καλείται καταλαμβάνουσι δ αύτον, όταν περιελίζωσιν, είs το τοῦ ζυγοῦ τρόπημα, κερκίδα ξυλίσην ἐμβαλόντες, ἡ καλέσται ἐνδρυον. We cannot be sure which is the right meaning, or which is the right reading, µeodby or µeodbor. The sense however is the same whether we adopt the dative of the instrument or the genitive of the part laid hold of. It is worthy of remark, that in Asia Minor the word is corrupted into µέταδα, and means the yoke itself. (Fellows' Tratels, p. 52, note.) Proclus quotes Callimachus, who probably used it in the very same sense, μέσσαβα βοῦs trobis. Gaisford gives μεσάβων, with Graevius and the ed. princeps. Goettling

μεσάβφ, who says, "ένδρυον est quod δρυτ inseritur. In aratro πηκτφ bura est quernes; ergo ένδρυον est temo πηκτοῦ, quia inseritur burae; μεσάβουν vero ipsum est jugum seu lignum transversum, quod boves duos conjungit."

Ibid. TUTBON. So Schaefer for TUTBOS, which naturally resulted from the article preceding it. But δ is the Homeric demonstrative, 'he, the farmservant.' Such a work required not a small boy, but a strong man. He was to follow the plough closely and break the clods to cover the seed. Hence $\tau v \tau \theta \delta r$, 'at a short interval,' is required by the sense; and such is also the general usage. Of. Theoor. i. 45, rurdby 5 borow äxader kherdrale δ' δσσον άπωθεν άλιτρύτοιο γέροντος. Π. ν. 443, Τυδείδης δ' άνεχάζετο τυτθόν δπίσσω.—Precisely this triple operation of ploughing, sowing, and clod-breaking close behind, (with a plough constructed exactly as Hesiod describes it.) is en-graved in vol. ii. p. 13 of Wilkinson's "Ancient Egyptians," copied from one of the tombs.--- µakeλην, a hoe or mattock. By covering up the seed as fast as it fell, the dumos is said 'to cause trouble to the birds' to get at it.—For $\tau \iota \theta \epsilon i \eta$, which stands for an imperative like Exorro in v. 441, we should perhaps read $\tau_{i\theta \in l\eta}$, (for $\tau_{i\theta \ell\eta}$, $\tau_{i\theta \eta}$), dependent on the brav preceding, and so place only a comma after $\mu \epsilon \sigma \delta \beta \varphi$. This lengthened form of the subjunctive was often mistaken by transcribers for the optative. So dwy, parely v. 458. Bely for Bey v. 556. «in for in v. 577, and in Il. vii. 840.

471. Gaisford and Schoemann give $\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho\mu\alpha\tau\alpha$ κακκρύπτων, which Goettling says is the reading of a few and inferior MSS. So however the Aldine, and so Van Lennep has edited.—εύθημοσύνη, an abstract quality, 'good management,' appears to have its particular reference here to the laying down of the seed carefully. Otherwise the $\gamma d\rho$

θνητοῖς ἀνθρώποις, κακοθημοσύνη δὲ κακίστη. (470) ὣδέ κεν ἁδροσύνη στάχυες νεύοιεν ἔραζε, εἰ τέλος αὐτὸς ὅπισθεν ἘΟλύμπιος ἐσθλὸν ὀπάζοι. ἐκ δ ἀγγέων ἐλάσειας ἀράχνια· καί σε ἔολπα 475 γηθήσειν βιότου αἰρεύμενον ἔνδον ἐόντος. εὐοχθέων δ ἴξεαι πολιὸν ἔαρ, οὐδὲ πρὸς ἄλλους (475)

475. Fέτολπα 476. βιότοιο Γερεύμενον? 477. Féap

472. κακοθυμοσύνη EFHI, Ald. 476. βιότου ἐρεύμενον Α. βιότοιο ἐρεύμενον the rest. 477. εἰωχῶν δ ήξεις A (with the vulgate in the margin by a later hand). εἰωχθέων δ ἴξεαι EF. εἰοχέων δ ἴζηαι D, by correction, and with gloss εἶ ἔχων. εἰοχέων δήξεαι Ι.

would hardly have been used. In Aesch. Cho. 76, women-servants are called δωμάτων εὐθήμονες.

473. $\delta\delta\rho\sigma\sigma'\nu\eta$, with fulness; with heavy ears. Like grandis, $\delta\delta\rho\delta$ s was properly used of the growth of plants and animals.—*el—drdfo*, provided that Zeus sends from heaven a successful end of your care.—*drudder*, 'after your toils,' or as a sequel to your labours. Ruhnken suspected this verse; unreasonably, as Goettling thinks. The growth of the crops was before (v. 465) attributed to Zebs $\chi \delta \delta \nu \omega s$. But here the poet meant rain from above, there the favourable condition of the soil beneath.— $\delta \delta \epsilon$, viz. if you cover the seed carefully, and if further Zeus shall preserve and nourish it.

475. $\delta \lambda d\sigma \epsilon \mu s$ is again the optative in an imperative sense, unless (as above, v. 470) this sentence is a continuation of the last. The meaning is proverbially expressed: 'Drive spiders out of your store-vessels' (the large crocks called $\pi(\theta e_{\ell})$, i.e. keep them well filled with grain, and do not allow them to remain empty. For $\delta \gamma \gamma \epsilon a$ see v. 600. Compare Catullus, xiii. 7, 'cenabis bene, nam tui Catulli plenus sacculus est aranearum,' i.e. inanis est.

476. βιότου αίρεύμενον, 'taking from time to time of your substance stored up at home.' (Or perhaps, ένδον ἀγγέων ἀποκειμένου.) There can be no doubt this is the true reading. Cf. v. 366, ἐσθλον μὲν παρεόντος ἐλέσθαι. Most co-

pies, with the old editions, give Bifrow devineror, but Cod. Gale has Bifrow devineror. The confusion between a and e in MSS, is exceedingly common, and alrew is often dever. In Eur. Hec. 528, only one MSS, has the right reading alrew for depen, and in an early inscription found at Olympia Ferur appears to be alrew. The right form is preserved in three or four MSS, and in the Etymol. Mag. p. 38. Tretzes erplains it by meradaußdrorra.

plains it by μεταλαμβάνοντα. 477. εδοχθέων, 'abounding in good cheer.' Eur. Ion 1170, εδόχθου βαράς ψυχην έπληρουν. There is a reading, but a false one, evoxéev. Cod. Gale gives ever & heers, but the common reading is added in the margin, though by a later hand. Photius, εἰόχου, πλήρη ἀπὸ τῶν ποταμῶν μετῆκται. It is clear we should read evox for, as if from But the true etymology is δχθη. probably dx0ew from ax00s. -- rolide, an epithet doubtlessly derived from the peculiar aspect of sky or vegetation in 'Grey spring' and 'white Boeotia. spring,' Acundr Eap, Theocrit. xviii. 27, do not respond to our notions of that season. But the first shoots of vines and figs, which give the aspect to spring in warmer countries, are covered with silky hairs of a slightly dusky colour. Hence we may explain with more accuracy Virg. Georg. ii. 390, 'Hinc omnis large pubescit vinea fetu.'—The digamma in $fa\rho$ will be noticed. It is absent in μ tap inf. v. 492, if the reading be right -πρός άλλους αυγάσεαι, ήγουν επικουρίας

αἰγάσεαι· σέο δ° ἄλλος ἀνὴρ κεχρημένος ἔσται. εἰ δέ κεν ἠελίοιο τροπῆς ἀρόῃς χθόνα δῖαν, ἦμενος ἀμήσεις, ὀλίγον περὶ χειρὸς ἐέργων, 480 ἀντία δεσμεύων κεκονιμένος, οὐ μάλα χαίρων, οἶσεις δ° ἐν φορμῷ· παῦροι δέ σε θηήσονται. (480) ἄλλοτε δ° ἀλλοῖος Ζηνὸς νόος αἰγιόχοιο; ἀργαλέος δ° ἄνδρεσσι κατὰ θνητοῖσι νοῆσαι.

480. χειρός Εξέργων

479. τροπής άρόψε χθόνα δίαν Α. τροπής άρόης the rest.

despueres, Moschopulus. Cf. Georg. i. 158, 'Heu magnum alterius frustra spectabis acervum.'

479. àpóws Cod. Gale. But et κεν better suits thè subjunctive. See v. 132. —He is speaking of the winter solstice, or tropic of Capricorn. If the corn be sown then, instead of in the preceding autumn, it will suffer from the dry weather, and the harvest will be protracted to the hot time of the midsummer drought. Xen. Occon. xvii. 1, ἐτειδὰν δ μετοπωρινός χρόνος ἕλθη, πάντες που οἰ ἄνθρωποι πρός τὸν θεὸν ἀτοβλέπουστι, ὅπότε βρέζας τὴν Υῆν ἀφήσε αἰτοὺς σπείρειν. Ἐρνώπασι δά Υ ἐψην ἐγὰ, ὅ Ἱοχόμαχε, καὶ τὸ μὴ ἐν ξυρῶτοι, ὅῆλον ὅτι πολλαῖς (ημίαις παλαίσωτές οἱ πρίν κιλευσθῆνωι ὅπὸ τοῦ θεοῦ σπείραντες. He adds shortly afterwards, πότερον ὅ πρώξμος κράιστος ἡ ὁ μέσος ἡ ἑ ὑψιώστατος.

480. $f_{\mu\nu\nu\nu\sigma}$, stooping down, on account of the lowness of the ears. To judge by the Egyptian paintings of the inavesting operations, (Wilkinson, ii. pp. 40, 41, 47.) the wheat was reaped by men in an upright posture, because they cut the straw much nearer to the ears than to the ground. Of course, if the straw were very short, the reaper must stoop, and he might prefer to sit, as an easier posture.—*mepl xeups*, 'enclosing but little round the hand.' A reaper seizes a handful of corn in his left, while he cuts it with his right. But we should rather have expected either *wepl xeupl or mepl xeipa*, since the corn

is confined by the hand being closed round it. Schoemann, p. 48, suggests $\delta\lambda\gamma\sigma\sigma$ was $\chi\epsilon\rho\sigma\sigma\iota$ Férror, 'small trouble to the hands.' $\delta\lambda\gamma\sigma\sigma$, viz. because the stalks are sparsely set.

481. $\delta \pi r ia \delta e \sigma \mu e i \omega m$. This seems to be rightly explained by the scholiasts, 'binding them in bundles in opposite directions,' viz. in handfuls laid alternately with the stalks one way and the ears the other way. This was done when the straw was not long enough to lie all in one direction. The process is exactly represented in an engraving in p. 47, vol. ii., of Wilkinson's Egypt. *kexovµéros*, covered with dust, from the dryness of the field, thus late reaped.— If $\delta \pi r ia$ could alone signify 'facing the wind,' the passage would receive a remarkable illustration from Xen. Oecon. $xviii. 1, \pi \delta \tau e pa o \delta \tau \tau i \mu r e is, \xi \phi \eta,$ $or to s <math>\xi \mu a \sigma i$. $ka \tau a \sigma x \chi a p m v d p o l \mu a i$ $<math>ka \tau r o s \xi \mu a \sigma i$ wat a the second vertice.

482. $\delta \nu \phi o \rho \mu \phi$. Moschopulus, $\delta \nu \kappa \alpha - \lambda a \theta (\sigma \kappa \phi \kappa a) o \delta \kappa \delta \phi' \dot{a} \mu d \xi \eta s$, $\delta \iota a \tau \eta \nu \delta \lambda \iota - \gamma \phi \tau \eta \tau a$. Tzetzes, $\delta \nu \sigma d \kappa \kappa \phi \kappa a \kappa o \phi \ell \nu \phi$. Like the Roman corbis, this was properly used for carrying the ears of corn clipped from the straw. The Egyptians used a rope-net for the same purpose, carried on a pole by two men. It is represented in p. 44, vol. ii., of Wilkinson's Egypt. 483-4. This distich means, that

εἰ δέ κεν δψ' ἀρόσης, τόδε κέν τοι φάρμακον εἰη·
ήμος κόκκυξ κοκκύζει δρυδς ἐν πετάλοισι
τοπρῶτον, τέρπει τε βροτοὺς ἐπ' ἀπείρονα γαίαν, (485)
τῆμος Ζεὺς ὕοι τρίτῷ ἦματι, μηδ' ἀπολήγοι,
μήτ' ἀρ' ὑπερβάλλων βοδς ὑπλην μήτ' ἀπολείπων·
οὕτω κ' ὀψαρότης πρωτηρότη ἰσοφαρίζοι.
490
ἐν θυμῷ δ' εὖ πάντα φυλάσσεο· μηδέ σε λήθοι
†μήτ' ἔαρ γιγνόμενον πολιὸν μήθ' ὦριος ὅμβρος.
(490)
Πὰρ δ' ἰθι χάλκειον θῶκον καὶ ἐπαλέα λέσχην

486. δρυτός? 489. βοτός 492. μη τέαρ?

485. àpóorus A. àpóoryo (-orys) the rest. $\&\psi$ K. 486. $\pi \epsilon \tau a \lambda a \sigma \sigma \sigma$ DG. 487. $\tau \epsilon \rho \pi \epsilon a \lambda$ ABCDEFGI. $\tau \epsilon \rho \pi \epsilon a \tau \epsilon$ Ald. 488. $\delta \epsilon a$ D (by correction) K. $\delta \phi \lambda$ I. $\tau \rho \epsilon \pi$, $\eta \mu \alpha \tau \mu \eta \tau$ A. 490. $\pi \rho \sigma a \rho \eta \rho \delta \tau \eta$ is optimized for a constant of the second sec

Goettling and Van Lennep give Karaθrητοΐου, after Spitzner, but against the MSS. and Scholiasts.

487. dal yaiar. See sup. v. 11.

488. $\tau \eta \mu os \ \kappa.\tau.\lambda$. When the cuckoo begins to sing, then on the third day (i.e. for three days) we may wish for rain from heaven, and that it may not cease till the water fills the holes made by the hoofs of the oxen.' He refers to the winter-ploughing, v. 479. If you sow late and in the dry season, instead of in the autumn, rainy weather in early spring will promote the rapid growth of the corn, so as to bring it equally forward with that sown earlier. In Ar. Av. 505, $\delta \pi \delta \sigma \delta$ s so the phoenicians to reap. Xan. Occon. xvii. 4, $\delta \lambda \lambda^{2}$ is $\tau \psi \delta a, i \phi \eta \delta' l \sigma \chi \delta \mu a \sigma so \delta \eta \delta \delta \psi \mu \delta \sigma \tau \sigma \tau \sigma \sigma \delta \eta \delta$ defore $\eta \delta \delta \tau \phi$ $\tau \alpha \gamma \mu \delta m \delta \tau \sigma \sigma \eta \delta \eta \delta \delta \tau \phi \mu \delta \tau \phi \delta \tau \phi$ $\tau \phi \delta \eta \phi \pi \sigma \delta \tau \phi \eta \delta \delta \tau \phi \mu \delta \tau \phi \delta \tau \phi$

490. δψαρότης. Compare δψαμήτης, 'late reaper,' Theor. x. 7. 492. $\mu \eta \tau^2 \, \delta a \rho$. See on v. 477. Perhaps $\mu \eta$ Féap (ver), or Felap yryrdunw x.r.h. Compare elapurds, elap. do. But the verse is probably spurious, the sense ending well with $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon$ se $\lambda \delta \theta \eta$, neo to lateant.

493. $\pi\lambda\rho$ 8' 194, go past, do not enter, a smith's shop and a crowded meetingplace. He warns the industrious man not to neglect his farm even in the severest weather, and not to be allured by the gossiping idlers who assemble round the anvil and in the smithy during the cold season.— $\chi d\lambda \kappa uor \theta \partial \kappa \sigma \sigma$, the same as $\chi a \lambda \kappa h \sigma \delta \partial \mu \sigma \sigma$ in Od. xviii. 328, a passage justly compared by Proclus :—

- ούδ' έθέλεις εύδειν χαλικήζον ές δόμου έλθων,
- ήέ που έs λέσχην, άλλ' ένβάδε πόλλ' άγορεύειs.

- $d\pi\alpha\lambda \epsilon a$, from $d\lambda t = d\delta \rho o t e w$ (\dot{a} in Eur. Herecl. 403. Here. F. 413), not from $d\lambda \epsilon \eta$, 'warmth,' of which the e is short. The reading of the best copies is $d\pi' d\lambda \epsilon a$, which Goettling retains, supposing $d\pi$ could here mean 'pree-

ώρη χειμερίη, όπότε κρύος ἀνέρα ἔργων ἰσχάνει, ἕνθα κ' ἀοκνος ἀνὴρ μέγα οἶκον ὀφέλλοι, 495 μή σε κακοῦ χειμῶνος ἀμηχανίη καταμάρψη σὺν πενίη, λεπτή δὲ παχὺν πόδα χειρὶ πιέζης. (495) πολλὰ δ' ἀεργὸς ἀνὴρ, κενεὴν ἐπὶ ἐλπίδα μίμνων, χρηΐζων βιότοιο, κακὰ προσελέξατο θυμῷ. ἐλπὶς δ' οὐκ ἀγαθὴ κεχρημένον ἆνδρα κομίζει 500

494. arépa Fépywir

495. Γοικον 500. Γελπίς

ίκον 498. δΓεργος Γελπίδα.

494. drápas žpywr A. drápas člpyor the rest. 495. log drydpilly BCDEFGHI. log x drei-dpille AK, Ald. $\mu eyar$ olkor dpille K, Ald. 497. $\pi i \ell (o)$ AEFK, Ald. A new hand in C as far as ∇ . 750. 498. dpyds G.

teres.' But, with 19, preceding, it could only mean 'to.' We must therefore acquiesce in the compound $i \pi \alpha \lambda \dot{\gamma}_s$, 'crowded.' The MS. Cant, had $i \pi \alpha \lambda \dot{\alpha}_s$ by the first hand, but the word was divided into $i \dot{\sigma}' \dot{\alpha} \dot{\lambda} \dot{\epsilon} a$ by a later. Two of the Bodleian also give $i \pi \alpha \lambda \dot{\epsilon} a$. The mistaken idea was, that the object of crowding these workshops was to get warmth. Plant. Rud. 531, 'ut fortunati sunt fabri forrarii Qui apud carbones adsident; semper calent.'

494. ἀνέρας ἕργων, the common reading, cannot be right: perhaps ἀνέρα, as is stated by Goettling to be found in the Kymol. Mag., though he gives no reference. But there is another reading deγων, which seems to have greater authority, though Cod. Gale gives έργων. Moschopulus, ὑπόταν τὸ κρύος τοὺς ἀνδρας πυριλαμβάνου ἕχΞ.

195. Erea suggests drips irior loxire, the clause being parenthetical. Hence $\delta\phi(\lambda)c_i$, the conjecture of Hermann, is likely to be the true reading, (for $\delta\phi(\lambda)c_i$ or $-\eta_i$) though the epic subjunctive will bear the same meaning; 'when an industrious man (if he cannot work out of doors) may greatly improve his household, 'wiz. by doing things at leisure which must be done at some time. So Virg. Georg. i. 259, 'Frigidus agricolam si quando continet imber, Multa, forent quase mox caelo Proparada sereno, Maturare datur.' 496. $\mu\eta$, i. e. $\pi apl \theta_i$, $\pi apd \delta paus ira \mu \eta$. Cf. v. 408. — $d\mu\eta\chi svi\eta$ $\chi superos,$ the want of resources and employment incidental to the winter.— $\pi a\chi d\nu$ $\pi \delta a$, your swollen foot; a symptom of famine, or rather, of the weakness resulting from it, while the hand becomes emaciated. Schoemann omits this distich, observing that, if genuine, it should follow 492.

498. $\epsilon\pi$)— μ ($\mu\pi\omega\nu$, awaiting the fulfilment of a vain hope. Perhaps $\kappa\nu\epsilon\bar{\eta}$ $\epsilon\pi$) $\epsilon\lambda\pi$ (δ), 'on the strength of', $\delta\alpha$.- β ($\delta\tau\sigma\omega$), see sup. $867.-\pi\rho\sigma\epsilon\cdot \epsilon$ ($\epsilon\pi\sigma$, 'conjures up,' collects for himself.' Gloss. Cod. Gale $\sigma\nu\tau\eta\theta\rho\omega\sigma\epsilon\nu$. Moschopulus, $\epsilon\nu\epsilon\nu\eta\sigma\epsilon\nu$. An unusual sense of $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\lambda\epsilon$ ($\epsilon\sigma\sigma\alpha$). Perhaps, 'lays mischief to his heart.' The meaning is, that an idle man who is in want meditates on dishonest schemes for getting money. Moschopulus:— $\epsilon\nu\nu\sigma\epsilon$? $\gamma d\rho$ η $\kappa\lambda\epsilon\pi\tau\etas$ η $\epsilon\rho\delta\sigma\nu\lambda\sigmas$ η $\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma\delta\sigma\alpha$.

500. $i\lambda\pi$'s oùr àyath. Moschopulus: $-i\lambda\pi i \langle \epsilon_1 \gamma d\rho \kappa \tau h \sigma a \sigma \theta a \beta i ov à \tau b q a i \lambda s v$ $<math>\tau u \dot{\kappa} v \tau \rho \delta \pi w$. This verse amplifies the idea expressed in $\kappa erehr i \lambda \pi i \delta a$ above; 'but 'tis no good sort of hope that attends a man when he is in want, sitting idly at a lounge, when he has not enough to live on.' Compare sup. v. 317, aldos 5' oùr à yath $\kappa e_X \rho \eta \mu i v or a \sigma \delta \rho a$ $\kappa o \mu i (\epsilon_1 ... - \delta \rho \kappa i os may mean \beta i \beta a uos,$ 'sure.' See on v. 370. ημενον ἐν λέσχη, τῷ μη βίος ἄρκιος εἶη. δείκνυε δε δμώεσσι, θέρευς ἔτι μέσσου ἐόντος, (500) Οὐκ αἰεὶ θέρος ἐσσεῖται, ποιεῖσθε καλιάς. μηνα δε Ληναιῶνα, κάκ' ηματα, βουδόρα πάντα· τοῦτον ἀλεύασθαι, καὶ πηγάδας, αἶτ' ἐπὶ γαῖαν 505

505. arterarta

503. roueiordau DG and H by the first hand. 504. St om. A.

502. Selevve. See v. 451. Goettling thinks the sense is, 'show them by practical examples,' e.g. of the ant and the bee. But he is wrong in saying 502—3 have no connexion with what precedes. After describing the evils which winter brings to the unprovided, the poet adds, 'Therefore make for yourselves stores in summer.'—Schoemann omits this distich, but thinks it perhaps followed 497. In com. crit. p. 50 he proposes $\pi(\mu\pi\lambda a\sigma be for \pi onei\sigma\theta,$ which seems to indicate that huts were to be built every summer for the slaves. — $\kappa \alpha \lambda \alpha \lambda s$, sup. v. 301. 374. Here it means 'wooden sheds for winter lodging,' olaias, Moschop. Perhaps like the $\kappa \lambda (\sigma a \sigma)$, or slaves' lodging, in Od. xxiv. 208, $\epsilon \nu \tau \phi$ streferoure kal I(aver $\eta \delta \epsilon$ laver du@es draykalo.

504. From this verse down to v. 563, the style changes so remarkably from the didactic to the descriptive, that Goettling is perhaps right in regarding the whole as the interpolation of some Ionic rhapsodist. Schoemann (p. 50) calls this description of winter "a reliqui carminis habitu multum diversa." At all events, some verses have been interwoven, and possibly the original has been remodelled and rearranged, so that its very uniformity makes it the more difficult to separate the genuine from the spurious. The last three lines were, perhaps, added still later as a transition to the next subject. Lenaeon, as Plutarch (ap. Proclum) objected, was not the name of a Boeotian month; the period meant, part of December and January, being called by that people $\beta_{0vxd\tau uos}$. See Hesych. in v. $\lambda \eta v u dv$. The account of the storm, and the effects of cold on animal life, though it contains some Hesiodic expressions, is

very different from the general ethical and unanimated tone of the poem. Impressions produced by the phenomena of nature excited the susceptible and objective Ionian character; but the Boeotian bard seldom ascends to this height of poetic inspiration. The only similar passages, Theog. 676 seqq. and 836 seqq., bear all the marks of being also the interpolation of a rhapsodist. We might observe too, that so large a proportion of βήματα απαξ λεγόμενα savour of an affected imitative style. This is one of the marks by which the non-Hesiodic character of the 'Shield of Hercules' is indicated. Such words in the ensuing description as τένδει, νήριτος, δείκνυ, βράδιον, μυλιῶν, σκέπα, γλάφυ, νίφα, μέζεα, in themselves, tend to arouse suspicion. The feebleness of the writer is shown in so minutely and triffingly describing the exemption from cold of the fleecy flocks and the wellhoused maiden: and in the repetition of diange four times within six verses; while the allusion to $\tau_0(\pi\sigma vs B \rho \sigma \tau ds, v)$, v. 533, the absence of the digamma in ol, v. 526, δv , v. 524, $l\sigma ol$, v. 533, the Hardahaves in v. 528, and the Ionic word µégea in v. 512, are indications of a post-Hesiodic school of rhapsodists.

Ibid. The $\delta \lambda$ is omitted in Cod. Gale. —*Boubápa*, 'ox-flaying,' i.e. emaciating the cattle so that the hide hangs loose. Some have thought that the poet purposely alluded to *Bouxárus*, 'the oxkilling month,' and preferred the term $\Lambda\eta raudu as$ more generally known to the Greeks.—It seems better to put a colon at $\pi dura$, and to make $\mu \eta va$ the accusative of time; 'during the month Lenseon the days are bad,' &c. Commonly, $\mu \eta va$ is made to depend on $\lambda \epsilon \delta a \sigma \delta a$, and only a comma placed after $\pi dura$.

πνεύσαντος Βορέαο δυσηλεγέες τελέθουσιν. όστε δια Θρήκης ίπποτρόφου ευρέι πόντω (505) έμπνεύσας ώρινε μέμυκε δε γαία και ύλη. πολλάς δε δρύς ύψικόμους ελάτας τε παγείας ουρέος έν βήσσης πιλνά χθονί πουλυβοτείρη 510 [έμπίπτων, και πασα βοφ τότε νήριτος ύλη.] θήρες δε φρίσσουσ', ουράς δ' υπό μέζε έθεντο, (510) τών καὶ λάχνη δέρμα κατάσκιον άλλά νυ καὶ τῶν ψυχρός έων διάησι δασυστέρνων περ έόντων.

514. διάξησι

τελέθωσι K, Ald. 506. δυσηλεχέες Α. 507. αs τε (gl. πηγάδας) A, with yp. os re. 512-13. transposed in Ald. μήρε Ι. ενθεντο G.

Moschopulus rightly explains, kard rdr μήνα δέ τον Ληναιώνα κακαί είσιν ημέραι, αίτιαι πάσαι του άποδέρεσθαι τους βόας,

art τοῦ, τελευτâr. 506. δυσηλεχέες Cod. Gale. The exact meaning and etymology of $\delta u \sigma \eta$ - $\lambda e \gamma \eta s$ are uncertain. The resemblance to aloxos suggests and hex or hey. In Theogon. 652, we have duonkeyeos από δεσμού. Theognis v. 739, δυσηλεγέων πολιτών. Homer, Il. xx. 154, δυσηλεγέος πολέμοιο, and Od. xxii. 325, θάνατον δυσηλεγέα. Homer has a similar epithet of death, ramheyhs. Here the idea of being stretched out in sleep, or of a long sleep, suggests the root $\lambda \epsilon \gamma$ (as in $\lambda \epsilon \chi os$, $\lambda \epsilon \kappa \tau o$, and $\lambda \epsilon \epsilon \tau a$, inf. v. 523). So the frost might well be said, 'hard to sleep with.' But this sense suits neither the other passages, nor the explanation of the scholiasts here, raxŵr portiour rapoxon and rarby portion τ ideioai, kal duoµépiµrov. The general tenor of the word seems to be amopos, dutyaros, duoxepts. --- Thyddes are here frozen clods.

508. $\mu \ell \mu \nu \kappa \epsilon$, 'roars,' 're-echoes.' The scholiasts doubted if the word was not from µbw, for συμμέμυκε, συστέλλεται, ' shrinks into itself' Probably it is an irregular form μύκω (Lat. mugio)=μυκάσμαι. Aesch. Suppl. 346, 1ν' άλκậ πίσυνος μέμυκε, φράζουσα βοτήρι μόχθους

(sc. δάμαλις). Hom. Il. xxi. 237, μεμυκώς ήύτε ταῦρος (sc. ποταμός). 511. νήριτος, 'vast,' boundless.' This

word is of doubtful origin. Some derive it from rh and fors. Curtius, Gr. Et. 342, refers it to the root àρ, whence also àριθμόs. According to this, rhpiros is a synonym of århpiθμos, Hesych. πολύs. In Homer, Nhperov and Nhperos are proper names, always associated with Ithaca. Od. xiii. 851, rouro 84 Nhoeróv έστιν δρος καταείμενον δλη. In later writers it meant ' boundless.' Apollon. Rhod. iii. 1288, rhorra rathour 1_X via µa-orretour. Ibid. iv. 158, rhorros $\delta \partial \mu h$ $\phi a \rho u d \pi o v$. Here, perhaps, the verse was added by a late hand. It is a repetition of v. 508, and encumbers rather than assists the description.

512. µé(ca, an Ionic word for µhôca in the sense of aldoia. Goettling refers to Gregory of Corinth, p. 535. Cf. Theog. 180. Od. vi. 129, πτόρθον κλάσε χειρί παχείη Φύλλων, ώς βύσαιτο περί χροτ μήδεα φωτόs. It seems the same as the Latin, viri media, Sanscrit madhya; Curtius shews no good reason for doubt-ing this, Gr. Et. 645. The setting up of the hair and putting the tail between the legs is described as common even to animals covered with thick fur, like the bear, which is $\theta h \rho \lambda a \chi r \delta \gamma u \omega s$, Eur. Hel. 378.

512. v_xò

καί τε διὰ ῥινοῦ βοὸς ἔρχεται, οὐδέ μιν ἴσχει. 515 καί τε δι' αἶγα ἄησι τανύτριχα, πώεα δ' †οὖτι, [οὖνεκ' ἐπηεταναὶ τρίχες αὐτῶν, οὐ διάησιν] (515) îs ἀνέμου Βορέου· τροχαλὸν δὲ γέροντα τίθησι. καὶ διὰ παρθενικῆς ἁπαλόχροος οὐ διάησιν, ἤτε δόμων ἔντοσθε φίλῃ παρὰ μητέρι μίμνει, 520 οὖπω ἔργ' εἰδυῖα πολυχρύσου ᾿Αφροδίτης· εὖτε λοεσσαμένη τέρενα χρόα καὶ λίπ' ἐλαίφ (520) χρισαμένη νυχίη καταλέξεται ἔνδοθι οἶκου,

515. βοδòs 516. Γάησι 517. ἐπαιδεταναὶ 518. Fis 521. Γέργα Γίδυΐα? 523. Γοίκου

515. διὰ β βινοῦ BCEF and H by the first hand. 518. βορίαο K, Ald., and D by correction. τροχαλόν τε A. 520. μίμνει παρὰ μητέρι κεδν $\hat{\eta}$ (γρ. φίλη) A. ἕντοσθι K, Ald. 523. μυχίη A. (with ν superscribed). ἕνδοθι ADEF. ἕνδοθεν the rest.

515. If the $\lambda d \chi \nu \eta$ or fur does not keep off the cold, still less does the thinner hair of the ox and the goat. On *kai* $\tau \epsilon$ see Theogon. v. 3.

516. obr. The ob is very awkwardly repeated in the next verse. (See Soph. Antig. 5, 6.) If that be genuine, we might here read $\pi \delta \epsilon a \delta' o \delta \nu$, as the contracted genitive plural is often used by Homer, e.g. Od. xi. 402; xx. 142. II. xviii. 588, and we have $\pi \delta v \mu \ell \gamma' o l \delta \nu$ ib. xv. 323, $\pi \delta \epsilon a o l \delta \nu$ xi. 678. But by omitting v. 517, we obtain an easier correction; $\kappa a \ell \tau \epsilon \delta \ell' a \ell \gamma a \delta \eta \sigma \iota \tau a \nu \ell \tau \rho \ell \gamma \sigma \alpha$, $\pi \delta \epsilon a \delta' o \delta \tau \iota$, is $\delta \nu \ell \mu o w o b \delta \tau a n easier cor$ $rection; <math>\kappa a \ell \tau \epsilon \delta \ell' a \ell \gamma a \delta \eta \sigma \iota \tau a \nu \ell \tau \rho \chi \alpha$, $\pi \delta \epsilon a \delta' o \delta \tau \iota$, is $\delta \nu \ell \mu o w o b \delta \sigma \iota \sigma \iota \sigma \sigma \nu \tau \rho \iota \chi \alpha$, $\sigma the root ' \rho a \ell' protect.' We might$ $have expected <math>\delta \ell' a \ell \gamma \delta \delta \eta \sigma \iota \tau a \nu \ell \tau \rho \iota \chi \sigma$, which is also the more usual construction, as the scholiasts remark. Or the original line, apart from subsequent additions, may have been $\kappa a \ell \tau \epsilon \delta \ell' a \ell \gamma a \delta \eta \sigma \iota \tau a \nu' \tau \mu \chi \sigma \ell \eta \sigma$

517. inverse al, permanent during the whole year; al darcias hal ob dials invovan, Moschopulus; who seems to combine two interpretations. Perhaps the idea is abraphesis, 'sufficient in themselves.' But rplyes are not well applied to wool, as contrasted with the hair of goats; nor does now alone distinctively mean a flock of sheep.—On the digamma in *iniferards* see sup. v. 31.

518. $\tau \rho o \chi a \lambda \delta \nu \tau \epsilon$ Cod. Gale. The scholiasts rightly took this word to mean 'bent,' stooping;' but Proclus adds, $\eta \ \partial \xi \partial \nu \ d \nu \ \tau \phi \ \delta \rho \delta \mu \omega$, i.e. steepping briskly to promote warmth. In Eur. Iph. Aul. 146, $\tau \rho o \chi a \lambda l \ \delta \chi o l$ may mean 'wheeled chariots,' or 'going at a trotting pace.'

trotting pace.' 520. Cod. Gale μίμνει παρὰ μητέη κεδνῆ, but γρ. φίλη. This is said to be the reading of one or two other MSS. Parhaps, ητ' ξυτοσθε δόμων μίμνει παρὰ μητέρι κεδνῆ.

522. ebre—karaléfera, for bras kara- $\lambda \ell \{gra, \dots an$ Homeric verb whereof the root $\lambda e\gamma$ or $\lambda e\chi$ is also found in $\lambda \ell \gamma ev$, décere. Schoemann with Dindorf gives $e\delta \tau \epsilon \kappa. \tau. \lambda$, but the simple future scens inappropriate after $\mu \ell \mu v e\iota$, though we might take it for the subjunctive, and read $\mu \ell u r \gamma$ with Hermann— $\lambda \ell r a \kappa. \tau \lambda$, literally, 'having greasiness rubbed on oneself with oil.' The expression is Homeric. In Thucyd. i. 6, $\lambda \ell r a \mu e r \lambda$ $\tau o \hat{\nu} \gamma \nu \mu r d (e \sigma a the <math>\lambda h \ell r a \mu e r \lambda$ $\tau o \hat{\nu} \gamma \nu \mu r d (e \sigma a the <math>\lambda h \ell r a \mu e r \lambda$ $\tau o \hat{\nu}$, $\tau h e \sigma a the soft of th$

523. $\mu v \chi i \eta$ (sio) Cod. Gale. The MSS. and scholiasts vary between the two

ηματι χειμερίω, ὅτ' ἀνόστεος ὃν πόδα τένδει ἔν τ' ἀπύρω οἶκω καὶ ἦθεσι λευγαλέοισιν, 525 †οὐ γάρ οἱ ἦέλιος δείκνυ νομὸν ὁρμηθηναι· ἀλλ' ἐπὶ κυανέων ἀνδρῶν δημόν τε πόλιν τε (525) στρωφᾶται, βράδιον δὲ Πανελλήνεσσι φαείνει. καὶ τότε δη κεραοὶ καὶ νήκεροι ὑληκοῖται

524. of dr? 525. Folimy Fylleor. 526. oudé Foi?

525. καὶ ἐν AGK, Ald. 526. δείκνει EF. νόμον Κ. 527. ἀνδρῶν om. A, but added by a later hand in margin. Gl. αἰθιόπων. 528. βράδεον δὲ πανέλλησι (γρ. πανελλήνεσσι ἢ παρ' ἔλλησι) Α.

readings; and indeed they are commonly confused. So in Theogon. 901 we find both µóχιων and νόχιων, and in Aesch. Pers. 870. 931. Eur. Med. 211, it is equally difficult to decide which is genuine. Proclus, είσω µωχῶν τοῦ σίκου παρθεγευοµίνην.—irðoù Cod. Gale, with some others, rightly. The common reading is šröcθev, against the digamma in Foikov.

524. àrósres, the cuttle-fish, a creature whose habits were not unknown to the Greeks, and which probably gave rise to the strange legend of Soylla in the Odyssey. Hesych, àrósres: s eatásres rolúrses, szálaf. It is called 'the boneless' by a phraseology almost peculiar to Hesich, and which K. O. Müller (Hist. Gr. Lit. p. 86) calls " oracular and sacerdotal," as peptoneos for 'a smail,' v. 571, huspóneoros for 'a robber,' v. 605, &c...br #dős rőset, 'gnaws its own tentacles.' This was a false notion; but it aross from observing that the tentacles of the captured fish were often broken or torn away....rívēsu ja another form, with the hard for the soft dental, of rívēsu and rívēns. Hesych rívēsu dofies, h lære, as sup. 131, bs suus has no digamma.

525. sel #0ecs. So some of the MSS. rightly for sel in #0ecs. For the digamma in this word see v. 222.

526. roudr, tówer roufis, Mosch.; 'a feeding-place to swim towards.' This and the two next lines are certainly not Hesiod's, and they may be even later than the presumed Ionic description now before us. The ol always has the digamma; perhaps therefore obset of or obset year may be the right reading. The use of sairv as in imperfect in II. xxiii. 29, suggests the meaning here. 'for the sun did not show it where to find food.' But the reading in the two Bodleian MSS. Baroco. 46 and 60, selevel, is very notable. Some may have read securics and pronounced it selevel by a synissis like that by which spurious sometimes becomes spurir.

527. Hesych. surviver Mathematical Alberton Strand
Ibid. nuarter àrdpair. Gloss. Cod. Gale alliéner. By dipids re nohis re no particular settlement, i. e. no real one, is perhaps meant. Goettling thinks that Merce may be intended, which was called by Herodotus, ii. 29, μητρόπολις τῶν άλλων Alliéner.

529. rhreps: = rhreps, animals such as boars, &c. opposed to wild goats. The word is compounded of rh for drd as in rhrewes, rhrerbhs, rhreups (rhbruma), rhrewes, &c.— $\mu\nu\lambda$ dowres, from $\mu\nu\lambda\alpha\nu$, $\mu\lambda\eta$, moda, 'dismally gnashing their teeth,' perhaps through hunger

λυγρὸν μυλιόωντες ἀνὰ δρία βησσήεντα 530 φείγουσιν· καὶ πᾶσιν ἐνὶ φρεσὶ τοῦτο μέμηλεν, †οἱ σκέπα μαιόμενοι πυκινοὺς κευθμῶνας ἔχουσι (530) καὶ γλάφυ πετρῆεν· τότε δὴ τρίποδι βροτῷ ἶσοι, οῦτ' ἐπὶ νῶτα ἔαγε, κάρη δ' εἰς οῦδας ὁρᾶται, τῷ ἶκελοι φοιτῶσιν, ἀλευόμενοι νίφα λευκήν. 535 καὶ τότε ἔσσασθαι ἔρυμα χροὸς, ῶς σε κελεύω, χλαῖνάν τε μαλακὴν καὶ τερμιόεντα χιτῶνα· (535) στήμονι δ' ἐν παύρῷ πολλὴν κρόκα μηρύσασθαι·

534. Γέαγε 535. Γίκελοι-άλεγόμενοι 536. Γέσσασθαι Γέρυμα

531. $\phi \epsilon i \gamma o v o \iota A.$ 532. $\delta \gamma o v o \iota v DG.$ 533. $\delta \tau \epsilon \delta \eta$ I. 534. $v \tilde{v} \tau' \epsilon a \gamma \epsilon$ I ($v \tilde{v} \tau' \epsilon \delta \gamma \eta$ conj. Bentl.). 536. $\kappa a \iota \tau \delta \tau' \epsilon \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota A.$ $\epsilon \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota G.$ 537. $\chi \lambda a \tilde{v} a \iota \tau \epsilon A K$, Ald. $\chi \lambda a \tilde{v} a \iota \mu \epsilon \nu$ the rest. 538. $\mu \eta \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \sigma \sigma \theta a \iota B C H$ (σ superscr.).

rather than through cold. The v is properly short, and therefore the λ must be regarded as doubled in pronunciation. Van Lennep gives $\mu v\lambda\lambda i dowres$ ($\mu v\lambda\lambda ds$) with the ed. prine. Proclus says that Crates the grammarian read $\mu a\lambda \pi i dowres$. Cobet, Var. Lect. p. 131, thinks $\mu a\lambda$ *alores* the true form. See Aesol. frag. 406, ed. Herm. and Photius in v. $\mu a\lambda$ - $\pi i ev.$

531. $\tau o \tilde{\nu} \tau o \mu \ell \mu \eta \lambda \epsilon \nu$, scil. $\tau \delta \phi \epsilon \ell \nu \epsilon \nu$.— Perhaps $\delta s = \ell \chi \omega \sigma_i$, 'that in their search for shelter they may have hiding-places that keep out the cold.'— $\sigma \kappa \ell \pi a$, a remarkable plural from $\sigma \kappa \ell \pi a$, like $\gamma \ell \rho a$ from $\gamma \ell \rho a s$.

533. γλάφυ, the neuter of the obsolete γλαφύς = γλαφυρός, here used for a substantive. We have the verb γλάφει in Scut. H. 431.—Hesych. γλάφυ σπηλαῖον, ἄντρον. τρίποδι βροτῷ, an old man who walks by the aid of a stick, τριβάμων, Eur. Troad. 275, τρίποδας μέγ όδοὸς στείχει, Aesch. Agam. 80. The nominative is θῆρες, which are said φοιτῶν, to stalk through the forest, with bended body, and as it were shrinking into themselves, like old men. There is an evident allusion to the riddle of the Sphinx, who is mentioned in Theog. 326, as Oedipus was sup. v. 163. Hermann would read βροτοl and Goettling so far agrees as to make βροτοl the

subject to portform. The absence of the F in Ison throws a doubt on the antiquity of the verse. 534. tays. The Attics use the geni-

534. $\delta a \gamma \epsilon$. The Attics use the genitive of the part, as Ar. Ach. 1180, and $\tau \eta s$ $\kappa \epsilon \phi a \lambda \eta s$ are a set of $\lambda i 6 or$ $\pi \epsilon \sigma \delta \sigma$. Bentley proposed $\delta d \gamma \eta$, which would require $\kappa \epsilon \phi a \lambda \eta$ for $\kappa \delta \eta \eta$. The a is long by nature, so that $\delta \delta \eta \eta$ would be a synizesis. Cf. Ar. Ach. 928, $i \pi a \mu \eta$ $\kappa a \tau a \gamma \eta$ $\phi e \rho \delta \mu e r os (al. \kappa a \tau a \gamma \eta) \phi o p o \gamma \mu e r o s).$

535. $ri\phi_a$, a word with no nominative (Curtius, 818), seems to be $d\pi a\xi \lambda e\gamma \phi$ - $\mu eroor$. Photius, $ri\beta a$: $\chi_{i}\delta rac$. Either he wrote $ri\phi a$, or he explained a word belonging to a much later dialect.

longing to a much later dialect. 530-7. Both *tpupa xpods* (II. iv. 137) and *reputerra xtriva* (Od. xix. 242), 'a tunic (or frock) reaching down to the feet,' are Homeric phrases, and therefore add something to the suspicion that this passage is the work of an Ionic rhapsodist.

538. $\pi o \lambda \lambda h \nu \kappa \rho \delta \kappa a$. He recommends much weft, or cross-thread, to scanty warp, the erect $\sigma \tau h \mu \omega \nu$ suspended from the loom. The common form is $\kappa \rho \delta \kappa \eta$, not $\kappa \rho \delta \xi$. But we have $\pi \tau \partial \xi$ by the side of $\pi \tau \nu \chi h$.— $\mu \eta \rho \delta \sigma a \sigma^2 a$, glomerare, to enwrap or intertwine it by means of the shuttle.

την περιέσσασθαι, ΐνα τοι τρίχες ἀτρεμέωσι, μηδ' ὀρθαὶ φρίσσωσιν ἀειρόμεναι κατὰ σῶμα. 540ἀμφὶ δὲ ποσσὶ πέδιλα βοὸς ἶφι κταμένοιο ἄρμενα δήσασθαι, πίλοις ἔντοσθε πυκάσσας. (540)πρωτογόνων δ' ἐρίφων, ὁπότε κρύος ῶριον ἔλθη, δέρματα συρράπτειν νεύρῷ βοὸς, ὄφρ' ἐπὶ νώτῷ ὑετοῦ ἀμφιβάλῃ ἀλέην κεφαλῆφι δ' ὖπερθεν 545πίλον ἔχειν ἀσκητὸν, ἵν' οὖατα μη καταδεύη· ψυχρη γάρ τ' ήὼς πέλεται Βορέαο πεσόντος· (545)ήῷος δ' ἐπὶ γαΐαν ἀπ' οὐρανοῦ ἀστερόεντος

539. περιξέσσασθαι 540. ἀΓειρόμεναι 541. βοδός Γιφι 544. βοδός 547. ἀΓώς 548. ἀΓώος

539. περιέσασθαι AD. ἀτρεμέωσιν BCGI. 543. ὑπότε MSS. ὑπόταν Ald. ἰλθοι AEFK, Ald. ἰλθη the rest.

541. On $l\phi_i$ with the digamma see Sout. H. 53.—*κταμένου*, slain, sacrificed, ib. 402. The phrase is again Homeric; II. ii. 375, f_i of $b\tilde{\eta}\tilde{\epsilon}\epsilon \tau$ *iμαντα Bobs lφi κταμένοιο*. The meaning is (say the old commentators) that the hide of an ox that has died of disease or old age is not to be used. From the joke of Aristophanes, Ach. 724, about the whip made $\delta\kappa$ *λεπρών*, 'out of leprons hides,' it would seem that such hides were harder and thicker.

542. πίλοις, 'with felt.' Π. x. 265, κυνέην—μέσση δ' ένλ πίλος άρήρει. Plat. Symp. p. 220, Β, καί ποτε όντος πάγου σίου δεινοτάτου, και πάντων—υποδεδεμένων και ένειλιγμένων τούς πόδας είς πίλους και άρπακίδας, κ.τ.λ.

543. δπόταν Gaisford and Goettling, apparently with very slight MS. authority. Good copies give έλθοι, which is defensible in the sense of et ποτε έλθοι. --κρόος δριον, seasonable cold; τὸ συνήθως ἐν τῆ τεταγμένῃ αὐτοῦ ὅρα γινόμενον, Moschop. 544. ἐπὶ νώτος, to form a water-proof cape. Similar leathern garments were

544. επὶ νώτφ, to form a water-proof cape. Similar leathern garments were σισύρα or σισύρνη, διφθέρα, and βαίτη. Robinson follows Graevius in reading επὶ ὅμφ, merely because Moschopulus happens so to paraphrase επὶ νώτφ.

happens so to paraphrase έπι νώτφ. 546. πίλον, a cap, κυνέη, made of soft fur, and lined with felt. It is uncertain what is meant by $\delta\sigma\kappa\eta\tau\delta r$, which Moschopulus explains by $\tau\delta\chi\tau\eta\kappa\alpha\tau\epsilon\sigma\kappa\epsilon\nu\alpha\sigma$ - $\mu\delta\nu\sigma$, but adds, that it may mean, 'made large enough to cover the ears.' It should mean, decorated externally with some kind of ornament; here, perhaps, with ear-flaps. Theoor. i. 33, $\delta\sigma\kappa\eta\tau\delta$ $\pi\delta\eta\kappa\eta\tau\epsilon$ $\kappa al <math>\delta\mu\pi\nu\kappa\iota$.— $\kappa\alpha\tau a\delta\epsilon\eta\eta$, 'that it (the shower) may not drench your ears.'

547. πέσοντος, τουτέστιν άνωθεν πνεόσαιτος. πνεί γαρ άπο ύψηλοτέρων ό βορίας, δ δηλοΐ το πεσεΐν. Proclus. This seems the true explanation, and is preferred by Goettling to another, hardly less obvious but of opposite sense, λήγοντος, κοιμωμένου, as the Romans said venti cecidere. To this Van Lennep inclines. Homer seems to use πεσείν in both senses: thus in Od. xiv. 475, rdξ ἄρ' ἐπῆλθε κακὴ, βορίαο πεσόντος, πηγυλίς, the meaning is πνεόσαιτος, but ib. xix. 202, τῆ τρισκαιδεκάτη δ' άνεμος πέσε, τοι δ' ἀνάγοντο, the wind fell,' ceased.' The MS. Cant. here has the gloss ἀντί τοῦ πνεύσαιτος. Gl. Cod. Gale πνεύσαιτος ἡ μετὰ τὸ πνεῦσαι, which recognises both meanings.

548. $h\hat{\varphi}os-d\hat{\eta}\rho$. 'In the morning too a mist from heaven, producing good wheat-crops, is spread over the earth

77

ἀὴρ πυροφόρος τέταται μακάρων ἐπὶ ἔργοις
ὅστε ἀρυσσάμενος ποταμῶν ἀπο ἀεναόντων, 550
ὑψοῦ ὑπὲρ γαίης ἀρθεὶς ἀνέμοιο θυέλλῃ,
ἄλλοτε μέν θ ὕει ποτὶ ἔσπερον, ἀλλοτ ἀησι (550)
πυκνὰ Θρηϊκίου Βορέου νέφεα κλονέοντος.
τὸν φθάμενος, ἔργον τελέσας, οἶκόνδε νέεσθαι,
μήποτέ σ' οὐρανόθεν σκοτόεν νέφος ἀμφικαλύψῃ, 555
χρῶτά τε μυδαλέον θείῃ, κατά θ εἶματα δεύσῃ.

549. ἀΓὴρ Γέργοις 550. Γαρυσσάμενος ἀεναΓόντων 552. Γέσπερον, ἄΓησι 554. Γέργον Γοικόνδε 556. καὶ Γείματα?

549. πυρφόρος C. 550. ἀρυσάμενος AI. 551. ὑψοῦ δ' I. 552. ἄησιν DG. 553. κλονόεντος EFH. 554. φθασάμενος I. ἔργα K, Ald. 555. μήποτ' έξ σὖρ. (γρ. μήποτέ γ' οὖρ.) Δ. σκοτέον Η. 556. χρῶτα δὲ ABCDEGI.

550. ἀρυσσάμενος, having drawn watery vapours from ever-flowing rivers. Both ἀρίω and its cognate ἐρίω are digammated words. Compare Eur. Med. 835, τοῦ καλλινάου τ' ἀπὸ Κηφισοῦ ῥοὰs | τὰν Ко́три клу́(соон сфотозаµе́ная | Ха́рая каталтейтан µетріаз сте́µая | у́слято́сонз абраз. Нірроl. 209, та́в сте́µая старая. Нірроl. 209, та́в сте́µа саµая;—се́кае́этая, в рагісіріаі form of céraos, used also in Od. xili. 109, е́г 5° ббат се́каонта. The root (Curtius, 319) is orn or oreF, and it is curious that the oF, dropped in réa and ráa, is retained in our suóm.

551. $d\rho\theta\epsilon is$. The true epic form is $de\rho\theta\epsilon is$, though $d\rho\epsilon ir$ occurs once in Homer.

554. $\tau br \phi \theta d\mu evos <math>\mathbf{x}.\tau \lambda$. 'Anticipating this (berds implied in δe_i , cf. v. 545, rather than $\mu \eta \nu \mathbf{x} \Lambda \eta \nu \mathbf{x} \partial \nu \mathbf{x}$, Mosch.), when you have completed your work in the farm, return homewards, lest' &c. He warns those who perceive a mist in the morning to beware of rain at night;



ἀλλ' ὑπαλεύασθαι· μεὶς γὰρ χαλεπώτατος οὖτος (555) χειμέριος, χαλεπὸς προβάτοις, χαλεπὸς ὅ ἀνθρώποις. τῆμος τῶμισυ βουσὶν, ἐπ' ἀνέρι δὲ πλέον εἶη ἀρμαλιῆς· μακραὶ γὰρ ἐπίρροθοι εὐφρόναι εἰσί. 580 [ταῦτα φυλασσόμενος τετελεσμένον εἰς ἐνιαυτὸν ἰσοῦσθαι νύκτας τε καὶ ἦματα, εἰσόκεν αὖτις (560) γῆ πάντων μήτηρ καρπὸν σύμμικτον ἐνείκῃ.]

557. ὑπαλέΓασθαι.

559. τώμισυ βουσίν επὶ δ' ἀνέρι πλείον εἰη Α. βουσὶν, ἐπὶ δ' ἀνέρι πλέον εἰη Ε.Γ. βουσὶν ἐπ' ἀνέρι δὲ πλέον BCDQHI. βουσὶν ἐπὶ δ' ἀνδρὶ τὸ πλέον εἰη Κ, Ald. ἐπὶ δ' ἀνέρι πλέον εἰη Γ. 562. Γισοῦσθαι αὐτις ΑΕ.Γ. αδθις G.

for 'nunquam impradentibus imber obfuit,' Georg. i. 373. Compare inf. v. 570, την φθάμενος οίνας περιταμνέμεν.

550. $\tau \delta \mu \sigma \nu$ Goettl. with Cod. Gale. $\delta \delta \mu \sigma \nu$ Gaisford with most of the copies. The omission of the aspirate is Ionic and Acolic, as in $\delta \tau \tau \delta \lambda cos, \delta c.$ Gaisford gives $\beta o \delta \sigma', \delta \pi l \delta' \delta \nu \delta \rho \mu$ at $\pi \lambda \delta \sigma \nu$ $\epsilon^{\dagger} \eta$, but the κal seems to have no MS. authority. $-\delta \sigma' \delta \nu \delta \rho \mu$ is, 'but besides (or in addition) for a man,' i. e. for a slave, $\delta \rho \gamma d \tau y$. For $\delta \rho \mu a \lambda t h$ was the dimensum, the slaves' allowance of food. It occurs inf. v. 767, $\delta \rho \mu a \lambda t h \sigma \delta a \tau \delta \sigma \delta a$, but is more common in the Alexandrine poets, e.g. Theocr. xvi. 35. Ap. Rhod. i. 393. That man requires more food in cold weather is well known. But the cattle are to be put on half-allowance, becauso

they have little work to do and plenty of rest at night.— $\epsilon \dot{\rho} \phi \rho \sigma r$, for 'night,' has been thought by some a post-epic usage.— $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \rho \rho o \theta o$, $\dot{f} \gamma o v \beta o \eta \theta o l$, Mosohop. Bo Aesch. Theb. 361, $\partial \lambda \pi i s \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i$ ristrepor $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \lambda o s \mu o \lambda \hat{\epsilon} v \pi a \gamma \kappa \lambda a \dot{\sigma} t w \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \gamma \dot{\epsilon} w \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \rho \rho o \theta o$.

561-3. These three verses are generally allowed to be spurious, and to have been added by way of closing the subject. Proclus ;- τοῦτον καl τοὺς έξῆς δύο διαγράφει Πλούταρχος. δηλοῦσι δέ, χρήναι διόλου τοῦ έτους βλέπειν els τàs νύκτας και τας ήμέρας, και πρός ταύτας Ισούν τα έργα, έως αν μετά τον σπορον ή δρα αφίκηται της των καρπών συλλογής. 'Observing these precepts till the end of the year' (viz. from midsummer till midwinter; or, with Tzetzes, άπο θέρους μέχρι και θέρους έξίσου), 'make the nights equal and the days equal,' viz. by proportioning the supply of food, so that the consumption is equal, taking one season with another, both for man and beast, i.e. when more for the one, it is less for the other. On loovoda, see Scut. Herc. 263.

564. *it/norra*. Two months after midwinter, viz. towards the close of February, Arcturus becomes visible. Elaborate calculations have been made, founded on this passage, in order to ascertain, by the aid of astronomy, the date of this poem. (See Appendix A.) The reader who is curious on the subject, and capable of understanding it.

χειμέρι' ἐκτελέση Ζεὺς ἦματα, δή ῥα τότ' ἀστὴρ 565 ᾿Αρκτοῦρος προλιπὼν ἱερὸν ῥόον 'Ωκεανοῖο πρῶτον παμφαίνων ἐπιτέλλεται ἀκροκνέφαιος. (565) τὸν δὲ μέτ' ὀρθρογόη Πανδιονὶς ὥρτο χελιδὼν [ἐς φάος ἀνθρώποις, ἔαρος νέον ἱσταμένοιο.] τὴν φθάμενος οἶνας περιταμνέμεν ὡς γὰρ ἄμεινον. 570 ἀλλ' ὁπότ' ἂν φερέοικος ἀπὸ χθονὸς ἂμ φυτὰ βαίνη Πληϊάδας φεύγων, τότε δὴ σκάφος οἰκέτι οἰνέων (570)

569. Féapos 570. Folvas 571. pepéfoikos 572. Foivéwv

570. περιτεμνέμεν Α. 571. ἀμφυτὰ βαίη (γρ. βαίνη) Α. αν φυτὰ βαίνη BCGIK, Ald. ἀμφυτὰ βαίνη DEF.

will find it discussed in p. xxi—xxxi of Robinson's Preface (ed. Oxon. 1737). But his faith in the accurscy of the theory will not be confirmed by finding it carries back the date to B.O. 942. According to Goettling, on the authority of Ideler, not 60, but 57 days intervene between midwinter (Dec. 29) and the rising of Arcturus (Feb. 24).—On the short as of the accusative, see inf. v. 675.

567. **δκροκνέ**φαιος. Proclus; avry έστιν ή έσπερία επιτολή τοῦ 'Αρκτούρου, έν τῷ ἄκρφ τοῦ καιροῦ τῆς νυκτός ἀνατέλλοντος. Moschopulus ;- derl τοῦ κατά τό άκρον της νυκτός, ήγουν κατά την έσπέραν. The acronych or true evening rising (the edge of the darkness, as it were) is "the rising of the star at the eastern verge of the horizon at the moment the sun is sinking on the western side. It is of this that Hesiod speaks." Keightley, Preface to Ovid's Fasti, p. vili. (ed. 2.)— $\pi a \mu \phi a l r w$, apparently the same as $\pi a \mu \phi a r w$, with the root reduplicated; both forms are Homeric. By Arcturus the poet is thought to mean Arctophylax or Bootes, of which Arcturus is the chief star. - As for πρώτον, which Goettling appears to construe with mpohender, the more obvious and easy sense is tore on aportous and easy sense is tore on aportous dairow daired land and έπιτέλλειν, properly said of stars, see Aesch. Prom. 100. Eur. Phoen. 1116.

568. $\delta\rho\theta\rho\sigma\gamma\delta\eta$, the plaintive songetress of the early morn. Some of the old grammarians read $\delta\rho\theta\rho\sigma\gamma\delta\eta$, $\dot{\eta}$ μεγάλωs καὶ δρθίως γοῶσα. Hesych. δρθρογόη ἡ χελιδών. Philomela (according to some accounts Procne) is meant, both being daughters of Pandion, king of Athena. —The next verse, perhaps, was adapted by some rhapsodist from Od. xix. 518, ώς δ' δτε Πανδαρίου κούρη, χλωρηίς ἀηδών, καλδν ἀείδησιν ἕαρος νέον ἰσταμένου. This was more likely to be done, from the close relationship of Procne and Philomela.

570. ofvas, the vines. The first pruning is in early spring, just before the leaves sprout; the second in the summer, when the too luxuriant aboots and too umbrageous leaves are dressed off, as described in Georgic. ii. 365.

described in Greorgic. It. sol. 571. $\phi_{ep} \delta_{euros}$, the small. Hesych. δ $\kappa_{0\chi\lambda las}$. See on v. 524. Cicero, De Div. ii. 64, quotes an old poet who called the smail 'terrigenam, herbigradam, domiportam, sanguine cassan.' When the smail leaves the ground and crawls over the plants, seeking a shelter from the Pleiades, in the middle of May (the time of their heliacal rising), then the operations of pruning and digging or hoeing the vines must be left, and the early harvest must be left, and the early harvest must be commenced. Cf. Plant. Capt. 80, 'quasi cum caletur cochlease in occulto latent.'— $\sigma_{xd\phi os}$, ($\sigma_{xdsrvew}$,) the trench or trenching, viz. digging round the roots to open the soil and admit the air. This process is described in Od. xxiv. 227, 242, under the terms $du\phi_{i}\lambda a \chi_{a} from and \lambda isotroperium$ $<math>\delta_{vorb}$. ἀλλ ἄρπας τε χαρασσέμεναι καὶ δμῶας ἐγείρειν.
φεύγειν δὲ σκιεροὺς θώκους καὶ ἐπ' ἠῶ κοῖτον
ὥρῃ ἐν ἀμητοῦ, ὅτε τ' ἠέλιος χρόα κάρφει.
ὅτημοῦτος σπεύδειν, καὶ οἶκαδε καρπὸν ἀγινεῖν
ὅρθρου ἀνιστάμενος, ἶνα τοι βίος ἄρκιος εἶŋ.
(575)
ἡὡς γὰρ ἔργοιο τρίτην ἀπομείρεται αἶσαν.
ἡὡς τσι προφέρει μὲν ὁδοῦ, προφέρει δὲ †καὶ ἔργου.

574. ἀΓόα 576. Γοίκαδε 578. ἀΓώς γὰρ Γέργοιο 579. ἀΓὼς δέ τε Γέργου?

574. κοίτην Ι. 575. ἀμήτου Α. ὅτ' ἡέλιος ΕΓ. καρφέι Α. κάρφη Κ, Ald. κάρφει BCDEFGHI. 576. ἀγινεῖν Α. ἀγείρειν the rest. 577. είη G. είη the rest. 578. γάρ τ' ἔργοιο MSS.

άπομείρεται Α.

573. Apras xapasséµera, to sharpen the sickles. See sup. v. 387. Scut. 235. Theog. 179.

574. σπιεροδε θώκους, seats under trees for your siesta or mid-day nap.— ἐπ' ἡῶ κοῦτον, sleep until daybreak, τὴν κατὰ τὸν δρθρον ἐνάπαυσιν, Moschop. He recommends early rising in the hottest weather, because a third part of a day's work is got through in the morning, v. 578. See Theorer. x. 48, 50.

575. ἀμήτου Cod. Gale, for ἀμητοῦ. The Etymol. Mag. p. 88. 9, quoted by Gaisford, distinguishes ἀμητόs as the harvest, ἄμητος as the time of the harvest, and this is accepted by both Gaisford and Goettling.—πάρφει, see v. 7. Archilochus, frag. 27, οὐκέθ ὁμῶς θάλ.

Ass $\frac{4\pi a \lambda b \nu}{\lambda p} \frac{\lambda b a}{\lambda p}$, $\frac{\pi b a}{\lambda p} \frac{\pi b a}{\lambda p} \frac{\pi b a}{\lambda p}$, $\frac{\pi b a}{\lambda p} \frac{\pi b a}{\lambda p}$, $\frac{\pi b a}{\lambda p} \frac{\pi b a}{\lambda p} \frac{\pi b a}{\lambda p}$, $\frac{\pi b a}{\lambda p} \frac{\pi b a}{\lambda$

577. $\epsilon i p$ (for ϵp or \vec{p}) was restored by Hermann for $\epsilon i \eta$, and it is so written in MS. Cant. See on v. 470. So $\theta \epsilon i p$ for $\theta \hat{p}$ or $\theta \hat{e} p$ in v. 556. $\phi a v \epsilon i p$ v. 680. $a \rho \kappa \iota o s$, secure, safely got in; or perhaps, 'sufficient.' See v. 370.

578. $d\pi o \mu e [\rho e \tau a \iota$, $d\pi o \tau e \mu \nu e \tau a \iota$, takes to itself a third share of a day's work in the farm. In Theog. 801 the verb is used passively for $\chi \omega \rho i (e \tau a \iota$. In both places there is a variant $d\pi a \mu s [\rho e \tau a \iota$ (a being here superscribed in Cod. Gale). Hesych. $d\pi a \mu s [\rho e \tau a \iota$: $d\rho a \mu s \rho i \tau a \iota$, where perhaps $\mu \epsilon \rho o s$ should be added to the interpretation. Apoll. Bhod. has $d\pi a - \mu \epsilon \rho \omega \mu e \tau$, ii. 186.—The τe of the MSS. is a mere metrical insertion in place of the digamma ($F \epsilon \rho \gamma o z o$).

the digamma (*Fέργοιο*). 579. προφέρει όδοῦ, for πόρρω φέρει, carries you well on your journey and far on your work. Of. Scut. H. 345. Il. iv. 382, ol ở ἐπεὶ οδν ῷχοντο, iδὲ πρὸ όδοῦ ἐγένοντο. Moschopulus, ἐπίδοσιν ποιεἰ τῆς όδοῦ, ῆγουν τῆς όδοιπορίας. The ancient reading was perhaps όδῷ and ἔργῳ, in which case προφέρει meant προφερής ἐστι, 'is best for,' as διαφέρει is often used for διάφορός ἐστι. Cf. Scut. H. 260, τῶν γε μὲν ἀλλάων προφερής τ' ῆν πρεσβυτάτη τε. Thuoyd. vii. 77, κἀγώ τοι οἰδενδς ὑμῶν οὕτε ῥωμη προφέρων—οῦτ' ευτυχία δοκῶν που ὕστερός του εἶναι. Pind. Pyth. ii. 86, (157,) ἐν πάντα δὲ νόμων εὐθύγλωσσος ἀπὴρ προφέρει. This is confirmed by the comment of Tzetzes, κάλλιστόν ἐστι καὶ τοῖς ἐργαζομένοις καὶ τοῖς δδείουσι, and that of Proclus, ῷησί—τοῖς δδείουσι την ἡῶ

 $\dot{\eta}$ ώς, $\ddot{\eta}$ τε φανείσα πολέας ἐπέβησε κελεύθου 580 \dot{a} νθρώπους, πολλοίσι δ' ἐπὶ ζυγὰ βουσὶ τίθησιν. ⁷Ημος δὲ σκόλυμός τ' ἀνθεῖ, καὶ ἠχέτα τέττιξ (580) δενδρέῳ ἐφεζόμενος λιγυρὴν καταχεύετ' ἀοιδὴν πυκνὸν ὑπὸ πτερύγων, θέρεος καματώδεος ὥρῃ, τῆμος πιόταταί τ' αἶγες καὶ οἶνος ἄριστος, 585 μαχλόταται δὲ γυναῖκες, ἀφαυρότατοι δέ τε ανδρες [εἰσὶν, ἐπεὶ κεφαλὴν καὶ γούνατα Σείριος αζίει,] (585)

582. Γηχέτα 583. καταχέfετ' 585. foiros

581. πολλοῦσί τ' Α. πολλοῦς δ' ΕF. 583. ἐπιχεύετ' BCDGHI. 584. πυκνῶν (γρ. πυκνὸν) Α. 585. πιότατ' αἶγες εἰσὶ (γρ. πιόταταί τ' alyes) Α. 586. δέ τοι ΑΕF.

προφέρειν.—For three consecutive verses commencing with the same word, see sup. v. 5—8. 182—4. 317—19. Theog. 832—4. Scut. H. 291—3.—For κal έργου Bentley proposed προφέρει δέ τε féprou. 580—1. This distich merely amplifies

580—1. This distich merely amplifies the sense of the preceding, viz. that morning is the best time for every kind of work. So says Xenophon, Oecon. v. 4, κal γdρ έν τῷ χώρφ κal ἐν τῷ ἄστει del ἐν ὅρα (i.e. πρωί) al ἐπικαρόταται πράξεις eloi.—πολλοΐοι δ', perhaps πολλοΐs δ' τ', as two very good MSS. give πολλοΐs δ', and Cod. Gale has τε for δέ.

582. Having warned the farmer not to waste his time in sleep or mid-day repose when the harvest has to be gathered, the poet proceeds to show that during the extreme heat some little recreation is allowable. Provocatives to festivity are the fat kids, the mellow wine, and the maidens not indisposed to toy with their rustic lovers. This brief episode on permissible rest ends with v. 597, after which the subject of farm operations is continued from v. 573.

Ibid. σπόλυμος, some prickly plant of the thistle family; some say artichoke, others chicory. Proclus and Hesychius call it λάχανου άγριου άκανθώδες, and Theophrastus (Hist. Plant. vi. § 4) describes it as having a root edible either raw or cooked, and says that this is best when it flowers, which is πepl τροπά, about the summer solstice.

583. δενδρέφ. Compare Scut. H. 393, $\eta\chi$ έτα τέττιξ δίφ ἐφείρμενος θέρος ἀνθρώποιου ἀείδειν ἀρχεται. Though the poets speak of the cicada's song, (as IL iii. 150, ἀγορηται 'Εσθλοί, τεττίγεστου ἐοικότες, οί τε καθ' ὕλην δενδρέφ ἐφείρμενοι ὅπα λειριόεσταυ ἰεῖου, and Virg. Georg. iii. 328, 'εt cantu tremulae rumpunt arbusta cicadae,') Hesiod at least knew that the sound was produced by the friction or vibration of the winga. Proclus;—ἄδει δὲ ὑπὸ ταῖς πτέρυξι τρίβων ἐαυτὸν καὶ τὸν ῆχον ἐκπέμπων οῦτω γὰρ αὐτὸν ἄδευ φασί.—καματώδεος, causing languor, fatiguing, see inf. v. 664.

586. doauooraro, not necessarily 'in coitum pigerrimos,' as Pliny, N. H. xxii. 22 (quoted by Goettling), supposed; but in a general sense, exhausted and debilitated by the heat, and so requiring recreation. Virgil had this passage in view, Georg. i. 341, 'Tunc agni pingues, et tunc mollissima vina.'

587. This verse is probably spurious, and for these reasons:—In the first place, eiσlv stands awkwardly at the beginning of the verse; secondly, δπότε χρόα Σείριος άζει occurs in Scut. H. 397, and may have suggested the repetition here; thirdly, it may have been inserted from the words of Alcaeus, quoted by Moschopulus, νῶν δὲ μιαρόταται γυναῖκεs, λεπτοὶ δέ τοι ἄνδρεs, ἐπεὶ κεφαλὴν καὶ γόνατα σείριος ἅζει, and this is quite as likely as that Alcaeus should have borrowed the exact words of Hesiod; fourthly, and principally, Cod. Gale

αὐαλέος δέ τε χρώς ὑπὸ καύματος. ἀλλὰ τότ' ήδη Γείη πετραίη τε σκιή, και βίβλινος οίνος, μαζά τ' αμολγαίη, γάλα τ' αιγών σβεννυμενάων, 590 καὶ βοὸς ὑλοφάγοιο κρέας μήπω τετοκυίης, πρωτογόνων τ' έρίφων έπι δ' αίθοπα πινέμεν οίνον (590) έν σκιή έζόμενον, κεκορημένον ήτορ έδωδής. άντίον ἀκραέος Ζεφύρου τρέψαντα πρόσωπον,

> 588. Káf ματος 591. BoFòs

589. re om. AB. BiBluros ABCG, Ald. 588. avérios A. βύβλινοs D and H by correction. 592. πιέμεν G. 594. εὐκραέος EI. εὐκραέος ἀνέμου K, Ald. πρόσωπα ABCDGHI. From this verse to the end a different hand in D.

has the remarkable reading τημος πιότατ' alyes eloi, (v. 585,) which shows that eloir could not have been in the text when that reading first originated.

589-96. These verses must be condemned as a manifest interpolation. It is true that for the unmetrical merpain $\tau\epsilon$ oxih we might read $\pi\epsilon\tau\rho\eta$ $\tau\epsilon$ oxieph, which would be a safer expedient than to justify the prosody by Homer's occessional use of Σκάμανδρος, σκέπαρνον, It is remarkable however that åc. Cod. Gale, with the best Bodleian MS., omits the $\tau \epsilon$, and it may be added, that the mention of the shade in v. 593 is now a more tautology. It is further remarkable that the digamma in olves is omitted in 589, 592, 596. Hesiod could not have written these lines; but he might have written either $d\lambda\lambda\lambda\tau\delta\tau'$ μάζά τ' άμολγαίη, γάλα τ' αἰγῶν σβενruµerdar, (as having already mentioned the kid's flesh and the wine, v. 585,) or, more probably, αλλα τότ' «Ϊη δμωσίν εποτρύνειν κ.τ.λ. (v. 597.) It may be added, that the use of $\mu \eta \pi \omega$ in v. 591 depending on the preceding optative, is hardly consistent with epic simplicity; and that the best copies agree in $\pi \rho \delta \sigma$ era, v. 594, which seems a spurious nominative of the epic προσώπατα and *προσώπασι*, occurring once or twice in the Odyssey. Possibly there was an old form πρόσωπαρ, like πείραρ, άλκαρ, πίαρ, &c. Lestly, the mention of the Thracian wine known as Bybline was not to be expected in so early a writer as Hesiod. Goettling perceived that v. 591-5 were interpolated; but (though v. 590 is a characteristic verse, and may be genuine,) he should have extended the condemnation to v. 589. Hesych. BiuBAiros. eldos olrou, kal yéros

αμπέλου ἐν Θράκη. 590. μῶζα ἀμολγαίη, bread fermented and risen (Lexil. p. 91). ἄρτος γάλακτι ἐζυμωμένος, gl. MS. Cant. κρατίστη, gl. Cod. Gale. Proclus, κρατίστη, άκμαία τό γάρ αμολγόν έπι τοῦ ακμαίου τίθεται. Curtius, Gr. Et. 183, seems to take it for a cake made with milk. $-\sigma\beta\epsilon v$ ruμerdow, not for τοῦ θηλάζειν παυσαμέ-νων (Moschop.), but 'when milked nearly dry.' The last draining of the amount of cream, because the lightest and thickest part of the milk comes away from the udder the last.

591. δλοφάγοιο, fed in the woodlands, not stall-fed.

592. έρίφων, scil. κρέας. Theoer. i. 6, χιμάρω δέ καλόν κρέας, ές τέ κ' άμέλξης. 593. έδωδής. For the genitive see v.

 33. — κεκορημένον, cf. Ar. Pac. 1285, ταῦτ ἄδε, ταῦθ, ὡs ήσθιον κεκορημένοι.
 594. ἀκραέος, τοῦ ἄκρως φυσῶντος, καλοῦ καὶ ἀμιγοῦς, ἡ ἡρέμα πνέοντος, Proclus. From the analogy of εὐāŋs, inf. v. 599, it seems that there is here a synizesis. Some copies give eurpaéos, a form used in Apoll. Bhod. ii. 1228, évkpats acv obpos. But here akpos and

κρήνης τ' ἀενάου καὶ ἀπορρύτου, ἦτ' ἀθόλωτος. 595 Τρὶς ὕδατος προχέεω, τὸ δὲ τέτρατον ἱέμεν οἶνου.] Δμωσὶ δ' ἐποτρύνεων Δημήτερος ἱερὸν ἀκτὴν (595) δωνέμεν, εὖτ' ἂν πρῶτα φανῆ σθένος ἘΩρίωνος, χώρω ἐν εὐαεῖ καὶ ἐῦτροχάλω ἐν ἀλωῆ.

595. deváfou

596. προχέfew.

599. etabel

595. devváou A. réraprov AD, Ald. 596. τρίς ύδατος ABCEFGHIK. τρίς δ' ύδ. D. 599. χάλω I (for χώρφ).

äημι, not eð and κεράννυμι, are the components. For Ζεφύρου the early editions and the Corpus Christi MS. give άνέμου. The best copies agree in πρόσωπα, on which word see on v. 589.

595. Hesych. dervdou. del obons, del βεούσης. ἀπορούτου, gushing from the earth on the spot, not conveyed by pipes or artificial means.—ἀθόλωτος, unstirred, not made muddy by cattle drinking at it. Hermann would omit the full stop after \$660, arros, and read $\tau \rho \epsilon \hat{s}s$ δδατος προχέειν, ' pour first into the mixer three measures of water from a clear spring. This is, perhaps, a better way of taking the passage. There was not much point in sitting with the face towards the spring, (unless indeed to enjoy the sight of it,) but the mention of it in connexion with the wine-mixing is most appropriate. Compare Ovid, Fast. i. 403, 'Vina dabat Liber; tulerat sibi quisque coronam; Miscendas large ri-vus agebat aquas.' Goettling objects, that boaros would be superfluous after But the antithesis between Kohrns. rérparor, a very weak mixture, fitted, as Proclus observes, for simple working men, and not for the luxurious, who preferred the *τρία* καl δύο. See Photius in *τρία* καl δύο, who cites the present passage. Some copies, but not the best, give tols & Batos.

597. The sense here is continued from v. 576. There the slaves were to carry home the corn; here they are to thrash it, i.e. either by drawing over it the heavy toothed plank (the Roman *irfolulum*, as is still done in Asia Minor; see Sir Charles Fellows' Travels, p. 51),

or by driving the cattle so as to trample it on the smooth and level threshingfloor. Hesiod here uses Sireur, commonly dureiv, to express the circular track ; by ebrooxdAe the circular shape seems indicated. But Van Lennep explains it, 'well-rolled,' from Virg. Georg. i. 128. In those parts of Europe which still retain the ancient Roman practice of agriculture, this circular floor may often be seen on some exposed hillside. Both the treading out and the winnowing are performed on the same spot; and both operations are seen in juxtaposition in a drawing in vol. ii. p. 41, of Wilkinson's Ancient Egyptians. See also Xen. Oecon. zviii. 3-5, obsour, έφη, τοῦτο μὲν οἶσθα, ὅτι ὑποζυγίψ ἀλοῶσι τόν σίτον.- δπως δέ το δεόμενον κόψουσι καί δμαλιείται ό άλοητός, τίνι τούτο, ā Σώκρατες ; έφη. Δηλον ότι, έφην εγά, τοῖς ἐπαλωσταῖς, στρέφοντες γὰρ καὶ ὑπὸ τοὺς πόδας ὑποβάλλοντες τὰ ἄτριπτα ἀεὶ, δήλον δτι μάλιστα όμαλίζοιεν αν τον δίνον, καί τάχιστα ανότοιεν. -- 'Ωρίωνος κ.τ.λ., scil. before the middle of July.

599. είδαεῖ, εἰπνέμῳ. Hesych. εἰπράτῳ. See Soph. Phil. 828. This epithet alludes to the use of the dλarh for winnowing, or throwing the grain in the air that the chaff may be blown off, as our country people treat their gleanings. Xen. Oecon. xviii. 6, 7, describes it, and Homer alludes to it II. v. 499, ás ở άνεμος άχνας φορέει lepàs κατ ἀλακὰ ἀνδρῶν λικμώντων, ὅτε τε ξανθή Δημήτηρ κρίνη ἐπειγομένων ἀνέμων καρπόν τε καὶ ἄχνας, al δ' ὑπολευκαίνονται ἀχυρμαί. Od. v. 368, ás δ' άνεμος ζαής ήτων δημῶνα τινάξη καρφαλέων, τὰ μὲν ἕρ τε διεσκέδασ ἅλλυδις ἅλλη.

84

μέτρω δ΄ εῦ κομίσασθαι ἐν ἀγγεσιν αὐτὰρ ἐπὴν δὴ 600 πάντα βίον κατάθηαι ἐπάρμενον ἔνδοθι οἶκου, θῆτά τ' ἀοικον ποιεῖσθαι, καὶ ἀτεκνον ἔριθον (600) δίζεσθαι κέλομαι· χαλεπὴ δ΄ ὑπόπορτις ἔριθος· καὶ κύνα καρχαρόδοντα κομεῖν· μὴ φείδεο σίτου· μὴ ποτέ σ' ἡμερόκοιτος ἀνὴρ ἀπὸ χρήμαθ ἕληται. 605 χόρτον δ' ἐσκομίσαι καὶ συρφετὸν, ὄφρα τοι εἶŋ

601. Foikov. 602. åfoikov.

600. ἐσκομίσασθαι Κ, εὐκομίσασθαι Ald. 601. ἐνδοθι Α. ἐνδοθεν the rest. 602. θῆτά τ' ἄοικον ABCD. θῆτ' ἄοικον EFGHIK, Ald. 606. χόρτον τ' BDHI. εἶη EF. εἴη the rest.

600. μέτρφ, by measure. Having thrashed and winnowed it, ascertain the quantity, and store it away in terracotts vessels. Compare sup. v. 350.475. The reading of one copy. δσκομίσασθαι, is a good one, 'get it brought into your house.' Compare v. 576.

601. Erboser vulgo, against the digamma in Fokov. Erbost is preserved by Cod. Gale. See on v. 523.

602. ônra, a head-servant ; a hired farming-man, or bailiff, especially to keep the stores at home. The $\theta\eta\tau$ es seem to have been farm-servants on pay, as distinct from the domestic slaves or general servants, 8µŵes, who merely had their allowance of food, and were probably subordinate to the entres. Homer dis-tinguishes them, Od. iv. 644, entres quarts re. Cf. ibid. xi. 489, βουλοίμην κ έπαφουρος έδυ θητευέμεν άλλο ανδρί πας άκλήρο. xviii. 357, ξεϊν', ή άρ κ' έθέλοις θητευέμεν, εί σ' ανελοίμην, άγροῦ έπ' έσχατιῆς: Photius, θήτες. οί δνεκα τροφής δουλεύοντες, ibid. Οητεύειν, μισθώ epydfeolar 80 Hom. Il. xxi. 444, rdo Διός έλθόντες θητεύσαμεν els ένιαυτόν μισθώ έπι ήητώ....ποιείσθαι, 'to adopt,' i.e. to take into your employ; cf. inf. v. 707. He is to be towos, without a family or household of his own (cf. sup. v. 405), that he may attend solely to your interests.— #ριθου, γυναϊκα έργατι-κήν, Moschop. Compare again v. 405, οίκον μέν πρώτιστα γυνεϊκά τε. She too must be childless, for a mother with an infant at the breast is difficult to make **μαο οί. γαλεπή....ύπόπορτις, παίδα έχουσα.**

Proclus. The term is quaint and characteristic, otherwise we might be inclined to suspect the genuineness of this verse. It is not necessary to the context, and $\kappa\epsilon\lambda\alpha\mu\alpha\mu$ interrupts the series of infinitives used for imperatives. Schoemann indeed rejects 602-605, which may well have been inserted from some other place. Prof. Mahaffy (Hist. Lit. i. p. 108, note) has "no doubt about the meaning of these disputed lines;" and he renders them thus: "When you have brought all your stores into the house, you must turn your man-servant (who will sleep within) who has no child to feed." I however feel great doubt if *Eoucor mosicola*. Constant, for a mean *Equit* (when the dislodge or 'evict' from a homestead.

604. sal sóra, viz. to protect your stores. Virg. Georg. iii, 404, 'Neo tibi cura canum fuerit postrema.'— $h\mu e \rho \delta sol$ $ros drhp, Hesych. <math>\delta \kappa \lambda^2 \epsilon r r r s$, a nightprowling thief who sleeps by day; an expression of the same kind as $\phi e \rho \delta o \epsilon$ so s in v. 571. 'The compound occurs in Eur. Cycl. 58.

606. $\chi \phi \rho \tau \sigma v \kappa.\tau.\lambda$. Get in not only your corn, but your hay and fodder against the winter,— $\sigma v \rho \phi e \tau \delta$ being the rubbish consisting of leaves, vine-olippings, weeds, twigs, &c., which (in the Romance countries) are still used for feeding and littering goats and cattle, in default of grass. Photius, $\sigma v \rho \phi e \tau \delta s$, $\delta \gamma v \rho \tau \delta \delta \tau \delta \delta s$, $\delta \gamma \delta \tau \delta s$, $\delta \tau \delta s$, $\delta \tau \delta s$, $\delta \gamma v \rho \tau \delta \delta \tau \delta s$, $\delta \gamma \delta \tau \delta s$, $\delta \tau \delta s$ βουσὶ καὶ ἡμιόνοισιν ἐπηετανόν. αὐτὰρ ἔπειτα (605) δμῶας ἀναψῦξαι ψίλα γούνατα καὶ βόε λῦσαι.

Εὐτ' ἀν δ' Ωρίων καὶ Σείριος ἐς μέσον ἔλθη οὐρανὸν, Ἀρκτοῦρον δ' ἐσίδη ῥοδοδάκτυλος Ἡὼς, 610 ὦ Πέρση, τότε πάντας ἀπόδρεπε οἶκαδε βότρυς, δεῖξαι δ' ἠελίω δέκα τ' ἦματα καὶ δέκα νύκτας· (610) πέντε δὲ συσκιάσαι, ἕκτω δ' εἰς ἆγγε' ἀφύσσαι

607. επηγτανόν 608. βόξε 610. δε ξίδη αξώς 611. Γοίκαδε

609. $\partial \lambda \theta \eta$ A. $\partial \lambda \theta u$ EF. $\partial \lambda \theta \eta$ (-- η) the rest. 610. $\partial \sigma \partial \theta \eta$ A. $\partial \sigma \partial \eta (-\eta)$ the rest. 611. $\partial \sigma \partial \rho \partial \theta h$ A. 612. $\partial \partial \theta h$ A. $\partial \theta h$

φρυγανώδηs. (This latter epithet has reference only to fuel.)—«Tp for ξ_p or \tilde{g} . See v. 470.

607. ἐπηετανόν. On this word as a quadrisyllable, see v. 31.—This ingathering of fodder is spoken of as a kind of supplement to the harvest operations. Between the conclusion of these and the vintage in the autumn, the slaves are to have an interval of rest, and the cattle, being no longer required, are to be loosed. Moschop. ἔπειτα δι τούν δούλους ἀνάψυξον, ήγουν ἀνάπαυσον κατὰ τὰ φίλα γόνατα, ἶνα πάλω ἀκμαιότεροι ἐν τοῦς πόνοις ὑπουργήσωσι, καὶ τοὺς βόας λῦσον, ήγουν τοῦ (υγοῦ ἀπάλλαξον καὶ τῶν ἕργων.

τῶν ἔργων. 610. 'Αρκτοῦρον. The operations of the vineyard were all regulated by this star; cf. v. 566, 570, where Goettling refers to Plat. Legg. viii. p. 844, D. την δραων. Here the morning rising of Αrcturus is meant, after the middle of September. By Sirius, according to the scholiasts, is meant, not the star properly so called, but one in the constellation of Canis. So also he seems rather to refer to the star in Virgo, called by the Greeks προτρυγητόs (or $-\eta_5$), by the Bomans Vindemitor (Ovid, Fast. iii. 407), than to Arcturus.

611. απόδρεπε οίκαδε, a singular ellipse for αποδρέπων κόμιζε els τον οίκον (Moschop.). Cf. v. 632, 1ν οίκαδε κέρδος άρηαι. The Cod. Gale gives αποδρέπειν, which arose, like so many other mistakes, ruon ignorance of the digamma. Probably the Doric infinitive, αποδρέ-

were, was the alteration in the first instance.

612. deitau herly. The process of drying the gathered grapes in the sun seems to have been regularly adopted by the ancients, at least in the manufacture of the more rich and sweet wines, the vinum passum, like our Malmsey Madeira. The modern practice is, to allow the grapes to hang as long as possible upon the vines. Goett-ling illustrates the drying of the grapes both from Pliny, N. H. xiv. 8, and Columella, xii. 39. The drying-ground is specially mentioned in Homer, Od. vii. 123, τής έτερον μèν θειλόπεδον λευρώ èvi χώρφ τέρσεται ἡελίφ. Proclus here has a very good note. which it may be as well to present to the reader in English :-- ' Having cut off the bunches, they laid them under the sun, in order to dry out of them, by the exposure to his rays, the thin and watery part that does not keep well; and they called this $\theta \epsilon_{i\lambda} or \epsilon \delta \epsilon' \epsilon_{i\nu}$. After this, they again disposed them in the shade, to ensure the contraction of the grape after the sunning, and to cure the tendency to ferment, by a counteracting coolness. The third process was to tread and squeeze out the wine, which they considered now settled and properly tempered.

613. els apprestives de construction de la constru

[δῶρα Διωνύσου πολυγηθέος. αὐτὰρ ἐπὴν δὴ Πληϊάδες θ΄ Υάδες τε τό τε σθένος ᾿Ωρίωνος 615 δύνωσιν, τότ' ἔπειτ' ἀρότου μεμνημένος εἶναι ὥραίου· πλειῶν δὲ κατὰ χθονὸς ἆρμενος εἶη.] (615)

Ei δέ σε ναυτιλίης δυσπεμφέλου ἵμερος aipεî, εὖτ' åν Πληϊάδες σθένος ὄμβριμον 'Ωρίωνος

614. $\delta \iota \sigma \nu \sigma \sigma \sigma \sigma v$ EFH. 616. $\delta \rho \sigma \sigma \sigma \sigma \sigma \sigma \sigma v$ GHI, Ald. 618. $a i \rho \hat{\eta} K$, Ald. $a i \rho \hat{\epsilon}$ the rest. 619. $\delta \mu \beta \rho \mu \sigma \nu$ DEGHIK, Ald. $\delta \beta \rho \mu \sigma \nu$ the rest.

we know from Od. ii. 340, έν δὲ πίθοι οἴνοιο παλαιοῦ ἡδυπότοιο ἔστασαν, ἄκρητον θείου ποτὸν ἐντὸς ἔχοντες, ἐξείης ποτὶ τοῖχον ἀρηρότες. Gloss, Cod. Gale ἄντλησον.

αρηρότες. Gloss. Cod. Gale άντλησον. 614. Proclus :--ούκ οίδεν ό Ομηρος Super Acorboou tor olver. This and the next three verses are in all probability a later addition. It was enough to have given directions about pouring off the wine : what is added about ploughing interrupts the prescribed series of the annual farm operations. That subject had been fully discussed and dismissed, sup. v. 492. Moreover, v. 615 is taken from Il. xviii. 485, er dè rà respea narra, τά τ' ούρανδε έστεφάνωται, Πληϊάδαε θ' Tádas te tó te obévos 'Aplavos. The final verse alone is rejected by Goettling, on the ground that $\pi\lambda\epsilon_i\omega\nu$, 'a year,' is an Alexandrine word. Whether it occurs elsewhere than in Callim. Hymn. Jov. 89, we know not. It is said to be from $\pi\lambda\delta$ or $\pi\lambda\delta$, 'full,' meaning the completed circle of the seasons. Hesych. $\pi \lambda \epsilon \omega r \sigma \delta$ erour $\delta \delta$. άπό τοῦ πάντας τοὺς καρποὺς τῆς γῆς συμπληροῦσθαι. Compare δέκα πλelous driaurous, Theog. 636. 'As the poet began with ploughing and the setting of the Pleiades (v. 384), so now,' says Proclus, 'he comes back to the same subjects, and closes with the remark, that so the year will have a fitting con-clusion of farming operations. It is, however, impossible to extract this meaning from the verse. Van Lennep translates, 'annus in operibus terra obeundis recte dispositus fuerit.' Moschopulus explains kard $\chi \theta \sigma r \delta$ by dort $\tau \sigma \hat{v} \, \epsilon r \delta r \, \sigma \hat{r} \delta r \, \eta s \, \eta s \, \delta r \rho \omega v$. But this is equally untenable. Probably the writer

intended kard $\chi\theta\sigma\nu\deltas$ ein to mean, 'let it go beneath the earth,' i. e. be numbered among things past, as in Eur. Alcest. 618, $\delta\epsilon\chi\sigma\sigma$ bè $\kappa\delta\sigma\mu\sigma\sigma$ $\tau\delta\sigma\delta\epsilon$ kal kard $\chi\theta\sigma\nu\deltas$ irw. And Goettling seems to think that ein must come from elu, though he is unable to defend the word by examples or analogy. Possibly the sense 'on the earth' may be justified by Theog. 498, $\tau\delta\sigma$ $\mu\delta\sigma$ ($\lambda\ell\sigma\sigma$) Zeds $\sigma\tau\eta\rho\ell\epsilon$ kard $\chi\theta\sigma\sigma\delta$. Schoemann reads kard $\chi\rho\delta\sigma\delta$, "ut omnis annus ad necessitatem (cuiusque operis) commodus et opportunus sit."

618. He now passes to the subject of navigation. Some precepts on so important a branch of industrial enterprise were required in a didactic poem of this scope; though the poet avows that the sea is not a congenial element to himself, v. 649.

Ibid. δυσπεμφέλου, 'stormy.' Hesiod uses this word as an epithet of the sea, Theog. 440, and of a churlish person inf. v. 722. The etymology is uncertain, as also whether πέμφελοs is distinct from, or another form of, πέμπελοs. Homer applies δυσπέμφελοs to a stormy sea, II. xvi. 748, and Aeschylus has μοΐραν οὐκ εἰστέμπελον of the Furies, Eum. 454, who are said to be δύσπεμπτοι ἕξω, Ag. 1161. Moschopulus hare explains the word by τη̂s κακῶs παραπεμποίσηs. The gloss in Cod. Gale is δυσκίλου. Perhaps it is from πέμφιξ, which Photius renders πνοή. Compare πομφόλυξ, ποίφνγμα, and ποΐ or ποἰφ (our word puff). Hence, applied to the sea, it would mean 'frothy and bubbling;' to a man, 'swelling with anger,' 'pettish,' ill-tempered.'

619. On the setting of the Pleiades,

87

φεύγουσαι πίπτωσιν ἐς ἠεροειδέα πόντον, 620 δὴ τότε παντοίων ἀνέμων θύουσιν ἀῆται καὶ τότε μηκέτι νῆας ἔχειν ἐνὶ οἶνοπι πόντῳ, (620) [γῆν δ' ἐργάζεσθαι μεμνημένος, ὥς σε κελεύω.] νῆα δ' ἐπ' ἠπείρου ἐρύσαι, πυκάσαι τε λίθοισι πάντοθεν, ὄφρ' ἴσχωσ' ἀνέμων μένος ὑγρὸν ἀέντων, 625 χείμαρον ἐξερύσας, ἕνα μὴ πύθῃ Διὸς ὅμβρος. ὅπλα δ' ἐπάρμενα πάντα τεῷ ἐνικάτθεο οἶκῳ, (625)

620. ἡεροΓειδέα 621. ἀΓῆται 622. Γοίνοπι 624. Γερύσαι 625. ἀΓέντων 626. ἐκΓερύσας 627. Γοίκω

621. θύουσιν γρ. θύνουσιν Α. 622. ἐπὶ οἴνοπι ΕΓ. 625. ἀόντων DGI. 626. χείμαρρον G. 627. ὅπλα τ' BCDGH. ἐνὶ κάτθεο BCGK. ἐνικάτθεο DEFHI. ἐγκατάθεο Α.

as the end of the sailing season, see sup. v. 383. Goettling here has a good note:—"Magna pars Graecorum cum Boeotis stellarum imagines venationem Orionis, magni Graecorum Nimrodi, ita repraesentare putabant, ut Orio cum Sirio cane $\delta\rho\kappa\tau\sigma\sigma$, $\pi\epsilon\lambda\epsilon ud\delta a$, $(\pi\lambda\eta td\delta a$, columbas,) $\delta d\delta a$, (sculas,) $\pi\tau\omega\kappa d\delta a$ cet, persequeretur. Hinc illud $\phi\epsilon i\gamma\sigma\sigma\sigma a$ ' $\Omega\rho lowa$. Iones vero plaustri ($\delta\mu d\xi\eta$ s) imaginem cum bubulco Boote in iisdem siderum sedibus videre sibi videbantur." Virgil has a similar figure of Canis retreating before the advance of Taurus, Georg. i. 217.

621. θύουσιν, 'rush forth,' σφοδρώς κινοῦνται, Moschop., gl. Cod. Gale πνέουσιν, δρμῶνται. Photius, θύειν τὸ δρμῶν. In Scut. H. 156 and elsewhere θυνέω is used.

623. Goettling thinks this verse spurious, and with some reason. The digamma in $\ell p\gamma d \langle \epsilon \sigma \theta a \rangle$ is violated by the addition of δl , though this might be omitted if $\gamma \eta \nu$ is pronounced with emphasis, as contrasted with $\pi \delta r \tau \varphi$. The old commentators recognise it; but Tzetzes seems to place it after v. 628. Van Lennep objects that $r \eta a$ could not so closely follow $r \eta as$.

624. πυκάσαι λίθοισι. Make a breakwater of stones to keep off the force of the waves. By ἀνέμων μένοs he means generally the effects of wind (the rainbringing wind, Nóros) in making the

waves lash the shore. Tzetzes, uf was δ σάλος αὐτην ἀναρπάξη. Goettling seems to think the λ ίθοι here are the same as the large stones used as anchors, and called evral and source in the Homeric poems, accordingly as they served to moor the ship at sea or prop it upright on shore. But mundoau and παντόθεν clearly imply a number of stones collected and packed round the ship. A somewhat similar expedient saved a great ship for the whole winter, when stranded some years ago on the Irish coast. Homer appears to refer to this practice in II. xiv. 410, $\chi e \rho \mu a \delta l \mu$, τά βα πολλά, Boder Έχματα νηών, πάρ ποσί μαργαμένων εκυλίνδετο. - στήθος BeBAnker. Which passage further proves that the stones were of no great magnitude.

626. χείμαρον, the plug; δ όπο την τρόπιν πάσσαλος, οδ έξαιρουμένου, δταν δση, το όδωρ προχωρεί, Proclus. This is still done in ships' boats suspended on the davits. Hence the term χείμαρος= χειμάρρους, from the torrent of water that gushed through the hole...πέθη, ήγουν σήπη, Mosch.

627. δπλα, the tackle; πάντα δνδοϊται ή ναῦς, τὸν ἰστὸν, τὰ ἰστία, τὰς διφθέρας, τοὺς κάλους, τὰ πηδάλια, Proclus. It was the custom to remove these from the stranded ship, and deposit them in the house of the owner during the winter. They were brought into the

εὐκόσμως στολίσας νηὸς πτερὰ ποντοπόροιο· πηδάλιον δ' εὐεργὲς ὑπὲρ καπνοῦ κρεμάσασθαι. αὐτὸς δ' ὡραῖον μίμνειν πλόον, εἰσόκεν ἔλθŋ· 630 καὶ τότε νῆα θοὴν ẵλαδ' ἐλκέμεν, ἐν δέ τε φόρτον ẵρμενον ἐντύνασθαι, ἴν' οἶκαδε κέρδος ẵρηαι, (630) ῶσπερ ἐμός τε πατὴρ καὶ σὸς, μέγα νήπιε Πέρση, πλωΐζεσκ' ἐν νηυσὶ βίου κεχρημένος ἐσθλοῦ· ὅς ποτε καὶ τῆδ' ἦλθε πολὺν διὰ πόντον ἀνύσσας, 635 Κύμην Αἰολίδα προλιπὼν, ἐν νηῒ μελαίνŋ·

629. eifepyès 632. evrívart, iva foikade?

629. δ' om. C. 630. μίμνειν καιρόν Α. 632. ἐντείνασθαι G. 633. ἐμὸς πατήρ τε Η. ἐμὸς πατήρ καὶ Ι. 634. πλωίζεσκ' ἐν AD, and G by correction. πλωίζεσκε νηυσὶ IK, Ald. 635. ἀνύσας ACG.

vessel again when required for service. Hence Od. xi. 3, $iv \delta'$ is dv ridé per danal isria val per la construction of the service of the

629. ύπέρ καπνοῦ. Sup. v. 45, alψd κε πηδάλιον μέν δπέρ καπνοῦ καταθεῖο. Ar. Ach. 279, ή δ' ἀσπὶs ἐν τῷ φεψάλῳ κρεμήσεται.

632. $\delta\rho\mu\nu\nu\sigma\nu$, $\kappa.\tau.\lambda.$, have a proper and suitable cargo packed into it. We must read (on account of the digamma) either $\delta\nu\tau\sigma\sigma\sigma\theta$, $\delta\nu\alpha$ $\kappa.\tau.\lambda.$, or $\delta\nu\tau\delta\nu\epsilon\nu$, $\delta\nu\alpha$.—The meaning is, that by overloading the ship from desire of great gains, you may lose everything. Hence it is not improbable that v. 643—5, which Lehrs perceived to be out of place as they now stand, should follow next. This would greatly improve the sense of v. 646, as directly following v. 642, especially if we read $\epsilon t \kappa\epsilon v \epsilon d\pi^2$ $\delta\mu\nu\sigma\rho\delta\eta\nu$ $\kappa.\tau.\lambda.$; and $\delta\sigma\nu\epsilon\rho$ $\delta\mu\deltas$ $\tau\epsilon$ $\pi\alpha\tau\rho\rho$ $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$. would very well mean, 'This is just what our father did when he took to the sea,' &c. The whole passage about a moderate freight might

thus be compared with Aesch. Ag. 978, τὸ μὲν πρὸ χρημάτων κτησίων ὅκνος βαλὰν σφενδόνας ἀπ' εὐμάτρου, οὐκ ἔδυ πρόπας δόμος πημονᾶς γέμων ἅγαν, οὐδ ἐπόντισε σκάφος... οἰκαδε ἄρηαι, gain profit for the voyage home. Compare v. 611, ἀπόδρεπε οἰκαδε βότρυς.

633-42. Goettling contends that these verses were added by some one who wished to make out that Hesiod himself was born in Boeotia, and not at Cyme in Acolis, as some later accounts stated. K. O. Müller (Gr. Lit. p. 80) says, "There is no reason to doubt the testimony of the author, that his father came from Cyme in Acolis to Ascra. The motive which brought him thither was doubtless the recollection of the ancient affinity between the Acolio settlers and this race of the mother country." The verses certainly have the impress of genuineness. The strongly expressed disparagement of the soil and climate of Ascra indicates a mind longing for a return to his mother country, a land so much more congenial to poetry. He may also have been embittered against it by his experience of injustice in the local tribunals.

635. $\tau \eta \delta^* \tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon$, came to this country; for it was at Orchomenus that the poet is believed to have resided, because after his death the people of that town

89

οὐκ ἄφενος φεύγων οὐδὲ πλοῦτόν τε καὶ ὅλβον, (635) ἀλλὰ κακὴν πενίην, τὴν Ζεὺς ἄνδρεσσι δίδωσι. νάσσατο δ° ἄγχ' Ἐλικῶνος ὀἴζυρῃ ἐνὶ κώμῃ, ᾿Ασκρῃ, χεῖμα κακῃ, θέρει ἀργαλέῃ, οὐδέ ποτ' ἐσθλŷ. 640 Τύνη δ°, ὦ Πέρση, ἔργων μεμνημένος εἶναι

¹ουη ο', ω Περο η, εργων μεμι ημενος ενώ
 ⁵ ὑραίων πάντων, περὶ ναυτιλίης δὲ μάλιστα. (640)
 ⁷ ὀλίγην aἰνεῖν, μεγάλη δὲ ἐνὶ φορτία θέσθαι,—
 μείζων μεν φόρτος, μείζον δὲ ἐπὶ κέρδεϊ κέρδος
 ἔσσεται, εἶ κὲ ἀνεμοί γε κακὰς ἀπέχωσιν ἀήτας,—

641. Γέργων 645. ἀΓήτας

637. ἄφενον GI. τε om. G. 638. πενίαν CI. δίδωσιν G. 640. θέρει δ' K, Ald. ούποτ' ἐσθλή A. 643. ἐν φορτία BCGHI.

are said to have removed thither his relies. Proclus says, the father of Hesiod was reputed to be fifty years old when he came to Bosotia. If so, the poet may have been born long before, and have retained vivid recollections of Asia Minor. This will account for the fact, that in Theogou. 338 seqq., he enumerates many more Asiatic than European rivers.

637. $\delta\phi eros$. See on v. 24. Theog. 112. The meaning is, that if he had possessed a farm there he would not have left it. Perhaps there is an ironical allusion to the wealth and prosperity so often promised to emigrants; or the poet may mean that his father came to Acolis not as an exile, but as an adventurer. We need not suppose, with the scholisat, that the poet here used three mere synonyms: $\delta\lambda \beta os$ is 'prosperity,' of which $\pi\lambda o \hat{v} ros$, 'riches,' is only a part, and $\delta\phi eros$, 'landed property,' or produce from it, is only an accident.

639. $rd\sigma\sigma\sigma\tau$, see v. 168. Hesych. $\kappa \pi \tau \phi \kappa \eta \sigma \epsilon r$, $\delta \lambda \tau \psi \epsilon \nu$ ($\delta \tau \rho \tau \psi \epsilon \nu$). $-\delta t \langle \nu \rho \rho$, 'beggarly,' 'comfortless,' wretched.'- $\delta \rho \gamma a \lambda^{\epsilon} \rho$, a synizesis, as in $\tau \rho \prime \sigma \epsilon \sigma$, $\kappa u d$ reos, &c. This is better than to suppose a crasis of p with ob. For the character here given to Ascra, compare Ovid, Epist. ex Pont. iv. 31, 32 : 'Esset perpetuo sua quam vitabilis Ascra, Ausa

est agricolae Musa docere senis.'--For obséror' there seems to have been an ancient (and perhaps better) reading obrore d'. This accounts for obror' éco- $\lambda \hat{g}$ of Cod. Gale, and $\theta \epsilon \rho \epsilon i$ dopahép of the Corp. Christ. MS.

641. $\tau \circ \tau_{0}$, sup. v. 10.— $\mu \alpha \lambda_{1} \sigma \tau_{\alpha}$, viz. because more risks and greater losses attend the sailing out of season than the farming out of season. Cf. 621. The sense then is, 'Whatever you do, choose the right time of doing it, but especially in sailing.'

643—5. On the probable disarrangement of these verses in their present place, see v. 632.—*alreîv*, decline, have nothing to do with, a small ship. Properly, 'say what you please in favour of it, but don't make use of it.' More commonly *transvîv* is used in this sense. The scholiasts explain it by *xalpesv tâw* and *rapaıreîvta*. So Virgil uses laudare (inverting however the relations of size), Georg. ii. 412; 'laudato ingentia rura, Exiguum colito.' Aratus, Phaen. 153, δ δὲ πλόος οδκέτι κώταις δριeselpeîau μοι δρέσκοιεν τότε vites, where the Schol. compares the present passage.

644. ἐπὶ κέρδεϊ, Moschop., ἐπὶ τῷ καὶ ἐν ἀσφαλεστέρφ είναι. Βο Aesch, Theb. 432, καὶ τῷδε κέρδει κέρδος ἄλλο τίκτεται, viz. πρὸς τῷ ὑπέρφρον σῆμα ἔχειν, καὶ τὸ ὑπέρφρονα γλώσση κομπάζειν.

εὖτ ἀν ἐπ' ἐμπορίην τρέψης ἀεσίφρονα θυμὸν, βούληαι δὲ χρέα τε προφυγεῖν καὶ ἀτερπέα λιμόν. (645) δείξω δή τοι μέτρα πολυφλοίσβοιο θαλάσσης, οὖτε τι ναυτιλίης σεσοφισμένος οὖτε τι νηῶν. οὐ γὰρ πώποτε νη τ' ἐπέπλων εὐρέα πόντον, 650 εἰ μὴ εἰς Εὖβοιαν ἀπ' Αὐλίδος, ἡ ποτ' ᾿Αχαιοὶ μείναντες χειμῶνα πολὺν σὺν λαὸν ἀγειραν (650) Ἐλλάδος ἐξ ἱερῆς Τροίην ἐς καλλιγύναικα.

649. να Γών 652. λα Γόν

646—75. om. I, from loss of a leaf. $\tau \rho \epsilon \mu \sigma s K$, and edd. vett. 647. $\beta \sigma \nu \lambda \epsilon a$ te προφυγείν και λιμών άτερπή A, Ald. (with $\beta \sigma \nu \lambda \mu a$). χρέα τε φυγείν και άτερπέα λιμών BC. χρέα τε προφυγείν και άτερπή λιμών EF. $\beta \sigma \nu \lambda \mu a$ δή χρέα τε φυγείν και άτερπέα λιμών DG (with δέ). 648. δείξω δέ K. 649. σεσοφισμένοs A, (corrected to σεσοφιμένοs, but the erased σ restored by a later hand.) 651. είσ εύοιαν A. είs EF, Ald. έξ Αυλίδοs the MSS.

646. It seems best to place a comma after $\theta i\sigma \theta a$: and $\lambda i \sigma a$, and a full stop at $\lambda \mu d \nu$. The meaning is thus connected:—' Put your goods in a large ship (for the profit will be greater, if you can but escape storms) when you turn your mind to trade, and desire to avoid debts. I will show you then the distances to the different marts, though no great sailor myself.' Perhaps $\mu e f(\omega \nu$ $\gamma d \rho \phi \delta \rho \tau os$ was the old reading, $\mu \dot{\nu} \nu$ being superscribed on account of $\mu e i \langle \omega \nu d n \rangle$ following. Schoemann places a full stop after $\theta i \sigma \theta u \pi o \beta (\eta \nu \kappa.\tau.\lambda., as the pro$ $tasis to <math>\delta e i \xi \omega \delta n'$. But the sentiment, 'or, if you turn your mind to commerce,' &c., should have been preceded by some advice different from $\pi \epsilon \rho l$ $\nu a \sigma \tau \lambda i \eta s \delta i \mu d \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a$ in 642.

647. The reading of some good MSS., $\chi\rho\ell a \tau \epsilon \phi v_{\mathcal{P}}\epsilon^{i\nu}$, arose from not perceiving that $\beta o\ell\lambda\eta a$: was a dissyllable by symizeris. Gaisford and Van Lennep cdit $\tau\rho\epsilon\psi as -\beta o\ell\lambda\eta a$: $\chi\rho\epsilon a \tau \epsilon \pi\rho \phi v_{\mathcal{P}}\epsilon^{i\nu}$ $\kappa.\tau.\lambda.$, omitting the δ on conjecture. If a full stop is placed after $\lambda\eta \tau a$ s, and a comma after $\lambda\mu\partial\nu$ (or $\lambda\tau\epsilon\rho\pi\eta$), we must make $\delta\epsilon(\xi\omega \delta\eta$ the apodosis; 'when you are disposed to become a merchant, then I will show you,' &c. So also Proclus and Tzetzes understand the context; the comment of Moschopulus is obscure, and perhaps corrupt. But what a sentiment is this, especially when the poet adds, 'though I know little about sailing, and have never made a voyage.' Besides, as remarked on v. 635, it is probable that Hesiod and Perses came with their father from Oyme. Goetling regards the whole passage from v. 646 to v. 662 as spurious. His reasons are, that Plutarch (ap. Procl.) did not recognise as genuine the verses on the tripod, 654-62; Pansanias, ix. 31, 3, speaks of Hesido having gained a tripod at Chalcis, not as related by himself, but merely as the tradition of the place; and lastly, that v. 650 seems contradicted by 683, in which he thinks he finds an indication that Hesiod was an experienced sailor.

651. $d\pi'$ for $d\xi$ seems a necessary metrical correction. Otherwise E $\delta\beta olar$ or E $\delta\beta olar \gamma'$ must be read. Perhaps, $\epsilon l \mu \eta' \gamma' \epsilon ls E\delta\beta olar$. The sense is, 'I never sailed on the wide sea, though I did once sail across the narrow channel to Euboea.'

652. µelvarres xeµŵra, awaiting the (cessation of the) adverse winds. Or perhaps, 'staying there during the stormy weather.'

653. καλλιγόναικα occurs in Il. ii. 683 and xi. 770 as an epithet of Hellas and Achaia.

ένθα δ' έγών έπ' ἄεθλα δαΐφρονος 'Αμφιδάμαντος Χαλκίδα τ' είσεπέρησα· τὰ δὲ προπεφραδμένα πολλὰ 655 ἄθλ' ἕθεσαν παίδες μεγαλήτορες· ἕνθα μέ φημι ὕμνψ νικήσαντα φέρειν τρίποδ' ἀτώεντα. (655) τὸν μὲν ἐγὼ Μούσαις Ἑλικωνιάδεσσ' ἀνέθηκα, ἔνθα με τοπρῶτον λιγυρῆς ἐπέβησαν ἀοιδῆς. τόσσον τοι νηῶν γε πεπείρημαι πολυγόμφων· 660 ἀλλὰ καὶ ὡς ἐρέω Ζηνὸς νόον αἰγιόχοιο· Μοῦσαι γάρ μ' ἐδίδαξαν ἀθέσφατον ὕμνον ἀείδειν. (660) ^{*}Ηματα πεντήκοντα μετὰ τροπὰς ἠελίοιο,

658. Γελικωνιάδεσσι 661. Γερέω

656. μεγαλήτορος Α. 658. μούσχις ΑC. μούσαις BDEGH. μούσχοτ Κ. 660. νηῶν πεπείραμαι BC. νηῶν πειπείρημαι EF, and with τῶν νηῶν Η. πεπείραμαι Α. πεπείρημαι D. πεπείραμαι Ald.

655. προπεφραδμένα, 'previously announced.' Of. Apoll. Bhod. iii. 1815, δη γάρ σφι πάλαι προπεφραδμένου ήεν.

δη γάρ σφι πάλαι προπεφραδμένον ήεν. 656. Δθλα, prizes for games at the funeral of Amphidamas.—The Cod. Gale has the remarkable reading μεγαλήτοροs, with the gloss ol viol τοῦ μεγάλου 'Αμφιδάμαντοs. This leads to the inference, as Hermann remarks, that the older reading was raides μεγαλήτοροs 'Αμφιδάμαντοs, the verses about Hesiod's victory being a still later interpolation. There was another reading, preserved by Proclus, of v. 657, δμαφ ναήσαντ' ἀν Χαλκίδι θείον 'Ομηρον. Such a boast as this could not, of course, be really attributed to Hesiod himself. We may be assured that frag. xxxiv. (ed. Gaisf.) is also spurious; & Δήλφ τότε πρώτον έγδ καl 'Ομηρος δουδοl Μέλπομεν ἐν νεαφοῖς δμυοις βάψαντες δοιδην, Φοϊβον 'Απόλλανα χρυσάορυν, δυ τέκε Αητέ. But it is curious as preserving an old tradition, which made the two great poets contemporaries. The reading of Proclus would require the present verso to be retained and emended somehow thus, ένθα μέ φημ' οϊκόνδε φέρεω τρίποδ' δτώτοντα, and this again falls in with the old reading indicated in the Cod. Gale, μεγαλήτορος [Αμφιδάμαντος].

659. $\ell\nu\thetaa$, 'on the spot where...,' viz. in their temple on Mount Helicon. This event is described in Theog. 22... $\ell\pi\ell$ - $\beta\eta\sigma\alphas$, 'they put me up to singing in clear tones.'

660. τόσσον, 'thus much and no more.' Cf. v. 649.—πεπείρημαι, experius sum. This seems hardly a form of the old epic; in Soph. Trach. 581, καl πεπείρανται τάδε, the verb is probably πειραίνω. It is remarkable that very good MSS. omit the γe, and two at least give resurformul to preserve the metre.—καl &s, viz. καίπερ οὐ σεσοφισμένος ναυτλίης. As a poet, and so an interpreter of the divine will, he professes to be able to lay down correct rules as to the times for navigating.

663. $\tau \rho \sigma \pi ds$. On the short a see below, v. 675. The poet distinguishes two sailing-seasons; one, which is the most favourable for merchants, between midsummer and autumn; the other, elapu's $\pi \lambda ds v$. 678, after the rising of the Pleiades in spring. See v. 383. Apollonius Rhodius, ii. 523, speaks of forty days after the rising of the dogstar, as the period during which the Etesian winds, unfavourable for sailing, prevailed; lept $\tau' \in \delta'$ is price if objectiv dorign keive Leple, atrê tr

ές τέλος έλθόντος θέρεος, καματώδεος ώρης, ώραιος πέλεται θνητοις πλόος ούτε κε νηα 665 καυάξαις ούτ' ανδρας αποφθίσειε θάλασσα. εί δη μη πρόφρων γε Ποσειδάων ένοσίχθων (665) ή Ζεύς αθανάτων βασιλεύς εθελησιν όλεσσαι. έν τοις γάρ τέλος έστιν όμως άγαθων τε κακών τε τήμος δ' εὐκρινέες τ' αὖραι καὶ πόντος ἀπήμων 670 εὔκηλος τότε νηα θοην ανέμοισι πιθήσας έλκέμεν ές πόντον, φόρτον τ' εῦ πάντα τίθεσθαι, (670) σπεύδειν δ' ο ττι τάχιστα πάλιν ο κόνδε νέεσθαι. μηδε μένειν οίνόν τε νέον και όπωρινον δμβρον,

671. Ernlos 674. Foiror 666. **κατ**fážais 673. Folkóvôe 667. el μή δή G, Ald. 665. Ormoîor A. 672. εls πόντον AH. φόρτον δ' G, Ald. 673. γενέσθαι G.

Κρονίδη Διτ, τοΐο ξκητι Γαΐαν επαψύχουσιν έτησίαι έκ Διός αδραι Ήματα τεσσαράmora. Hesiod however dates not from the rising of Sirius, but from the summer solstice a little before it.

664. Rauarádeos, 800 v. 584. Moschopulus, τής βαρείας και έκλυούσης τα σώματα.

666. navážais i. e. nafátais. Inf. v. 693. Hesych. καυάξαι συντρίψαι. If this passage is referred to, he seems to have taken dahagoa as the subject of both verbs. The comment of Tzetzes on the digamma is interesting : of Αλολείς μετά έλφα, δυ ή φωνήεν, προσ-τιθέασιν το ϋ, οίον, άλρ αύλρ, άλο αύός, ήδγιος αύγιος, (déλιos αύέλιος Scaliger,) ddτην την βλάβην καὶ την dκόρεστον αύσταν καὶ τὰ δμοια συμφώνου δ' örros uerath, obκέτι. He goes on to notice other not less remarkable Acolicisms; but it is singular that of the initial digamma he seems to have had no knowledge.

667. πρόφρων, for έκων, deliberately, intentionally. Under ordinary circumstances, he says, the voyage is then safe. There seems an allusion to the anger of Poseidon against Ulysses.

670. евираче́еs, clear and steady ; gloss Cod. Gale єйкрато: eloiv. Mosch. ка-Sapal Kal Stakenputerat. This seems the earliest passage where the word occurs. and it may be compared with the Homeric τρίν τινα κεκριμένον καταβημέναι έκ Διδς σδρον, Π. xiv. 19, ' some decided and definite,' not capricious nor transient breeze. In later writers it was used as a medical term, for one who had well-marked symptoms in the crisis of illness. See Sout. 55, Photius in v., who adds the gloss einpurés-eixepés.

671. «δκηλοs, at your leisure, free from apprehension, and not compelled to snatch a favourable hour, as in the $\pi \lambda o \hat{v} s$ aprantos, v. 684. In the MSS. and editions generally dπήμων εύκηλος were construed with πόντος, and so Moschop. dβλaβhs, ήσυχος. Etymologically Fernλos, «Fκηλos «δκηλos, are connected with ékov, Fekov, and so are more properly applied to persons than to things. See οπ έθελημοί, sup. v. 118. Of. Π. xvii. 340, μήδ' οι γε Γέκηλοι κ.τ.λ., but ibid. v. 871, εδκηλοι (έΓκηλοι) πολέμιζον όπ' aiθépi.

672. εδ τίθεσθαι, εύθετα ποιείσθαι, to

store away carefully. Or perhaps, $\epsilon \nu$ $\pi d r \pi a r i \theta e \sigma \theta a a$. Cf. v. 643, 689. 674. $\delta \mu \beta \rho \sigma \nu$, the rainy season follow-ing the rising of Arcturus. See v. 610-11. The farmer, having taken a cargo to the Aegean isles or coast of Asia, might

93

καὶ χειμῶν' ἐπιόντα, †Νότοιό τε δεινὰς ἀήτας, 675 ὅστ' ὥρινε θάλασσαν ὁμαρτήσας Διὸς ὅμβρϣ πολλῷ ὀπωρινῷ, χαλεπὸν δέ τε πόντον ἔθηκεν. (675) [ἄλλος δ' εἰαρινὸς πελεται πλόος ἀνθρώποισιν.] ἦμος δὴ τοπρῶτον, ὅσον τ' ἐπιβᾶσα κορώνη ἔχνος ἐποίησεν, τόσσον πέταλ' ἀνδρὶ φανείῃ 680 ἐν κράδῃ ἀκροτάτῃ, τότε δ' ἄμβατός ἐστι θάλασσα.

675. dfmas

678. άλλος Γειαρινός πέλεται πλόος

677. χαλεπόν πόντον δέ τ' έθηκεν Ι. 678. πλόος δ' Α. 680. έποίησε Α. πέτηλ' Α. πέταλλ' G.

be disposed to wait for a return-cargo of the new wine. But then he would wait longer than would be safe.

675. Servas antas. Though Hesiod sometimes makes the as of the accusative plural short (as do the Doric poets, who also use -os for ous, as this hinos, &c.), it is not clear that the licence extended to adjectives. Moreover, antrys is usually the masculine form, though wards attas occurs sup. 645, and Hesych. has $d_{\eta\tau\eta}$. $\pi\nu\sigma\eta$, $\theta\bar{\nu}\mu a$, and $d_{\eta\tau\eta}s$, $d_{\mu\tau\eta}s$, $d_{\mu\tau\eta}s$, $d_{\mu\tau\eta}s$, $d_{\mu\tau\eta}s$, $d_{\mu\tau}s$, d626, ανέμοιό τε δεινός άπτης Ιστίφ έμβρέ- μ erai). Here we should perhaps read $\delta \epsilon_{\mu\nu\sigma\vartheta}$ for $\delta \epsilon_{\mu\nu\sigma\vartheta}$. It is probable that v. 675-7 are interpolated, especially as $\delta\pi\omega\rho\nu\nu\psi$ (i.e. $\delta\pi\omega\rho\nu\nu\psi$), though used by Homer, does not well follow so closely after dropivov. In v. 564 we have herd roords hellow, and the principle is this :- As the old accusative plural was τροπαν—s, like $\lambda v \kappa ov$ —s, &c., by con-verting the ν into a, as in olycuro for olxolaro, πατέρα for πατερν (patrem), &c., τροπααs became τροπās, as λύκοαs became λύκουs. But by dropping the ν altogether, we get τροπάς, λυκός. Compare Scut. Η. 302, τοι δ' ωκύποδας λαγός βρευν. Theooritus even has τας καλάς αίγας, vil. 87. See, on this dia-lectic peculiarity of Hesiod, K. Müller, Gr. Lit. p. 81.

678. As elapurds takes the digamma, and Cod. Gale gives $\pi\lambda \delta os \delta'$, this verse has probably been inserted by rhapsodists or grammarians.

679. $\bar{\eta}\mu\sigmas\,\delta\eta$. See on v. 414. When first, i. e. when in mid-spring, the leaves begin to unfold on the topmost boughs of the fig-tree to about the size of a crow's foot. Hence, perhaps, (rather than from its supposed dark colour.) a species of fig was called *kopársas*, Ar. Pac. 628. We retain the comparison in the popular name of some species of the ranunculus, 'crowfoot.' In its native places the fig-tree is the first to put out its leaves, even before the vines. Probably they do not unfold or expand till warm weather has commenced. Hence in St. Mark, chap. xiii. 28, $\dot{s}x\delta$ $\tau\bar{\eta}s$ $\sigma v\kappa\bar{\eta}s \mu\dot{d}s\tau\epsilon \ \tau \eta \pi$ maps $\beta \lambda \eta \kappa$ $\delta\tau \sigma s$ $\dot{s}\sigma \tau \kappa \lambda \delta cs$ $\dot{s}\pi a \lambda \delta s$ $\gamma \epsilon \eta \tau \sigma s s \tau \delta \delta \epsilon \delta \sigma s$ $\dot{s}\sigma \tau \epsilon$. Where $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\phi\eta$ means, 'is fully expanding' (*explicet*).— $\dot{\epsilon}\pi \beta \bar{a}\sigma a$, 'setting its foot on the ground, 'alighting on the earth.'

680. As Cod. Gale gives $\pi \epsilon \tau \eta \lambda a$, (a form used in Scut. H. 289, though we have $\delta \rho \nu \delta s$ $\epsilon r \pi \epsilon \tau \delta \lambda o i \sigma i$ sup. v. 486,) we should perhaps read $\tau \delta \sigma \sigma r \delta \sigma \sigma \delta r \delta \tau \sigma \tau \lambda a$ $\phi \sigma v \epsilon \eta \lambda a$ which Gaisford retains, and it is defensible as an epic usage. But $\phi \sigma \sigma \epsilon \eta$ (for $\phi \sigma \nu \epsilon \eta$ or $\phi \sigma \sigma \tau \eta$, see on v. 577) is given by Goetting after Spohn, and can scarcely be called an alteration.

681. $\delta\mu\beta\alpha\tau\sigmas$, $\delta\nu\nu\alpha\mu\ell\nu\eta \pi\lambda\epsilon\hat{\sigma}\sigma\delta\alpha$ Moschop. It is more properly said of ships which receive their crew, but is transferred to the sea, on which ships are said to ride.

εἰαρινὸς δ' οῦτος πέλεται πλόος. οὖ μιν ἐγωγε (680) αἶνημ'· οὐ γὰρ ἐμῷ θυμῷ κεχαρισμένος ἐστὶν, ἁρπακτός· χαλεπῶς κε φύγοις κακόν· ἀλλά νυ καὶ τὰ ἄνθρωποι ῥέζουσιν ἀιδρείησι νόοιο· 685 χρήματα γὰρ ψυχὴ πέλεται δειλοῖσι βροτοῖσι. δεινὸν δ' ἐστὶ θανεῖν μετὰ κύμασιν· ἀλλά σ' ἄνωγα (685) φράζεσθαι τάδε πάντα μετὰ φρεσὶν, ὡς ἀγορεύω. μηδ' ἐνὶ νηυσὶν ἄπαντα βίον κοίλησι τίθεσθαι· ἀλλὰ πλέω λείπειν, τὰ δὲ μείονα φορτίζεσθαι. 690 δεινὸν γὰρ πόντου μετὰ κύμασι πήματι κῦρσαι, δεινόν τ', εἶ κ' ἐψ' ἅμαξαν ὑπέρβιον ἄχθος ἀείρας (690)

682. obros Felapiròs?

685. afiôpeiyoi

692. dFeipas

682. οὐ μὲν ΕF. 683. αἶνημι οὐ (γρ. αἶνημ' οὐ) Α. 684. γε φύγης Α. 686. βροτοῖσιν DG. 687. κατὰ κύμασιν (γρ. μετὰ) Α. 688. ὡς σ' ἀγορεύω BCDEFG, Ald. ὡς ἀγορεύω AHI, and K corrected to ὅσσ'. 692. δεινὸν δ' Κ. δεινόν γ' the rest. ἐπ' ἄμαξαν BCDEGHI, Ald.

682-3. This distich, as Hermann first remarked, seems like a different recension of v. 678. But the context requires both that verse, as an introduction to the three following, and these two here, unless we condemn, together with them, the three verses on the love of gain (684-6) which are inseparable from them. It seems to have been Hesiod's way to repeat the same sentiment in nearly similar terms. Compare v. 687 with 691. Here perhaps we should read ourse stapping, as the δt injures the sense, and was likely to have been added from ignorance of the digamma.— $\delta \rho \pi \alpha \kappa \tau \delta$, only available (as it were) by snatches; 'to be caught when you can.' Unless we regard the words of $\mu \nu - \delta \sigma \tau h \kappa$ as a parenthesis, the reading of two good Bodleian MSS., ob $\mu \lambda r \delta \tau \rho \sigma \gamma \epsilon$, i. e. ob $\mu h \nu$, is worth attention.

686. χρήματα γἀρ, κ.τ.λ. 'For money is life to wretched mortals,' i. e. is valued as much as their very life.
Stobacus quotes this verse (vol. iii. p. 175 Teubner) and Euripides imi-

tates it, Orest. 637, οὐ χρήματ' εἶπονχρήματ', ἡν ψυχὴν ἐμὴν σώσης, ἄπερ μοι φίλτατ' ἐστὶ τῶν ἐμῶν. Pind. Isthm. ii. 17, χρήματα, χρήματ' ἀνήρ. 690. τὰ μείονα. This is not opposed

690. rd µelova. This is not opposed to v. 643, but is a warning not to risk your all in one adventure.

691. Commonly, a full stop is placed after $\kappa i \rho \sigma a \iota$, and this probably led to the reading of nearly all the MSS., $\delta \epsilon u \delta \rho \gamma'$. But one verse is a correlative of the other, and so this verse is not a vain repetition of v. 687. The $\delta \ell$ is given by Proclus and Moschopulus. 'As it is a hard fate to lose life and property (from overloading) amidst the waves, so it is sad to have your waggon break down from being over-weighted.' — $\kappa a u d \xi a s$, see v. 666. — $\ell \mu a u \rho \omega \theta \epsilon \eta$, trampled in the dirt; lost, annihilated. $\delta \phi a u v \partial f \eta \kappa a \ell \lambda a r \tau \omega \theta f,$ Proclus. Schoemann excludes 692—3 as "aliunde additos." The sense however seems simple enough: 'No man of sense would overload his cart; why should he do this to a ship ?'

άξονα καυάξαις, τὰ δὲ φορτί ἀμαυρωθείη. μέτρα φυλάσσεσθαι· καιρὸς δ' ἐπὶ πᾶσιν ἄριστος. ʿΩραῖος δὲ γυναῖκα τεὸν ποτὶ οἶκον ἄγεσθαι, 695

μήτε τριήκοντα ἐτέων μάλα πόλλ' ἀπολείπων μήτ' ἐπιθεὶς μάλα πολλά· γάμος δέ τοι ὥριος οῦτος· (695) ἡ δὲ γυνὴ τέτορ' ἡβώοι, πέμπτῷ δὲ γαμοῖτο.

693. καττάξαις 695. Γοικον 696. Γετέων

693. καὶ φορτί Α. 695. ἐπὶ οἶκον ACEF. 696. τριηκόντων (γρ. τριήκοντα) Α. τριηκόντων the rest. 698. ήβώη DIK, Ald. ήβώοι ABCEFGH. γαμείσθω Α. γαμείτο (οι superson.) I.

694. $\mu\epsilon\tau\rho\alpha \ \kappa.\tau.\lambda$. Observe due proportions; and the fitting time in all things is the best.' Compare sup. v. 642. He distinguishes the law he had just laid down, not to overload a ship or a cart, from the time or opportunity, as illustrated by the proper sailing-seasons. The two limitations of measure and time are elsewhere combined, as Aesch. Suppl. 1044, $\mu\epsilon\tau\rho_1\delta\nu$ vvv $\epsilon\pi\sigma_2$ excov.— Tiva kaupóv μe διδάσκεις; Pind. Ol. xiii. 67, $\epsilon\pi\epsilon\taua$ de kkdore μέτρον voñoa δè kaupós άριστοs. Here μέτρα, not μέτρον, is used, because not one thing, but many things are measures differ, since what is too much for one thing may not be enough for another. Cf. v. 648. Pind. Isthm. v. 71, μέτρα μèv vréus διώπεν, μέτρα δè kai κατέχεν. 695-705. Before entering upon the

695—705. Before entering upon the ethical conclusion of the ' $E\rho\gamma a$ properly so called (the ' $H_{N}\rho a$ or Calendar commencing at v. 765), the poet subjoins certain precepts on the subject of marriage. It has been plausibly suggested by K. O. Müller (Hist. Gr. Lit. p. 84) that these verses should come after v. 375, so that the whole subject of domestic relations might be disposed of before entering on agriculture and economy. In favour of this view is the fact, that these verses seem naturally to form apophthegms of two and three lines each, like the string of maxims in the former place. Van Lennep supposes this advice about marriage to be specially addressed to Perses.

695. ώραῖοs, in due season; at the right age. άρμοδίφ χρόνφ, Tzetzes:

έγκαίρως, κατά τον προσήκοντα χρόνον, Moschopulus.

Moschopulus. 696. The true reading of this verse is undoubtedly that preserved as a variant in Cod. Gale, $\tau_{pif_{ROJTA}} e^{+\epsilon_{BOJ}}$ (i. e. $Fer\epsilon_{BOJ}$), which is said to be found in other MSS. The common reading is $\tau_{pi\eta_{ROJTAD}}$, which must be regarded as one of the many instances in this poem where the loss of the digamma has led to corruptions of the taxt. Stobaens, quoting vv. 695—8 (Flor. of. 6), agrees in $\tau_{pi\eta_{ROJTDAD}}$, the text. Stobaens, quoting vv. 695—8 (Flor. of. 6), agrees in $\tau_{pi\eta_{ROJTDAD}}$, the true is no ordinal $\tau_{pif_{ROJTDAD}}$, though there is $\tau_{pi\eta_{ROJTDAD}}$. Tzetzes rightly says, μ^{h} $\gamma_{piq_{HJS}}$ $\tau_{pir_{HOJTDAD}}$ $\tau^{h}_{Taindad}$ $\tau_{Tyr_{A}}$ $d_{Tpif_{ROJTDAD}}$. Taisa $\gamma_{2p} \phi_{uvh} \tau^{h} \tau^{h}_{pi}$ $\sigma_{pid_{ROJTDAD}}$ $\tau^{h}_{ROJTDAD}$ $\tau_{pid_{ROJTDAD}}$ $\tau_{pid_{ROJTDAD}}$ $\tau^{h}_{ROJTDAD}$, $e^{+\tau}_{ROJTDAD}$ $\tau^{h}_{Pid_{ROJTDAD}}$ $\tau^{h}_{ROJTDAD}$, $e^{+\tau}_{ROJTDAD}$, $e^{+\tau}_{ROJTDAD}$, $e^{+\tau}_{ROJTDAD}$ $\tau^{h}_{ROJTDAD}$, $e^{+\tau}_{ROJTDAD}$, $e^{+\tau}_{ROJTDA}$

698. rérop' h Béo, 'be of mariageable age for four yeara' Proclus, rérope yàp $\sigma\eta\mu airei \delta'$. Tzetzes, rérope yàp $\sigma\eta\mu airei$ rérogapas. Both grammarians therefore agree as to the termination. Theocritus has $\tau er \delta \rho w \acute{e} r \acute{e} w r,$ xiv. 16. The Acolians were said to use r for pes, which occurs in Od. v. 70. As the child-bearing age was fourteen (Proclus seems to fix it at twelve), the poet recommends marriage four years later, or at eighteen. Xenophon, Oecon. vii. 5, speaks of the wife of Ischomachus as having been married $\acute{e} \tau \eta$ of we represaident yeyovia. It may seem surprising that so late a marriage should here be recommended; but Goettling confirms the statement here given by Plat. Rep. v.

παρθενικήν δὲ γαμεῖν, ἵνα ἦθεα κεδνὰ διδάξης. τὴν δὲ μάλιστα γαμεῖν, ἦτις σέθεν ἐγγύθι ναίει, 700 πάντα μάλ' ἀμφὶς ἰδών, μὴ γείτοσι χάρματα γήμης. οὐ μὲν γάρ τι γυναικὸς ἀνὴρ ληΐζετ' ἆμεινον (700) τῆς ἀγαθῆς, τῆς δ' αὖτε κακῆς οὐ ῥίγιον ἄλλο, δειπνολόχης· ἦτ' ἀνδρα καὶ ἴφθιμόν περ ἐόντα εὖει ἄτερ δαλοῦ καὶ †ὦμῷ γήραϊ δῶκεν. 705

699. Γήθεα 701. αμφί Γιδών

699. ŵs κ' ήθεα MSS. and Ald, 701. γήμαις Κ. 705. θηκεν Α. δώκεν the rest.

p. 460, E, αρ' ούν σοι ξυνδοκεί μέτριος χρόσος ακμής τὰ είκοσι ἕτη γυνακί, ἀνδρί δὲ τὰ τριάκοντα; and Aristotle, Polit. vii. 14, τὰς μὲν ἀρμόττει περί τὴν ὀκτωκαίδεκα ἐτῶν ἡλικίαν συζευγγύναι, τους δ' ἐπτὰ και τριάκοντα ἡ μικρῶ πλέον?). We may add the like advice of Solon, frag. xiv., πέμπτη δ' (80. ἐτῶν ἑβδομάδι, viz. at 35) ὅριον ἀνδρα γάμου μεμιτμένου είναι. Ibid. ἡβώοι. So the best copies, others

Ibid. $\eta\beta\omega\omega$. So the best copies, others giving $\eta\beta\omega\eta$, with Plutarch, Amat. § 8. Gaisford edits $\eta\beta\phi\eta$, but the *iota sub*scriptum has no legitimate place, as it would have in $\eta\beta\phi\eta$ for $\eta\beta\omega\eta\eta$, or $\eta\beta\phi\eta$ for $\eta\beta\phi\eta$ with the ω reduplicated. Theognis has $\eta\beta\phi\eta$, v. 1229, with the variant $\eta\beta\phi\eta$, and Homer eff is $\eta\beta\phi \omega\mu$, which comes from an uncontracted present $\eta\beta\phi\eta$, a secondary form of $\eta\beta\phi\eta$ for $\eta\beta\phi\eta$ (compare $\kappa a\eta\eta\kappa\eta\mu$ for $\eta\beta\eta\eta$ for $\eta\beta\phi\eta$ (compare $\kappa a\eta\eta\kappa\eta\mu$

Cod. Gale has yauelobe. 700. Euripides has a similar sentiment on the necessity of knowing birth and parentage before choosing a partner for life; is kaud d' flon kal dohows $deryuérny \Delta \epsilon i$ µdrriv elvai, µh µa000raw dixeder Ore µdriva xphorrai Eurever. Stobacens, O'. 8, quotes v. 699 and 701, omitting the intervening verse.

701. ἀμφὶs ἰδάν. The true reading is doubtless ἀμφὶ Γιδών, 'looking at every thing on each side of you.'—χάρματα is here ludibrium.

702. $\lambda \eta t_{\zeta \in \tau \alpha \iota}$, carries off as a prize. The word is borrowed from the custom of forcibly abducting young women, who were called $\lambda \eta t_5$, Aesch. Theb. 320. These two verses are taken almost verbatim by the pseudo-Simonides in his distribe on women; yurands obdiv $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \mu^{2} dx h \rho \lambda \eta \xi erau \ell \sigma \theta \lambda \hat{\eta} s d\mu e vor obdiv$ $\rho (\eta or max \hat{\eta} s. For the regular or post$ $epic use of the article in <math>\tau \hat{\eta} s$ max $\hat{\eta} s$, see on v. 193.

704. δειπνολόχης, besetting the dinnertable: ambitious to take a seat at it; whereas women used to live retired in their own apartments. τῆς συνδειπνούσης και λοχώσης τὸν ὁμόκοιτον πρὸς τὸ δρῶσαι τὶ κακὸν, Moschop. and Tzetzes. τῆς καθημένης καὶ ἀσωτευομένης καὶ παρεδρευομένης τῷ δείπνος, Proclus. The feminine form is defended by πολυφόρβη in Theogon. 912. Gaisford compares "Αρτεμι θηροφόνη from Theognis v. 11." Stobaeus read δειπνολόχου, Flor. Lavii.

In Theogon. 512. Character compares Apreau $\theta_{po\phi\phi\gamma\eta}$ from Theognis v. 11. Stobaeus read $\delta\epsilon_{i\pi\nu\sigma\lambda\delta\chi\sigma\nu}$, Flor. 1xvii. 705. $\delta\tau\epsilon\rho$ $\delta\alpha\lambda\sigma\tilde{\nu}$, 'without a brand,' viz. not literally, but figuratively. Hence Euripides is thought to have borrowed his expressive verse, Orest. 613, $\delta\omega s$ $\delta\phi\bar{\eta}\psi\epsilon$ $\delta\tilde{\omega}\mu^{\prime}$ $\Delta rn\phi a(\sigma\tau\varphi \pi\nu\rho I)$.— $\delta\mu\bar{\varphi} \gamma \hbar\rho a$, 'unripe,' premature old age; or perhaps 'green,' as Homer uses $\phi_{\mu}\sigma\gamma f\rho\sigma res.$. $\delta\tilde{\omega}\kappa\epsilon r$ Gaisford and Goettling, and all the MSS. which I have collated, except Cod. Gale. Stobaeus and Plufarch also give $\theta\bar{\eta}\kappa\epsilon\nu$, which Robinson preferred. Proclus explains it by $\tau\hbar\nu$ $\mu\bar{\partial}\nu$ $\tau\bar{\partial}$ $\gamma\bar{\eta}\rho as$ $\tau\bar{\psi}$ $\Delta\sigma\bar{\partial}\eta$ $\tau_{\theta}\epsilon\bar{\sigma}\sigma\nu$, and Tzetzes by $\pi a\rho' \hbar \lambda \kappa las$ been assumed that both read $\theta\bar{\eta}\kappa\epsilon\nu$, not $\delta\bar{\omega}\kappa\epsilon\nu$. The verse seems in some way corrupt, as $\dot{\omega}\mu\bar{\partial}s$ is not a digammated word. We might read either $\delta\tau\epsilon\rho$ $\deltaa\lambda\sigma\bar{o}$ or $\delta\tau\epsilon\rho$ $\deltaa\lambda\sigma\bar{v}$ $\tau\epsilon$.

Εὐ δ' ὅπιν ἀθανάτων μακάρων πεφυλαγμένος είναι. Μηδὲ κασιγνήτῷ ໂσον ποιεῖσθαι ἑταῖρον· (705) εἰ δέ κε ποιήσῃς, μή μιν πρότερος κακὸν ἔρξῃς, μηδὲ ψεύδεσθαι γλώσσης χάριν· εἰ δὲ σέ γ' ắρχῃ ἤ τι ἔπος εἰπῶν ἀποθύμιον ἠὲ καὶ ἔρξας, 710 δὶς τόσα τίνυσθαι μεμνημένος· εἰ δέ κεν αὖτις

707. Γίσεν 710. Γέπος Γειπών

708. εἰ δέ κεν ποιήσεις ΑΙ. κεν BC. ποιήσης φίλον Η. πρότερου Α. πρότερος GK, Ald. πρότερου DEF. 709. εἰ δέ σε γ' Α. εἰ δέ κεν the rest. 711. τίνυσθαι DGHI. τίννυσθαι the rest.

δίδωσιν αὐτὸν, perhaps he found κal ἀώρφ γήραϊ δῶκεν. Or, if θῆκεν be admitted, it would be easy to read κal ἐν ὡμῷ γήραϊ θῆκεν. (Thus Schoemann edits.) So Hom. Od. xv. 356, Ϋ ἐ μάλιστα ἤκαχ. ἀποφθιμένη καl ἐν ὡμῷγήραϊ θῆκεν. Plutarch, De Cup. Div. §7, gives αὕει ἕτερ δαλοῖο καl ὡμῷ γήραϊθῆκε.

706. δπιν. Tzetzes, ἐπιστροφήν κal φροντίδα. See on v. 187. This verse appropriately introduces the maxims which follow, since they relate principally to religious observances or to acts of justice and injustice.—πεφυλαγμένος είναι, for φυλάσσου. Cf. inf. v. 765. Hom. II. xxiii. 343, ἀλλά, φίλος, φρονέων πεφυλαγμένος είναι.

πεφυλαγμένος είναι. 707-16. Precepts on friendship, and on the duties of friends.—Be not on too familiar terms (or cherish too affectionate relations) with a friend; do not begin a wrong, but resent it if offered; be willing however to make peace again; be constant, be sincere, not too profusely hospitable nor meanly stingy.—ποιείσθαι, 'adopt;' of. v. 602, Øird τ' δοικον ποιείσθαι. Inf. v. 714. Theognis, v. 113, μήποτε τὸν κακὸν ἀνδραφίλον ποιείσθαι έταῖρου. So τοιείσθαι άκοιτιν in Theogon. 948, and ποιείσθαί των ήγεμόνα, IL xvi. But in the next verse he uses ποιήσγs, not ποιήσγ, and Moschopulus carefully distinguishes between the active and the middle; oö λέγει, ἐὰν δὲ ποιήσγs (fort. ποιήσγ) φίλον ἐν ĭση μοίρα τοῦ ἀδελφοῦ, (τοῦτο γὰρ ἀπηγόρευσε, καὶ οὐκέτι πάλω δοκεῖ ἐνδῦναι αὐτῷ,) ἀλλὰ πρὸs τὸν φίλον

δè ποιήσγε φίλον, μὴ πρότερος ποιήσγε αὐτὸν κακόν....κασιγνήτφ Ισον, νίz. because the ties of relationship should ever be held superior to and more sacred than those of friendship. The poet by this means, that there are cases in which friends should agree to part, or in which it may be right and expedient for one to separate from the other. Euripides very beautifully expresses this sentiment, Hippol. 253, χρήν γὰρ μετρίας eis ἀλλ λους | φιλίας θυητούς ἀνακίρνασθα, | καὶ μὴ πρός ἅκρον μυελδν ψυχής, | εδιντα ἔ είναι στέργηθρα φρενῶν | ἀπό τ΄ ὅσασθαι καὶ ξυντεῦνα.

709. γλώσσης χάριν, for gossip's sake, and without any provocation; διλ περατὴν και ἀνόνητον τῆς γλώττης όριψ, Proclus. So Aesch. Cho. 258, γλώστης χάριν δὲ πάντ' ἀπαγγείλη τάδε πρὸς τοὺς τεκόντας. Eur. Orest. 1514, δειλία γλώσση χαρίζει. The sense is, Do not tell falschoods about him (or to him) even for the sake of amusement and without guile.—ei δέ κεν ἄρχη Gaisford, with most MSS. Cod. Gale and the lemma of Proclus have ei δέ σέ γ', and so Goettling. Hermann proposed ei δέ σ' δγ ἄρχη. Perhaps, ei δέ κέ σ' ἁρχη κ.τ.λ.

710. $\xi \pi \sigma s$, in allusion to $\psi \epsilon i \delta \epsilon \sigma \theta a_i$, as $\xi \rho \xi a_3$ refers to $\xi \rho \xi p_3$ above. Don't wrong him by word or deed first; but if he wrongs you in either of these ways, requite him doubly, duly mindfal of what he has done to you as the aggressor. According to the doctrine of the heroic ages, to be behind in revenge implied a want of spirit. On the *i* in $\tau i \nu \sigma \sigma a_i$ see v. 247.



ΕΡΓΑ ΚΑΙ ΗΜΕΡΑΙ.

ήγητ' ές φιλότητα, δίκην δ' έθέλησι παρασχείν,	(710)
δέξασθαι· δειλός τοι ανηρ φίλον αλλοτε αλλον	
ποιείται, σε δε μή τι νόον †κατελεγχέτω είδος.	
μηδὲ πολύξεινον μηδ' ἄξεινον καλέεσθαι,	715
μηδὲ κακῶν ἔταρον μηδ' ἐσθλῶν νεικεστήρα.	
Μηδέ ποτ' οὐλομένην πενίην θυμοφθόρον ἀνδρὶ	(715)
τέτλαθ' ονειδίζειν, μακάρων δόσιν αι εν εόντων.	
Γλώσσης τοι θησαυρός έν ανθρώποισιν αριστος	
φειδωλής, πλείστη δε χάρις κατα μέτρον ιούσης.	720
εί δε κακον είπης, τάχα κ' αυτος μείζον ακούσαις.	

721. Feinys

712. ήγειτ' ADEFI. 713. άλλοτε τ' Α. 716. νεικητήρα Α. 721. κακὸν εἶπης Α. κακὸν εἶποις Κ, Ald. κακὸν κ' εἶπης BCDEFGHI. ἀκούσἐἰσ (the last σ perhaps subsequently added) Α.

712. Simp mapagyeir. For his willingness to afford satisfaction is a virtual confession of his fault.

713. $\delta\epsilon\iota\lambda\deltas dsrhp \kappa.\tau.\lambda$. He is a worthless or contamptible character who prefers making a new friend to taking back an old one with whom he has had a quarrel. Here $\delta\epsilon\iota\lambda\deltas$ is for $\phia\tilde{u}\lambda os$, $\delta\delta\lambda os$, as sup. v. 369, $\delta\epsilon\iota\lambda\eta$ δ' $\delta rl \pi u \theta \mu \dot{e} \nu c$ $\phi\epsilon\iota\deltads$.—Perhaps (to avoid the hiatus) $\delta\lambda osr$ $\dot{e} r' \delta\lambda\lambda osr$.

714. This verse seems corrupt, for eides certainly took the digamma in Hesiod's time. See on v. 63. Nor is the meaning at all clear, 'But for yourself, let not your looks belie your thoughts.' Schoemann reads $\kappa a \tau a \theta e \lambda$ - $\gamma' \tau w$, 'don't you be deceived by appearances.' There must have been another reading, σt δt $\mu \eta$ $\tau \iota$ véos $\kappa a \tau e \lambda e \gamma \chi e \tau w$ eider, for Proclus gives one explanation thus, eis σt δt $\mu \eta$ $\tau \iota$ véos $\kappa a \tau e \lambda e \gamma \chi e \tau w$ eider, for Proclus gives one explanation thus, eis σt δt $\mu \eta$ $\tau \iota$ véos $\kappa a t$ δ $\lambda e \gamma u \mu b t$ $\lambda e \gamma \chi e \tau m \mu$ idear $\tau o \tilde{u}$ readrow, and again, eis σt $\mu \eta$ $\tau \sigma$ curveids $i \lambda e \gamma \gamma \chi e \tau w t i b e a \tau$ This would mean, 'Let not your conscience betray itself by your countenance.' The verse appears to have been in some way altered from the loss of the digamma in Feidos. Perhaps the original reading was σb δt $\mu \eta \tau \iota$ véox $\kappa a \tau e \lambda e \gamma \chi e c et \delta e \iota$, 'But do you not be convicted in your thoughts by your look.' This would mean, that a man should show by an honest and cheerful look that his professions of renewed friendship are sincere.

716. rencertipa, dreidertipa, princes druckfoorra, 'a taunter of the good.' The form of the word arises from the crude form rences (as in dreep6 λ 0s, ortherou, &c.). But the reading of Cod. Gale and two or three others, renertipa, is perhaps better. The variant renertipa in two of Goettling's MSS. will account for the insertion of σ .

717. This admirable and feeling precept, 'not to taunt a man with poverty which is not caused by his own fault, but sent him by the inscrutable will of the gods,' has been compared with Theognis v. 155, $\mu\eta\pi\sigma\tau\epsilon \ \tauot \pi\epsilon\tau\eta\nu$ $\theta\nu\mu\phi\theta\theta\phi\rho\nu \ dx\delta\rhol \chio\lambda\omega\thetaels Mnd' \ d\chi\rho\eta <math>\mu\sigma\sigma\tau\mu\nu \ ob\lambda\rho\mu\epsilon\eta\nu \ \pi\rho\phi\epsilon\rho\epsilon$. The reservation, $\mu\alpha\kappad\rho\omega\nu \ \delta\sigma\tau\nu$, distinguishing the inevitable from the culpable, could not well have been omitted by Hesiod, who has so often taunted his brother with begging, e.g. sup. v. 395-404. Stobaeus quotes these two verses, Flor. vol. iii. p. 205 (ed. Teubner).—The imperative $\mu\eta \ \tau\epsilon\tau\lambdaa\theta$ may be compared with $\mu\eta \ \delta\epsilonl\partial t$ Od. xviii. 63.

719. γλώσσης θησαυρός, a reserved stock of conversation, which is not put forward without judgment on all occasions.

721. As in v. 710 there is a reading ξποι τ' einder, so here many good MSS,

Μηδὲ πολυξείνου δαιτὸς δυσπέμφελος εἶναι· (720) έκ κοινοῦ πλείστη τε χάρις δαπάνη τ' ὀλιγίστη. Μηδέ ποτ' ἐξ ἠοῦς Διὶ λείβειν αἶθοπα οἶνον χερσὶν ἀνίπτοισιν μηδ' ἄλλοις ἀθανάτοισιν. 725 οὐ γὰρ τοίγε κλύουσιν, ἀποπτύουσι δέ τ' ἀράς. Μηδ' ἀντ' ἠελίου τετραμμένος ὀρθὸς ὀμιχεῖν. (725)

724. Foîvov

723. δὲ πλείστη χάρις Ι. πλείστη δὲ the rest. 725. ἀνίπτοισι Α. 726. οὐ γάρ θ' Α. 727. ἠελίου—ὀρθῶς Α. ἠελίοιο the rest.

give $\kappa \alpha \kappa \delta r \kappa' \epsilon i \pi \eta s$, both from the loss of the digamma. Goettling therefore is mistaken in his note: "Nescio an κ' , quod post $\kappa \alpha \kappa \delta \nu'$ intruserunt editores, in ullo codice reperiatur." As Cod. Gale has $\delta \kappa o \delta \sigma \epsilon s$; (originally, as it seems, $\delta \kappa o \delta \sigma \epsilon s$; (originally, as it seems, $\delta \kappa o \delta \sigma \epsilon s$; (originally, as it seems, $\delta \kappa o \delta \sigma \epsilon s$; or rather, should be written $\kappa a \delta \tau \delta s$. Tzetzes also has this comment: $\epsilon i \delta \lambda \Lambda \delta s \delta \nu \delta \beta \delta fores, \mu \epsilon i \delta \sigma \delta \kappa \sigma \delta \sigma s$; This verse illustrates the old proverb, $\delta \nu \epsilon \delta s \delta \sigma \tau \delta \sigma \epsilon \delta \sigma s \lambda \delta \epsilon s c h. 537$, $\epsilon \chi \theta \rho \delta \gamma \lambda \delta \sigma \sigma a \delta \sigma \tau i \delta \chi \theta \rho \tilde{a} s \gamma \lambda \delta \sigma \sigma \tau s Cho.$ $301. Cf. Eur. Alcest. <math>\tau 0A$, $\epsilon l \delta' \delta \mu \tilde{a} \tilde{s}$ $\kappa a \kappa \delta s \ell \rho \epsilon \tilde{s}$, $\delta \kappa o \delta \sigma \epsilon \tau \sigma \lambda \lambda \delta \kappa o \delta \psi \epsilon \nu \delta \tilde{\eta}$ $\kappa a \kappa \delta$. Hom. II. xx. 250 (quoted by Proclus), $\delta \pi \kappa o \delta t \epsilon \epsilon \kappa \eta \sigma \theta \delta \pi \sigma \delta \sigma \tau s$.

722. δυσπέμφελος, rough, churlish. See on v. 618. Gloss Cod. Gale δυσά-ρεστος. Proclus, μη είναι δυσπρόσετον και δύσκολον. Gaisford, Van Lennep, Schoemann, and Goettling retain the common punctuation, δυσπέμφελοs elvai er rourou Thelorn de xapis r.T.A. The scholiasts give two explanations, one of which combines dattos in Kouvou, and is referred to an *Eparos* or club-feast of many members ; the other, which seems better, makes πλείστη χάρις έκ κοινοῦ, to mean, 'there is the greatest pleasure and the smallest cost in a common banquet.' So distinctly Moschopulus, έκ της ένωσεως γάρ και του αθροίσματος τών πλειόνων πλείστη χάρις έστίν. This is the punctuation in MS. Cant. In Barocc. 46 there is the following gloss : έκ τοῦ κοινοῦ γάρ, συναθροίσματος δηλονότι, πλείστη έστιν ή χάρις, ή δε δαπάνη όλιγωτάτη. The reading of one of the

Bodleian MSS. (D'Or. x. 1. 3, 13) ἐκ κοινοῦ δὲ πλείστη χάρις may point to what would certainly seem an improvement, ἐκ κοινοῦ δὲ χάρις πλείστη. But it seems obvious to read ἐκ κοινοῦ πλείστη τε χάρις κ.τ.λ. Theognis has a similar sentiment, v. 495, ἐς τὸ μέσον φωνεῦντες όμῶς ἐνὶ καὶ σὺν ἁπασι, χούτως συμπόσιον γίγνεται οὐκ ἁχαρι.

give precepts of a purely ceremonial nature, and such as pertain to the worship of the gods. This forms the most curious part of the whole poem. The excessive decordace implied in the most trivial matters of offence is hardly to be equalled in the wide field of human superstitions. Some of these precepts savour of Pythagorean and even of Judaic obligations. See Müller, Hist. Gr. Lit. p. 85.— $i\xi$ hows after morn-ing, $\bar{\epsilon}\omega\theta\epsilon_{\nu}$, in the morning, like ϵ_{κ} ruktur, by night, $i\xi$ örrou, in sleep, &c. Compare v. 339, huir or eind(y and otar odos iepor $\bar{\epsilon}\lambda\thetay$. The coremonial washing of hands is often mentioned by Homer. Il. vi. 266, xepol & drintour Art Leißer albona olvor a jouar ibid. ir. 171, φέρτε δε χερσίν ύδωρ, εὐφημῆσαί τε κέλεσθε, δφρα Διτ Κρονίδη ἀρησόμεθ'. Again, lib. xvi. 230, νίψατο δ' αὐτὸς χειρας, αφύσσατο δ' αίθοπα οίνον εύχετ έπειτα στάς μέσφ έρκει, λείβε δε οίνον.

726. àpàs, for $\epsilon v \chi \Delta s$, in a good sense, as in Eur. Orest. 1138, $\kappa \epsilon \delta r'$ àpómeren $\tau v \chi \epsilon \hat{v}$. Aesch. Cho. 138, $\tau a \hat{v} r'$ àr mérer $\tau l \delta \eta m$ $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ mak $\hat{\eta} s$ àpâs (where the MSS. give $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ mak $\hat{\eta} s$, but against the context). The first α is made long as in II. ix. 456. Od. iv. 767.

727. ηελίου. So Cod. Gale. Gaisford

ΕΡΓΑ ΚΑΙ ΗΜΕΡΑΙ.

αὐτὰρ ἐπεί κε δύῃ, μεμνημένος, ἔς τ' ἀνιόντα, μήτ' ἐν ὁδῷ μήτ' ἐκτὸς ὁδοῦ προβάδην οὐρήσῃς, μηδ' ἀπογυμνωθῆς· μακάρων τοι νύκτες ἔασιν· 780 ἑζόμενος δ' ὄγε θεῖος ἀνὴρ, πεπνυμένα εἰδὼς, ή ὅγε πρὸς τοῖχον πελάσας εὐερκέος αὐλῆς. (730) Μηδ' αἰδοῖα γονῆ πεπαλαγμένος ἔνδοθι οἶκου ἑστίῃ ἐμπελαδὸν παραφαινέμεν, ἀλλ' ἀλ΄ασθαι.

731. Feidus

ùs 733. Foíkov

784.

734: Γεστίη άλέξασθαι

728. $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon K$ and D by correction. $\epsilon \pi \eta \nu \kappa \epsilon$ the rest. $\delta \nu \iota \delta \nu \tau \sigma s$ AD. $\delta \nu \iota \delta \nu \tau a$ the rest. 730. $\delta \pi \sigma \gamma \nu \mu \nu \omega \theta \eta s$ ADF and (perhaps by correction) E. $\delta \pi \sigma \gamma \nu \mu \nu \omega \theta \epsilon s$ the rest. 732. $\epsilon \iota \epsilon \rho \gamma \epsilon \sigma s$ A. 733. $\pi \epsilon \pi a \lambda a \gamma \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma s$ A. $\epsilon \nu \delta \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu$ all except A.

retains the common reading dur' heλίοιο. But see on Theogon. 160. This prebut see on Incogn. 160. This pre-cept is easily explained from the custom of sun-worship. 'When the sun is up, do not offend him by standing op-posite with your person exposed; dur-ing the night, privacy should equally be sought, for the gods may see you, if you cannot see them in the dark.' Goettling cites nearly the same words from the sayings of Pythagoras, Laert. viii. 1, 19, mpds # Nuov Terpauuérov uh όμιχεῖν. Hesych. όμιχεῖν οὐρεῖν. Proclus, μήτ' απεναντίας ήλίου μήτ' ανιόντος, δ εστι τρό μεσημβρίας, μήτε els δύσω ίδντος, δ έστι μετά μεσημβρίαν, οδρείν. It is clear from his note that he regarded 727-8 as forming one sentence; and he would seem to have read $\mu \eta \delta^* \delta \rho^* \delta r \delta r \kappa \kappa. \tau. \lambda.$ The whole passage, 727-32, might be arranged in three distinct distichs. In this case, abrap in 728 would mean, but you may do this standing towards the east when the sun is not in the sky. It appears however that vintes in 730 r' dribros. Hermann thinks the genitive came from a reading der' decorros, 'but at sunset turn to the east,' and so set your back to the sun. As the MSS. vary between duty we and duel we din, we should probably read exci te duyis τ' driftra, 'after sunset till sunrise.' Goettling proposed $\mu \epsilon \mu \tau \eta \mu i \nu \sigma \sigma$ driftros, but the imperative is or is $\sigma \sigma \sigma$ (for is $\sigma \theta$.) belongs only to the debased Greek.

729. προβάδην, as you walk; κινούμενον, Proclus and Moschopulus.

730. ἀπογυμυωθήs. So Cod. Gale and other MSS. for ἀπογυμυωθείs. Hermann rightly prefers the verb to the participle; 'do not expose yourself in or by a public road for any purpose of nature.'

chipe; "do not expose yoursent in of by a public road for any purpose of nature." 731. $\xi \langle \delta \mu \varepsilon \nu \sigma s$, scil. $\tau o \tilde{\nu} \tau \sigma$ $\tau \rho d\sigma \sigma \epsilon_i$, opposed to $\tau \rho \sigma \beta d\delta \eta \nu$ and $\delta \rho \theta \delta \sigma$, as $\tau \rho \delta \sigma$ $\tau \sigma \tilde{\chi} \sigma \nu$ is opposed to $\epsilon \nu \delta \delta \tilde{q}$. Herod. ii. 85, opposed to ai $\mu \delta \nu$ $\gamma \nu \nu a \tilde{\alpha} \kappa s$ $\delta \rho \delta a$, of $\delta \tilde{q}$ who is instructed in divine things; ' δ $\theta \epsilon \sigma \tilde{\nu}$ $\delta \tau \kappa a \tau \eta \mu \varepsilon \nu \sigma \iota$. Mosch. Of. Plat. Symp. p. 203, a, kal $\delta \mu \delta \nu \pi \epsilon \rho l \tau \delta \tau \sigma a \tilde{\sigma} \tau \delta$ $\sigma \epsilon \sigma \delta$ $\pi \epsilon \rho l \tau \epsilon \chi \sigma s \delta \eta$, $\delta \delta \delta \delta \lambda \lambda \sigma \tau \iota \sigma \sigma \phi \delta$ $\delta \kappa \eta$ $\pi \epsilon \rho l \tau \epsilon \chi \sigma s \eta$ $\chi \epsilon \mu \rho \nu \sigma \gamma l \sigma s$ $\beta d \tau a \nu \sigma \sigma s$. There can be no doubt that δ $\theta \epsilon \tilde{\iota} \sigma s$ $d \tau h \rho$ is the subject, though Goetling makes $\delta \gamma \epsilon$ the subject, and $\theta \epsilon \tilde{\iota} \sigma s$ $d \tau h \rho$ the predicate; "qui vero sedens hoo negotio defungitur, is homo est $\theta \epsilon \tilde{\iota} \sigma s$ $d \tau h \rho \kappa \tau \lambda$."

734. $i\sigma\tau' p i\mu\pi\epsilon\lambda\alpha\delta\delta\nu$, near the central altar of the house; either because it was sacred as an altar, or because fire was regarded with veneration.— $\pi\rho\alpha$ - $\phialreir, d\mu\nu\delta\rho\deltas$ $\deltaeinriveai, 'partially to$ expose;' which is the best of severalexplanations given by Moschopulus.

Μηδ' ἀπὸ δυσφήμοιο τάφου ἀπονοστήσαντα 735 σπερμαίνειν γενεὴν, ἀλλ' ἀθανάτων ἀπὸ δαιτός.
Μηδέ ποτ' ἀενάων ποταμῶν καλλίρροον ὕδωρ (735) ποσσὶ περῶν, πρίν †γ' εὖξῃ ἰδῶν ἐς καλὰ ῥέεθρα, χεῖρας νιψάμενος πολυηράτῷ ὕδατι λευκῷ.
δς ποταμὸν διαβŷ, κακότητι δὲ χεῖρας ἀνιπτος, 740 τῷ δὲ θεοὶ νεμεσῶσι καὶ ἀλγεα δῶκαν ὀπίσσω.
Μηδ' ἀπὸ πεντόζοιο θεῶν ἐν δαιτὶ θαλείῃ (740)

736. After this v. ADEFI insert v. 758. 737. δεννάων Α. καλλίροον Ι. 740. κακότητ' ίδε DEFG. κακότητ' ήδε Ι.

785. $d\pi\delta$. $\tau d\phi ov$. Either because the child was thought to inherit the disposition which the father had at the time of begetting, viz. cheerful or gloomy; or because the doctrine of keeping joy distinct from grief (Aesch. Agam. 620. 1045) was held of paramount importance.— $d\theta a d \tau a v \delta a \tau \delta s$, any festive banquet in honour of the gods, especially such as was held after a sacrifice.

737-9.-These three verses seem spurious; or at least, they were another version of the distich following, 740-1. The omission of the digamma in $l\partial\omega r$ is an indication of lateness. Perhaps the lines stood thus; derdar δt repar nora- $\mu\partial r$ $\kappa \pi \lambda \lambda (ppoor b \partial \omega p \chi c i p as <math>r n t d \mu e r o s$ $\kappa . \tau . \lambda$. A foolish attempt to contrast $\pi o \sigma \sigma l$ with $\chi c i p as$ perhaps led to the interpolation.

740. κακότητι, 'through perverseness,' dià kakiar. Proclus says that Aristarchus rejected this verse, and Goettling is disposed to agree with him. It must be admitted that it fills up the couplet, and without it $\tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \hat{\epsilon}$ would be a harsh ellipse for $\tau \hat{\varphi} \mu \eta$ δράσαστι τοῦτο. In some copies κακότητι δè had passed into κακότητ' ίδè (or ίδε), which was absurdly interpreted 'he sees calamity. It was intended to mean κακότητι κ : άνιπτος κ.τ.λ. This ist, further corrupted to hot, is found in several of the Bodleian MSS. But another reading was $\kappa \alpha \kappa \delta \tau \eta \tau$ ' $\xi \pi i$, as must be inferred from the obscure comment of Proclus, άλλοι γράφουσι Kanóτητα· enl κακότητα elder, durl τοῦ ἐκακώθη. Here we should not read elner for elder, with Goettling, but

κακότητ' ίδε, τουτέστι κακότητα έδεν. Proclus adds, άλλοι κακότητα έπι, olorel έτι κακῷ έαυτόν. (Read, κακότητ έπι, olorel ἐπι κακῷ έαυτοῦ.) The Patagonian savages, it is said, burn the parings from nails, lest they should be used for sorcery.

712. Terrosono, the hand. An expression of the same kind as performs for 'a snail,' sup. v. 571. A slang term ν. 736. αδον κ.τ.λ., 'to cut the dry from the quick.' Proclus, χλωρόν, το σαρκώδες τών δνύχων, αδαν δέ, τό άκρον του δυυχος, δ δη καὶ δ(ον (δ(ον) έστι καὶ dralobyror. He adds as a reason for this singular injunction, και γαρ τρόπον τυα νέκρωσίς έστι των έν ήμων μορίων τουτο, άφαιρούντος αύτά τού σώματος, ώς συμπεφυκότα τούτο και συνυπάρχοντα & τρί-φεται. Not to cut the nails at a banquet after a sacrifice was also a precept of Pythagoras, παρά θυσίαν μη δυυχίζου. Plutarch, De Isid. et Osir. § 4, who quotes this and the next verse, gives as an explanation ότι δει καθαροδο τών τοιούτων γενομένους έορτάζειν, και ούκ έν αύταις ταις ίερουργίαις χρήσθαι καθάρσει καl αφαιρέσει των περιττωμάτων. Com-pare Ovid, Fast. vi. 230, where the wife of the Flamen Dialis says, that until the refuse of the sacrifices has been carried from the temple of Vesta, 'non mihi detonsae crines depectere buxo, Non ungues ferro subsecuisse licet. Schoemann reads *maxformel* ye, with Bentley, 'that is, provided he is so through perversences,' not from accident or necessity.

αὖον ἀπὸ χλωροῦ τάμνειν αἶθωνι σιδήρφ. Μηδέ ποτ' οἰνοχόην τιθέμεν κρητηρος ὖπερθεν πινόντων· ὀλοὴ γὰρ ἐπ' αὐτῷ μοῖρα τέτυκται. 745 Μηδὲ δόμον ποιῶν ἀνεπίξεστον καταλείπειν, μή τοι ἐφεζομένη κρώζη λακέρυζα κορώνη. (745) Μηδ' ἀπὸ χυτροπόδων ἀνεπιρρέκτων ἀνελόντα.

743. αἴθοπι Α. 744. κρατῆρος Α. 747. μήτι ΕΙΚ. κράζη (γρ. κρώξη) Α. κρώξη BDGHI. κρώζη CK, Ald. 748. άνεπϋράκτων Ε.

744. Two explanations of this obscure verse may be given. (1) Material or actual, 'Do not lay the ladle across the bowl;' which is like a modern superstition about putting a knife and fork cross-wise on a plate. (2) Ethical, 'Do not prefer the ladle which fills your own cup to the bowl which is common to all;' i. e. do not be so selfish as to prefer private interests to public weal; μ) ἐπίπροσθεν ἄγειν τοῦ κοινοῦ τὸ iδιον. The latter is that given by Pro-clus and Moschopulus. Proclus adds a third, άλλοι δε λέγουσι, μηδέποτ' έν Belave moore or the store of the store which is nearly that given by Goettling, 'Do not set the wine-flask above the winemixer when men are drinking; for a baneful consequence is caused by it' (or follows after it, viz. drunkenness). "Noli majoris aestimare merum quam vinum cum aqua mixtum." The scholiasts take olroxón to mean either the ladle, rúasos, oirpours, or the goblet, ποτήριον. Hesych. την κατάχυσιν, τδ άγγεῖον. Certainly, τιθέναι υπερθεν more naturally means superponers than prasferre; but the maxim is one of those called $\sigma \nu \mu \beta o \lambda \kappa \dot{\alpha}$, or containing a moral under a material precept, like several other sayings of Pythagoras, quoted by Proclus. — Plutarch twice cites this verse, Symp. § 13, and De Audiend. Poet. § 9.

746—7. Of this distich also two explanations are given by the scholiasts; (1) literal, 'Do not leave your house unfinished till the winter' (which the croaking of the crow or raven was thought to portend); and (2) symbolical, 'Leave no work undone, lest some envious chatterer aboutd have cause to blame you.' Goettling has a fancy that

drenifeoror here and drenipperror below should change places, because Proclus says Erioi de arenippentor podoousi, touτέστιν άθυμίατον. He would then explain the passage thus; 'Don't leave a house unconsecrated, (i. e. without of-fering a sacrifice at the toria,) lest perchance a crow should light on it and bring misfortune.' A better sense bring misfortune.' A better sense seems to be this; 'Do not when making a house (or temple ?) leave it rough and unfinished, lest a crow should light on it and croak.' The dislike of the early Greeks to have houses, statues, or temples, befouled with the dirt of birds, has ples, befoliet with the art of brids, has been pointed out in the note on Aesch. Suppl. 635, δίον ἐπιδόμενοι πράκτορ' ἐπίσκοπον | δυσπολέμητον, δν τίς ἀν δόμος ἔχοι | ἐπ' ὀρόφων μιαίνοντα; βαρύς δ' ἐφίζει. Hence came the μηνίσκος or metallic plate (nimbus) on the heads of statues in the open air. See Ar. Av. 1114-7. In Eur. Ion 177, the birds are driven away from the Delphic sbrine, as arabhuara μη βλάπτηται raol 0 of PolBou. By feorol Alboi the Greeks meant squared and cut stones. Shakespeare, Hen. VI. (third part, v. 6,) 'the raven rooked her on the chimney's top, And chattering pies in dismal discords sung.' It is curious that the American Indians have the same superstition. Hesiod says nothing specifically about the lighting on the roof.-For Kpuig (al. κρά(y, κράξy) it has been suggested on Aesch. Suppl. (ut sup.) to read χρώζη, 'defile it.'—λακέρυζα, 'croaking.' Ar. Αν. 609, ούκ οίοθ' δτι πέντ' ανδρών γενεας ζώει λακέρυζα κορώνη ; Hesych. κράκτρια,

λοίδορος, φλύαρος μεγάλα κράζουσα κοράνη, ή λάλος. 748. ανεπιρρέκτων, αθύτων, έφ' ων θυσίαν ούκ έποίησας, Procing. The χυ-

έσθειν μηδὲ λόεσθαι·ἐπεὶ καὶ τοῖς ἐνι ποινή. Μηδ ἐπ'ἀκινήτοισι καθίζειν, οὐ γὰρ ἆμεινον, 750 παῖδα δυωδεκαταῖον, ὃ τ'ἀνέρ'ἀνήνορα ποιεῖ, [μηδὲ δυωδεκάμηνον· ἴσον καὶ τοῦτο τέτυκται.] (750) Μηδὲ γυναικείω λουτρῷ χρόα φαιδρύνεσθαι

752. Toor yap rai A.

rodrodes seem to have been portable braziers, or rather, stewing-pans placed on an iron tripod, and used in preparing a common banquet (much after the fashion now employed by gipsies). Out of these pans the food is not to be taken before the $d\pi a \rho \chi a l$ have been offered to the gods; and similarly even bath-water is not to be used until a part of it has been poured out as a libation. -Goettling, having adopted aver/oper- τ_{or} in the verse above, is constrained to read averifiorar in this, and he sug-a kettle without obliterating the mark left by it in the ashes.' In confirmation of this he cites a precept of Pythagoras, Lasert. viii. 1, 9, χότρας ίχνος συγχεία έν τῦ τέφρε. The same is given in slightly different words by Plutarch, Conviv. Disp. viii. § 7, χύτρας τύπον αρθείσης έν σποδώ μη απολείπειν, αλλα συγχείν. Goettling hence infers that χυτρόποδες were the marks or vestiges left by the pot or cauldron placed over the hot embers; and that these should be done away with lest others should be jealous of what is going on in your kitchen. The more simple sense however is that given above, which is that of the scholiasts and also of Plutarch, Symp. vii. § 4, δρθώs 'Ησίοδος ούδ' άπο χυτροπόδων άνεπιρρέκτων ές παρατίθεσθαι σίτον η όψον, άλλ' άπαρχάς τῷ πυρί και γέρα τῆς διακονίας αποδιδόντας. Compare Od. xiv. 432-6, βάλλον δ' είν ελεοισιν αολλέα αν δε συβώτης "Ιστατο δαιτρεύσων. πέρι γαρ φρεσίν αίσιμα ήδη. Καί τὰ μὲν ἐπταχα πάντα διεμοιράτο δαίζων Την μὲν ἴαν νύμφησι καὶ Ἐρμῆ Μαιάδος νίει Θηκεν ἐπευξάμενος, τὰς δ ἄλλας νειμεν ἐκάστφ.

750. Goettling follows Plutarch (ap. Procl.) in explaining this precept, which is certainly not less obscure than the three preceding;— Do not let a boy or even an infant be sedentary, for this makes him effeminate.' He supposes there is an allusion to gymnastic exercises; but it is stretching the sense very far to include an infant of twelve months old in exercises of any kind. The com-mon interpretation is, Do not set a boy of twelve days old upon a tomb, which (5 $\tau \epsilon$ for $5\pi\epsilon\rho$) unmans him in adult years, nor yet an infant of twelve months old, which is just the same thing.' This seems a better way; for the number twelve is thus spoken of merely as an ominous number. Some superstitious notion of affecting the manly powers (virility) is alluded to. Cf. many powers (virinty) is an added to .0. Od. z. 301, $\mu\eta$ of an organization of the state with the state of ή λίθος. Schoemann, com. crit. p. 56, thinks boundary-stones are included in the precept. Gaisford cites Etym. M. p. 48, 36, akiryra und in deurhrow καθίζειν σημαίνει ώς ένταῦθα τον τάφον νόμος γάρ παρά Ρωμαίοις τάφον μη RIVEIV, TOUTÉOTIV OPUTTEIN. For the expression καθίζειν έπί τινι cf. Theocr. i. 51, το παιδίον οὐ πρίν ἀνήσειν φατί, πρίν A departor or ini Enpoise Rabien, 'before he sets him down to a dry (or scanty) mcal for his breakfast. — où yàp dueuw, 'for it is better not.' Compare rò yàp ούτοι λώϊόν έστιν, inf. v. 759.

752. The reading of Cod. Gale, for $\gamma d\rho$ kal $\tau o \bar{\nu} \tau \sigma$, suggests a variant for $\gamma d\rho$ kal $\tau \delta$ $\tau \epsilon \tau vara. Cf. v. 754 - 6-9.$ But this verse is perhaps spurious, as for should take the digamma, and is commonly isos, for *Florfos*. Both this and the preceding are ejected by Schoemann. See on v. 737. It will be observed, that the maxims preceding have beeu mainly in disticha, or couplets.

753. φαιδρώνεσθα, to make his skin bright by ablution and rubbing, and perhaps by oiling it. This verb was

ΕΡΓΑ ΚΑΙ ΗΜΕΡΑΙ.

ἀνέρα· λευγαλέη γὰρ ἐπὶ χρόνον ἔστ' ἐπὶ καὶ τῷ ποινή. μηδ' ἱεροῖσιν ἐπ' αἰθομένοισι κυρήσας 755 μωμεύειν ἀΐδηλα· θεός τοι καὶ τὰ νεμεσσậ. Μηδέ ποτ' ἐν προχοῆ ποταμῶν ἄλαδε προρεόντων, (755) μηδ' ἐπὶ κρηνάων οὐρεῖν, μάλα δ' ἐξαλέασθαι· μηδ' ἐναποψύχειν· τὸ γὰρ οὖτοι λώϊόν ἐστιν.

756. afιδηλα 758. ifaλifaσθai

756. θεός τοι BCDGHIK. θεός νύ τοι AEF. 759. λόγιον Η. ούτι λώιον ΑΙ.

properly used of the bath, e. g. Aesch. Agam. 1077, τον όμοδέμνιον πόσιν λου-τροίσι φαιδρόνασα. Ευτ. Hel. 676, ώμοι έγο - λουτρών ίνα θεαί μορφάν έφαίδρυναν. Apoll. Rhod. iii. 300, αύτοί τε λιαροϊσιν έφαιδρύναντο λοετροϊς. Moschus, il. 31, φαιαρυταντο κοτιροις, ποτοικη πος, δτε φαιδρόνοιτο χρόα προχοαϊσιν Άναόρω. Goottling says, "γυναικεία λουτρά sunt λουτρά θερμά, quae corpus effeminant." But warm baths were offered to men, as Clytemnestra specially says to Orestes and Pylades, Aesch. Cho. 657, that they shall have sal $\theta e \rho \mu \dot{a}$ λουτρά και πόνων θελκτηρία στρωμνή. Compare also the following passages; Il xxii. 444. Od. x. 360. viii. 249. 426. It would rather seem that motives of propriety were the grounds of the precept, and that $\lambda \epsilon \nu \gamma a \lambda \epsilon \eta$ mouth has the same allusion as arthropa mousi above. The scholiasts add another meaning; that a man must not dress himself with the care and attention to personal graces which are becoming a woman. $-\frac{d\pi^2}{d\pi^2}$ $\chi p \sigma r \sigma r$, 'for a time,' viz. a temporary affection is incurred. Cf. Od. xiv. 193, αποτιστι το παιστοπ. Οι. ΟΔ. ΤΤΥ. 1933, «τη μλν νῦν νῶιν ἐπὶ χρόνον ἡμὲν ἐδωδὴ ἡδὲ μέθυ γλυκερόν. Apoll. Rhod. i. 793, ξεῖνε, τίη μίμυοντες ἐπὶ χρόνον ἕκτοθι πύργων ἦσθ αῦτως; The phrase is more common with a limiting epithet, as sup. V. 326, παῦρου δέ τ' ἐπὶ χρόνον ὅλβος όπηδεί.

755. ἐπικυρήσας, ἐντυγχάνων, τύχη sapèr, 'when you chance to meet with sacrifices burning.'— μωμεόευ ἀίδηλα, 'cavil at unseen things,' i. e. to be curious to know the mysteries of divination. Proclus, μηδὲ ἐὰ συμβῆ σοι ἐr lepoîs εδρεθῆναι, μέμψη τὰ μυστήρια ταῦτα γὰρ ὁ θεὸs πάνυ μέμφεται. On àtầηλοs (a and iềciν), see Buttmann's Lexilogus, p. 49. Curtius, Gr. Et. 644. By interchange of the long vowels came àtõeλos, frag. xovi.

757. ἐν προχοῆ, in the estuary. Od. v. 453, τὸν ὅ ἐσάωσαν ἐς ποταμοῦ προχοάς. The polluting of the pure sea, ἡ ἀμίαν-τος, Aesch. Pers. 580, as one of the primary elements, was regarded as pro-fane if intentionally done. Proclus says that Plutarch rejected this distich, ώς εύτελή και ανάξια παιδευτικής μούσης. But Plutarch elsewhere praised these very verses, De Stoic. Repugn. § 22, καλώς μέν απαγορεύειν τον 'Ησίοδον, els ποταμούς και κρήνας ούρεῦν. As running water was used in ceremonial purification from guilt (Aesch. Cho. 63. Eum. 430), it was deemed essential that it should not itself be defiled. Compare Herod. i. 138, who says of the Persians, ές ποταμόν δε ούτε ένουρέουσι ούτε έμπτύουσι, ού χείρας έναπονίζονται, ούδε άλλον ούδένα περιορέωσι, άλλα σέβονται ποτα-μούς μάλιστα. There was another reason why the Greeks held rivers to be lepol, and that was because they venerated them as κουροτρόφοι, nurturers of the young.

759. $dramotio \chi ew.$ The traditional explanation which has the most authority is $d\pi\sigma\sigma\pi\sigma\epsilon\hat{w}$, $d\phi\sigma\delta\epsilon\epsilon\omega$. But some took it to mean 'to cool yourself by standing in a river.' The most natural sense would be 'to die in a river;' but, as this was not a matter over which men could control themselves (in case of drowning, &c.), it must be limited to the preventing animals being drowned therein. Plutarch (ut sup.) seems in favour of this interpretation, $\mu fr\epsilon$ or y-

ώδ' έρδειν δεινήν δε βροτών ύπαλεύεο φήμην. 760 φήμη γάρ τε κακή πέλεται κούφη μεν αειραι ρεία μάλ', ἀργαλέη δὲ φέρειν, χαλεπὴ δ' ἀποθέσθαι. (760) φήμη δ' ούτις πάμπαν ἀπόλλυται, ήντινα πολλοί λαοί φημίζωσι θεός νύ τίς έστι καί αὐτή.

^{*}Ηματα δ' ἐκ Διόθεν πεφυλαγμένος εὖ κατὰ μοῖραν 765 πεφραδέμεν δμώεσσι τριηκάδα μηνός αρίστην έργα τ' έποπτεύειν ήδ' άρμαλιήν δατέασθαι, (765

760. Smaller 761. dfeipai 764. Nafoi 767. Fépya

760. With this verse A ends. 764. φημίζουσι BCDG. φημίζουσι the rest. θεώς νύ τοι EF. 766. TOIAKÁDA H. 767. δατέεσθαι D.

γινόμενα (ζφα) μητε γεννώντα μητ' έν-αποθνήσκοντα έν τοιs lepois μιαίνειν τό Beior. Thucydides uses eranobrhoneir. ii. 52, and iii. 104, and drowixer for dπoθνήσκευ in i. 134.

760-4. Goettling thinks these verses were a later addition. Aristotle however recognises the two last, Eth. Nic. Van Lennep believes them vii. 14. genuine.

761. κούφη deipas, ' light to take up,' a metaphor from a burden, like $\phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \omega$ to carry and drotistau to lay it aside. Cf. Il. iii. 89, τεύχεα κάλ' αποθίσθαι έπι χθονί πουλυβοτείρη. ib. v. 492, κρατερήν αποθέσθαι ένιπήν. Eur. Iph. A. 557, καί μετέχοιμι ται 'Αφροδίται, πολλάν δ' άπο-θείμαν. Pind. Ol. X. 39, νείκοι δέ κρεσσόνων αποθέσθ' άπορον. For the digamma in delpeur (stom dFep) soo Curtius, Gr. Et. 356. The sentiment is this; 'an evil report is more easily fixed upon a person than it is shaken off.' 'No report,' he adds in conclusion, 'is entirely got rid of, when numbers have conspired to spread it.' He hints that inattention to the ceremonial observances given above may bring upon a person a charge of habitual irreligion that it may be hard to get rid of.— dπόλλνται, 'comes to nothing,' 'proves to be an idle rumour.' So θνήσκειν and dπολέσθαι are used of mere groundless reports, Aesch. Agam. 471. Cho. 831. 765 ad fm. The hutpat, or calendar.

Like the Attics of after times, Hesiod divided the month of thirty days into decade, un lordueros, ueowr, and obiror. The same appears to have been known to the author of the Odyssey, xix. 307, ελεύσεται ενθάδ' 'Οδυσσεύς, του μέν φθίνοντος μηνός τοῦ δ' Ισταμένοιο. From the expression in v. 780, µnvds is rauérou τρισκαιδεκάτην, some have thought that the term iσταμενοs included the first half, $\phi\theta$ irar the second. On the other hand we have isrn $\mu i \sigma \sigma \eta$ and rerphs $\mu i \sigma \sigma \eta$ (for $\mu e \sigma \sigma \delta \sigma \sigma \sigma$, v. 782, 819), so that the poet seems to have used lorautrou laxly for the earlier half of the month, and because TpiokaidekáTy could not be ambiguous.--- in Alder, as appointed by Zeus; Aids rapa inf. v. 769. πεφυλαγμένος, ' Observing ; ' cf. πεφύλαξο δέ θυμφ inf. v. 797. eð δ δπιν dθανάτων πεφυλαγμένος είναι, sup. v. 706. -εδ, 'duly,' and according to order, κατὰ μοίραν. Moschopulus, τὰς ἡμέρας δέ τὰς ἀπὸ τοῦ Διὸς, τουτέστι τὰς ἀγαθὰς (cf. v. 769), παρατηρών καλώς κατά το πρέπον, έντέλλου τοῦς δούλοις σου.-For πεφραδέμεν, 'to declare,' 'make known ' (perhaps by setting up a marked calendar), see on Scut. H. 228. So 282. προπεφραδμένα, ' advertised,' sup. v. 665. Goettling would place the comma after πεφραδέμεν, so as to construe δμώεσσων dolorny. But this is unnecessary. The accusative τριηκάδα depends rather on the notion of μέμεησο implied in πεφυ-

αίδε γὰρ ἡμέραι εἰσὶ Διὸς πάρα μητιόεντος,	769
εὖτ' ἑν' ἀληθείην λαοὶ κρίνοντες ἄγωσιν.	768
πρώτον ένη τετράς τε καὶ ἑβδόμη ἱερὸν Ϡμαρ·	770
τῆ γὰρ ἘΑπόλλωνα χρυσάορα γείνατο Λητώ.	
όγδοάτη τ' ένάτη τε δύω γε μέν ήματα μηνός	(770)
έξοχ' ἀεξομένοιο βροτήσια ἕργα πένεσθαι·	

773. Γέργα

770. ένη many MSS. 773. ἀεξαμάνοιο Κ. 772. δγδοάτη τ' ένάτη τε Ε. γε μην DEF.

 $\lambda a \gamma \mu \epsilon ros,$ than on $\pi \epsilon \phi \rho a \delta \epsilon \mu \epsilon r$. 'Mark the days yourself, and let your slaves know them too: that the thirtieth is the best for overlooking the farm-work they have done, and for allotting the ntions (cf. v. 560) for the ensuing month.'

768. With Proclus, who is followed by Lennep and Schoemann, it seems The sense is the sense is, 'for these secred days (viz. $\hbar\mu\alpha\tau\alpha \ \epsilon\kappa \ \Delta\iota\delta\theta\epsilon\nu$) come from a wise and prudent god, when people keep them rightly, distinguishing the true from the false computation.' In the unsettled and uncertain state of a lunar calendar, mistakes would often arise from intercalations, &c. And so the scholiasts explained $d\lambda\eta$ beinv K.T.A. to mean, ' when the people are keeping it, distinguishing the true conjunction of the moon (ourodor) from the false one,' viz. the 29th of the same name of *rpuzzás*. See Ar. Nub. 616 seqq. Van Lennep, "ubi populi, verum discementes, tricesimum mensis diem agunt, justum sic dierum numerum mensi tribuentes."

769. afte, the following days, viz. the first, fourth, seventh, &c. A full stop is commonly placed after particerros.

770. Erg. See on v. 410. Proclus, otrus rate: the roupplan maps of ir. Moschopulus, frour $\hat{\eta}$ main rouppla. Goettling denies that the word can have meant 'the first,' and regards it as the same as $\tau_{Pi}\eta_{Kds}$. But, however difficult the explanation may be, it seems certain that Hesiod is com-

mencing (πρώτον) his enumeration of sacred days with the new month. For he takes the series of days in their order regularly down to v. 798, after which he makes some merely supplementary observations on the fitness and Thus unfitness of certain other days. we have the 1st, 4th, 7th, 8th, 9th, 11th, 12th, 13th, 16th, 18th, 20th, 24th, men-tioned successively. It is incredible tioned successively. It is incredible that the poet should have omitted the first, the voumneia, most festive of all monthly feasts, which he would have done, if Ery means rounkds. Besides, he had but just specified that very day; and the reason why he mentioned the last first, was because it was the day (so to speak) on which old scores were cleared off, and the new month was introduced in its entirety, without deducting its first day for such merely extra duties as are specified in v. 767.

771. $\tau \tilde{p}$ ydo n.r. N. Cf. Aesch. Theb. 797, $\tau \delta s$ $\delta^2 \delta \beta \delta \delta \mu a s \delta \sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \delta s \delta \beta \delta \delta \mu a \gamma \ell \tau \eta s$ $\delta \nu a \xi' A \pi \delta \lambda \Delta w \epsilon \ell \lambda \epsilon \tau'$: where the Schol. Med. has $\delta \nu \epsilon \beta \delta \delta \delta \mu \eta \gamma \epsilon \tau \eta \delta \epsilon s$, so that he seems to have read $\delta \beta \delta \mu a \gamma \epsilon \tau \eta s$. The first day also was sacred to Apollo, Herod. vi. 57. Proclus, on the authority of Philochorus, adds that the fourth day was sacred to Hercules and Hermes.

ένδεκάτη τε δυωδεκάτη τ' αμφω γε μέν έσθλαί, ή μέν δις πείκειν, ή δ' ευφρονα καρπόν αμασθαι. 775 ή δε δυωδεκάτη της ενδεκάτης μεγ' αμείνων. τή γάρ τοι νει νήματ' άερσιπότητος άράχνης (775) ήματος ἐκ πλείου, ὅτε ἴδρις σωρὸν ἀμᾶται. τη δ' ίστον στήσαιτο γυνή, προβάλοιτό τε έργον. μηνός δ' ίσταμένου τρισκαιδεκάτην αλέασθαι 780 σπέρματος αρξασθαι· φυτά δ' ευθρεψασθαι αρίστη.

775. ofus 778. ote Fiópis 779. Fépyor 780. åréfaoba

778. ότε τ' MSS. 780. With this verse I ends. 781. $\epsilon \kappa \theta \rho \epsilon \psi a \sigma \theta a D$ (by correction) EF.

good,' &c. Others, as Gaisford, place only a comma at irdin te, 'but the eighth and ninth,' &c.---foxa, ifalpera, appora is to nireson. The doctrine seems to be that alluded to by Virgil, Georg. i. 268, 'Quippe etiam festis quaedam exercere diebus Fas et jura sinunt: rivos deducere nulla Religio vetuit, segeti practendere sepem,' &c. Hesiod therefore says that they are sacred days, but yet that secular works are permissible on them. Proclus had a notion that these were 'perfect' days, as being for the most part the squares or cubes of numbers, 2, 3, 4, &c.

775. δίς πείκειν, to clip sheep. Ar. Nub. 1356, ασαι Σιμωνίδου μέλος, τον Κρίον, ώς έπέχθη. Theocr. v. 98, όππόκα πείω τὰν οἰν τὰν πέλλαν, Κρατίδα δωρή-σομαι αὐτόs.—ἀμῶσθαι, 'to get in,' 'to collect or gather the blithe crops.' See collect or gather the blithe crops.' See on v. 392.--e6\$pora is explained by Proclus e\$pairorra. Perhaps 'kindly' may be the true sense, though Virgil

has 'lactas segetes,' Georg. i. l. 777. τβ γάρ τοι. The twelfth day is better than the eleventh for shearing sheep, because Nature has made it a spinning day for the spider, and so has pointed out the use to which wool should be applied. For the same rea-son it is a better day to get in corn, because then the ant heaps up its store. — ἀερσιπότητοs, ' high-flying.' The gossamer-spider appears to be meant, and its habits are correctly described.

Schoemann however (com. crit. p. 59) remarks on the palpable absurdity of fixing the twelfth day of an unnamed month for special operations of ants and spiders, and he concludes "hos versus ab inepto aliquo interpolatore insertos esse."—The form ἀερσιπότης occurs in Sout. H. 316, scivero depositor of the second state of the second ever would not fall on the twelfth.-With Bous, ' the knowing one,' compare huepokouros v. 605, pepéokos v. 571. As this word took the digamma, the re must be regarded as an intrusion.

779. ortrauro, get her warp set to the upright loom. — $\pi \rho o \beta d \lambda or \tau o$, lay the foundations of it, i. e. commence the actual weaving of the web. Hom. I. xxiii. 255, τορνώσαντο δὲ στημα, θεμείλιά τε προβάλοντο ἀμφὶ πυρήν. 780. ἰσταμένου. See on v. 765. 781. σπέρματος, the sowing of com. ϕ υτά, the vine, olive, and fig planta.

The two things are carefully to be distinguished here and elsewhere, e.g. ἀρόμμεναι ήδε φυτεύειν, sup. v. 22. Od. ix. 108, ούτε φυτεύουσιν χερσίν φυτάν ούτ' αρόωσιν, and Il. xii. 313, τέμενος φυταλιής και αρούρης. Literally, ένθρέ Ψασθαι means, 'to have them grown on' (i.e. on the 13th). So ἐνδυστυχήσα, 'to be unlucky in,' Eur. Bacch. 508. Some copies give indefuadan a variant

ЕРГА КАІ НМЕРАІ.

έκτη δ' ή μέσση μάλ' ασύμφορός έστι φυτοίσιν. (780)ανδρογόνος δ' αγαθή κούρη δ' ου σύμφορός έστιν, ούτε γενέσθαι πρωτ' ούτ' αρ γάμου άντιβολησαι. ούδε μεν ή πρώτη εκτη κούρησι γενέσθαι 785 άρμενος, άλλ' έρίφους τάμνειν καί πώεα μήλων, σηκόν τ' αμφιβαλειν ποιμνήιον ηπιον ήμαρ. (785) έσθλη δ' ἀνδρογόνος· φιλέει δέ τε κέρτομα βάζειν, ψεύδεά θ αίμυλίους τε λόγους κρυφίους τ' δαρισμούς. μηνός δ' όγδοάτη κάπρον καί βουν ερίμυκον 790 ταμνέμεν, ουρήας δε δυωδεκάτη ταλαεργούς. εἰκάδι δ' ἐν μεγάλη, πλέφ ήματι, ἴστορα φῶτα (790)

792. Γεικάδι Γίστορα

782. Sè μέσση C. φυτοίσι EFK, Ald. 785. κούρη τε BCDEF GHK, Ald.

not to be hastily rejected. Perhaps the day was good for planting because it was sacred to Athena (so Philochorus ap. Procl.), the patroness of the olive.—Virgil rendered this passage, or rather borrowed from it, Georg. i. 284, 'septima post decimam felix et ponere vitem, Et prensos domitare boves (inf. v. 797), et licia telae Addere.' 782. $\epsilon \kappa \tau \eta ~ \eta ~ \mu \epsilon \sigma \sigma \eta$, i. e. $\mu e \sigma \sigma \tilde{\nu} r \sigma s$, the sixteenth day. This is 'bad for plant-

782. $i\kappa\tau\eta \neq \mu\ell\sigma\sigma\eta$, i. e. $\mu\sigma\sigma\sigma\rho\sigma\sigma$, the sixteenth day. This is 'bad for planting, but good for begetting male children,' on the same analogy as the last mentioned, and the sixth of the first decad ($\dot{\eta} \pi\rho\sigma\eta$) next below, which is good for begetting males, but bad for the birth and marriage of females, probably because it was the birthday of the virgin goddess Artemis (Proclus), her brother having been born the day after (v. 771).

784. our to. Gaisford proposes our al.

786. $\tau d\mu rew,$ to castrate; cf. v. 790. $\pi \omega ea \mu \hbar \lambda \omega r$, generally for $\pi o (\mu ras, here$ for $\pi o ras ras random

787. $\sigma\eta\kappa\delta\nu$ ποιμνήζον, a sheep-fold, viz. a temporary fence. Perhaps this

suggested Virgil's 'segeti practendere sepem,' Georg. i. 270.

788. $\phi_{i\lambda}\epsilon_{i}$, soil. $\delta\gamma \epsilon_{FFFH}e_is.-\kappa\epsilon_{FFFH}a_a$, perhaps 'orafty,' ($\delta\beta\rho_{i\sigma\tau}ruca$, Moschop.,) as in Eur. Alo. 1125, η $\kappa\epsilon_{FF}\delta\mu\sigma_{i\sigma}$, μ $\epsilon_{\sigma\sigma}$, $ris ~ \kappa\kappa\pi\lambda\eta\sigma\sigma\epsilon_i$, zapd. In Od. xxiv. 240, Ulysses resolves to try the aged Laertes with deceptive words, $\kappa\epsilon_{FOH}\delta\sigma_{i\sigma}$, $\epsilon_{F}\delta\sigma_{i\sigma}$, $\pi\epsilon_{i\rho\eta}\theta\eta\bar{\eta}rai$, and accordingly he begins by praising and flattering him. Here it seems nearly a synonym with $\psi\epsilon_{i}\delta\epsilon_{a}$. The Greeks regarded cunning and deception as a virtue and an accompliahment.- $al\mu\nu\lambda$ /ous $\lambda\delta\gamma$ ous, see v. 374.- $\deltaa\rho_{i\sigma}\mu_{i\sigma}\delta_{i}$, 'whispered words,' i.e. the soft sayings of lovers, $\delta\mu_{i}\lambda(as \mu\epsilonr\lambda \gamma v$ raikor, Moschop.

raik@r, Moschop. 790. δγδοάτη, viz. of the second decad, or the eighteenth.

791. $\tau_{auv}\epsilon_{\mu ev}$, 'to castrate,' see v. 786. The objects may have meant the male as opposed to $\eta_{\mu}i_{ovos}$, the female; or it may have been the offspring of the ass by the horse (hinnus), the $\eta_{\mu}i_{ovos}$ being the offspring of the mare, as is clear from II. xxiii. 265, $i\pi\pi\sigma\nu-i\xi\epsilon\tau\epsilon'$ $d\delta\mu\eta\eta\nu$, $\beta\rho\epsilon\phi_{oos}\eta_{\mu}i_{ovos}\kappa\omega_{ovoss}$. It is said that mules, like other hybrids, are capable of procreation with one of their parents.

792. The μεγάλη είκαs, called also πλέα by epexogesis, (as Moschop. ob-

γείνασθαι· μάλα γάρ τε νόον πεπυκασμένος ἐστίν. ἐσθλὴ δ° ἀνδρογόνος δεκάτη, [κούρῃ δέ τε τετρὰς μέσση. τῆ δέ τε μῆλα καὶ εἰλίποδας ἕλικας βοῦς, 195 καὶ κύνα καρχαρόδοντα καὶ οὐρῆας ταλαεργοὺς πρηΰνειν ἐπὶ χεῦρα τιθείς.] πεφύλαξο δὲ θυμῷ (795)

795. Γέλικας 796. ταλαξεργους

793. γείνεσθαι (γεννασθαι supersor.) EF. 794. δεκάτη Ald.

serves,) probably means the twentieth of the month, when the day was longest ; Goettling thinks it means cf. v. 778. also the month which occurred in the long year, i.e. when the intercalary month, un i uBoluaios, was added. The scholiasts were evidently at a loss for any reasonable explanation, and so referred $\mu e \gamma d \lambda \eta$ to the day when the double decad, or two-thirds of the month, had passed.—Ioropa por seems the subject to yelvardau, not the object; on the long twentieth a knowing man should beget a son; for he is (i. e. will prove) very subtle in mind.' Some take the sense to be, ' for a clever child to be born;' others, ' to beget a clever child.' And reirarden is capable of either meaning. But the real meaning pro-bably is, that the benefits attaching to procreation on this particular day are known only to the few and sagacious, ol toropes, ol eldores. Cf. inf. v. 818. 824.—έστίν, 80. ό γεννηθείς. Cf. v. 788. But perhaps fora.-Like loadin (v. 824), forme takes the digamma here and in II. xviii. 501, άμφω δ' léσθην έπl Flo-

τορι πείραρ ἐλέσθαι. 794. δεκάτη. This must mean the tenth of the *first* decad, i. e. the tenth of the month. For, if we take μέσση to belong to δεκάτη as well as to τerρλs, then it becomes the same as the *elk*λs just mentioned. If it means the tenth of the *last* decad, it becomes the *τριη κds*. One peculiarity in Hesiod's calendar is this ;-when he has named a day in the regular order, as adapted for some particular purpose, he sometimes goes back, and adds that the corresponding day of another decad is also a fit one for the same end. Compare v. 785 with v. 782, and v. 810-11. Thus here, having stated that the twentieth is a good day for procreating, he adds, that the tenth is also a good one for a man, and the fourteenth for a woman. He appears to have omitted the mention of the fourteenth at v. 781, because he was then speaking of plants (ϕrr^{2}), and so passed from the thirteenth to the sixteenth.

795. είλίποδας έλικας βούς. Moschop. έλικοειδώς τούς πόδας κινούντας. Whatever be the exact meaning of this phrase, (which appears to refer to the swinging and slouching step of oxen swinging and slouching step of one under the yoke, caused by their peculiar manner of bending the instep), it is scarcely credible that both Homer and Hesiod should have used it, though $\delta\lambda\mu\alpha\alpha\beta$ for occurred sup. v. 452. It had occurred to the present editor, that from *koupp* to *tidels* was probably an interpolation of the rhapeodists; and this without being aware that Proclas had inferred, from Plutarch having no word of comment on the four lines, that in his time they were not found in the copies. It may be remarked that size καρχαρόδοντα seems borrowed from v. 604, and ουρήαs ταλαεργούs from v. 791, compared with v. 46.

797. del χείρα τιθείς, έπιτιθείς αὐτοῦς τὴν χείρα, καταψῶν αὐτοὺς δηλονότι, Mosch.—πεφύλαξο, φυλάσσου, εὐλαθήθητι, cf. πεφυλαγιένος sup. v. 765. 'But be careful in your mind to avoid the fourth both of the ending and the beginning month (i. e. fourth and twentyfourth) to brood over cares' (or perhaps 'for carese to prey on your mind'). Proclus, from Plutaroh, has this comment, ἐν lepaîs ταύταις μάλιστα τὰ λυπηφὰ ἀποσκευάζεσθαι (qu. ἀσκοκεδάζεσθαι?) ἐνεργείας, åς εἰ καὶ άλλοτα



J

τετράδ ἀλεύασθαι φθίνοντός θ ἱσταμένου τε ἀλγεα θυμοβορεῖν· μάλα τοι τετελεσμένον ἦμαρ. ἐν δὲ τετάρτῃ μηνὸς ἀγεσθ εἰς οἶκον ἀκοιτιν, 800 οἰωνοὺς κρίνας οἱ ἔργματι τούτῷ ἀριστοι· πέμπτας δ ἐξαλέασθαι, ἐπεὶ χαλεπαί τε καὶ αἰναί. (800) ἐν πέμπτῃ γάρ φασιν Ἐρινύας ἀμφιπολεύειν ὅΟρκον †γεινόμενον, τὸν Ἐρις τέκε πῆμ ἐπιόρκοις. μἔσσῃ δ ἑβδομάτῃ Δημήτερος ἱερὸν ἀκτὴν 805

800. Γοικον 801. Γέργματι 798. 802. εξαλέξασθαι

800. ἄγεσθαι ἐς DEFG. ἄγεσθαι δ' ἐς K, Ald. 801. οἱ K. ἐπ' ἐργματι the MSS. ἐπὶ ἔργματι Ε. 803. ἐμπέμπτη Η. φησιν C. 804. τανυμένας BCDGH. τιννυμένας the rest.

δεί δε ἀναγκαίας αίρεῖσθαι, ἐν ταύταις οὐ δίον. Goettling only confuses the sentence by telling us that the poet meant τοφόλαξο δὲ θυμῷ την τετράδα φθίνοντος καί Ισταμένου, καὶ ἀλεύασθαι, μη ἐν αὐτῆ ἑλγεά σε θυμοβοροῦ. Schoemann rejects as spurious v. 798, and would read πεφίλαξο δὲ θυμῷ ἁλγεα θυμοβόρα. Photius, θυμοβόρος: ἡ την γῆν (l. ἡ ψυχὴν) διαφθείρουσα. Acsch. Ag. 103, τὴν θυμοβόρον φρένα λύπην.

799. τετελεσμένον, τέλειον, lepor, a very perfect or lucky day. 800. άγεσθαι άκοιτιν. Joy, not grief,

800. Syerven known. Joy, not grief, was suitable to the fourth day. Proclus gives as a reason for its being chosen as a wedding day, that it was sacred to Aphrodite and Harmes.—olawobs refras, having duly consulted the seers and chosen such birds as are best for that business; viz. having first ascertained that the omens are favourable. Probably the birds were chosen as being sacred to the gods who preside over marriage. Compare Sputcas refuter, v. 828.—The dri is doubtless an interpolation, consequent on the loss of the digamma in ferpupart.

802. πέμπτας, the fifth days of each decad.—*itaλiaσθai*, viz. for contracting marriage, since they are both unlucky days and of dread import, because on the fifth of the first decad Horcus was taken care of by the Erinyes at his birth.—*àμφιπολεύειν*, 'attended upon.'

The infinitive seems to represent the imparfect tense. The poet might indeed have written $\delta r = \epsilon \delta \mu \pi \tau \gamma \gamma \delta \rho, \phi \sigma \sigma i \nu$, "Epuries duptication is the present of the sense to be, duptication is the the sense to be, duptication is the sense to be, duptication is the "Open, rire genanding (genously); that the Furies attend Horcus on this day, which was huge discartuch, according to the fancy of Proclus. Cf. Soph. Oed. Col. 680, delais duptication, according to the fancy of Proclus. Cf. Soph. Oed. Col. 680, delais duptication is discover. This Horcus, the genius that punishes the perjured, (see Theog. 400), is obviously different (if not in etymology, since both may come from eloyeus, at least in mythology) from the Roman Orcus; and Virgil's mistake in rendering this passage is curious, Georg. i. 277, 'Quintam fuge; pallidus Orcus Elamenidesque satae; for pallidus shows that he must have meant Hades. The Italian Orcus is thought to be Vorague, the devorting demon.—yeuµevo, 'when born on that day.' This reading seems doubtful; the great majority of MSS. (including all I have collated) give 'Opeor rurvusives, and so Moschopulus read; rurvusives, and so Moschopulus read; rurvusives, and so Moschopulus read; rurvusives, drow dwarrodoas, (grovoras. On the other hand, Proclus seems to have found yeuropers dalaporas the yeirous abrow werraduchy ofras repiénses is by this ruppobs dalaporas the yeirous of the above version. Buttmann, Lexil. p.

εῦ μάλ' ὀπιπεύοντας ἐῦτροχάλῷ ἐν ἀλωĝ βάλλειν. ὑλοτόμον τε ταμεῖν θαλαμήϊα δοῦρα, (805) ψήϊά τε ξύλα πολλὰ, τάτ' ἀρμενα νηυσὶ πέλονται. τετράδι δ' ἀρχεσθαι νήας πήγνυσθαι ἀραιάς. εἰνὰς δ' ἡ μέσση ἐπὶ δείελα λώϊον ἦμαρ. 810 πρωτίστη δ' εἰνὰς παναπήμων ἀνθρώποισιν. ἐσθλὴ μὲν γάρ θ' ἦδε φυτευέμεν ἠδὲ γενέσθαι (810) ἀνέρι τ' ἠδὲ γυναικί· καὶ οὖποτε πάγκακον ἦμαρ.

806. όπιπτεύοντά γε εὐτρ. (γε inserted by the same hand) Ε. In F σε εὐτρ., but σε in an erasure. όπιπτεύοντα ἐῦτρ. the rest. 812. γάρ τ' ἠδὲ φυτεύειν BCDEFGH, Ald. γὰρ ἐπ' ἠδὲ φυτεύειν Κ. φυτευέμεν Α.

435—6, prefers γεινόμενον to τυννυμένας. 'The Furies' (he says) 'attended on the new-born child, and consequently protect and avenge injury offered to him.' Gaisford reads 'Ορκον τυννυμένας. Schoemann gives τυνύμενον, 'exacting punishment, viz. from the perjured, the Erinyes attending Horcus as his ministers of vengeance.

806. drine torras. Vulgo drint torras. See on v. 29. The mention of the seventeenth day for threshing corn is explained by O. Müller (Gr. Lit. p. 85), as having reference to the same day of the month Boedromion, which was consecrated to the worship of Demeter and Cora at Athens.

807. θαλαμή δοῦρα, ήγουν τὰ ξύλα τὰ τῶν οἰκιῶν, τουτέστι τὰ eἰs ὀροφὴν καὶ ἐτέραν χρείαν οἰκιῶν συντελέσοντα, Moschopulus. This may however mean (on account of the two following lines) 'timbers for a ship's hulk.' For the hold was technically called θάλαμοs. See Dr. Donaldson's Essay on the Grock Trireme, p. 7. Hence a suspicion arises, that v. 808 is an interpolation, especially as τάτ' ἄρμενα νηυσὶ πέλονται is an Homeric phrase. Il. iii. 61, ὑπ' ἀνέροs, δs ῥά τε τέχνῃ νῆιον ἐκτάμνησιν. The meaning of θαλαμήτα would then have been determined by the verse next following, νῆας πἡγνυσθαι κ.τ.λ.—ξύλα πολλα, cf. v. 427, πόλλ' ἕπι καμπύλα κᾶλα, and v. 456, ἑκατὸν δἑ τε δούραθ' ἀμάξηs.

809. dpaids, pointed at the prow; narrow, taper, as opposed to the rounder build of the δλκάδες. Proclus, τàs στενàs κal περιμήκειs. Compare the Homeric θoal rifes, 'pointed ships,' and therefore, though in a secondary sense, 'swift' πήγνυσθαι, like ποιείσθαι, καυπηγήσασθα, to have them built, viz. by the hands of the shipwright.

810. $\epsilon lrds \hat{\eta}$ µérory, the nineteenth. This, he says, is a better day towards the afternoon, viz. better than in the morning; a sort of dies intercieus or nefastus parte. Proclus, on the authority of Philochorus, states that the 18th and 19th were days on which the Athenians performed explaintory rites; but his comment is corrupt, and Athenian practices did not much concern Hesiod. — The most probable explanation of $\delta\epsilon i \lambda_{\eta}$ and $\delta\epsilon (\epsilon \lambda \sigma s)$, ' visible,' and meant that part of the day which just preceded the close of evening. To derive it from $\epsilon \lambda \eta$, with Buttmann, (on the analogy of $\delta a (\mu \omega r, a (\mu \omega r, \delta \omega c w, lows.)$ seems very far-fetched. See Curtius, Gr. Et 235—6.

811. $\pi\rho\sigma\tau$ iorn eiràs, as contrasted with μ éorn eiràs above, means eiràs ioraµéres. — $\pi ara \pi h \mu \omega r$, viz. both in the morning and in the afternoon, unlike the other eirás. If however (as suggested on 819) this verse was followed by 821, the sense will be, 'the ninth is wholly free from harm in the morning; it is not so good in the afternoon; but it is never altogether a bad day.'— $\phi \nu \tau e \nu \ell \mu e \pi. \tau \lambda$, apparently for $\gamma e \nu r \sigma r$, 'to beget.' Compare v. 783. 788. But it may mean 'to plant;' of. v. 781-2. παῦροι δ' αὐτε ἴσασι/τρισεινάδα μηνὸς ἀρίστην [ἄρξασθαί τε πίθου, καὶ ἐπὶ ζυγὸν αὐχένα θεῖναι 815 βουσὶ καὶ ἡμιόνοισι καὶ ἴπποις ὠκυπόδεσσι,] νῆα πολυκλήϊδα θοὴν εἰς οἶνοπα πόντον (815) εἰρύμεναι· παῦροι δέ τ' ἀληθέα κικλήσκουσι. τετράδι δ' οἶγε πίθον· περὶ πάντων ἱερὸν ἦμαρ †μέσση· παῦροι δ' αὖτε μετ' εἰκάδα μηνὸς ἀρίστην 820 ἠοῦς γεινομένης· ἐπὶ δείελα δ' ἐστὶ χερείων. αιδε μὲν ἡμέραι εἰσὶν ἐπιχθονίοις μέγ' ὄνειαρ, (820) ai δ' ἄλλαι μετάδουποι, ἀκήριοι, οὖ τι φέρουσαι.

814. Γίσασι 817. θόΓην Γοίνοπα 818. Γερύμεναι 820. að μετά Γεικάδα? 821. άΓοῦς δέΓελα

816. ώκυπόδεσσιν GH. 818. κικλήσκουσιν BGK, Ald. 820. μέσση BF. 821. γινομένης G, Ald.

814. $\tau \rho \iota \sigma \epsilon \iota \sigma \delta \sigma_{\epsilon}$ (probably) the twentyseventh (three times ninth); but others take it to mean the twenty-ninth, because the ninth of the two first decads had just been mentioned.—The next two verses, according to Goettling, resulted from a different recension, and were meant to take the place of v. 817—8. The opening of the wine-jars is referred to the $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho \delta s$ just below. Perhaps however we should read $\nu \bar{\eta} \alpha$ $\pi \delta \nu \kappa \lambda \eta \bar{\delta} \delta \theta \sigma h \tau \kappa . \tau . \lambda., or (with Van$ $Lennep) <math>\nu \ell \alpha \tau \epsilon \pi$.

818. elphusrat. In Scut. H. 138 we have $\frac{\pi}{7}$ elphusrat. In Scut. H. 138 we have $\frac{\pi}{7}$ elphusrat. The quantity of the word is discussed by Buttmann in the Lexilogus. — $\lambda \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon_a$, soil. $\delta \sigma \phi a ra.$ Few call it by its right name of $\delta \rho l \sigma r\eta$, v. 814. Proclus explains it thus, 'Few call the real twenty-ninth (so he explains $\tau \rho \sigma \epsilon_{\mu} \delta \delta a$, v. 814) by the name of twenty-ninth,' viz. because some, #s the Athenians, counted the days of the last decad backwards, and so made the "ninth" of that decad what was really the second. See also on v. 768. Graevius gives another explanation, "pauci veracem dicunt," and thinks that henco Virgil took his maxim, 'nona fugae melior, contraria furtis.' Probably the poet meant, that this was one of those days which were known only to the ol eldores. See on v. 792.

819. $\tau \epsilon r \rho d\delta i$. As $\delta \rho \xi a \sigma \theta a i \pi (\theta ov in v. 815 seems the same as <math>\pi i \theta o i \gamma (a, but is there referred to the 27th day, Schoemann (p. 62) suggests the transposition of 819—21 to follow v. 809, or even 801. It is more probable that 821 should follow 811, where it would come very appropriately, and that 820, which has no intelligible syntax, was a various reading of 814, <math>\pi a \tilde{a} \rho o \delta' a \delta r \epsilon [\sigma a \sigma i \mu e \tau' \epsilon | \kappa a \delta \mu \rho v \delta \delta \rho | \sigma \tau v.$

820. $\mu \acute{e}\sigma \sigma \eta$, i.e. $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho \dot{a}s \ \mu \acute{e}\sigma \sigma \eta$, the fourteenth. — $\mu \acute{e}\tau$ `eikdőa, few call the fourth after the twentieth $\dot{a}\rho \acute{e}\sigma \tau \eta$, as they ought to do, in the morning, though it gets worse in the afternoon. Cf. 810. Moschopulus supplies $\tau \eta \nu \ \mu \epsilon \tau$ `eikdőa ($\tau \epsilon \tau \acute{d}\sigma \tau \eta \nu$) à $\rho \acute{e}\sigma \tau \eta \nu$ (oð cav 'f caot).

(τετάρτην) ἀρίστην (οδσαν Ισασι). 823. μετάδουποι, falling in heavily between, as it were merely to fill up, περισσαl καl ἀνενέργητοι, Moschop. άκτριοι, 'fateless,' without any special import or destiny. In the Iliad the word means 'heartless.'—οῦ τι φέρουσαι is a mere epexegesis. Cf. Theocr. xv. 105, βάρδισται μακάρων [°]Ωραι φίλαι, ἀλλὰ ποθειναι ἕρχονται, πάντεσσι βροτοῖs alef τι φέρουσαι. 114

άλλος δ' άλλοίην αἰνεῖ, παῦροι δὲ ἶσασιν.
άλλοτε μητρυιὴ πέλει ἡμέρη, ἀλλοτε μήτηρ· 825
τάων εὐδαίμων τε καὶ ὅλβιος ὅς τάδε πάντα
εἰδὼς ἐργάζηται ἀναίτιος ἀθανάτοισιν, (825)
ὅρινθας κρίνων καὶ ὑπερβασίας ἀλεείνων.

824. δε γίσασιν

827. Γειδώς Γεργάζηται

824. Sé t' isasıv the MSS.

825. ήμέρα Ε.

l. 827. ἐργάζεται CD.

824. $\delta\lambda\lambda$ os $\delta\lambda\lambda$ oí $\eta\nu$. 'Some praise a day of one kind, others a day of another kind, 'viz. lucky or unlucky. For Orpheus, as Proclus tells us, had written certain injunctions about good and bad days; and the Athenians had their own peculiar rules on the subject. $\delta\lambda\lambda$ oí $\eta\nu$, $\delta\nu\tau l \tau oũ \delta\lambda\lambda\eta\nu$, Proclus. But the word may well bear its usual sense of 'different in kind.'

825. $\dot{\eta}\mu \epsilon \rho\eta \kappa.\tau.\lambda$. 'At one time a day is a step-mother, at another time a mother.' Proclus; επειδη ή μήτηρ ήπιος, ή δε μητρυία κακή, είπεν, Αί μεν των ήμερων είσι μητέρες, ώς αν αναθαί, αί δε μητομαί, ώς αν κακαί.

826. τάων κ.τ.λ. Moschopulus; τούτων τῶν ἡμερῶν δς ἀν τάδε πάντα εἰδως, ήγουν ταύτας τὰς διαφοράς και τὰς δυνάμεις πάσας, ἐργάζηται, τὰ εἰρημένα δηλονότι ἔργα, ἀναίτιος τοῦς ἀθανάτοις εὐδαίμων ἐστὶ και ὅλβιος. Thus τάων is thegenitiveafterτάδε πάντα.—ἐργάζηται, goes on farming, or performing the various duties of agriculture.

828. This verse may possibly have been added by those who (as Proclus tells us) appended in direct continuation of this poem another which some attributed to Hesiod, the *boruboµarre(a*. Plato possibly may allude to this, (and if so, he thought it genuine,) Ion, p. 531, B, $\pi e pl \mu arruin fix \lambda degu ri$ $'Ourpos <math>\tau \in \kappa al' Holodos$. On the other

hand, O. Müller (Hist. Gr. Lit. p. 86,) admits the genuineness of this final verse, and thinks that it even suggested the spurious addition of the deriver rela, just as the Cyclic Troica were arranged to form a sequel to the Iliad, and as the Karahoyos yuraukar followed the Theogony of our author, according to the recension of some critics. Goettling says, "Mihi totus aliunde huc rejectus esse videtur; nam omni cum antecedentibus conjunctione caret." As a termination to the subject of the calendar, it is appropriate and signi-ficant enough. To ascertain the will of the gods in matters obscure to human knowledge, and to avoid offending the gods by unintentional transgressions of their festivals, were among the surest ways to realise the evenues of which the poet is speaking, viz. general prosperity in his farming operations. By δρνιθας κρίνων he means 'distinguishing bad from good omens,' so as to avoid doing any work under unfavourable auspices. Cf. v. 801, olwrobs spiras, of έπ' έργματι τούτφ άριστοι. Ar. Av. 719, Sprur te voulfete ndro Scanep neel par telas dianplue. Lucian, in the Dialogue (p. 240 seqq.) with Hesiod, asks the poet why he has nowhere made use of the gift of the Muses (Theog. 32), the faculty of prophecy?

ΑΣΠΙΣ ΗΡΑΚΛΕΟΥΣ.

•

.



•

.



٠

•

THE

SHIELD OF HERCULES.

The poem known as the Shield of Hercules is, in all probability, the work of a much later writer than Hesiod. Composed manifestly in imitation of the eighteenth book of the Iliad, wherein the shield of Achilles is so elaborately and graphically described, it seems to have been the effort of some rhapsodist of the Hesiodean school, and to have originated in the ancient tradition, that Homer and Hesiod had a personal contest in the poetic art. Independently of mere probabilities, the style is very different from that of the other two larger extant poems of Hesiod. In this respect, indeed, it savours rather strongly of the Ionic school, and it probably is of about the same age as the earlier of the so-called Homeric Hymns. That the Shield of Hercules, or at least the main part of it, really claims this respectable antiquity, can hardly be doubted. The careful use of the digamma throughout is remarkable; indeed, it is much more accurately observed than in the Homeric hymns generally. From the nature of the description, considered artistically, Müller (Hist. Gr. Lit. p. 99) considers that it cannot be placed later than Ol. 40; and he founds his opinion principally on the fact, that Hercules is here represented armed and equipped like any other hero; whereas, about this date, the poets began to introduce, as his peculiar costume, the club and the lion's skin.

There are many remarkable words and inflections in this poem (which will be noticed as they occur) more resembling the late phraseology and the imitative style of the Alexandrine poets. It would however be unfair to form any positive opinion from single words or verses, which may possibly be interpolations, or may result from varieties introduced by local rhapsodists of different ages and countries. Goettling is of opinion that from v. 141 to v. 317 is the work of an Alexandrine poet. Schoemann (p. 68)

THE SHIELD OF HERCULES.

pronounces the whole poem, made up as it is of two parts, the work "recentioris cuiusdam versificatoris, haud magni aestimandum, et vix iterata lectione, nedum diligentiore tractatione et critica cura satis dignum." It is certainly remarkable that not a single verse of the "Shield" is cited by any ancient author; whereas the citations from both the "Works" and the "Theogony" are frequent, but especially from the former. Only here and there a scholiast or one of the later grammarians refers to the poem. Stobaeus does not give any extracts from the "Shield;" Athenaeus once or twice alludes to it.

Another suspicious circumstance is, that to a considerable extent the Shield is a cento of Homeric phrases and expressions; more so even than of Hesiodic. This is precisely what we should expect from an Ionic rhapsodist.

The silence of the ancients generally about the now famous "Shield of Achilles" in the xviii. book of the Iliad, combined with some words and phrases not strictly archaic in character, throws a doubt even on that poem, as possibly a post-Periclean compilation from earlier sources. Even Plato, the first author who cites our Homer definitely, nowhere quotes from the "Shield of Achilles." Nor can it be altogether the same poem as that known to Euripides, Iph. A. 1067 seqq., because there Achilles is said to have brought $\delta \pi \lambda a$ 'H $\phi a \sigma \tau \delta \pi \sigma v a$, $\epsilon \kappa \theta \epsilon \hat{a} s \mu a \tau \rho \delta s \omega \rho \eta \mu a \tau' \epsilon \chi \omega v$, when he first came to Troy with his myrmidons. The old materials from which both the Hesiodic and the Homeric descriptions were derived, were possibly the same, and this supposition will account for the many coincidences between the two. But to suppose that "Hesiod," B.C. 700, copied "Homer," B.C. 850, is, to my mind, simply absurd.

The MSS. of the Shield are very few. I have only been able to collate two, and these are recent, and very corruptly written. The only scholia existing are the paraphrase of John the Deacon (who lived as late as the end of the fourteenth century) and the comments of Tzetzes. Of the three Hesiodic poems this alone has any Greek argument prefixed.

118



ΥΠΟΘΕΣΕΙΣ ΤΗΣ ΑΣΠΙΔΟΣ.

A.

Τάφιοι στρατεύσαντες έπι τας Ήλεκτρύωνος βούς ανείλον τούς τής 'Αλκμήνης άδελφούς των θρεμμάτων ύπεραγωνιζομένους. του δε 'Αμφιτρύωνος βουλομένου αυτή 1 συνελθείν, ου πρότερον αυτώ² υπέσχετο, πρινή παρά των άδελφοκτόνων είσπράξηται τιμωρίαν.³ δ δε επιστρατεύσας άνείλεν αύτούς. κατά δε την αύτην νύκτα συνέρχονται 4 αύτη άμφότεροι, δ τε Ζεύς και δ'Αμφιτρύων, ό μεν εκ⁵ του πολέμου υποστρέψας, Ζεύς δε βουληθείς τοις & ανθρώποις βοηθόν γεννήσαι. ή δε κύει έκ μεν Αμφιτρύωνος Ίφικλέα, έκ δε Διὸς Ήρακλέα. Τος καὶ ἐπὶ Κύκνον Ἄρεος υἱὸν ἡνίοχον έχων Ιόλαον στρατεύεται, ός τούς τας δεκάδας 8 άγοντας είς Πυθώ περιεσύλα." σκεπασθείς ουν ήφαιστοτεύκτω ασπίδι πρόσεισιν 10 είς Τραχίνα πρός Κήϋκα. συμβαλών δε τώ Κύκνω αυτόν μεν άναιρεί, τόν δε Αρην ὑπερασπίζοντα τοῦ υἱοῦ κατὰ μηρὸν τιτρώσκει, καὶ ¹¹ οὖτως ἔρχεται πρός Κήϋκα· ήν δέ δ Κύκνος γαμβρός Κήϋκος έπι θυγατρί Θεμιστονόη.12

B.

Οι Τάφιοι και οι Τηλεβόαι είς έριν ελθόντες προς τους άδελφους της Αλκμήνης εφόνευσαν αύτούς. αὐτη δε τον εαυτής γάμον εκήρυττε γαμείσθαι τω δυναμένω διεκδικήσαι τον θάνατον των άδελφων αυτής. περιερχομένη ουν επί τούτοις ήλθεν εν Θήβαις, όπου εδούλευεν ό 'Αμφιτρύων τότε.

12 πρός κήυκα, των πενθερών κύκνου των (l. τοῦ) γήμαντος θεμιστονόην Ν. (Vulg. θεμιστονόμη.)

¹ αὐτῆ βουλομένου συνεισελθεῖν Ν.

² αὐτῷ om. N.

^{*} πρίν ή τῷ ἀδελφοκτόνφ εἰσπράξαι τιμωρίαν Ν.

ἀμφότεροι συνέρχοντο αὐτῆ Ν.

ἀπὸ Ν.

[•] τοιs om. N.

⁷ καl om. Ν. τόν δρεος υίδν Ν.

^{*} denáras Schoemann.

ἐσύλα Ν.

¹⁰ πρόεισιν N. " and N.

ΥΠΟΘΕΣΕΙΣ.

κάκεινος ὑπέσχετο αὐτῆ. * * * 1 ὁ ἘΑμφιτρύων ὁ τῆς ἘΑλκμήνης σύν ευνος τὸν τῆς ἑαυτοῦ γυναικὸς πατέρα ἘΗλεκτρύωνα ἀποκτείνας.

г.

Τῆς ᾿Ασπίδος ἡ ἀρχὴ ἐν τῷ ὅ Καταλόγῳ φέρεται μέχρι στίχων ν΄ καὶ τ΄.² ὑπώπτευκε³ δὲ ᾿Αριστοφάνης, οὐχ ὁ κωμικὸς, ἀλλά τις ἔτερος, γραμματικὸς, ὡς οὐκ οὖσαν αὐτὴν Ἡσιόδου, ἀλλ' ἐτέρου τινὸς τὴν Ὁμηρικὴν ἀσπίδα μιμήσασθαι προαιρουμένου.⁴ Μεγακλῆς δὲ ὁ ᾿Αθηναῖος γνήσιον μὲν οἶδε τὸ ποίημα, ἄλλως δὲ ⁵ ἐπιτιμậ τῷ Ἡσιόδῳ. ἄλογον γάρ φησι ποιεῖν ^{*}Ηφαιστον τοῖς τῆς μητέρος ἐχθροῖς ὅπλα παρέχοντα.⁶ ᾿Απαλλώνιος δὲ ὁ Ῥόδιος ἐν τῷ γ΄ φησὶν αὐτοῦ ⁷ εἶναι, ἕκ τε τοῦ χαρακτῆρος καὶ ἐκ τοῦ⁸ τὸν Ἰόλαον ἐν τῷ Καταλόγῳ εὐρίσκειν ἡνιοχοῦντα τῷ Ἡρακλεῖ. ὡσαύτως δὲ καὶ Στησίχορός ⁹ φησιν Ἡσιόδου εἶναι τὸ ποίημα.

¹ "Videtur addendum kal éyévere.' Goettling.

² v kal σ N. Ald., with the Vulgate text. v kal s' Heinrich atter Petit.

* διό και ύπόπτευκεν N., and om. ούχ ό-γραμματικός.

4 add. Ν. κέχρηται δέ έν άρχη ύποθέσει τοιβδε. τάφιοι στρατεύσαντες, &c. (arg. A.)

⁶ και άλλωs ἐπιτιμῶται ἡσιόδφ N., which has this part of the argument (Μεγαπλῆs -ποίημα) on v. 139 of the poem.

* ποιείν δπλα ήφαιστον τοις της μητρός έχθροις N., om. παρέχοντα.

' αὐτην Ν.

καὶ τοῦ πάλαι τὸν Ἰόλαον Ν.

* καl στησίχορος δέ φησίν Ν. The name is probably corrupt.



ΑΣΠΙΣ ΗΡΑΚΛΕΟΥΣ.

*Η οἴη προλιποῦσα δόμους καὶ πατρίδα γαῖαν ἦλυθεν ἐς Θήβας μετ' ἀρήϊον Ἀμφιτρύωνα

2. apéFior

1. δόμον Ν. 2. eis Ν.

1. In the Furaikor kataloyos, from which the first part of this poem is stated, in one of the Greek arguments, to have been borrowed, Hesiod had commenced each description of his heroines with \$ ofy, 'or like as'-, whence the name Eoiae, µeyahai 'Hoîai, was given to that portion of the poem, -for there is every probability that the 'Hoiau and the Kardhoyos are two names of the same or parts of the same poem, at least in their later recension. The long description of Alomena's beauty and of the amour of Zeus has no connexion with the general subject, beyond showing cause for the innate valour of Hercules. Goettling therefore distin-guishes 1-56 from the rest, as probably prefixed by a rhapsodist. K. Müller also (Hist. Gr. Lit. p. 98) says, "It is clear to every reader of the poem that the first 56 verses are taken out of the Eoiae, and only inserted because the poem itself had been handed down without an introduction." On the highly probably supposition, that the 'Shield of Hercules' was composed in a much later age than Hesiod's, we may still have in this procemium a considerable fragment of a genuine lost poem.

2. µerd with an accusative necessarily means 'after,' or 'following Amphitryon.' We should have rather expected

 $\mu\epsilon\tau$ dubuoros or dontou 'Augurphonos, 'with her husband Amphitryon.' There is some confusion in the legend. Here Amphitryon is represented as banished (by Sthenelus, king of Argos) for slay-ing Electryon, after he had married Alcmena; so that his wife followed him into exile, from Argos to Thebes. Tzotzes ; φεύγει els Θήβas μετά 'Αλκμήνης, οὐ πριν μιγεις ταύτη, πριν εἰς τέλος ἀφανίσαι Ταφίους δίκην δόντας τῶν φόνων τῶν τῆς ᾿Αλκμήνης ἀδελφῶν. But according to another account, he slew Electryon, in conjunction with the Taphii, in a dispute about his patrimony, which also caused the death of his sons, the brothers of Alcmens. In consequence of this, Alcmena promised her hand to the avenger of her brothers. Amphitryon undertook and performed the task, by slaying his former allies the Taphii, and so received her hand after the banishment. Apollonius, i. 747, represents the quarrel about the oxen as between the Telebose and the brothers of Alemena; έν δε βοών έσκεν λάσιος νομός, άμφι δε βουσι Τηλεβόαι μάρναντο και υίξες Ήλεκτρίωνος, οί μέν ἀμειβόμενο, ἅταρ οίγ' ἐθέλοντες ἀμέρσαι, ληϊσταὶ Τάφιοι. That the whole story is a solar myth, and Electryon another name of $H\lambda \epsilon \pi u \rho$, the sun, is self-evident.

'Αλκμήνη, θυγάτηρ λαοσσόου 'Ηλεκτρύωνος. ή ρ΄α γυναικῶν φῦλον ἐκαίνυτο θηλυτεράων εἶδεί τε μεγέθει τε· νόον γε μεν οὖτις ἔριζε τάων, α΄ς θνηταὶ θνητοῖς τέκον εὖνηθεῖσαι. τῆς καὶ ἀπὸ κρῆθεν βλεφάρων †τ' ὅπο κυανεάων τοῖον ὅηθ' οἶόν τε πολυχρύσου 'Αφροδίτης. ή δε καὶ ὡς κατὰ θυμὸν ἐὸν τίεσκεν ἀκοίτην, ὡς οὖπω τις ἔτισε γυναικῶν θηλυτεράων. ή μήν οἱ πατέρ' ἐσθλὸν ἀπέκτανε ἶφι δαμάσσας

3. λαδοσσόγου 5. Γείδεϊ 8. άξηθ' 9. έζον 11. γοι γίφι

5. γέ μιν Ν. 7. κυανέων Ν, Ald. κυαννέων Ο. 9. και ös Ν. 11. ή μεν Ο. οι om. Ald. απέκτεινεν Ν.

4. ἐκαίνυτο, 'surpassed.' Hesych. ἐνίκα. This word is once used in Homer, Od. iii. 282, Φρόντιν 'Ονητορίδην, δε ἐκαίνυτο φῦλ' ἀνθράτων νῆα κυβερνῆσαι. Also by Moschus, Ευτορα, v. 91, τοῦ δ' ἕμβροτος δδμὴ τηλθθι καὶ λειμῶνος ἐκαίνυτο λαρὸν ἀῦτμήν. It is of rare occurrence in this tense, which is perhaps the only one in use; for it seems that κέκασμαι (root κας or καδ) is erroneously referred to καίνυμα, though it takes the same construction, as II. xili. 431, πῶσαν γὰρ ὁμηλικίην ἐκέκαστο κάλλεῖ καὶ ἕργοισιν. Analogous deponent forms are αίνυμαι, λάψαι.— Θηλυτεράων, from a positive θηλύτερος, like ὀρέστερος, ἀγρότερος, ἀμφότερος.

5. $\gamma \in \mu^{3}\rho$, 'but,' verum. See Opp. 772. The connexion is 'She surpassed mortal women in grace; but as for intellect, none could vie with her in that except goddesses.'

7. $\kappa\rho\tilde{\eta}\theta\epsilon\nu$, for $\kappa d\rho\eta\theta\epsilon\nu$, a synonym of $\kappa\rhoards.--\beta\lambda\epsilon\phi d\rho\omega\nu$, if from $\beta\lambda\epsilon\phi a\rho\sigma\nu$, should not take the purely feminine form $\kappa va\nu\epsilon ds\nu$. Hence some have supposed a nominative $\dot{\eta}$ $\beta\lambda\epsilon\phi d\rhoa$ or $\dot{\eta}$ $\beta\lambda\epsilon\phi a\rho\sigmas$. The Schol. B. on II. xix. 1, says the termination in $d\omega\nu$ is the Boeotian dialect, and he cites $K\rho\eta\tau d\omega\nu$ for $K\rho\eta\tau\omega\nu$, $\nu\eta\sigma d\omega\nu$ for $\nu\eta\sigma\omega\nu$, and the present passage as proving that it was also the neuter genitive. Perhaps, $\dot{a}\pi\dot{\sigma}$ $\kappa\bar{\eta}\bar{\eta}\theta\epsilon\nu$ τ^* $\dot{c}\phi\rho\dot{\omega}\nu$ τ^* $d\pi\dot{\sigma}$ $\kappa.\tau.\lambda.$, since Theocritus has $\kappa\nu d\nu\sigma\phi\rho\nu\nu$ $r^{\prime}\omega\nu$

Κρονίων. Οτ, βλεφάρων άπο κυανέων τε. One or two MSS. give κυανεόντων or -- ώντων.

8. άητο, spiravit. Virg. (Aen. i. 403) 'Ambrosiaeque comae divinum vertice odorem Spiravere.' Fragrance was a peculiar attribute of a divine person. τίς άχὰ, τίς όδμά; asks Prometheus (v. 115). & θείον όδμῆς πνεῦμα, Hippolytus exclaims of Artemis, v. 1391. And so the gift of fragrance is imparted to Berenice by Venus, Theorr. xvii. 36, 745 μέν Κύπρον έχοισα Διώνας πότνια κώρα Κόλπον ές εὐώδη βαδινάς έσεμαζατο χείρας. Theognis says all Delos was filled with fragrance when Apollo was born, v. 5-9. The construction is the same as the neuter adjective and the double genitive with bleer, e. g. hod blee xerenos µ6000. So here Alcmena is said bleer spards τοΐον οΐον 'Αφροδίτης. This seems truer explanation than the supposing an ellipse of anµa or πνεύμα

9. *val* Δs , even though he was in banishment for having slain her own father. So Goettling. "Quamvis palcherrima esset," says Robinson, who finds here a surcasm on fair women. But the sense really depends on $\frac{3}{2}\mu^{3\gamma}$ following, which is equivalent to *valve*. She loved her husband, though he was the slayer of her own father. As if the poet had said, 'In truth, he had slain her father ; but even as the homicide she loved him.'

10

5

χωσάμενος περί βουσί· λιπών δ' ὄγε πατρίδα γαΐαν ε΄ς Θήβας ίκέτευσε φερεσσακέας Καδμείους. ε΄νθ' ὄγε δώματ' ε΄ναιε σὺν αἰδοίη παρακοίτι νόσφιν ἀτερ φιλότητος ἐφιμέρου, οὐδέ οἱ ἦεν πρίν λεχέων ἐπιβηναι ἐϋσφύρου ἀΗλεκτρυώνης, πρίν γε φόνον τίσαιτο κασιγνήτων μεγαθύμων ης ἀλόχου, μαλερῷ δὲ καταφλέξαι πυρὶ κώμας ἀνδρῶν ἡρώων Ταφίων ἰδὲ Τηλεβοάων. ὡς γάρ οἱ διέκειτο, θεοὶ δ' ἐπιμάρτυροι ἦσαν· 20

12. βοίσί 15. 20. For 18. σfis

15. οὐ γάρ οἱ the MSS. ἠδὲ Ν. 20. ἔσσαν Ν.

18. πυρί καταφλέξαι κώμας Ν.

12. $\pi e \rho i$ $\beta ou \sigma l$, 'about some oxen.' It is quite clear from v. 82, $\pi r e i \sigma a$ ' $h \lambda e \kappa$ - $\tau \rho \delta \omega r a \delta \sigma i r e i \rho v \mu e r \delta m w$, that the poet represents this quarrel as the cause of Electryon's death, whether it was $\delta \kappa \omega r \sigma i \kappa \delta w \phi \delta r \sigma s$ on the part of Amphitryon. Goettling needlessly reads $\pi e \rho i$, for $\pi e \rho \omega \sigma \omega s$, "irstue erat bubus, uno alterove extra agmen evagantibus," supposing (with Tzetzes) the slaughter to have been unintentional.— $\lambda r \pi \delta w$, for $\phi v \gamma \delta w$, 'being banished in consequence.' This would mean 'retiring from it,' if the deed was accidentally done, as Theseus did in Eur. Hipp. 87, $e m \omega \sigma t \omega r \delta s$.

έκδημον alvéσas φυγήν. 13. Ικέτευσε, 'came as a suppliant'; where the double notion of Ικέτης and Ικέσθα may be noticed, as ἐς Πηλῆ' Ικέτευσε, Hom. II. xvi. 574. Καδμείους may thus be taken as an additional accusative of motion towards, rather than simply exceptional of ἐς Θήβας. Goettling explains, ἐς Θήβας ἐλθἀν Ικέτευσε Καδμείους. Hesychius does not distinguish the two peoples: Τηλεβόα: οἰ Τάριο.

14. Evane. The imperfect implies du-

15. obst of Hermann for ob $\gamma d\rho$ ol, since of is properly a digammated word. Of. v. 20, as $\gamma d\rho$ Foi Sickeerro. But in 11. xxiv. 53, there is clearly no digamma, $\mu\eta$ dyath rep dorr is reparable $\mu e\gamma$ of $\eta \mu eis$. Ibid. xxiii. 865, $\mu e \gamma \eta \rho e \gamma d\rho$

οί τόδ' 'Απόλλων. See on Opp. 526, ού γάρ οἱ ἡέλιος κ.τ.λ.

17. $\pi\rho l\nu \tau l\sigma a \tau \sigma$, antequam ultus esset. The optative is used in past narration where, in present time, a negative preceding, $\pi\rho l\nu$ àν with the subjunctive would have expressed the unfulfilled condition; $ob\kappa$ $\xi\sigma\tau$: $\mu\sigma$: $sbr\etas$ $\delta\pi\beta\eta\nu\alpha$, $\pi\rho l\nu$ àν $\tau l\sigma\omega\mu\alpha$. If the poet had been describing the event as accomplished, not merely as pending, he would have said $ob\kappa$ $i\pi \ell \beta\eta$; $sbr\etas$; $\pi\rho l\nu$ $i\tau (\sigma\sigma\tau\sigma \kappa.\tau.\lambda.$ —It is clear that he makes the slaughter of Alemena's brothers by the Taphii (pirates inhabiting some of the Echinad group of islets) quite a distinct matter from the slaughter of Electryon by Amphitryon. The latter event had not interrupted the harmony of married life. The condition of matrimonial abstinence until vengeance for the brothers had been taken, seems to be represented as a compact made afterwards quite independently.

18. καταφλέξαι (opative), incondisset. Ar. Pac. 608, τριν παθείν τι δεινόν, αὐτδε ἐξέφλεξε την πόλιν. ΙΙ. xxii. 512, άλλ' ήτοι τάδε πάντα καταφλέξω πυρί κηλέφ.

19. The Taphii are called $\eta \rho \omega es$ because the profession of a pirate was rather honourable than otherwise, Thuc. i. 5.

20. διέκειτο, 'it was agreed between them.'

123

19.

τῶν ὄγ' ἀπίζετο μῆνιν, ἐπείγετο δ' ὅ ττι τάχιστα ἐκτελέσαι μέγα ἔργον, ὅ οἱ Διόθεν θέμις ἦεν. τῷ δ' ἆμα ἰέμενοι πολέμοιό τε φυλόπιδός τε Βοιωτοὶ πλήξιπποι, ὑπὲρ σακέων πνείοντες, Λοκροί τ' ἀγχέμαχοι καὶ Φωκῆες μεγάθυμοι 25 ἔσποντ'· ἦρχε δὲ τοῖσιν ἐὒς πάϊς ᾿Αλκαίοιο κυδιόων λαοῖσι. πατὴρ δ' ἀνδρῶν τε θεῶν τε ἄλλην μῆτιν ὕφαινε μετὰ φρεσὶν, ὄφρα θεοῖσιν ἀνδράσι τ' ἀλφηστῆσιν ἀρῆς ἀλκτῆρα φυτεύσαι. ὥρτο δ' ἀπ' Οὐλύμποιο δόλον φρεσὶ βυσσοδομεύων, 30 ἱμείρων φιλότητος ἐῦζώνοιο γυναικὸς, ἐννύχιος· τάχα δ' ἶξε Τυφαόνιον· τόθεν αὖτις Φίκιον ἀκρότατον προσεβήσατο μητίετα Ζεύς.

22. Γέργον Γοι 27. λαξοΐσι

24. πληξίππου Ν. 25. φυκήες Ο. 26. τοισι Ν. Εσποτο Ο. 28. ὡς ῥὰ Ο. 29. ἀνδράσιν ἀλφιστήσιν ΝΟ. φυτεύσχ Ν. φυτεύσαι Ο, Ald. 32. ἰξε Ο. ἰξε Ν. τότε δ' αὐτις Ο. τότε αὐθις, Ald. πόθεν αἰθις Ν. 33. φοίκιον Ν. φύκιον Ο.

21. $\delta \pi i \langle \epsilon \tau \sigma \ \mu \hat{\eta} \nu \nu$, he stood in a we of their resentment if he should break a solemn promise to which they had been made witnesses.

22. $\Delta i \delta \theta \epsilon \nu \ \theta \epsilon \mu i s$, 'allowable by divine law' (fas). It was not avon or over the positive duty devolving on him as the nearest relative, the father, Electryon, having been previously slain.— $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \epsilon \rho \gamma o v$. sc. $\delta \epsilon u \gamma \delta r$, $\epsilon \pi i \mu o \mu \phi o v$. Cf. inf. 38.

22. $\delta \pi \epsilon \rho$ santéer. The custom of exposing the face above the rim of the large oblong shield is mentioned in Eur. Phoen. 1384, $\epsilon l \delta' \delta \mu \mu' \delta \pi \epsilon \rho \sigma \chi \delta r trues \delta \pi \epsilon \rho o s \mu d \delta u$. Here it is mentioned as an act of brave defiance.— $\pi \nu \epsilon i \circ \tau \epsilon s$, so. $\mu \epsilon \nu \sigma s$, like the Homeric $\mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon a \pi \nu \epsilon i \circ \tau \epsilon s$ 'A $\chi au ol.$

'Aχαιοί. 26. πάις 'Αλκαίοιο, Amphitryon. Hence the descendant Hercules was called Alcides. Eur. Herc. F. 2, 'Aργεῖον 'Αμφιτρύων', δν 'Αλκαΐός ποτε έτιχθ' ό Περσέως.

28. άλλην μητιν. While Amphitryon was absent from home, Zeus bethought himself of the opportunity of begetting Heroules from Alcmena. Amphitryon himself, glorying in his hosts, and absorbed in the expedition, had his attention meanwhile directed to other objects.

30. $\delta\delta\lambda\sigma r$. Because he assumed the form of her husband Amphitryo, as described in Plautus' comedy of that name.

32. Tupadrior. A peak (grotto?) on Parnassus, so called perhaps from some

ΑΣΠΙΣ ΗΡΑΚΛΕΟΥΣ.

ένθα καθεζόμενος φρεσὶ μήδετο θέσκελα ἔργα· αὐτỹ μὲν γὰρ νυκτὶ τανυσφύρου Ἡλεκτρυώνης 35εὐνỹ καὶ φιλότητι μίγη, τέλεσεν δ' ἄρ' ἐέλδωρ, αὐτỹ δ' Ἀμφιτρύων λαοσσόος, ἀγλαὸς ἦρως, ἐκτελέσας μέγα ἔργον ἀφίκετο ὅνδε δόμονδε. οὐδ' ὄγ' ἐπὶ δμῶας καὶ ποιμένας ἀγροιώτας ῶρτ' ἰέναι, πρίν γ' ἦς ἀλόχου ἐπιβήμεναι εὐνῆς· 40 τοῖος γὰρ κραδίην πόθος αἶνυτο ποιμένα λαῶν. ὡς δ' ὅτ' ἀνὴρ ἀσπαστὸν ὑπεκπροφύγῃ κακότητα νούσου ὅπ' ἀργαλέης ἢ καὶ κρατεροῦ ὑπὸ δεσμοῦ, ὡς ἑα τότ' Ἀμφιτρύων χαλεπὸν πόνον ἐκτολυπεύσας

34. Γέργα 36. ἐΓέλδωρ 37. λαΓοσσόΓος 38. Γέργον Γόνδε 40. πριν σΓής

35. τανυσφύρον Ν. τανυσφύρω ήλεκτρυώνη Ο. 36. εν φιλότητι Ν. δ εθ ελδωρ Ν. 42. υπερπροφύγη Ν. υπεκπροφύγη (by correction) Ο. υπεκπροφύγοι Ald. 44. ως άρα Ν. εκτολμήσας Ald.

volcanic effects or appearances, like the gas in the cave of Trophonius.— $\delta(\kappa_1 c \sigma,$ another promontory, called after the Sphinx ($\delta u c a$, Theog. 326), not far from Thebes, and supposed to have been the exercise of that monster in her attacks upon the Theban citizens. Heaych. $\delta u u c \sigma \delta \sigma \sigma$, The Harl. MS. has $\tau \sigma \tau \epsilon$ d', a good reading.

34. θέσκελα, θαυμαστά, θεία. Curtius, Gr. Et. 461, compares θέσκις, θέσφατος, and θεσκέσιος, and traces all these forms to a root σεκ = σεκ, distinct from Fer (εἰκεία and ἕπος). Others, with Buttmann, think είκελος or Ισκελος is contained in the compound.

35-7. abrfi, for τŷ abrfi. See Opp. 850. Apollonius often uses this idiom, e. g. airhy δδδν, 'on the same expedition,' i. 199. 1259. ήματι δ' abrfi, 'on the same day,' ii. 964. Cf. Od. xvi. 188, η sal Λαίρτη abrhy δδδν άγγελος έλθω. The Harl. MS. gives ταυσφύρω 'Hλεκτρωστη, which is perhaps a better reading.—Aristophanes alludes to this story about Zeus, Av. 558.

39. rounéras àrponéras, like rounéres

άγραυλοι, Theog. 26, living in the άγρ∂s apart from the ἕστυ, and so requiring the space of a day or more to visit them. It was the custom in the heroio ages, on returning after a long absence, to visit first the country farm, then the town house. Thus we read of Telemachus, in Od. xv. 503, parting with his friends the Spartan sailors in these words ; δμεῖ μὸν νῦν ὅστυδ' ἐλαόνετε τῆα μέλαμναν, αὐτὰρ ἐγὰν ἀγροὺs ἐπείσομαι φὸλ βοτῆραs ἐστὰρο ἐγὰν ἀγροὺs ἐπείσομα φὸλ βοτῆρas ἐστὰρο ἐγὰν ἀγροὺs ἐπείσομα ἰργα κάτειμι. Goettling's idea is farfetched; "Nempe boves ut praedam secum duxerat Amphitryo, quos, quanquam eorum cura injungenda familiae esset, prae magno Alcumenae amore neglexit." It was not the part of the ποιμὴν to take charge of oxen.—On πρίν γ see inf. v. 59.

42. ἀσπαστόν, for ἀσπασίωs. Od. v. 398, ၨΔs 'Οδυσή' ἀσπαστόν ἐείσατο γαΐα καὶ ὕλη.—On ὑπὸ, ' from under,' see Opp. 584.

44. Hesych. ἐκτολυπεύσας· τελειώσας. The root is ταλ, τολ, as in tollo, tolero, τάλας and τλήναι.

125

άσπασίως τε φίλως τε έδν δόμον είσαφίκανε. 45 παννύχιος δ' αρ' έλεκτο σύν αίδοίη παρακοίτι, τερπόμενος δώροισι πολυγρύσου 'Αφροδίτης. ή δε θεώ δμηθείσα και ανέρι πολλον αρίστω θήβη έν έπταπύλω διδυμάονε γείνατο παίδε, οὐκέθ όμα φρονέοντε κασιγνήτω γε μεν ήστην. 50 τόν μέν χειρότερον, τόν δ' αυ μέγ' αμείνονα φώτα, δεινόν τε κρατερόν τε, βίην Ηρακληείην τον μεν ύποδμηθείσα κελαινεφέϊ Κρονίωνι, αὐτὰρ ἰφικλή λαοσσόω Ἀμφιτρύωνι, κεκριμένην γενεήν τον μέν βροτώ ανδρί μιγείσα. 55 τον δε Διι Κρονίωνι, θεών σημάντορι πάντων. δς καί Κύκνον έπεφνεν, 'Αρητιάδην μεγάθυμον. ευρε γαρ έν τεμένει έκατηβόλου 'Απόλλωνος αύτον καί πατέρα δν, "Αρην, άτον πολέμοιο,

45. Feòv

Feòv

54. Γιφικλέα λαγοσσόγω 59. σγον *Αρη άγατον 58. Γεκατηβόλου

45. φιλίως τε Ald. 46. παρακοίτη Ο. 49. θήβη έφ' Ο. 50. κασιγνήτων Ο. 54. ἰφικλῆα λαοσσόφ Ν. ἰφικλῆα δορυσσόφ Ο. Ἰφικλῆά γε δορυσσόφ Ald. 56. θεῶν οπ. Ο. 59. ἄτον πολέμοιο (à in an erasure) Ο.

50. $obx \epsilon' r \kappa. \tau. \lambda$. Up to a certain point, viz. that of birth, they were twins; beyond that, in disposition, they differed. Goettling well compares Theor. xxiii. 2, $\tau d\nu \mu \rho \rho d\nu$ dyaba, $\tau d\nu$ $\delta \epsilon \tau \rho \delta \sigma r o b \kappa \ell \theta' \delta \mu o lasses.$ Ag. 121, $l \delta d\nu \delta \ell o \lambda \hbar \mu a \sigma \iota \delta \iota \sigma \sigma o b s' A \tau \rho \epsilon t \delta a s \mu a \chi(\mu o v - f \sigma \tau \eta v vulg., and this (as$ $from the root <math>\epsilon \sigma$) is an earlier form than $\hbar \tau \eta v. -\gamma \epsilon \mu \delta v$, sup. v. 5.

 $\frac{1}{77\eta\nu...\gamma\epsilon} \mu \hat{\epsilon}\nu$, sup. v. 5. 53-4. Either this or the next distich, expressing the same thing, appears to have been interpolated.

55. κεκριμέτην, distinct, separate. Pind. Nem. vi. 2, κεκριμέτα δύταμις. See Opp. 670.

57. $55 \ \kappa a f$. ('Brave indeed he must have been), when he slew even Cycnus, the valiant son of the war-god.' The connexion is somewhat abrupt and artificial, and perhaps the result of compilation.—'Apyriddyr, as if from 'Apyrias, seems a doubtful form. Perhaps' Apylédyr, like 'Iawerioridys, Talaloridys, 'Trepovldys.

58. $\tau \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \iota$. At Pagasae in Themaly, where Apollo had a noted shrine. See inf. v. 70. From this locality the robber Cycnus issued forth to rob the people on the adjacent sacred road to Delphi.

on the adjacent sacred road to Dalphi. 59. $\pi a \tau \epsilon \rho a \delta \nu$. It is evident that by must have had not only the digamma, but the sibilant in place of the aspirate. Not only the metre requires this, but the analogy of the Latin suus; and further, the form $\sigma \phi \delta s$ ($\sigma f \delta s$) actually occurs, e. g. Theog. 398, $\sigma b \nu \sigma \phi \delta \tilde{c} \omega \pi$ $a \delta \delta c \sigma c$. Hom. Od. xxiv. 411, $\pi a \rho d$ $\Delta \delta \lambda c \nu \pi a \tau \epsilon \rho a \sigma \phi \delta \sigma$. Apoll. Rhod. i. 890, $\sigma \phi \delta \tilde{c} u \nu - \delta r \mu e \gamma d \rho o c \sigma r \lambda$. We should perhaps restore $\pi \rho b \epsilon \delta r \delta \sigma m \kappa \tau \lambda$. we should perhaps restore $\pi \rho b \epsilon \delta r \delta \sigma \sigma \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \phi \delta \sigma$. The

ΑΣΠΙΣ ΗΡΑΚΛΕΟΥΣ. 127

τεύχεσι λαμπομένους σέλας ὣς πυρὸς αἰθομένοιο, 60 έσταότ' ἐν δίφρω· χθόνα δ' ἔκτυπον ὠκέες ἴπποι νύσσοντες χηλη̈σι, κόνις δέ σφ' ἀμφιδεδήει κοπτομένη πλεκτοῖσιν ὑφ' ἄρμασι καὶ ποσὶν ἴππων. ἄρματα δ' εὐποίητα καὶ ἀντυγες ἀμφαράβιζον, ἴππων ἱεμένων· κεχάρητο δὲ Κύκνος ἀμύμων, 65 ἐλπόμενος Διὸς υἱὸν ἀρήϊον ἡνίοχόν τε χαλκῷ δηώσειν καὶ ἀπὸ κλυτὰ τεύχεα δύσειν. ἀλλά οἱ εὐχωλέων οὐκ ἔκλυε Φοῖβος ᾿Απόλλων· αὐτὸς γάρ οἱ ἐπῶρσε βίην Ἡρακληείην. πῶν δ' ἄλσος καὶ βωμὸς ᾿Απόλλωνος Παγασαίου 70 λάμπεν ὑπαὶ δεινοῖο θεοῦ τευχέων τε καὶ αὐτοῦ·

66. Γελπόμενος αρέΓιον 68-9. For

61. ἐσταῶδ' ἐνὶ δίφρω Ο. εἶκτυπον Ν. 67. δαϊώσειν Ald. δηϊώσειν ΝΟ. δώσειν Ν.

 γ' was doubtless a more metrical insertion.—"Appy seems a later form of the accusative, which was "App at least in early Greek. Hermann proposed "App", which is a common form in Homer. See Theog. 922. — $\bar{d}\tau\sigma y$ 'insatiable." According to analogy, this should be contracted from $\bar{d}\bar{a}\tau\sigma s$, since the double a exists in the non-privative root $\bar{d}d\omega$. Cf. $\bar{d}\sigma\tau a inf. v. 101$. Buttmann however (Lexil. in v.) distinguishes $\bar{d}d\omega$, 'to hurt,' whence $\bar{d}\bar{d}\tau\sigma s$, from $\bar{d}\omega$, 'to satiate,' whence $\bar{d}\bar{d}\tau\sigma s$, from $\bar{d}\omega$, 'to satiate,' whence $\bar{d}\tau\sigma s$ and $\bar{d}\bar{a}\tau\sigma s$, remarking that \bar{a} only becomes $\bar{a}\bar{d}$ when originally contracted. The quantity of the a is made doubtful by $\bar{d}\bar{d}\tau\sigma s$ in Theog. 714. Apollonius has $\bar{d}\sigma\tau\sigma s$ $\bar{d}\rho_{\sigma}s$, i. 459.

61. The reading of MS. Harl. (O) points to a variant forcer eith $\delta(\phi p p - \frac{1}{2} \kappa \tau \nu \pi \sigma \sigma)$, the second aorist of $\kappa \tau \nu \pi \epsilon \omega$, is properly intransitive; yet in Eur. Troad. 1306 we have $\chi \epsilon \rho \sigma l$ yalar $\kappa \tau \nu \pi \sigma \delta \sigma \sigma$ $\delta \iota \sigma \sigma \alpha \delta s$. Here perhaps $\chi \delta \delta \sigma \sigma$ should be construed with *viocovres*. Gaisford places a comma after *large*.

63. πλεκτά άρματα are chariots compacted of many pieces fastened into each other, κολλήεντα inf. v. 309, or possibly of wicker-work, like our light backet carriages. 66. ηνἰόσχόν τε Ο. 69. om. N.

64. *Erroyes*, which commentators persist in explaining the rail or rim of a car, are the two loops or semicircles at the hinder part, constantly represented on Greek vases.

65. ἀμύμων, as an epic epithet, describes physical rather than moral characteristics, and means 'brave,' 'handsome,' doughty.'-κεχασημένος, as if from χαρέω, occurs in Eur. Iph. A. 200, in Theooritus and in the Homeric hymns, but not in the Iliad or Odyssey.

boyn is highly be and the field of Odyssey. 68. $eb\chi\omega\lambda\ell\omega\nu$, his vauntings, his boasts. Goettling regards it as a synonym of $eb\chi\ell\omega\nu$, and understands by it the promise of an altar to Apollo at Pagasse, which promise Apollo did not hear favourably, because Cycnus pluntrary, Apollo himself had incited Hercules to attack him, v. 69. It was the custom of heroes, before attempting some decisive stroke, to offer their prayers to some patron god. This is constantly mentioned in Homer. This last verse is wanting in the Emmanuel MS.

71. The syntax is, ύπο τευχέων θεοῦ (Αρεος) καὶ ὑπ' αὐτοῦ τοῦ θεοῦ.

πῦρ δ' ὡς ὀφθαλμῶν ἀπελάμπετο. τίς κεν ἐκείνῷ ἔτλη θνητὸς ἐῶν κατεναντίον ὁρμηθῆναι πλὴν Ἡρακλῆος καὶ κυδαλίμου Ἰολάου; [κείνων γὰρ μεγάλη τε βίη καὶ χεῖρες ἆαπτοι ἐξ ὦμων ἐπέφυκον ἐπὶ στιβαροῖσι μέλεσσιν.] ὅς ῥα τόθ ἡνίοχον προσέφη κρατερὸν Ἰόλαον

⁸Ηρως & Ίολαε, βροτών πολὺ φίλτατε πάντων, ⁴ τι μέγ' ἀθανάτους μάκαρας, τοὶ ⁸Ολυμπον ἔχουσιν, ⁴ λιτεν 'Αμφιτρύων, ὅτ' ἐϋστέφανον ποτὶ Θήβην 80 ⁴λθε λιπὼν Τίρυνθον, ἐϋκτίμενον πτολίεθρον, κτείνας 'Ηλεκτρύωνα βοῶν ἕνεκ' εὐρυμετώπων· ἴκετο δ' εἰς Κρείοντα καὶ 'Ηνιόχην τανύπεπλον, οἶ ῥά μιν ἠσπάζοντο καὶ ἄρμενα πάντα παρεῖχον,

74. Γιολάου 77—8. Γιόλαον—Γιόλαε 82. βοξών

72. ἐκείνω Ν. ἐκείνων Ο. 76. στιβαροῖσι μελέεσσι Ν. στιβαροῖσα μέλεσιν Ο. 79. ἦτοι μετ' NO, Ald. μάκρας Ν. 81. τίρυνθ Ο. 84. ἄρματα Ν.

75-6. This distich occurs Opp. 148-9, and the latter verse Theog. 152; a fact which in some degree confirms the suspicion that this poem is the work of a later imitator; though here perhaps an interpolator has inserted them. From an epic present $\pi\epsilon\phi\dot{\nu}\kappa\omega$ the imperfect $i\pi\epsilon\phi\nu\kappa\omega$ was formed. See on v. 228 inf. So Joannes Diaconus: $d\pi\delta$ $\tauo\bar{\upsilon} \phi\dot{\upsilon}\omega$, $\phi\dot{\upsilon}\kappa\omega$, $\pi\dot{\epsilon}\phi\nu\kappa\alpha$, $\gamma\dot{\nu}\kappa\epsilon\tauai$ $\xi\tau\epsilon\rhoos$ $\xi\nu\epsilon\sigma\tau\dot{\sigma}s$, $\pi\epsilon\phi\dot{\nu}\kappa\omega$, κai δ $\piapararinkos inte <math>\phi\nu\kappa\omega$.

78. The address of Hercules to his charioteer Iolaus, the son of his halfbrother Iphicles, is not very well conceived. To begin the relation of family circumstances at the moment when an enemy, and such an enemy, was descried, was not the part of a warrior, with whom discretion should form the basis of valorous daring. Nor is the point of the address very clear: 'Amphitryo had sinned when he left Tirynthus (Tiryns) for Thebes, where Iphicles was born; (i. e. the gods impelled him in their anger, to kill Electryon, the cause of his banishment;) and Iphicles was

foolish in seeking the court of Eurystheus, from whom Hercules has had his twelve labours imposed,' one of which (Eur. Herc. F. 391) was the slaying of Oyenus. All this is *dxpoolidewsee*, and perhaps, as Thiersch (ap. Goettling) suggested, the whole passage 79–94 is a later interpolation.

80. ήλιτεr (άλιταίνω), 'sinned against.' See on Opp. 330. Apoll. Rhod. ii. 246, ή βα θεούς όλοῆσι παρήλετες άφραδίησι. Theognis v. 1171, εδ δε και αύτος | γνώτη, έπει μεγάλως ήλιτες άθασάτους.

81. T(purfos Gaisford, after Ruhnkra and Winterton; two or three MSS. giving T(purfos. But Goettling shows that T(purfos was a genuine form, like $K\delta \rho urfos$, 'OAurfos.—Arriver, see on v. 12. It would seem from this that neither Argos nor Mycenae, but Tiryns was Amphitryon's birth-place.

83. 'Ηνιόχη, the wife of Creon king of Thebes, is called Εόρυδίκη in Soph. Antigone, v. 1180.

84. ἄρμενα πάντα. All that was fitting and necessary for caremonial purification from blood-guiltiness.



ΑΣΠΙΣ ΗΡΑΚΛΕΟΥΣ.

[†] δίκη ἐσθ ἰκέτησι, τίον δ' ἀρα κηρόθι μῶλλον. 85 ζῶε δ' ἀγαλλόμενος σὺν ἐϋσφύρῷ 'Ηλεκτρυώνη, [†] ἀλόχῷ· τάχα δ' ἀμμες ἐπιπλομένων ἐνιαυτῶν γεινόμεθ' οὖτε φυὴν ἐναλίγκιοι οὖτε νόημα, σός τε πατὴρ καὶ ἐγώ. τοῦ μὲν φρένας ἐξέλετο Ζεὺς, ὅς προλιπῶν σφέτερόν τε δόμον σφετέρους τε τοκῆας 90 ῷχετο †τιμήσων ἀλιτήμενον Εὐρυσθῆα, σχέτλιος· ἦπου πολλὰ μετεστοναχίζετ' ὀπίσσω [†]ν ἄτην ἀχέων· ἡ δ' οὐ παλινάγρετός ἐστιν.

87. σfý 93. σfýν

85. δίκη Ald. ἰκέτηϊ Ν. τηλόθι Ald. τίον δέ γε Ο. 87. ἄμες Ο. 88. φρένας ἐναλίγκιον Ald. ἀλτγκιον Ν. 90. σφετέρων Ο. δώμα Ν, Ald. 91. τομήσων Ald. ἀλιτίμενον Ν. ἀλιτήμηνον εὐρυσθέα Ο. 92. μάλα Ald. 93. ἄταν Ν.

85. κηρόθι μάλλον occurs Il. ix. 300, xxi. 136.

Τ.

88. ἐναλίγκιοι, scil. ἀλλήλοις, not τῷ πατρί. Cf. v. 51. Hercules, the Joveborn, could not have used this according to the common maxim, that sons are commonly inferior to their fathers.

90. σφέτερον, for δν, is like a licence of the later age. Theocritus has σφωίτερος for suus and σφέτερος for tuus. See Cobet, Misc. Crit. p. 410. Suspicious also is the idiom φχετο τιμήσων, 'he went off to punish Eurystheus;' while the old reading ἀλιτήμενον, 'guilty,' 'evil-doing,' derives some support from Od iv. 807, ου μèν γάρ τε θεοῖς ἀλιτήμενός ἐστω. The conjecture of Guietus, however, ἀλιτήμερον,' prematurely born,' i.e. 'missing the right day of birth,' is very probable, and has been admitted by Gaisford and Dindorf. So Tzetzes

appears to have read, did to mpd kaupoû $\tau \in \chi \theta \hat{\eta} \pi a i$. For Eurystheus was born a seven months' child. Cf. II. xix. 117, ή δ' εκύει φίλον υίον, ό δ' έβδομος έστηκει μείς: έκ δ' άγαγε πρό φόωσδε και ηλιτό-μηνον έόντα. Gaisford cites Etym. M. p. 428, 10, ηλιτόμηνος και αλιτήμερος σημαίνει δε τον έπταμηνιαίον γεννηθέντα παίδα. — As for τιμήσων, (unless we should read δουλεύσων—Εύρυσθηϊ, from Joannes Diaconus,) there can be little doubt that it is incorrectly used for τιμαρησόμενος, 'to punish.' viz. for ban-ishing Amphitryo. He thought to do this, but found out his mistake too late. Goettling explains, "Ad Eurystheum antes Iphieles commigraverat oupidine maxime et spe magni honoris." It seems doubtful if the words can mean 'he went to pay honour to'; it is only with verbs of motion that a future participle is used, implying some action. which honouring can hardly be called. It is Greek to say o'xerai dodow, but not o'xerai Basilevs ésobueros, where is erouveros is the idiom.

93. $\eta\nu$ ărn ν , his delusion, his mistake: $\delta\chi$ ée ν , 'bearing,' is a probable and obvious conjecture. The accusative may indeed depend on μ erestora- χ (fero. But cf. Od. xxi. 302, η ie ν $\eta\nu$ ärn ν $\delta\chi$ ée ν des lépsor. $\theta\nu\mu\phi$.

αἰτὰρ ἐμοὶ δαίμων χαλεποὺς ἐπετέλλετ' ἀέθλους. ῶ φίλος, ἀλλὰ σὺ θᾶσσον ἔχ' ἡνία φοινικόεντα ἴππων ὠκυπόδων· μέγα δὲ φρεσὶ θάρσος ἀέξων ἰθὺς ἔχειν θοὸν ἄρμα καὶ ὠκυπόδων σθένος ἴππων, μηδὲν ὑποδδείσας κτύπον ^{*}Αρεος ἀνδροφόνοιο, ὅς νῦν κεκληγὼς περιμαίνεται ἱερὸν ἆλσος Φοίβου ᾿Απόλλωνος, ἑκατηβελέταο ανακτος· ἢ μὴν καὶ κρατερός περ ἐὼν ἂαται πολέμοιο.

Τον δ' αυτε προσέειπεν ἀμώμητος ἰόλαος· 'Ηθεί', ἡ μάλα δή τι πατὴρ ἀνδρῶν τε θεῶν τε τιμậ σὴν κεφαλὴν καὶ ταύρεος Ἐννοσίγαιος,

97. θοδον 98. ύποδείσας 100. Γεκατηβελέταο Γάνακτος 101. αξαται 102. προσέξειπεν Γιόλαος 103. Γηθεί

97. ἔχων Ο. 98. ὑποδδεῖε Ο. ἄρεως Ν. 103. ἠθεῖε, ἢ δή τι Ο. ἠθεῖε Ν.

94. One MS. gives extremelar expansion of the mean of the labours being imposed on Hercules.

98. On the double $\delta\delta$, resulting from δF_{ϵ} , the root of $\delta\epsilon$ iora, see Curtius, Gr. Et. 646, note, and Sout. 236, $\epsilon\pi^2$ $\delta\delta$ $\delta\epsilon$ iperiors mappings. Ibid. 195, maps $\delta\delta$ $\Delta\epsilon$ inds $\tau\epsilon \phi \delta\beta$ os $\tau\epsilon$.

101. farce, 'he will have his fill of war.' From faw, satio. The context requires that farce should have a future sense, especially as $\tilde{\eta} \mu \eta \nu$ is a formula implying a threat unfulfilled; see the editor's note on Aesch. Prom. 928. Goettling compares the irregular futures $\ell \delta \rho \mu a \iota$ and $\pi \ell \rho \mu a \iota$, the latter of which has the ι short in Epic, long in Attic. The formation seems to be $\delta u s$, $\delta \sigma \omega$ (compare $\delta \sigma \eta$, surfoil), $\delta \sigma \rho \mu a \iota$, the σ being omitted in the third person (as in $\ell \lambda \hat{q}$ for $\ell \lambda \delta \sigma \epsilon_1$), and the ϵ being changed to a suphonically because a precedes. Buttmann has discussed this word at some length in the Lexilogue. See also Curtius, 390.

104. ταύρεος. Why Poseidon is so called is not certainly known. Probably from having a temple near the lake Oncestus, the waters of which may have been called *taurine* as rivers often were. Or, as Graevius suggests, because he is identified with Oceanus, which, as a circling stream, is called *ταυρόκρανος* in Eur. Orest 1378. Tzetzes άπο τοῦ θυσίας ταύρων ἐπιτελεῦ

95

100

i

ΑΣΠΙΣ ΗΡΑΚΛΕΟΥΣ. 131

δς Θήβης κρήδεμνον έχει ρύεταί τε πόληα·	105
οΐον δη και τόνδε βροτόν κρατερόν τε μέγαν τε	
σὰς εἰς χεῖρας ἄγουσιν, ἵνα κλέος ἐσθλὸν ἄρηαι.	
άλλ' άγε δύσεο τεύχε' αρήϊα, όφρα τάχιστα	
δίφρους έμπελάσαντες *Αρηός θ' ημέτερόν τε	
μαρνώμεσθ, έπει ούτοι ατάρβητον Διος υίον	110
ούδ' Ίφικλείδην δειδίξεται, άλλά μιν οίω	
φεύξεσθαι δύο παίδας αμύμονος Άλκείδαο,	
οι δή σφι σχεδόν είσι λιλαιόμενοι πολέμοιο	
φυλόπιδα στήσειν, τά σφιν πολύ φίλτερα θοίνης.	
[•] Ως φάτο· μείδησεν δε βίη Ηρακληείη,	115
θυμῷ γηθήσας· μάλα γάρ οἱ ἄρμενα εἶπεν·	
καί μιν αμειβόμενος έπεα πτερόεντα προσηύδα	
[*] Ηρως & Ιόλαε, διοτρεφες, οὐκέτι τηλοῦ	
ύσμίνη τρηχεία· συ δ' ώς πάρος ήσθα δατφρων,	
ως και νυν μέγαν ιππον Αρείονα κυανοχαίτην	120

108. ἀρέFia 111. οὐ Γιφικλείδην 116. Γοι Γεῦπεν 117. Γέπεα 118. Γιόλαε

108. δύσσευο Ald., Ν. δύσαιο Ο. 110. οὖτι Ald. 112. φεύξασθαι--ἀμύμονας Ν. 113. σφιν Ν. 114. φέρτερα Ald., Ο. 116. γάρ οἱ ἄρμενα Ν. μάγλα γάρ οἱ ἄρμενα Ν. γὰρ νύ οἱ Ald. 119. τραχεία ΟΝ, Ald. 120. μέγαν ἴππον ἀρηγέμεν (the rest omitted) Ο.

τφ Ποσειδώνι, ταύρειος ἐκλήθη Βοιωτικώς. That Poseidon was a tutelary god of Thebes is clear from Aesch. Theb. 122.

105. $x p h \delta e \mu r o r, \sigma r e \phi d \sigma \eta r$, the encircling wall.—In $\beta v e r a$, the v is short, or made short before a vowel, as sometimes is the case both in Attic and Epic poetry.—This verse cocurs in the Homeric Hymn to Demeter, v. 151.

106. olor, for $\delta_i \delta_{\tau_i}$ $\tau_{0\hat{i} \delta_{\tau_i}}$ $\pi_{\tau_i \lambda}$. Or perhaps an exclamation; 'see now what **a hero**,' &c.

108. Stores, the aorist imperative. See on Opp. 384.—Stores vulg., and so Gaisford.

111. δειδίζεται (δειδίσσομαι) φοβήσει, will scare away. Bentley read οὐ Γιφικλείδην. See on v. 54. 112. δύο παίδαs. Properly, only Hercules was the reputed son of Amphitryo, the son of Alcaeus, Iolaus being the grandson, through Iphicles. 114. Perhaps a spurious verse.

114. Perhaps a spurious verse. Homer has $\lambda_i \lambda_{ai} \delta_{\mu e \nu oi}$ $\pi o \lambda \epsilon_{\mu o io}$, II. iii. 133. There is a variant $\phi \epsilon_{\rho \tau e \rho a}$ for $\phi i \lambda \tau e \rho a$. For $\sigma \tau f \sigma \epsilon \mu \tau$ it may be questioned if we should not read $\sigma \tau \tilde{\eta}$ - $\sigma \alpha$, as the more epic syntax.

120. 'Apelova. According to the ancient legend, this was the steed of Adrastus. Hom. II. xxiii. 346, obd' et *new µeróπισθεν 'Apelova διον ελαόνοι*, '*Aδρήστου ταχύν Γαπον*, δs en θεόφιν yévos flev. Propert. iii. 26, 37. Apollodor. iii. 6, 8. Pausanias (viii. 25, 5) says the horse was born from Poseidon,

πάντη ἀναστρωφῶν, καὶ ἀρηγέμεν ῶς κε δύνηαι. ⁶Ως εἰπῶν κνημίδας ὀρειχάλκοιο φαεινοῦ, ⁶Ηφαίστου κλυτὰ δῶρα, περὶ κνήμησιν ἔθηκεν δεύτερον αὖ θώρηκα περὶ στήθεσσιν ἔδυνε, καλὸν, χρύσειον, πολυδαίδαλον, ὄν οἱ ἔδωκε Παλλὰς ^{*}Αθηναίη, κούρη Διὸς, ὁππότ ἔμελλε τοπρῶτον στονόεντας ἐφορμήσασθαι ἀέθλους. θήκατο δ' ἀμφ' ὥμοισιν ἀρῆς ἀλκτῆρα σίδηρον δεινὸς ἀνήρ· κοίλην δὲ περὶ στήθεσσι φαρέτρην καββάλετ ἐξόπιθεν· πολλοὶ δ' ἕντοσθεν ὀϊστοὶ ῥιγηλοὶ, θανάτοιο λαθιφθόγγοιο δοτῆρες, πρόσθεν μὲν θάνατόν τ' εἶχον καὶ δάκρυσι μῦρον,

122. Γειπών 125. Γοι

122. κνημίδας Ο, Ald. 125. δν ρά οι Ν. δν ρ' οι Ο. 127. έφορμήσεσθαι Ο. 129. περιστεφέεσσι Ν. 131. λαθηφθόγγοιο Ν, Ald. 132. μύρον Ο.

who hence derived his well-known attribute of "Invices. It has been doubted whether this passage does not indicate the occasional use of a one-horse car in battle. Goettling compares a somewhat difficult epithet, $\mu ordewoles$ tas, in Eur. Orest. 1004. It is probable however that there were two horses yoked abreast, though only one is mentioned, as being of divine origin.

121. ἀμαστρωφῶν, 'to drive to and fro; ' ἡνιοστροφῶν Joan. Diac.—šs κε δύνηαι, as occasion may offer itself. He avoids giving more definite directions.

122. $\delta\rho\epsilon_i\chi d\lambda\kappa oio$. This appears to have been gold alloyed with copper, as $f\lambda\epsilon\epsilon \kappa \rho or$ was gold alloyed with silver. It is an evidence against the antiquity of this poem, that the term orichalcum is not mentioned earlier than the hymn to Aphrodite, v. 9, though, of course, negative evidence is always inconclusive. It is also worthy of remark that $\delta\rho\epsilon_i\chi d\lambda\kappa oio$ $\phi\epsilon\epsilon_i voi 0$ occurs in so late a poet as Apollonius Rhodius, iv. 973. Photius, $\delta\rho\epsilon(\chi a\lambda\kappa os, \delta \delta iauryhs \chi a\lambda\kappa ds.$ What he meant by $\delta iauryhs$ is hard to say, as no metal is 'transparent.' Perhaps, 'reflecting,' as a mirror.

124. δεύτερον αδ κ.τ.λ. Joannes Disconus :--- έξ 'Ομήρου δὲ παραπετοίηνται σχεδάν πάντες οἱ στίχοι.

127. & έθλουs, his twelve labours imposed by Eurystheus.

128. Θήκατο. A somewhat unusual form for έθετο, as is the phrase σίδηρο for 'a sword.' But δόλον & ύπτθήματο πάντα occurs in Theog. 175, and we flud in Theognis v. 1146, αίσχρά κακοϊ έργοις σύμβολα θηκάμενοι. Simonidea, frag. 72, 5, οἱ τόνδε τρίποδά σφισι μάρτυρα Βακχίων ἀέθλων | θήκαττο κείνον δ' Αντιγένης ἐδίδαξεν δωδρας. Π. πίν.187, αὐτάρ ἐπεί δὴ πάντα περί χροϊ θήκατο κόσμον, and x. 30, ἐπί στεφάνην κεφπλήψω ἀείρας θήκατο χαλκείην. Αροίl. Rhod. i. 129 has ἀπεθήκατο. It would be easy to read here θηκε δ ὅρ' ἀμψ' κ.τ.λ. The weakest part of the passage is the addition of δεωνδς ἀπήρ, for which we should have expected some epithet to σίδηρον.—ἀρῆς ἀλκτήρα, zee v. 29.

132. $\pi\rho\delta\sigma\theta\epsilon\nu$, in front, viz. at the point, in reference to their flight. As they are poetically and allegorically said 'to have death,' so they are said 'to flow with tears.' To interpret 54-

Digitized by Google

132

130

μέσσοι δε ξεστοί, περιμήκεες, αυτάρ όπισθεν μόρφνοιο φλεγύαο καλυπτόμενοι πτερύγεσσιν. είλετο δ' όμβριμον έγχος ακαχμένον αίθοπι χαλκώ. 135 κρατί δ' έπ' ιφθίμω κυνέην εύτυκτον έθηκε, δαιδαλέην, αδάμαντος, επί κροτάφοις αραρυζαν, ἦτ' εἶρυτο κάρη 'Ηρακλη̂ος θείοιο.

Χερσί γε μέν σάκος είλε παναίολον, οὐδέ τις αὐτὸ οὔτ' έρρηξε βαλών οὔτ' έθλασε, θαῦμα ἰδέσθαι. 140 παν μέν γαρ κύκλω τιτάνω λευκώ τ' έλέφαντι

> 138. n Felovro? 140. Γιδέσθαι

135. ήσαν · δ δ' δμβριμον έγχος ακαχμένον είλετο θυμώ Ο. ήσαν ---αίθοπι χαλκῷ Ald. αίθοπι χαλπῷ Ν. 136. έντυκτον Ν. 138. κάρϋ Ν. κάρην Ο. 139. δε μήν Ο. (In N the title άρχη τῆς ἀσπίδος, followed by Greek argument. No break nor interval in O.) 141. $\lambda \epsilon \nu \kappa \eta \tau$? O.

κρυσι by φαρμάκοιs seems impossible without some epithet to the noun. The sctive μόρειν is one of the many late forms in this poem. Tzetzes gives both explanations, for we should read ή ώς κεχρισμένων των βελών κ.τ.λ.

133. Briover, at the hinder end, where the notch was inserted on the string. Here they were feathered, enterpupéron, with the plume of the black eagle. Photius, μορφνόν eldos deroù. It seems to be called φλεγύαs (a word anag λεγόμενον) from its ash-coloured ap-pearance; ἀπὸ τοῦ Φλέγειν καὶ λαμπρὸs «Ιναι, Etymol. M. p. 795, ult. (quoted by Gaisford.) Hesyoh. φλεγόας· ἀετὸs ξανθὸs, δξύs. Cf. II. xxiv. 315, αὐτίκα δ' alerdy Ακε, τελειότατον πετεηνών, μορφ-

νόν θηρητήρ', όν και περκυόν καλέουσιν. 135. This verse is given, after Goettling, from Hermann's correction. The old reading was hoar δ δ δβριμον έγχος ακαχμένον είλετο χαλκφ. But in place of ellero several good copies have allon . It would be easy to read Kalumrouro, or even to mark the loss of a line or two, in place of which the Homeric verses ethero & and spart & en', &c., (IL x. 135, xiv. 12, iii. 336,) have been interpolated.

137. ада́µагтоз. See Opp. 147. Theog.

161. Here it is used as an imaginary metal; or perhaps it means steel, ac-

metal; or pernaps it means steel, ac-cording to the later sense of the word. 138. This verse seems added by some interpolator, like v. 114. On the quan-tity of the \bar{v} see Buttm. Lexil. in v. (p. 310), who supposes a form elopun. In Theog. 304 it is short, $\dot{\eta}$ 8' $\ell\rho\nu\tau$? ($\dot{\eta}$ F $\ell\rho\nu\tau$?) elv 'Aplµousıv. It is long inf. v. 415. II. xxii. 507, olos $\gamma d\rho$ sour $\ell\rho\nu\sigma$ wixas kal $\tau\epsilon(\chi\epsilon a \mu a k \rho d.$ In fact, the vin Alouar is common even with the in propar is common even with the tragic writers.

139. $\gamma \epsilon \ \mu \epsilon \nu$, at or verum, see v. 5. With this verse the description of the shield commences, as is notified in some copies by the heading ' $A\rho\chi\eta$ $\tau\sigma\hat{v}$ $\epsilon\pi i$. $\gamma\rho d\mu\mu\alpha\tau\sigma s$ $\tau\hat{\eta}s$ $\delta\sigma\pi i\delta\sigma s.$ — $\sigma\delta\tau\epsilon$ $\kappa.\tau.\lambda.$ 'had neither shattered nor rended it.' Properly, phyrum is to break by violent force into two or more fragments; θλdω to crush; κλάω to snap, (as a twig,) θραύω to break down, as a gate, door, chariot, &c.; &yruµi to shatter, and so destroy the integrity of a whole, as to dash a ship to pieces against the rocks, to fracture the skull, &c.

141. TITdre, with gypsum, or perhaps some white composition forming a durable enamelled ground. Photius, TITavór. λευκόν, ή γύψον κονίαν. This seems a

ηλέκτρφ θ΄ ὑπολαμπές ἔην, χρυσφ τε φαεινφ
λαμπόμενον, κυάνου δὲ διὰ πτύχες ηλήλαντο.
ἐν μέσσφ δὲ δράκοντος ἔην φόβος οὖτι φατειὸς,
ἔμπαλιν ὅσσοισιν πυρὶ λαμπομένοισι δεδορκώς·
τοῦ καὶ ἀδόντων μὲν πλητο στόμα λευκὰ θεόντων,
δεινῶν, ἀπλήτων, ἐπὶ δὲ βλοσυροῦο μετώπου

144. φατείός 146. θεί όντων

142. ὑπερλαμπès Ν. 143. ἠλήσαντο Ν. 144. φατεινός Ν. 145. ὄσσοισι Ο. ἔμπαλιν δ' ὄσσοιο Ν. 147. ἀτλήτων Ο. βλοσσυροιο προσώπου Ο.

word of the later age; it is used by Lucian (Somnus, § 6), who speaks of a woman διεζωσμένη την έσθητα, τιτάνου καταγέμουσα, olos ήν ό θείος, όπότε ξέοι τούς λίθους. Tzetzes says it was gypsum mixed with the white of an egg. But such a material is strangely introduced as forming part of the ground of a shield in company with ivory, electrum, and gold. The poet appears to describe a circular disk, the centre of which was occupied by the figure of a dragon, and the part intervening between it and the outer rim was divided into four compartments by thin strips or layers (πτύχes) of the unknown metal called rivaros, which is thought to have been of the nature of blue steel. Curtius, Gr. Et. 535, thinks it is the Sansorit ojâmas, 'dark.' Thus κύκλφ means $\pi \epsilon_{\rho i \xi}$, all around the central device.λαμπόμενον is clumsily introduced after ύπολαμπές, and before λαμπομένοισι. This verse might well be omitted.

142. Electrum was an alloy of gold and silver: see sup. 122. Virg. Aen. viii. 401, 'Quicquid in arte mea possum promittere curae, Quod fieri ferro liquidove potest electro,' &c.

144. $\phi a \tau \epsilon \iota \delta s$, for $\phi a \tau \epsilon \delta s$, (probably with the digamma,) used only in Theog. 310, and inf. 161; the same as $\phi a \tau \delta s$. As compared with the Homeric description of the Shield of Achilles, in the eighteenth book of the Iliad, this account of the shield of Hercules is characterised rather by terror than by the peaceful and rural scenes which the other for the most part exhibits.

See Müller, Gr. Lit. p. 99 :- "The Hesiodic poet places in the middle of the shield a terrible dragon, surrounded by twelve twisted snakes, exactly as the gorgoneum or head of Medusa is represented. On Tyrrhenian shields of Tarquinii other monstrous heads are similarly introduced in the middle. A battle of wild boars and lions makes a border, as is often the case in early Greek sculp-tures and vases. It must be conceived as a narrow band or ring round the middle. The first considerable row, which surrounds the centre piece in a circle, consists of four departments, of which two contain warlike, and two peaceable subjects. So that the entire shield contains, as it were, a sanguinary and a tranquil side.—An external row (brip abriev v. 237) is occupied by a city at war and a city at peace, which the poet borrows from Homer, but describes with greater minuteness, and indeed overloads with too many details."

145. ξμπαλιν δεδορκώς. Not for λεξέ βλέπων, (Goetling,) but literally, 'looking backwards,' viz. with its head turned round towards its tail.

146. πλήτο, έπλητο, a passive sorist from πίμπλημ.—λευκά θεόστων 'running in a white row,' seems a questionable idiom, and probably is an imitation of some more genuine Epic phrase.

147. ἐπὶ μετάπου. He seems to mean, 'perched on its head' (ἐπάνω τοῦ προσώπου τοῦ δράκουτος, Jo. Diac.); but then πεπότητο negatives the idea of standing. Perhaps, 'perched in her flight on the

134



δεινή Έρις πεπότητο κορύσσουσα κλόνον ανδρών. σχετλίη, η βα νόον τε και έκ φρένας αίνυτο φωτών, οίτινες αντιβίην πόλεμον Διος υίι φέροιεν. 150 τῶν καὶ ψυχαὶ μὲν χθόνα δύνουσ' "Αϊδος εἶσω αὐτῶν, ὀστέα δέ σφι περὶ ῥινοῖο σαπείσης Σειρίου άζαλέοιο κελαινή πύθεται αίη. εν δε Προτωξίς τε Παλίωξίς τε τέτυκτο, εν δ' Ομαδός τε Φόβος τ' Ανδροκτασίη τε δεδήει. 155 [έν δ' Ερις, έν δε Κυδοιμός εθύνεον, έν δ' όλοή Κήρ άλλον ζωόν έχουσα νεούτατον, άλλον άουτον, άλλον τεθνηῶτα κατὰ μόθον ἕλκε ποδοῦν. εἶμα δ΄ ἔχ' ἀμφ' ὤμοισι δαφοινεὸν αἶματι φωτῶν,]

151. 'AFiloos.

149. καὶ φρένας είλετο βρωτῶν Ο. (Marg. N, η αἴνυτο. gl. ἀφήρει). 1. δύμεναι Ο. 152. σφιν Ald., and N by correction. 153. 151. Súpera O. 155. φόνος τ' ανδρών ανδροκτασίη τε Ν. καλαινή Ald. μελαίνη Ο. 157. Lipov N. 158. TEOVELOTA Ald. 159. exer' N.

dragon's brow,' as she occasionally flitted off to marshal the ranks. Such an ideal movement might be suggested by the attitude of the painted figure .-The object and design of this figure, the poet proceeds to say, was to deprive of their reason those who combated with Hercules. But there seems a confusion here between the moral influence of "Epis, and her form painted on the shield, which could have produced no other effect than fear.--- xopvorovra, see inf. v. 197.

149. alrero Goettl. Dind. with two MSS. for eldero.

151. των, of these, sc. των φερόντων πόλεμον.—αυτών is added merely to form an antithesis with borta. See v. 71.

152. περl κ.τ.λ., 'the skin having putrefied from around them.' The form \$ purds seems a peculiarity of the Alexandrine school. Goettling refers to Apoll. Rhod. ii. 58, purous Bown icatias .- Leiplov, a somewhat unusual genitive of time, ' when Sirius is scorching.' But the expression seems that of the interpolation of some copyist.-

an incorrect writer. One is almost tempted to propose Zeiplov & (a) éoio

φαεινή πύθεται αύγή οτ αίγλη. 154. προτωξις (ἰώκειν = διώκειν) is the putting the enemy to rout before the charging host; παλίωξις (an Homeric word, Il. xii, 71. xv. 69), the rallying of the pursued so as to pursue in their turn.

155. ¿Bebhei seems to have been the reading of Hesychius, who (probably referring to this verse) explains ¿dedies hy edeboines, A elenémauro, thus con-founding two very different words.

157. reobraros (obrâr), 'newly wound-ed,' is also Homeric, Il. Xiii. 539, kard δ' alμa νεουτάτου έρρεε χειρόs. See inf. v. 253, and on v. 165. From Il. xviii. 535-8 the four lines here enclosed in brackets are taken word for word, there is a substituted for $\delta \mu (\lambda correct respectively to the substitution of the substitu$ regards as an indication of design on the part of the poet to appropriate the Homeric lines. But they may be merely

δεινὸν δερκομένη καναχῆσί τε βεβρυχυία. 160 ἐν δ' ὀφίων κεφαλαὶ δεινῶν ἔσαν, οὖτι φατειῶν, δώδεκα, ταὶ φοβέεσκον ἐπὶ χθονὶ φῦλ' ἀνθρώπων, [οἶτινες ἀντιβίην πόλεμον Διὸς υἶι φέροιεν·] τῶν καὶ ὀδόντων μὲν καναχὴ πέλεν εὖτε μάχοιτο 'Αμφιτρυωνιάδης, τὰ δὲ δαίετο θαυματὰ ἔργα. 165 στίγματα δ' ὡς ἐπέφαντο ἰδεῖν δεινοῖσι δράκουσι· κυάνεοι κατὰ νῶτα, μελάνθησαν δὲ γένεια. ἐν δὲ συῶν ἀγέλαι χλούνων ἔσαν ἦδὲ λεόντων

165. θαξματά ξέργα. 166. Γιδείν

160. καταχήσι τε βεβριθυΐαι Ν. βεβριθυΐα Ο, Ald. 161. φατεινών Ν. 165. θωϋτὰ Ald. θαυμαστὰ ΝΟ. 167. κυάνεα ΝΟ. γένειαν Ν.

 $\theta v \nu \epsilon \omega$, though not perhaps elsewhere used than in this poem, occurs inf. v. 210. 257. 286.

160. $\kappa\omega \alpha \chi \beta \sigma i$, suppl. $\delta\delta\delta\sigma \tau \omega \nu$, 'rows of rattling teeth,' as inf. v. 164. It is quite credible that barbaric accoutrements should have rows of movable teeth arranged so as to rattle. Hom. IL xix. 365 (if the passage be genuine), $\tau o \tilde{\nu} \kappa a i$ $\delta\delta\delta\sigma \tau \omega \nu \mu \delta \nu \kappa \alpha \nu \alpha \chi \eta \pi \delta \kappa a$. — $\beta \epsilon \beta \rho \nu \chi \nu \alpha \alpha \lambda \alpha \chi$ ($\beta \rho \delta \chi \omega$), a better reading than the vulg. $\beta \epsilon \beta \rho \iota \delta \sigma \omega$, which probably arose from a notion that $\kappa \alpha \nu \alpha \lambda \alpha$ meant 'tinkling ornaments,' as in Soph. Antig. 130. Cf. II. xvii. $\delta \iota m \epsilon \tau \delta \sigma \kappa \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \delta i \delta \delta \beta \rho \nu \chi \epsilon \nu \mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha \kappa \nu \mu \alpha \pi \sigma i \delta \delta \sigma \nu$. The correction was made by Ruhnken, and was afterwards confirmed by two MSS.

163. This verse can hardly be genuine both here and v. 150.

164. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$, in which serpents' heads there were also teeth that rattled whenever Hercules was fighting. Similar noveable devices on Theban shields are described in Eur. Phoen. 1126. There is truth however in a remark of Clark's (on v. 148), "Veteres poetae nullam verisimilitudinis rationem habebant, ubi sermo erat de operibus deorum."

165. $\theta a \nu \mu a \tau \lambda$ is the reading of good MSS. for $\theta a \nu \mu a \sigma \tau \lambda$ or $\theta \omega \nu \tau \lambda$, the σ being dropped in pronunciation, as in $\lambda \delta d$ - $\mu a \tau os$ for $\lambda \delta d \mu a \sigma \tau os$, $\nu \epsilon o \nu \tau a \tau os$ (v. 157)

for reobracros. So perhaps in II. xvii. 88, $\phi \lambda o \gamma$ elke λos 'H $\phi al or o oo d \sigma \beta er q o o d \sigma \beta$ el o va $\lambda d \theta e'$ 'Arpéos. Vulg. d $\sigma \beta e \sigma r q$. There was a tendency to drop the σ in verbal adjectives; hence $\gamma reords$ and $\kappa \lambda a u \sigma d s$. Curtius (Gr. Et. 253) thinks $\theta w r d$ defensible for $\theta w F e r d$, root $\theta a F$, as in $\theta a \mu \beta e \omega$ and $\theta e d o \mu a$. The sense is, 'and they shone brightly (in metal), wonderful works of art.' Cf. Od. vi. 132, $er \delta e d \delta \sigma \sigma e \delta a e r a$. The periphrast Joannes Diaconus took this as from $\delta a e u'$ to divide;' $-f \gamma o w \mu e \mu e \rho u \sigma$ $\mu e r a f \sigma a r a d \delta \sigma v y k e \chi u e e r a$.

166. $\sigma\tau i\gamma\mu\alpha\tau a$ &, 'as it were spoke.' Virg. Georg. iii. 425, 'anguis—notis longam maculosus grandibus alvum.'— $\pi\tau\phi\alpha\tau\sigma\sigma$, the singular of the pluperfect ($\phi\alpha i\nu\sigma\mu\alpha$), as $\pi\phi\alpha\pi\tau\alpha$ of the perfect.

167. $\mu\epsilon\lambda dx\theta\eta\sigma ax$, they were black under the throat. The nominative is $\delta\rho d\kappa or \tau \epsilon$. The old reading was κv $dx\epsilon a$, but three or four good copies with Aldus give $\kappa v dx \epsilon a$, $\delta\sigma ax$ being supplied. Cf. inf. v. 300, $\mu\epsilon\lambda dx\theta\eta\sigma ax$ $\gamma \epsilon \mu\delta r$ alle.

168. order χ_{λ} often applied to the wild Eum. 179, where it is shown that χ_{λ} often, so often applied to the wild boar, probably meant 'entire,' as opposed to $i\kappa\tau_{0}\mu ias$, the castrated and domesticated animal. Goetling derives it from $\chi \epsilon \lambda \dot{\omega} r (\chi \epsilon \lambda \dot{\omega} r\eta)$, a shout.

ές σφέας δερκομένων, κοτεόντων θ' ιεμένων τε. τῶν καὶ ὑμιληδὸν στίχες ἦῦσαν οὐδέ νυ τῶνγε 170 οὐδέτεροι τρεέτην φρίσσον γε μὲν αὐχένας ẳμφω. ἦδη γάρ σφιν ἔκειτο μέγας λῖς, ἀμφὶ δὲ κάπροι δοιοὶ ἀπουράμενοι ψυχὰς, κατὰ δέ σφι κελαινὸν αἶμ' ἀπελείβετ' ἔραζ' οἱ δ' αὐχένας ἐξεριπόντες κείατο τεθνηῶτες ὑπὸ βλοσυροῖσι λέουσι. 175 τοὶ δ' ἔτι μᾶλλον ἐγειρέσθην κοτέοντε μάχεσθαι, ἀμφότεροι, χλοῦναί τε σύες χαροποί τε λέοντες. ἐν δ' ἦν ὑσμίνη Λαπιθάων αἰχμητάων

172-5. λέεις, λέεουσι? 179. Γάνακτα.

169. κοτιέντων τ' Ald. 170. τών γε ΝΟ. 172. λὶς Ο. κάπροιω (80. κάπροι) Ald. 173. δ' ὐοὶ Ο. 174. ἔραζεν ΝΟ. 175. τεθνειώτες Ν. 176. ἐγειρήθην Ν. ἐγειρέθην κοτέωντε Ο. 177. λέοντε Ο. 178. λαπίθων Ald. λαπιθίων Ο.

170. τοίγε, Aldus. Others have τώ γε or τŵr γε.

171. $\gamma \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu$. They had no fear of each other, *though* the hairs on the neck bristled up, which might be a sign of fear or of rage. The $\gamma \lambda \rho$ following shows why they were fearless; one lion and two boars had already been killed in the fight.

172. $\eta \delta \eta$ ékerro, was already lying dead before them, and at his sides $(\dot{a}\mu\phi)$ two boars, having forfeited their lives. So Theocr. i. 48, $\dot{a}\mu\phi$ $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ $\mu\nu$ $\delta \delta'$ $\dot{a}\lambda d\pi exers.$ Joannes Diaconus seems to have read $\eta \delta \eta$ $\gamma d\rho$ $\sigma \phi'$ $\dot{\epsilon}\pi \epsilon \epsilon i r \sigma$ $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \gamma \lambda \hat{i} \hat{s}$, for he explains it by $\eta \delta \eta$ $\gamma d\rho$ $\epsilon \pi \eta \lambda \delta \epsilon$ roîs $\kappa d\pi \rho o i s$ $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \kappa \epsilon i r \sigma$ $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \gamma \lambda \hat{i} \hat{s}$. Hermann thinks the participle refers to both $\lambda \hat{i} \hat{s}$ and $\kappa d\pi \rho o i$, ' each having deprived the other of life.' But $\dot{d} \pi \sigma o \rho d$ $\mu \epsilon \nu \sigma i \hat{s}$ a somewhat doubtful aorist participle, to be compared with the late form $\epsilon \delta \rho d \mu \eta \nu$ for $\epsilon \delta \rho \delta \mu \eta \nu$. Buttmann (Lexil, p. 145) here gives it a passive sense. It seems to have been adapted from the Homeric $\dot{\alpha} \pi o \dot{\rho} a \hat{s}$ ($\dot{\alpha} \pi \omega \rho \hat{a} \nu$), and $\dot{\alpha} \pi \eta \dot{\rho} \omega$ ($\epsilon \pi \eta \dot{\rho} \omega$, -v), in Aesch. Prom. 28, where it bears the proper sense, 'to get as a reward or consequence of some action.' Here the poet seems to have meant $d\phi auge \theta i press$ $<math>\psi v \chi ds$, though in the medial sense. We have the active $\theta v \mu dv$ $d\pi o \psi \rho as$ in Od. xiii. 270.

173. $\sigma\phi_i$, the dead lion and the boars, as contrasted with ol $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$, the boars alone.

174. $\delta\xi\epsilon\rho\mu\pi\delta\nu\tau\epsilons$, 'letting fall.' Apparently an incorrect imitation of the intransitive aorist of $\delta\epsilon\rho\epsilon\rho$'s and $\delta\epsilon\rho\eta$ density of $\delta\epsilon\rho\eta$ density and $\delta\epsilon\rho\eta$ densit

175. $\delta \pi \delta$ cannot here mean 'under,' and therefore it must express agency, $= \pi \delta \ \lambda \delta \epsilon \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu$.

177. $\chi a \rho \sigma v o l$, 'wide - mouthed,' from the root $\chi a \rho$, as in $\chi d \rho \omega r$ and $\chi d \rho \omega \beta \delta s s$. (New Cratylus, § 282.) This seems a better meaning and etymology than that of Curtius (198), 'sparkling,' 'twinkling,' Sanser. ghar, 'to shine.' Theocritus, applying the epithet to Ganymede, must have meant 'bright-eyed.'

mede, must have meant 'bright-eyed.' 178. δσμίτη. From Sanser. judh, 'to fight.' (Curtius, 399.)

Καινέα τ' ἀμφὶ ἀνακτα Δρύαντά τε Πειρίθοόν τε Όπλέα τ' Ἐξάδιόν τε Φάληρόν τε Πρόλοχόν τε Μόψον τ' Ἀμπυκίδην, Τιταρήσιον, ὄζον Ἄρηος, Θησέα τ' Αἰγείδην, ἐπιείκελον ἀθανάτοισιν ἀργύρεοι, χρύσεια περὶ χροῖ τεύχε' ἔχοντες. Κένταυροι δ' ἑτέρωθεν ἐναντίοι ἠγερέθοντο ἀμφὶ μέγαν Πετραῖον ἰδ' Ἄσβολον οἰωνιστὴν Ἄρκτον τ' Οὖρειόν τε μέλαγχαίτην τε Μίμαντα καὶ δύο Πευκείδας, Περιμήδεά τε Δρύαλόν τε, ἀργύρεοι, χρυσέας ἐλάτας ἐν χερσὶν ἔχοντες. καί τε συναΐγδην ὡσεὶ ζωοί περ ἐόντες

182. ἐπιΓείκελον 183. χροΓί

179. δρίαντα τέ πειρίθονείτε Ο. 181. ταρήσιον Ο. 182. ἀργεῶην N. 183. om. O. 184. Κένταυροί θ Ald. 185. ήδ NO, Ald. 186. οὕριόν τε Ν, Ald. τ' οῦριόν τε Ο. μεγαγχήτην Ν. μελεγχαίτα τε Ο. 187. πευκίδασ Ν, Ald. 188. ἀργυρέας Ο. ἔχοντας Ο. 189. συναίκτην Ν, Ald. συναίγδην Ο.

179. $d\mu\phi$ l, 'around,' as allies rallying round their leaders; while the Centaurs on their part fought $d\mu\phi$ l Πετραΐον κ.τ.λ. v. 185. This passage is closely copied from II. i. 263, οίον Πειρίθούν τε Δρύαντά τε ποιμένα λαῶν, Καινέα τ' Ἐξάδιόν τε καὶ ἀντίθεον Πολύφημον Θησέα τ' κ.τ.λ.

181. Suidas: Trraphous: norauds $\Theta \epsilon \sigma$ - $\sigma a \lambda las$. Probably we should read Trra- $\rho \eta \sigma \sigma \delta s$. A pollonius mentions Móψos Trraphous in his catalogue of herces, i. 65. See II. ii. 751, where the reading Trrapho would preserve the F in Fép- $\gamma a \nu \epsilon \mu \sigma \tau \sigma$.

183. doytopeon is laxly used, as if Aaríban $d\mu dx corro$ had preceded for $\delta \sigma \mu ling$ $<math>\eta r$ Aartbûr. To place a colon at $d\theta ard$ $rouser, and supply <math>\eta \sigma ar$, is much more harsh here than sup. v. 167. Gaisford marks the verse as spurious; and it is wanting in three of the MSS. It agrees however with the description of the other combatants, v. 188, perhaps intentionally on the poet's part.

185. $A\sigma\beta_{o\lambda os}$ is a name that can hardly be considered of great antiquity.

Ovid, Met. xii. 307, seems to allude to this passage; 'Quique suis frustra belum dissusserat augur Astylos.' As several of the names here recorded are recognized by Ovid, e.g. Dryss, Exadius, Petraeus, Caeneua, Mopsus, (Ampyciden Mopsum, v. 456,) either ' $A\sigma\tau \delta \lambda \sigma \nu$ (like $A i \sigma \chi \delta \lambda \sigma$) should be read here, or Asbolos for Astylos in Ovid.

187. Ineuxeldas, sons of Ineuxed, a name fancifully coined from the refuse, the stone-pines, which formed the rade weapons of the Centaurs. See Eur. Hero, Fur. 373, and Iph. Aul. 1047. 1058.

189. $\sigma uralyton_r$, is $\sigma urals \sigma orres,$ like living men rushing to close in fight. This reading, which is found in the Harleiau MS., seems first to have been adopted from Etym. M. p. 40, 31, for $\sigma uraltern_r$, which, as a dual verb, is a vox nihili, besides that we must then read $\ell \gamma \chi e \sigma i \tau \kappa. \tau. \lambda$. The two kinds of missile weapons are here contrasted, the javelins of the Lapithae and the pine-trees of the Centaurs. Apoll. Rhod. i. 91 (speaking of Caeneus slain by the Centaure), dövare reide, rain.

180

έγχεσιν ήδ' έλάτης αύτοσχεδον ώριγνωντο. 190 έν δ Αρεος βλοσυροίο ποδώκεες έστασαν ίπποι χρύσεοι, έν δε και αυτός †έναρφόρος ούλιος "Αρης αιχμήν έν χείρεσσιν έχων, πρυλέεσσι κελεύων, αιματι φοινικόεις, ώσει ζωούς εναρίζων, δίφρω ἐπεμβεβαώς· παρὰ δὲ Δεῖμός τε Φόβος τε 195 έστασαν ίέμενοι πόλεμον καταδύμεναι ανδρών. έν δε Διός θυγάτηρ άγελείη Τριτογένεια, τη ικέλη ώσει τε μάχην έθελουσα κορύσσειν, έγχος έχουσ' έν χερσὶ χρυσείην τε τρυφάλειαν αἰγίδα τ' ἀμφ' ὦμοισιν ἐπψχετο φύλοπιν αἰνήν. 200

198. Fikédy

192. ἐναρφόρος Ald. ἐναριφόρος Ν. ἐναροφόρος Ο. 193. ἔγχος ἐν χείρεσσιν ἔχων Ο, Ald. αἰχμην ἐν χ. ἔχοντες Ν. 195. δίφρον Ο. δίφρως Ν. 199. ἐν χειρί Ο. χρυσέην τε τριφάλειαν ΝΟ. 200. άμφ' ωμοις· ἐπὶ δ' ϣχετο Vulg. ωμοισιν ΝΟ. ἐπὶ δ' ἔρχετο (om. φ airinv) Ö.

θανόμενος στιβαρήσι καταίγδην έλάτησι. The same writer has peratyony, ii. 95. dyðn, ii. 826.

190. δριγνῶσθαι is another form of δρίγοσθαι, 'to aim at.' Of. Eur. Bacch. 1254, 5τ' ἐν rearlaus: Θηβαίοις άμα θηρῶν δριγνῶτ'. Theore. xxiv. 44, ήτοι δγ' нучато reakligtov теланаros. Photius, дреужинева. дреубнева.

192. erapodoos, for erapodoos or era-podoos, 'spoil-bearing,' is not only a erat Aeyoueror, but in all probability is a spurious form. Goetling thinks it is defended by *xeprisrouae*, which does not seem in point, unless an old nominative $\delta rap = \delta rapa could be esta blished. Compare <math>\pi v \rho \phi \phi \rho os$. There are variants èrapo $\phi \phi \rho os$, $\delta rap \phi \phi \rho os$, Perhaps, $\delta v \delta \delta$ kal $\eta v \delta v \delta \rho os$ of Loss "Apps. Joan. Diac. Kal airds δ Αρης καταγεγραμμένος ην έν τη άππίδι. 194. φοινικόεις. For the synizesis

see v. 95 .- Goettling notices the post-Homeric use of érapifeur for 'to slay.' 195. raph &é. For the lengthened

vowel see sup. 98.

196. παρέστασαν, παραστάται ήσαν.

See inf. v. 463, where they appear to act as the charioteers.

197. $\lambda\gamma\epsilon\lambda\epsilon i\eta$, driving away spoil ($\lambda\epsilon iau$ $\delta\gamma\sigma\sigma\sigma a$). Of. Theog. 318.— *Tριτογένειa*, the daughter of Tritos, an old name of Zeus, the Vedio Trita, the read of the mater and the cithe god of the water and the air, seen also in Triton and Amphitrite. (Cox, Mythology and Folk-lore, p. 72.) Ropbooeur, to marshal, array, or equip; cf. v. 148, кориссоиса кλогог ахдрыг.

199. This verse contains a clear proof of an unskilful composer. The tragic writers frequently shorten the v in $\chi \rho \delta \sigma \epsilon \sigma s$, but never the Epic poets of the good age. There is little reason to suppose the verse corrupt, though it may possibly be an interpolation. Various conjectures have been pro-posed, the best of which is Bentley's έν χερσίν ίδε χρυσέην τρ. Gaisford gives έγχος έχουσ' έν χερσί, χρυσέην τε κ.τ.λ., where the rhythm is intolerable. It would be better to read fyxos fxoura χεροίν, χρυσείην τε τρυφάλειαν.

200. alyida, the aegis (goat-skin) which is represented as wrapped round

έν δ' ην άθανάτων ίερος χορός έν δ' άρα μέσσω ίμερόεν κιθάριζε Διος και Λητούς υίος χρυσείη φόρμιγγι θεών δ' έδος άγνος Όλυμπος έν δ' άγορη, περί δ' όλβος άπείριτος έστεφάνωτο άθανάτων έν άγώνι θεαι δ' έξηρχον άοιδης Μούσαι Πιερίδες, λιγύ μελπομένης εἰκυῖαι. έν δε λιμην εὖορμος ἀμαιμακέτοιο θαλάσσης κυκλοτερης ἐτέτυκτο πανέφθου κασσιτέροιο κλυζομένω ἴκελος πολλοί γε μεν ἁμ μέσον αὐτοῦ

202. Λητόξος

206. Feikvîai

209. Fíkeros

201. ἐν δέ οἱ Ν. 202. κιθάριζε λητοῦς καὶ διὸς Ο, Ald. ἡμερόεν κυθόριζε διὸς καὶ λητοῦς Ν. 204. ἀγορῆ Ald., Ν. 207. λιμὴν σ' ἔορμος Ο.

the breast and shoulders of the warriorgoddess. It is nothing but the cloud enveloping the sun-god Apollo and the goddess of dawn, Athena, and the real meaning, 'storm-cloud,' aiyls, was mistaken for at; 'a goat.' In one of Goettling's MSS. a later hand has marked $\lambda \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \cdot \kappa d \mu \pi a \hat{\vartheta} a$ ('here also something is wanting'). And the words $\phi \hat{\lambda} \sigma \pi \cdot \kappa d \mu \pi a \hat{\vartheta} a$ ('here also something is wanting'). And the words $\phi \hat{\lambda} \sigma \pi \cdot \kappa d \mu \pi a \hat{\vartheta} a$ omitted in MS. Harl. As this MS. has $\hat{\epsilon} \pi i \hat{\delta}^{*}$ $\hat{\epsilon} p \chi \epsilon \tau o,$ and two or three others $\tilde{\omega} \mu o \omega \pi \mu$ for $\tilde{\omega} \mu o i$ s, we should probably read $a i \gamma i \partial a \tau^* \dot{a} \mu \phi^* \check{\omega} \mu o \omega \sigma \pi \dot{\epsilon} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon \tau o \phi \dot{\lambda} \partial \pi \pi \cdot a$

202. Aprovs. Perhaps Apros. A transposition of the words found in most MSS., $\kappa\iota\theta d\rho_i \xi * A provs. \kappaal \Delta\iota ds$ wids, shows an attempt to get rid of the spondaic termination. Possibly the verse has been corrupted by a gloss $\kappaal \Delta provs.$ It may have been $l\mu \ell \rho o e * \kappa \ell \theta d \mu_{\ell} \mu \ell \lambda o s \Delta \iota d s v l d s \Lambda \pi \delta \lambda \lambda e * . Compare however inf. v. 206. Opp. 574, where Goetling (on Theog. 48) suggests <math>\kappa al \ell \pi' \delta d a \kappa o \ell r o * . So II. ix. 240. xviii. 255.$

203-4. Goettling encloses this distich in brackets as spurious. He would thus construe $\mu \epsilon \sigma \sigma \varphi \, \delta a x \epsilon \sigma x \epsilon r \lambda \gamma \tilde{\omega} v$, and regard $\epsilon r \delta \epsilon$ (201) as used adverbially, as in vv. 197. 207, &c. Others propose to read $\chi \rho \upsilon \sigma \epsilon i p \phi \delta \rho \mu \gamma \gamma r$. $\delta \epsilon a$

δ' έξηρχον ἀοιδήs, or ἄγνυτ' for ἁγνόs, comparing vv. 279. 348; but this is clearly untenable, as *tyrum* takes the digamma. Others have suggested that at Bear 8' Elos a distinct subject on the shield is described. The truth is, that though $\dot{a}\gamma\nu\partial s$ 'O $\lambda\nu\mu\pi\sigma s$ is a very unusual epithet, and the notion of the $\delta\lambda\beta$ os, or material wealth of the gods, is post-Homeric, and arose from the less poetical conception of evolution there is nothing more objectionable in these verses than there is in a great many others in the same poem. They many others in the same poem. They all tend to confirm the opinion, that the poem itself is of comparatively late date. To strike out verses which merely show this, is to remove the most important evidence of the real date of the poem: to obliterate facts because they militate against theories.

205. ¿ξήρχον. The term is borrowed from the exarch of a dithyramb, who set the tune to the performers ranged round him; an idea here represented by dryżw άθαυάτων.

by $d\gamma \partial \nu \ d\theta a \nu d \tau \omega \nu$. 207. $d\mu a \mu a \kappa \epsilon \tau \sigma \iota \sigma$, 'rushing.' So $d\mu a \mu d \kappa \epsilon \tau \sigma \nu \ \pi \nu \rho$, Theog. 319. The etymology of this word is obscure. Probably it contains the root μa , as in $\mu \hat{\eta} \nu \iota s$, $\mu a \nu (a, \mu \epsilon \nu s)$, d c, the d being "prothetic." (See New Cratylus, § 472.)



δελφίνες τη και τη εθύνεον ιχθυάοντες. 210 νηχομένοις ικελοι δοιοί δ' αναφυσιόωντες άργύρεοι δελφίνες έθοίνων έλλοπας ίχθυς. τών δ' υπο χάλκειοι τρέον ιχθύες αυτάρ έπ' ακταις ήστο ανήρ άλιευς δεδοκημένος είχε δε χερσιν ίχθύσιν αμφίβληστρον, απορρίψοντι έοικώς. 215 έν δ' ήν ηϋκόμου Δανάης τέκος, ίππότα Περσεύς, ούτ' άρ' έπιψαύων σάκεος ποσίν ούθ' έκας αύτοῦ, θαύμα μέγα φράσσασθαι, έπει ούδαμή έστήρικτο. τώς γάρ μιν παλάμαις τεῦξε κλυτὸς Ἀμφιγυήεις χρύσεον αμφί δε ποσσίν έχε πτερόεντα πέδιλα. 220 ὦμοισιν δέ μιν ἀμφὶ μελάνδετον ἆορ ἔκειτο χάλκεον έκ τελαμώνος όδ ωστε νόημα ποτάτο. παν δε μετάφρενον είχε κάρη δεινοιο πελώρου,

211. Fixedou

215. Feforking

210. ἰχθυάωντες Ο. 211. aupuriówres O, Ald. 212. iluor έλλόπας Ν. έφοίτων Ο. 213. χάλκεοι θέον Ο. 216. ηυκόμοιο Δανάης ίππότα Ald. ηυκόμοιο-τέκος ΝΟ. 217. επωναύον Ν. 218. **Φάσσασθαι** O. 221. ωμοισι δέ Ο. α ορ NO.

210. Neither Ouvée nor the active tourar appear to be used except by the author of this poem. For this see for the lower.—ix $\theta v h$ is found twice in the Odyssey, iv. 368 and xii. 95.— araquoriar is used of the spouting up of water in the well-known fashion of cetaceous animals.

214. debonnuévos ought, from the context, to signify done our, 'on the look out.' So Joan. Diac., κατασκοπών robs lx66as. So also Homer seems to have used it, II. xv. 730, $\delta \nu \delta^{0} \delta \rho^{2} \delta \gamma^{2} \delta \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon_{\epsilon}$ deformulars, said of Ajax protecting the ships. Compare devuevos and dedevuevos, which appear to be false uses introduced by the rhapeodists. The term was pro-perly used of hunters; see II. iv. 107. There is a reading dedokevuéros, which is deserving of attention. One cannot help suspecting the passage to be of late date, and perhaps borrowed from

Theoer. i. 39, tois de méta pomeos te γέρων πέτρα τε τέτυκται λεπράς, έφ' ξ σπεύδων μέγα δίκτυον ές βόλον ξικει δ πρέσβυς, κάμνοντι το καρτερον ανδρι έοικώς. Compare inf. 228, έρρίγοντι έοικώς.

221. dfop

217. ούτ' άρ' κ.τ.λ. He seemed to hover over the surface of the shield, like a man flying low in the air. It was not impossible to represent this in high relief; nor is the expression a bad one in v. 222, that he seemed to 'flit like a thought,' rather than a reality.

See however on v. 164. 221. $\delta \ell \mu \nu$. Goettling proposes $\delta \ell$ of, i. e. $\delta \ell$ For. If we retain $\mu \nu$, the syntax is $\partial \mu \rho \ell \mu \nu (\ell \pi') \delta \mu \rho s$, which may be explained as a dative of place. In ancient paintings Perseus carries a short sword and has winged sandals, and sometimes wings on his brow.

223. µerdperor, the accusative; the Gorgon's head covered all his back.

Γοργούς αμφί δέ μιν κίβισις θέε, θαύμα ίδέσθαι, άργυρέη θύσανοι δε κατηωρεύντο φαεινοί 225 χρύσειοι δεινή δε περί κροτάφοισιν άνακτος κείτ' Αίδος κυνέη νυκτός ζόφον αίνον έγουσα. αύτος δε σπεύδοντι και ερρίγοντι εοικώς Περσεύς Δαναίδης έτιταίνετο. ται δε μετ' αὐτὸν Γοργόνες απλητοί τε και ου φαται ερρώοντο, 230 λέμεναι μαπέειν. έπι δε χλωρού αδάμαντος βαινουσέων ιάχεσκε σάκος μεγάλω δρυμαγδώ δέξα και λιγέως έπι δε ζώνησι δράκοντε

226. κροτάφοισι Γάνακτος 227. "Afibos 224. Θέξε Θάξμα Γιδέσθαι 228. fefouxies 232. FLázeore

224. rúßious Ald. 225. θύσανοι κατηωρούντο Ν. κίβησις Ο. 230. απλατοι Ο. έρρώωντο Ν. 229. Savaídos TE O. 231. χλω-233. ¿Ééws N. ¿Ééws annupever' O, the rest omitted. poîs N.

He appears to have carried it over his shoulder in a scrip or bag, kißigis, represented in silver. This also is shown in Greek paintings. According to Hesychius, $\kappa l\beta \omega rs$ was a Cyprian word, and he explains it by $\pi h\rho a$. Whatever be its origin and etymology, it seems to be a coinage of the Alexan-drine mint, as Callimachus used it,

Frag. clxxvii. 225. κατρωρεύντο (καταιωρείν), hung down from the klBiois.

227. "Aidos Kuvén, the cap of invisibility. From II. v. 845, abrap 'Athry Bur' Ατδος κυνέην, μή μιν ίδοι δβριμος "Αρης. Cf. Ar. Acharn. 390, σκοτοδασυπυκνότριχά τιν *Αϊδος κυνέην.

228. *dpplyort* seems to be a word of arbitrary formation; but it has the analogy of some few secondary presents inflected from the reduplicated form of the perfect, as δεδοίκω, τεθνήκω, πεφύκω, $\pi\epsilon\phi\rho d\delta\omega$, $\pi\epsilon\pi\lambda\eta\psi$, $\kappa\epsilon\kappa\lambda\eta\psi$, $\mu\epsilon\mu d\rho\pi\omega$, which are sometimes rather difficult to distinguish from reduplicated sorists like πετιθέν, λελαθέν, μεμαπέν (inf. 252). Apollonius has the imperfect έπέφραδον, il. 959; cf. Theogon. 74; Homer has $\pi \acute{e}\phi pade$, $\acute{e}\pi \acute{e}\phi pade$, and $\pi e\phi pade$.

 $\pi \epsilon \phi \rho a \delta \epsilon \epsilon \omega$ if an aorist, as in Od. xix. 477. From ρεγέω (Lat. frigeo) Homer has a perfect έρρεγα, whence perhaps έρρεγω was derived. Theocritus uses έρρεγωντι, xvi. 77. Cf. Apoll. Rhod. iii. 439, δφρα και άλλος αrhp ερρίγησιν apel-ονα φώτα μετελθείν. The meaning is, shuddering with dread at the pursuit of the Gorgons.

229. Eritalvero, Ereive, Exerted himself in a running flight.- toodorto, of. Theog. 8.

281. µanéeir, 800 inf. 245, 252.—dðáµarros, Opp. 147. The poet here, it will be observed, would have us believe that the noise of the Gorgon's steps was represented in sculpture. See on v. 164, 279. Perhaps we need not press the sense to signify more than generally, 'they seemed to tread so heavily that the shield rang again.' Goettling re-marks that an earlier poet would have attributed wings to the Gorgons. So in Eum. 51, the Erinyes are said to be unlike Gorgons or Harpies, in not having any wings, implying that the two last had such.

233. أجما (شەيەر، on their girdles, Goettling explains, 'in loco quo cingi tunicae solebant. Nam ipsi serpentes

δοιώ απηωρεύντ' επικυρτώοντε κάρηνα. λίχμαζον δ' άρα τώγε μένει δ' έχάρασσον όδόντας 235 άγρια δερκομένω. έπι δε δεινοίσι καρήνοις Γοργείοις έδονείτο μέγας φόβος. Οι δ' ύπερ αυτέων ανδρες έμαρνάσθην πολεμήϊα τεύχε' έχοντες, τοι μέν από σφετέρης πόλιος σφετέρων τε τοκήων λοιγόν αμύνοντες, τοι δε πραθέειν μεμαωτες. 240 πολλοί μέν κέατο, πλέονες δ' έτι δήριν έχοντες μάρνανθ' αι δε γυναικες ευδμήτων επι πύργων *χαλκέων όξυ βόων, κατά δ' έδρύπτοντο παρειάς, ζωήσιν ικελαι, έργα κλυτού Ηφαίστοιο.

244. Fixerai, Fépya

234. ἐπικυρτώωτε Ald. κάρηα Ν. 235. λίχμασον δ' NO, Ald. 236. ἐπὶ δεινοῦσι δὲ Ald. ἐπὶ σὲ δειν. Ο. 237. Γοργείης Ald. 239. υπέρ Ο. 240. προθέειν Ald. παραθέειν Ο. airŵr O. 241. From this v. to 400 is wanting in N. 243. χάλκεον vulgo.

Gorgonibus erant pro cingulis.' This seems a forced interpretation. The snakes were knotted together, and lifted up or bent back their heads while their bodies hung pendent. Live snakes seem to have been tied round the waists of the bacchantes. Eur. Bacch. 697, καταστίκτους δοράς δφεσι κατεζώσαυτο λιχμώσιν γένυν. The hooked heads of two snakes in metal were

doubtless used as a clasp. 235. λίχμαζον. See Theog. 825, and for exdpassor Opp. 387, 573. 236. For e made long before deurds,

see Scut. 98.

237. μέγας φόβος. He may mean simply that the heads were terrible; that terror seemed to move or range on their heads. But $\Phi \delta \beta \sigma$ may perhaps be personified, like $E_{\beta is}$ on the dragon's head, sup. v. 148. Whereas ancient writers represented the Gorgons to have snaky locks, not snaky girdles, the author of this poem has introduced a different idea, with less of the sculptor's art than of the imagination of a poet .---

inter abrieve, in the circle next above. The syntax is as in v. 229, ral de per' airdy, where the article is used in the true Homeric sense, 'they in pursuit of him, namely the Gorgons, &c. So al Se µer' abrobs v. 248. 315, &c. Theoor. i. à δè κατ αύτον καρπώ έλιξ είλειται άγαλλομένα κροκόεντι. In all these phrases there is hyperthesis of the demonstrative pronoun.

238. *ἐμαρνάσθην*, which in Il. vii. 301 is a true dual of the imperfect (as ίστάσθην of Ισταμαι), is here exceptionally used for the plural, because two parties, the besieging and the besieged, are described. So inf. v. 405, 507 αίγυπιο)-μάχεσθον.

239. $\delta \pi \delta \rho$, the reading of MS. Harl. and others, is perhaps better than the vulg. $\delta \pi \delta$, and is somewhat confirmed by a variant ind.

248. χάλκον, the common reading, 'shouted shrilly in brass,' seems inde-fensible. We might read χάλκου, but Hermann's conjecture Xalkfor is perhaps the safest.

^aνδρες δ', οι πρεσβήες έσαν, γήράς τε μέμαρπεν, 245 aθρόοι έκτοσθεν πυλέων έσαν, αν δε θεοισι χείρας έχον μακάρεσσι περι σφετέροισι τέκεσσι δειδιότες· τοι δ' αυτε μάχην έχον· ai δε μετ' αυτους Κήρες κυάνεαι, λευκους άραβευσαι όδόντας, δεινωποι βλοσυροί τε, δαφοινοί τ' άπλητοί τε 250 δήριν έχον περι πιπτόντων. πασαι δ' αρ' ιεντο αίηα μέλαν πιέειν· δν δε πρώτον μεμάποιεν κείμενον ή πίπτοντα νεούτατον, άμφι μεν αυτφ βάλλ' δνυχας μεγάλους, ψυχη δ' Αϊδόσδε κατήεν

245. πρεσβήες Ο. μέμαρπον Ο. τ' ἐμέμαρπτον Ald. 246. ήσαν Ο. 249. κυάνεοι Ο. 250. δεινωταὶ βλοσυραί τε δαφοιναί τ' Ο. ἄπληστοί τε Ald. 252. μεμάρποιεν Ο. μεμάποιεν Ald. 254. ψυχὴν δ άτδοσ δεκακείεν Ald. ψυχὴν—κατῆεν Ο. κατείεν vulg.

245. πρεσβήες, from πρέσβυς, seems founded on a false analogy in imitation of "Appos, πόληος, βασιλήες, άα. μέμαρτεν, an aorist of μάρπτω, reduplicated like ήγαγον (or perhaps from a secondary present μεμάρπω, see on v. 228). This was conjectured by Heinrich, and was afterwards restored from three MSS. Translate, 'and (whom) old age was seizing upon.' Most copies have μέ μαρπτον or έμέμαρπτον, others giving μέμαρπον, (so Gaisford,) 'who had reached old age.' This however is a wrong use of μάρπτω. Dindorf gives μεμάρπει, which is probable, since the perfect μεμαρπέν cocurs Opp. 204, and it is also used by Apoll. Rhod. i. 756. Goetling compares Od. xxiv. 390, ἐπεὶ κατὰ γῆραs ἕμαρψεν.—Hesych. μεμαρπών: εἰληφώς, ἡρπακώς.

246. *krooter* πυλέων, congregated in front of the city, outside of the gates, the women and children being left within. Wolf read *loav* for *loav*.

within. Wolf read loar for fora. 249. Kipes. Not Fates (Moipau), nor precisely Furies (Epuvies), though much nearer in character to the latter. They are in fact goddesses of death (not of destiny). Eur. Herc. F. 480, νύμφαs μλν όμῶν Kipas ἀντέδωκ' έχειν. Hence, like the Furies, they are κυάνεαι, sable in garb as well as in colour, μέλαιναι, μελαγχρώτεs. Apoll. Rhod. iv. 1665, μέλπε δὲ Kipas Κυανέας, 'Atδao θeàs

róras, al repl ràsar hépa dureboucu dri fuestou kyorra. The goddesses of destiny are joined with these in v. 258, and in Theog. 217, if the passage be genuine.

250. δαφουνο), here for φόνιαι. Cf. δαφουνον αξματι sup. v. 159—δπλητα, unapproachable. But this verse may be an interpolation. Hesych. δεσωτοί δειματάδεις, φοβεροί.

251. Perhaps warau yap lerro, as explaining the cause of the Sipes.

252. δν μεμάποιεν, quemounque arripuissent. A spurious aoristic form of μάρπτα. See on v. 245. Sup. 231, iέμεναι μαπέειν. Curtius, Gr. Et. 456, gives the root as μαρπ or μαπ, the Sanscrit mare, 'to lay hold of.' νεούτατεν, sup. v. 157.

254. κατείεν, the reading of most of the good MSS., is a very doubtful form, and the more so because many MSS. give ψυχήν, so that it is uncertain whether the poet meant the transitive aorist of καθιέναι or the intransitive imperfect of κάτειμα. Matthiae would read κατῆεν, which, on the whole, seems the most satisfactory, (especially as MS. Harl. has κατήεν.) though its, uncontracted, is the true epic, as the Attic imperfect is κατήει. In II. xx. 294 we find this phrase, by τάχα Πηλείωνι δαμέτ 'Ατδόδε κάτεισυ. Τάρταρον ἐς κρυόενθ, ai δὲ φρένας εὖτ' *ἀάσαντο 255 αἴματος ἀνδρομέου, τὸν μὲν ῥίπτασκον ὀπίσσω, ἑψ δ' ὅμαδον καὶ μῶλον ἐθύνεον αὖτις ἰοῦσαι. Κλωθῶ καὶ Λάχεσίς σφιν ἐφέστασαν· ἡ μὲν ὑφήσσων ^{*}Ατροπος οὖτι πέλεν μεγάλη θεὸς, †ἀλλ' ἆρα ἦγε τῶν γε μὲν ἀλλάων προφερής τ' ἦν πρεσβυτάτη τε. 260 πῶσαι δ' ἀμφ' ἐνὶ φωτὶ μάχην δριμεῖαν ἔθεντο. δεινὰ δ' ἐς ἀλλήλας δράκον ὅμμασι θυμήνασαι, ἐν δ' ὅνυχας χείράς τε θρασείας ἰσώσαντο.

263. Figúgarto

255. εὖτ' ἀρέσαντο Ald, vulgo. αὐτὰρ ἄσαντο Ο. 259. πέλει Ald. ἦδε Ο.

255. àdoarro, 'had satiated.' The reading is doubtful, some copies giving $e\delta r'$ ào' isoarro, isoarro, abrào isoarro. It is difficult to defend àpérarro in this sense; the word properly means 'to make friends with,' from root àp. Probably we should read, $e\delta r'$ àdoarro. (compare ăara, in v. 101,) or $e\delta r'$ 'doarro. (compare ăara, in v. 101,) or $e\delta r'$ 'doarro. The figure in the poet's mind was that of blood-sucking demons fastening on a body and tossing it behind them when drained dry. So Asschylus describes the Erinyes, Eum. 175, $d\mu o \tilde{v} \sigma a$ $\delta p d \rho \delta v d a chavora foi o' d a d' doarro.$ $254, <math>d\lambda\lambda'$ drudoura dei o' d a d' doaro.

βοφείν έρυθρον έκ μελέων πέλανον. 257. δμαδον. The accusative depends on αδτις ίοῦσαι, going back to.

on $ab\tau is issue i$, going back to. 258-260. When we consider that there is no connecting particle in this clause, that the sense in v. 261 goes back to the subject broken off by these verses, and lastly, that it was easy to mistake the $K\eta_{pes}$ of death for the $K\eta_{pes}$ of destiny, we shall not be indisposed to assent to Hermann's view, that these verses were added in a different recension of the poem.

258. $\delta\phi\eta\sigma\sigma\sigma\sigma$, 'inferior in size' ('dobilior,' Goettl.), is $\delta\pi\alpha\xi \ \lambda\epsilon\gamma\delta\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma\sigma$. Comparing $\delta\phi\delta\sigma\tau\alpha\sigma\sigma\sigma$ immediately preceding, one is tempted to suppose the poet may have meant $\delta\phi\eta\mu\epsilon\nu\eta$, $\delta\phi\mu$ -(drovora, and so to have accounted for the difference of size by the standing or sitting posture. Goettling describes

an ancient terra-cotta group preserved at Rome in which the three Fates are represented differing in stature, Clotho being the tallest, Lachesis the next, and Atropos the shortest. He further supposes, with Hermann, that the greater age and decrepitude of Atropos is indicated. (Did these goddesses severally represent three stages of human life, viz. maturity, middle age, and old age?)

259. The old reading, corrected from several MSS. and Aldus, was $d\lambda\lambda\lambda$ kal $\xi\mu\pi\eta_s$, where $\xi\mu\pi\eta_s$ probably came from a gloss on $\gamma e \ \mu e^{\lambda}$. As the passage stands, it would seem that a verse has been lost; for $\gamma e \ \mu e^{\lambda}$ in the next line must mean ' but,' and cannot stand for the simple $\ \mu e^{\lambda}$. We might restore the sense by some such verse as this, $d\lambda\lambda'$ $d\rho a \ f\gamma e \ \mu x ph \ \mu e^{\lambda} \delta e^{\lambda} a \ fe, \ do a up or for$ $b' e^{\ell\tau}vkro, \tau e^{\lambda} e^{\mu} e^{\lambda} \lambda dw w. \tau. \lambda., or$ $we might well read <math>d\lambda\lambda k$ kal $\xi\mu\pi\eta_s \ frye$ $\ \mu e^{\lambda} k. \tau. \lambda - \pi po \phi e ph s. o. \chi p or per$ $haps in dignity also, <math>d\xi_i d\mu a \tau_i.$ 261. $\pi a \sigma a$. He reverts to the K $\hat{\eta} pes$,

261. $\pi \hat{a} \sigma \alpha i$. He reverts to the K $\hat{\eta} \rho es$, contending like so many vultures for the possession of a corpse. 263. $\hat{\epsilon} \nu \delta \hat{\epsilon} \kappa. \tau. \lambda$. 'And on him their

263. dν δξ κ.τ.λ. 'Ånd on him their nails and cruel hands they equally laid.' —lowσarro, pares sibi invicem fecerunt; a strange phrase, for which we might have expected dσφ(γξαντο. But lσοῦσθα(τι is 'to have something equalised,' asin Opp. 562, <math>lσοῦσθαι rύκταs τε καlήματα.

πὰρ δ' Αχλὺς εἰστήκει ἐπισμυγερή τε καὶ αἰνὴ, χλωρὴ, ἀῦσταλέη, λιμῷ καταπεπτηυῖα, 265 γουνοπαχὴς, μακροὶ δ' ὄνυχες χείρεσσιν ὑπῆσαν. τῆς ἐκ μὲν ῥινῶν μύξαι ῥέον, ἐκ δὲ παρειῶν αἶμ' ἀπελείβετ' ἔραζ' ἡ δ' ἄπλητον σεσαρυῖα εἰστήκει, πολλὴ δὲ κόνις κατενήνοθεν ὥμους, δάκρυσι μυδαλέη. Παρὰ δ' εὖπυργος πόλις ἀνδρῶν 270 χρύσειαι δέ μιν εἶχον ὑπερθυρίοις ἀραρυῖαι

265. αὐαλέη τε Ο. 266. γουνοπαγής Ο. 268. σηρυία (). 269. κατενήνοχεν Ο. 270. παρά δέ οἱ Ο.

264. $\pi d\rho$ δi $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$. 'And by them stood a dismal demon of woe, loathsome and horrible, haggard, squalid, shrunk through hunger, with swelled knees, and long nails on her hands.' The final syllable in 'A $\chi\lambda\delta$'s is long, as in $\sigma\tau d\chi_{0.5}$, $i\sigma\chi\delta_{0.5}$, &c. Goettling rightly explains 'A $\chi\lambda\delta$'s as the impersonation of grief, neglected and squalid in look, with cheeks rent by her long nails, and suffused with tears. Aeschylus has $d\chi\lambda\delta$'s, for gloominess or obscurity taking possession of a family, Eum. 357. Pers. 666.

265. $\pi \epsilon \pi \tau \eta \Delta s$ for $\pi \epsilon \pi \tau \eta \kappa \Delta s$, the same as $\pi \epsilon \pi \tau \sigma \kappa \Delta s$, as from $\pi (\pi \tau \eta \kappa \omega)$. Compare $\tau \epsilon \theta \tau \eta \Delta s$ and $\tau \epsilon \theta \tau \epsilon \delta s$ for $\tau \epsilon \theta \tau \eta \kappa \Delta s$. Homer occasionally uses $\pi \epsilon \pi \tau \epsilon \Delta s$ as dissyllable. Others derive the participle from $\kappa \alpha \tau \pi \pi \tau \eta \sigma \sigma \omega$, of which an irregular aorist $\kappa \alpha \tau \pi \pi \pi \kappa \Delta s$, or perfect $\kappa \alpha \tau \pi \pi \tau \alpha$ $\kappa \delta s$, occurs in Aesch. Eum. 243. But the perfect participle should rather be $\ell \pi \tau \eta \kappa \delta s$, a form recognized by Photius in ∇ . $\pi \tau \alpha \kappa \epsilon (s. -\gamma o u \sigma \sigma \pi \gamma h s$, 'thickkneed,' i. e. with legs swelled through famine. See on Opp. 497. But $\gamma \sigma u \sigma \sigma$ $\pi \alpha \gamma \eta s$, 'stiff-kneed,' is perhaps a better reading, found in two MSS, and also in Harl. (not $\gamma \sigma u \sigma \sigma \sigma \eta \eta$). 266. $i \pi \eta \sigma \alpha r$. Used in reference to

266. ὑπῆσαν. Used in reference to the hands expanded with the palms uppermost. ἐπῆσαν is as good, but has lees authority.—μύξαι, mucus, 'slime.' παρειῶν, of. Aesch. Cho. 22, πρέπει παρητό φοινίοις ἀμυγμοῖς. Eum. 54, ἐκ δ' ὀμμάτων λείβουσι δυσφιλῆ λίβα. Sup. ν. 243.

268. σεσαρυία seems nothing less than

a false quantity. The perfect of $\sigma alpers$, 'to lay bare the gums and show the teeth,' is $\sigma \epsilon \sigma \eta \rho a$, Ar. Pac. 620, and Theocritus twice has $\sigma \epsilon \sigma a \rho \delta s$, Id. vii. 19. xx. 14. It is difficult to defend $\sigma \epsilon \sigma a \rho a$ any sound principles. It must be referred to the same class of post-epic forms as $\mu \epsilon \mu d \pi \sigma c \epsilon r$ and $\mu a \pi \epsilon \epsilon r$ v. 304. $\chi \rho \epsilon \mu (\xi \epsilon r r$ v. 348. We have $\delta \rho a \rho \sigma \sigma a$ v. 271, $r \epsilon \theta \delta \lambda v \delta a$ in v. 276 (the Attic and Epic perfect being $r \epsilon \theta \eta \lambda \delta s$); II. iv. 435. Buttmann, Lexil. p. 180, compares $\mu \epsilon \mu \delta v \delta a$, and regards the δ for η as an Ionicism. So also $\lambda \epsilon \lambda a \epsilon v \delta a$.

269. κατενήνοθεν, 'spread itself over.' On this difficult word the student is referred to Buttmann's Lexilogus, and Curtius, Gr. Et. 250. Like ήλνθε, λήλυθε, so ήνοθε, δνήνοθε, ἀκήνοθε, ösem referable to the same root as infer, λνθθω. Buttmann thinks ἀνέθω, ἀνέθω, different themes; which seems hardly probable. Curtius gives the root åθ, and regards ήνοθε as the strong perfect.

270. μυδαλέη refers to είστηκε, the intervening words being parenthetical. Aesoh. Pors. 541, διαμυδαλέοις δάκρωτ κόλπους τέγγουσ άλγους μετέχουσα.

Ibid. The commencement of an entirely new subject, and one of joy contrasted with one of grief, is not well placed in the middle of a verse; unless indeed this was intentionally done to place the contrast in a still stronger light. Cf. 237, 285, 301.—*mage* means, 'next to it' in the same band or circular division of the shield.

έπτὰ πύλαι· τοὶ δ' ἀνδρες ἐν ἀγλαταις τε χοροῖς τε τέρψιν ἔχον· τοὶ μὲν γὰρ ἐῦσσώτρου ἐπ' ἀπήνης ἡγοντ' ἀνδρὶ γυναῖκα, πολὺς δ' ὑμέναιος ὀρώρει· τῆλε δ' ἀπ' ἀἰθομένων δαΐδων σέλας εἰλύφαζε 275 χερσὶν ἔνι δμωῶν. ταὶ δ' ἀγλατη τεθαλυΐαι πρόσθ' ἔκιον· τῆσιν δὲ χοροὶ παίζοντες ἔποντο. τοὶ μὲν ὑπὸ λιγυρῶν συρίγγων ἴεσαν αὐδὴν ἐξ ἁπαλῶν στομάτων, περὶ δέ σφισιν ἀγνυτο ἠχώ· αι δ' ὑπὸ φορμίγγων ἀναγον χορὸν ἱμερόεντα. 280 ἔνθεν δ' αὖθ' ἑτέρωθε νέοι κώμαζον ὑπ' αὐλοῦ, τοίγε μὲν αὖ παίζοντες ὑπ' ἀὐλητῆρι ἕκαστος]

275. δαξίδων 279. σφισι ξάγνυτο ξηχώ 283. ξέκα

275. εἰλύφαζε Ald. 276. ἀγλαίως Ο. 277. τοῖσιν δὲ Ald. τῆσι δὲ Ο. 278. ὑπαὶ Ald., Ο. 281. αὖ Ald. ἐτέρωθι Ο. 282. πέζοντες Ald., Ο. 283. om. Ο. ὑπ' ἀυλητῆρι δ' vulgo.

272. $i \pi \tau \lambda \pi i \lambda \omega$. The pseudo-Hesiod who wrote this poem here perhaps purposely indicated Thebes, as likely to have presented itself to the mind of the real Hesiod.

273. έυσσότρου, having nimbly-running felloes (or periphery) of the wheel. Hesych. εύδρόμου. σωή γαρ ή ἀφορμή καὶ φορὰ, ῆς είδος ή κύλισις. From the root σεΓ, whence also σώμα for σόΓεμα, a noun σο \overline{h} = δμμή may have existed. — ήγοντο is rarely so used, as the husband himself is properly said ἅγεσθαι γυναῖκα. Perhaps Ϋγόν τ ἀνδρὶ γυναῖκα, παλύς τ' κ.τ.λ. We may however translate, ' were having the bridegroom conveyed.' Compare also Od. iv. 10, υίεί δὲ Σπάρτηθευ 'Αλέκτορος ήγετο κούρην. xv. 237, κασιγνήτω δὲ γυναῖκα ἡγάγετο πρός δώμαθ', and also xxi. 214. IL ix. 394, Πηλεύς θήν μοι ἔπειτα γυναῖκα

275. εἰλύφαζε is here intransitive. In II. xx. 492, we have πάντη τε κλονέων άνεμος φλόγα εἰλυφάζει. 278. ὑπὸ συρίγγων, to the sound of

278. ύπο συρίγγων, to the sound of pipes. A common use of ύπο. So Ar. Ach. 970, είσειμ' όπαι πτερύγων κιχλών και κοψίχων.

279. aπaλŵr, 'boyish.' This seems

L 2

the point of $\pi ai \langle ovres.$ Goettling thinks it means "subtili arts facts or hominum." — $\delta \gamma v v \tau o \ \kappa.\tau.\lambda$. Cf. inf. 348. But it was absurd to represent sound by sculpture. Hesych. $\pi e \rho i \delta \gamma v v \tau o \cdot \pi e \rho i$ $\epsilon \kappa \lambda \tilde{a} \tau o, \kappa a \cdot \tau \delta \delta \mu o a.$

exharo, kal rà buoa. 281. *krôcr & abre*, from the house of the bridegroom in the opposite direction—*xéµa(or*, conducted a comus, a kind of serenade in honour of the bridegroom. These processions were commonly conducted with the flute; in allusion to which Euripides calls the revel of Ares *kôµos àrauλóraros*, Phoen. 791. But v. 283 is wanting in two or three copies, and perhaps it is an instance of *birroypapía*, the combined readings of two different editions. Or we might read, $\tau ol \ µèr bh \pi al(corres b\pi'$ *dogmup kal àcobî πρόσθ έκιων*, as one $MS. gives <math>\tau ol \ bir µèr un v. 283$. The intervening verse may by some accident have crept into the text both here and at v. 299. As *škaστos* appears to take the digamma, the $b \ which$ is commonly added after *abnyrîpu* would violate the metre; and in fact it is omitted in the Aldine. As for $\gamma \in µèr$ (see on v. 260), it is best to read $\tau ol\gamma \epsilon$ with Gaisford, like $\delta\gamma \in \frac{4}{3}\gamma \epsilon$, &c.

πρόσθ έκιον πασαν δε πόλιν θαλίαι τε χοροί τε άγλαται τ' είχον. τοι δ' αυ προπάροιθε πόληος νωθ ιππων επιβάντες εθύνεον. Οι δ' αροτήρες ήρεικον γθόνα δίαν, επιστολάδην δε χιτώνας έστάλατ'. αυτάρ έην βαθύ λήϊον οιγε μέν ήμων αίχμης όξείησι κορυνήεντα πέτηλα [βριθόμενα σταχύων, ώσει Δημήτερος ἀκτήν,] 290 οί δ' άρ' έν έλλεδανοισι δέον και έπιτνον άλωήν. οί δ' ετρύγων οίνας δρεπάνας εν χερσιν έχοντες,

292. Foiras

287. ήρυκον χθόνα δίαν-χιτώνα Ο. 286. επιβάντ' Ο. 288. έσταλτ' Ald. εστείλαντ' Ο. 289. κορωνιόεντα Ο. κορωνιόωντα Ald. 291. επιπλον Ald.

285. Tol & ad. As it does not appear what part horsemen took in a marriage procession, this may be regarded as a new subject. Men were riding on horseback and ploughers were plough-ing. Nothing is said by which we can trace the connexion between these two actions. It is best to translate of 8 άροτήρεs, 'whilst others as ploughers,' åc.

287. emigroladon, tucked up, succincti, areotaluéros. — dotálato, for foral-rro, as foralto is the third person singular of έστάλμην, plup. pass. of στέλλω. But the Harleian reading έστείλωντ' suggests a variant στείλωντ'. Of. Eur. Bacch. 696, νεβρίδας τ' ἀνεστεί-λωντο. Hesych. έσταλάδατο · έστολισpéros foar. He is thought to refer to this passage. The xerder or frock was used in ploughing, without the indrior. Cf. Opp. 391, γυμνόν σπείρειν, γυμνόν δè βοωτείν.

289. αίχμῆς. Perhaps δρπης. Cf. Opp. 573, άλλ άρπας τε χαρασσέμεναι καὶ δμῶας ἐγείρειν. This passage seems borrowed from II. xviii. 550, ἐν ὅ ἐτίθει τέμενος βαθυλήζον. ἕνθα ὅ ἕριθοι ήμων όξείας δρεπάνας έν χερσίν έχοντες. Com-pare with this verse v. 292 inf.

Ibid. Of several readings, κορυνιόста, кориновита, кореновита, кореноerra, the best seems that derived from the last, on Hermann's conjecture, *kopurferra*, 'club-like.' By this we may understand the stalk of corn with its spike or terminal ear. Goettling rightly observes that *kopuriar* and *kopuriar* would be desiderative forms, for which there is here no place. He edits *kopurioerra*, with Gaisford. Still we might compare the κύματα κυρτά φαληριοώντα of Il. xiii. 799, άκροκελαviówy, ib. xxi. 249. To construe πέτηλα σταχύων, (βριθόμενα implying the luxu-riance of the crop,) is against the order ranke of the crop,) is against the order of the words; while $\beta\rho\theta\sigma\sigma a$ $\tau \mu\sigma s$ is very unusual Greek. But this verse (290) is perhaps an interpolation: see Opp. 32. For it certainly is absurd to add, by way of comparison, 'they moved the corn like bread.' This seems the entimeters of entimeter first instance of πέτηλα used for πέταλα. See on Opp. 680.

291. έν έλλεδανοῖσι, 'in bands.' Il. xviii. 558, άλλα δ' άμαλλοδετῆρες έν έλλεδανοίσι δέοντο. Gaisford here gives $\delta\lambda_{\lambda}\deltaaxolor,$ apparently against the MSS.— $\delta\pi rrvor$, 'spread,' 'strewed with corn.' The imperfect of $\pi rrvor$ for πίτνημι, the passive imperfect of which occurs in Eur. El. 713, θυμέλαι δ' ἐπίτvarto prothator, 'the altar-steps were carpeted.' There is a reading Erman, (so Aldus,) a voz nihili, though Gaisford has admitted it.

148

Digitized by Google

οί δ' αυτ' ές ταλάρους έφόρευν υπό τρυγητήρων λευκούς και μέλανας βότρυας [μεγάλων από δρχων βριθομένων φύλλοισι και άργυρέης έλίκεσσιν. 295 οί δ' αυτ' έν ταλάροις έφόρευν] παρά δέ σφισιν όρχος χρύσεος ήν, κλυτά έργα περίφρονος 'Ηφαίστοιο. σειόμενος φύλλοισι καί άργυρέησι κάμαξι, [τοί γε μέν αθ παίζοντες ύπ' αυλητηρι έκαστος] βριθόμενος σταφυλησι μελάνθησάν γε μέν αίδε. 300 οίγε μεν ετράπεον, τοι δ' ήρυον. Οι δ' εμάχοντο πύξ τε και έλκηδόν τοι δ' ωκύποδας λαγός ηρευν άνδρες θηρευταί, και καρχαρόδοντε κύνε πρό, ίεμενοι μαπέειν, οι δ' ίεμενοι ύπαλύξαι. παρ δ' αυτοις ίππηες έχον πόνον, αμφι δ' αέθλοις 305 δήριν έχον και μόχθον. έϋπλεκέων δ' έπι δίφρων

295. Γελίκεσσιν

297. Γέργα

299. Fékaotos

293. αύτε ταλάρους έφόρεον Ο. 294. \$ µéravas O. 295. dorvpéois O. 299. τοί γε μέν αὐ Ο. τῷ γε μέν οὖν παίζονται Ald. 300. σειόμενος Ο. δε μεν αίγε Ο. δε μεν αίδε Ald. 301. olde O. 305. déthu Ald., O. 306. y' eni Ald.

294-6. Gaisford incloses this passage in brackets. Like v. 283, it seems clearly to have been added from another recension.

299. On this verse see v. 282. 300. μελάνθησαν. ' These however were made black ' (in contrast with the silver vine-props). See v. 167. This verse perhaps belonged to another re-cension in place of v. 298.

301. τραπείν is to tread the grapes in the vat. Hom. Od. vii. 124, έτέραs δ άρα τε τρυγόωσιν, άλλας τε τραπέουσι. Hesych. τραπέουσι πατοῦσιν έπὶ τῆ ληνῷ.— τραπέοντο · ἐπατοῦντο, παρά τὸ τροπην λαμβάνειν τον βότρον πατηθέντα. Hence the Latin trapetum. Virg. Georg. ii. 519; 'Venit hiems; teritur Sicyonia bacca trapetis.'— ήρυον, from ἀρύω, 'kept taking them out of the baskets' (τάλαthis word appears to take the digamma in Opp. 550.—of δ^* eutoxorro $\kappa.\tau.\lambda.$,

another subject ; 'others were contending in the pancratium, others were trying to catch (alpeir) hares with dogs." See on v. 270.

302. Layós. On this form of the accusative see on Opp. 564. The nominative here is λαγός, not λαγώς.—κύνε, a rare dual, as Hermann remarked, where δίω or δοιά is omitted, and there is nothing in the context to indicate duality. The sense is, 'with a pair of dogs in front of each.' See on άνδρες έμαρνάσθην, sup. v. 238. The hunter usually followed his dogs; cf. Od. xix. 435, ol 8' ές βήσσαν Ικανον ἐπακτήρες, πρό δ' άρ' αυτών ίχνι έρευνώκτες κύνες ήτσαν. Eur. Hero. F. 860, ἐπιρροίβδην δμαρτείν ώς κυνηγέτη κύνας.

305. In firs, to be distinguished from hrloxor, which is added below as a dif-ferent subject. Cf. Theoor. vii. 139, τέττιγες λαλαγεύντες έχον πόνον.

306. έυπλεκέων, well-compacted; cf. V. 63, πλεκτοίσιν δφ' δρμασι. Il. xxiii.

ήνίοχοι βεβαώτες εφίεσαν ωκέας ίππους ρυτά χαλαίνοντες, τά δ' επικροτέοντα πέτοντο άρματα κολλήεντ', έπι δε πλημναι μεγ' άντευν. οι μέν αρ' "ίδιοντες έχον πόνον, ουδέ ποτέ σφιν 310 νίκη επηνύσθη, άλλ' ακριτον είχον αεθλον. τοίσι δε καί προύκειτο μέγας τρίπος εντός άγωνος, χρύσειος, κλυτά έργα περίφρονος Ηφαίστοιο. αμφί ίτυν δέεν 'Ωκεανός πλήθοντι έοικώς. παν δε συνείχε σάκος πολυδαίδαλον. οι δε κατ' αυτόν κύκνοι άερσιπόται μεγάλ' ήπυον, οι βά τε πολλοί 316 νηχον έπ' άκρον ύδωρ, παρ δ' ίχθύες έκλονέοντο,

313. Féorya

314. Γίτυν βέτεν? Γετοικώς

307. idíoar O. 308. επικρέοντο πετόοντα Ο. 310. an atom έχον Ald. αρα ίδιον είχον Ο. 311. ἐπηνύσθην άλλ' ακλιτον Ald. ἐπηνήθη Ο. 314. ἀμφὶ δέ τοι ῥήεν ἐν ὠκεανὸς Ο. ἀμφὶ δ' ἴτυν vulgo. 317. δονέοντο Ald. παρά δ' ίχθύες κλονέοντο Ο.

436, δίφρους τ' άντρέψειαν ευπλεκέας.epierar, urged them on in the race; let them go on at full speed. So the Romans used admittere, Ovid, Met. vi. 237. Fast. iv. 674.

808. purd xalalvorres, 'slacking the reins.' Both these words are awat λεγόμενα for þυτήρας χαλῶντες.—ἐφίεσαν, ' were giving the rein to,' immittebant.

309. πλημναι, the naves. Il. v. 726, πλημναι δ' άργύρου είσι περίδρομοι άμφοτέρωθεν.

310. Both atows and by are suspected. All the MSS. but one, and also the Aldine, omit δ , which is superfluous after apa, and seems a metrical makeshift, other copies giving elxor. The older poets do not use atoms. Thuc. vi. 24, has άίδιον μισθοφοράν (qu. μισθοφο-ρίαν). Probably this word is corrupt. The Harleian has ίδιον είχον πόνον. Perhaps, ίδιοντες έχου πόνου, i. e. iδ-ροῦντες. Cf. Ar. Pac. 85, πριν αν ίδιης (1) καl διαλύσης κ.τ.λ., and Ban. 237.

311. ἐπηνύσθη, was finished, was accomplished, gained, ετελείωθη, Joan. Diac.

312. Tolmos, for tolmous, occurs in 11.

xxii. 164, τό δε μέγα κείται άεθλον † τρίπος, ήε γυνή.—εντός άγωνος, within the space marked out for the contest,

viz. for the chariot-race. Cf. v. 205. 814. ἀμφὶ ἴτυν, round the outer mar-gin of the shield. Eur. Tro. 1197, Truos er europroioi mepiopómois iopás. The ancient notion of Oceanus forming a circumambient stream round the flat circular earth is well known. Here it was probably borrowed from II. xviii. 607, έν δ' ετίθει ποταμοΐο μέγα σθένου 'Ωκεανοΐο, άντυγα παρ πυμάτην σάκεσ πύκα ποιητοίο. For the F in trus me Curtius, 393.— Jureixe, continuous, en-closed as a border, as if to hold the materials together. — whiter: isuals, like a full or overflowing river. The phrase must have been derived from noticing the tides.

315. of de war' wirthy. It would hardly be correct to supply forces. The syntax is explained on v. 237.

316. aepointoral. See Opp. 777, aug-

σιπότητος ἀράχνη. 317. ἐπὶ κ.τ.λ., 'over the surface of the water.' See on ἐπὶ γαῖαν, Opp. 11. Goettling places a full stop at enve-

θαῦμα ἰδεῖν καὶ Ζηνὶ βαρυκτύπω, οῦ διὰ βουλὰς [•]Ηφαιστος ποίησε σάκος μέγα τε στιβαρόν τε αρσάμενος παλάμησι. το μέν Διος άλκιμος υίος 320 πάλλεν επικρατέως επί δ' ίππείου θόρε δίφρου είκελος αστεροπή πατρός Διός αἰγιόχοιο, κουφα βιβάς τω δ' ήνίοχος κρατερός Ιόλαος δίφρου ἐπεμβεβαὼς ἰθύνετο καμπύλον ἄρμα. άγχίμολον δέ σφ' ήλθε θεά γλαυκωπις 'Αθήνη, 325 καί σφεας θαρσύνουσ' έπεα πτερόεντα προσηύδα. Χαίρετε, Λυγκήος γενεή τηλεκλειτοίο. νῦν δη Ζεὺς κράτος ὖμμι διδοί μακάρεσσι ἀνάσσων, Κύκνον τ' έξεναρείν και από κλυτά τεύχεα δύσαι. άλλο δὲ σοί τι ἔπος ἐρέω, μέγα φέρτατε λαῶν 330 εὖτ αν δη Κύκνον γλυκερης αιώνος αμέρσης, τον μεν έπειτ' αύτου λιπέειν και τεύχεα τοιο,

318. θάξμα Γιδείν. 322. Γείκελος 323. Γιόλαος 326. θαρσύνουσα Γέπεα 328. μακάρεσσι Γανάσσων 330. Γέπος Γερέω λαξῶν 331. alfavos

323. τŵ, ήνίοχος Ald. vyu Zeis 0.

326. φωνήσασ' Ald., O. 328. **кр**атоs

rierro. He regards 141-317 as the work of a later poet, and would have us believe that Hesiod wrote $\theta a \hat{\nu} \mu a \, l \delta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ (140), θαῦμα ἰδεῖν καὶ Ζηνὶ βαρυκτύπφ. The poet's notion was, that the fishes seemed to move in the water, in such a way as to excite the wonder of Zeus himself.

320. doodueros, 'having fitted its parts together.' The medial aorist ματά μοματία. Το ποτικά αυτικ φοσμαφν from άρω is not elsewhere found. We have άρσαντες κατά θυμόν δανς άντάξιος έσται, in IL i. 136. 321. ξπικρατέως, ίσχυρῶς, Opp. 206.

Inf. v. 419.

322. elkelos doveponij. This phrase seems borrowed from 11. xiv. 386, as Goettling has observed. 324. idúrero. So Od. xxii. 8, 7, kal

λα' 'Αντινόφ Ιθύνετο πικρόν διστόν.

327. Auyknos yeven, which Goettling prefers to understand of Iolaus alone, as descended from Lynecus through Amphitryo, seems better referred to both him and Hercules, on account of the plural *xalpere* and $\delta \mu \mu \mu$. There seems an appeal to the herces' sharpness of sight in what follows, 333-5.

329. έξεναρεῖν (έναίρω) appears to be äπαξ λεγόμενον. The usual aorist has the middle form, erhoaro. A common Homeric word is eferdater, of which elevapeir was intended to be the second aorist.

331. alw is used in the feminine by Euripides, Phoen. 1484, σκοτίαν alŵra λαχόντων. Cf. Simonides, frag. 97, 3, ή καὶ Τίμαρχον γλυκερής αἰῶνος ἄμερσas.

332. $\tau o \hat{o}$, as inf. 337, the demonstrative with emphasis; 'leave him and his arms, and go in pursuit of Ares himself.' Possibly αυτον δε βροτόλοιγον should be read. Goettling renders av-ros de "solus vero." Perhaps there is only a contrast between $\tau \delta \nu$ $\mu \epsilon \nu$ and

. ΗΣΙΟΔΟΥ

αὐτὸς δὲ βροτολοιγὸν ᾿Αρην ἐπιόντα δοκεύσας, ἔνθα κε γυμνωθέντα σάκευς ὖπο δαιδαλέοιο ὀφθαλμοῖσιν ἶδης, ἔνθ οὐτάμεν ὀξέϊ χαλκῷ· 335 ἁψ δ' ἀναχάσσασθαι· ἐπεὶ οὖ νύ τοι αἶσιμόν ἐστιν οὖθ ῗππους ἑλέειν οὖτε κλυτὰ τεύχεα τοῖο.

[•]Ως εἰποῦσ' ἐς δίφρον ἐβήσατο δῖα θεάων, νίκην ἀθανάτης χερσὶν καὶ κῦδος ἔχουσα, [ἐσσυμένως. τότε δή ῥα διόγνητος Ἰόλαος] 340 σμερδαλέον θ' ἴπποισιν ἐκέκλετο· τοὶ δ' ὑπ' ὀμοκλῆς ῥίμφ' ἔφερον θοὸν ἄρμα κονίοντες πεδίοιο. ἐν γάρ σφιν μένος ኽκε θεὰ γλαυκῶπις Ἀθήνη αἰγίδ' ἀνασσείσασα· περιστονάχιζε δὲ γαῖα. τοὶ δ' ἄμυδις †προγένοντ' ἴκελοι πυρὶ ἠὲ θυέλλη, 345

335. Fibys 338. Feiπουσ' 340. Fióλaos 342. Θογον

333. ἐπὶ νῶτα Ο. 334. κε om. Ald. καὶ Ο. 336. ἀναχωρή σασθαι Ald., Ο. 338. ἐς om. Ο. ἐπεβήσετο Ald. 339. ἀθανάτησι Ald. ἀθανάταις Ο. 341. σμερδαλέον & Ο, Ald. ὑπ' ὀμομοκλής Ο. 343. σφι Ο. 344. περὶ στενάχησε δὲ Ald., Ο. 345. προγένον Ald. προγένοντο ἴκελος Ο.

abros $\delta \lambda$, though it is hardly a forcible one. Nearly the same distich occurs again at v. 425—6.—'Appr, a later form than "App, is here to be noticed. See inf. 457, where the Aldine gives "App", the Harleian MS. $\delta p \eta$.—yupwabérra, exposed from under the cover of the shield. Eur. Phoen. 1396, yupubr $\delta \mu \mu \sigma$ elsidar $\delta \pi \rho \delta \sigma \theta \epsilon$ $\tau \rho \omega \theta els \sigma \tau \delta \rho \alpha$ Πολυvelkous βla $\delta i \eta \kappa \epsilon \lambda \delta \gamma \chi \eta \nu$. Cf. inf. v. 460.

S38. $\epsilon_s \delta(\phi\rho\rho\nu, \text{ into the chariot of Hercules.} - \nu(\kappa\eta\nu, according to Goett$ $ling, refers to the Gorgon's head on the aegis, a symbol of victory. But this is far-fetched. Rather the idea is borrowed from later writers, who were familiar with the title N(<math>\kappa\eta$ 'Addra, on which see Eur. Ion 1529. On some of the later coins the symbol of a victory held in the hands is found. See Hom. II. v. 593, xi. 4 (quoted by Goettling).

340. διόγνητος (-γέννητος or -γένητος), a variant from the common epic attribute disperfs. See Fragm. cxx, $\phi\lambda \epsilon$ yias disperfusion dispares.—The lengthening the final syllable in $\sigma\mu\epsilon\rho\delta\alpha\lambda$ ior before transmouse would be an unworted licence. Most copies add θ , which may have been a metrical insertion. One may suspect however that 340 is spurious: Athena herself mounted the car, $\sigma\mu\epsilon\rho\delta\alpha\lambda$ ior θ irresure infinitely more graphic, and accords better with v. 343.

845. προγένοντο, came on, πόρρω έγέ νοντο. Compare προφέρεω όδοῦ, Opp. 579. Hom. II. xviii. 525, el δẻ τάχα προγένοντο. Apoll. Rhod. iii. 1292, άμφω όμοῦ προγένοντο. There seems however to be some error in the verse. For, first, ĭκελοs takes the digamma: secondly, one MS. gives προσέγενθ and the Harleian has ĭκελοs. One reading therefore appears to have been τοῦ δ άμυδις προσέγεντ' ĭκελος muph κ.τ.λ. We have γέντο for ἐγένετο in Theog. 283. Κύκνος θ' ίππόδαμος και "Αρης ακόρητος αυτής. των ίπποι μεν έπειθ' ύπεναντίοι αλλήλοισιν όξεια χρέμισαν, περί δέ σφισιν άγνυτο ήχώ. τὸν πρότερος προσέειπε βίη Ήρακληείη.

Κύκνε πέπον, τί νυ νωϊν επίσχετον ωκέας ίππους, 350 άνδράσιν οι τε πόνου και διζύος ίδριές είμεν; άλλα παρέξ έχε δίφρον εύξοον ήδε κελεύθου είκε παρέξ ίέναι. Τρηχινα δέ τοι παρελαύνω ές Κήϋκα άνακτα· ό γαρ δυνάμει τε και αίδοι Τρηχίνος προβέβηκε, σύ δ' εἶ μάλα οἶσθα καὶ αὐτός. του γαρ όπυίεις παίδα Θεμιστονόην κυανωπιν. 356 ὦ πέπον, οὐ μέν γάρ τοι Αρης θανάτοιο τελευτήν

348. σφισι Γάγνυτο Γηχώ

349. προσέξειπε 855. Foio da

354. fávarta

347. των θ Ald. τών δ' Ο. 348. open Ald. open O. 349. πρότερον Ald. 353. Tpaxîva O. 355. προσέββηκε Ο. σù δ' al Ald.

346. aurns. This is said because Homer represents the god as shouting loudly in battle passim.

347. των θ vulgo, and so Gaisford, Tŵr ở Harl. Tŵr Herm. Goettl.

Set o hard. The poet has used this form, without any safe precedent, for the neuter diffe. We have in Theorem. 1. 95, first $\gamma \epsilon \lambda does a$, where some connect ddéa $\gamma \epsilon \lambda does a$, though it is better to construe $\delta \delta \delta a$ and $\delta \delta \delta a$ and $\delta \delta \delta a$ and $\delta \delta \delta \delta a$. άδεία ήλθε και γελάοισα. In Soph. Trach. 122, άδεια μέν άντία δ' οίσω, the more probable reading is alloia $\mu \epsilon v$. Here there is no escape from the anomaly, unless we read $\delta\xi ta \tau' \epsilon \chi \rho \epsilon_{\mu\sigma\sigma\sigma\nu}$, with Guietus, or $\delta\xi ta \tau' \epsilon \chi \rho \epsilon_{\mu\sigma\sigma\sigma\nu}$, with Guietus, or $\delta\xi ta \chi \rho \epsilon_{\mu\sigma\sigma\sigma\nu}$, regarding favor as feminine. Probably it is a licence or anomaly of the same kind as $\sigma e \sigma \delta \rho v a$, sup. v. 268. It may be added, that xpeuls for xpeueris, to neigh, is not only arat revouceror, but probably an invention of the poet's. Apollonius uses erixpeµeewv, iii. 1260.

850. ἐπίσχετον, i. e. σύ τε καl "Apns. Cf. v. 59. The dual of ἐπίσχω. So inf. v. 446, * Αρες, ἕπισχε μένος.

851. The genuineness of this verse is doubtful. It was a senseless argument to address to Cyonus and Ares, 'why do you attack us who know what toil and trouble is ?' and Tops ought to have the digamma, as in Opp. 778.

352. KELEUBOU elke, via cede, give way for us to pass out on one side (παρέξ). So είκειν όδοῦ Eur. Ion 937. είκε θυμοῦ Soph. Ant. 718. Compare Oed. R. 804

Beqq. 353. Τρηχίνα, BC. &, I am going on (driving past you) to Trachis.—δ γàρ arra.-aldoi, in the respect paid to him, the awe in which he is held.

855. **Τρηχίνοs**, for Tpaxwiew, as

Goettling points out. 357. où hèv yáp. The yáp gives the reason why he should give way, v. 853, the intermediate lines being parenthetical. - doréses, arcobit. - suroisóμεθα, shall engage with you. Aesch. Theb. 680-3, κατεύχεται-σοl ξυμφέρεσθαι καl κτανών θανείν πέλας. Apoll. Rhod. iii. 183, φρασσόμεθ, είτ Αρητ συνοισόμεθ κ.τ.λ.

άρκέσει, εί δη νωϊ συνοισόμεθα πτολεμίζειν. ήδη μέν τί έ φημι καὶ ἄλλοτε πειρηθήναι έγχεος ήμετέρου, δθ ύπερ Πύλου ήμαθόεντος 360 άντίος έστη έμειο, μάχης άμοτον μενεαίνων. τρίς μέν έμω ύπο δουρί τυπείς ήρείσατο γαίη ούταμένου σάκεος, το δε τέτρατον ήλασα μηρον παντί μένει σπεύδων, δια δε μέγα σαρκός αραξα πρηνής δ' έν κονίησι χαμαί πέσεν έγχεος όρμη. ένθα κε δη λωβητός έν αθανάτοισιν ετύχθη, χερσίν υφ' ήμετέρησι λιπών έναρα βροτόεντα.

Ως ἔφατ'· οὐδ' ἄρα Κύκνος ἐϋμμελίης ἐμενοίνα τῷ ἐπιπειθόμενος ἐχέμεν ἐρυσάρματας ἶππους. δη τότ' απ' ευπλεκέων δίφρων θόρον αίψ' έπι γαίαν 370 παις τε Διός μεγάλου και Ένυαλίοιο άνακτος. ήνίοχοι δ' έμπλην έλασαν καλλίτριχας ιππους.

359. Fe

369. Γερυσάρματας

371. Fávartos

358. πολεμίζειν Ο. 359. µév re Ald., O. 361. *Eµo*io 0. 364. σάκος Ald., O. 367. ¿ø' Ald., O.

860. ύπέρ Πύλου. Apollodor. ii. 7, 3, μετά δε την Ηλιδος άλωσιν εστράτευσεν έπι Πύλον, και την πόλιν έλων Περικλύμενον κτείνει τον άλκιμώτατον των Νηλέως παίδων.—κατά δὲ την μάχην καὶ Αιδην ἔτρωσε Πυλίοις βοηθοῦντα. See II. v. 395. We must evidently read "Appy for "Aidny, if the account of our poet is to be trusted.

362. peloaro, he supported himself. Probably en yovaros is to be supplied, this being a figure taken from wrestlers, as in Aesch. Ag. 64, yovaros koviaiour έρειδομένου—ούταμένου, as if from ού-τημι, ούταμα. We have κατ' ούταμένην ώτειλην, by or through the wound in-flicted, II. xiv. 518, where it is by some regarded as a middle or epic aorist in a Goettling compares passive sense. κτάμενοs, inf. v. 402.

364. Hesych. σπεύδων σπουδάζων, evepyor. The construction is, did de σαρκός άραξα αὐτόν μέγα, i.e. μεγάλως, 'I made a great fracture of it through (under) the flesh.' Perhaps we should read odpras. The MSS. generally give oakos, but one has oapkos. Cf. inf. 461, where the same variant occurs. Gaisford considers this verse spurious, after Guietus and Heinrich.

366-7. The sense is, $\lambda \omega \beta \eta \tau \delta s \frac{1}{2} \eta$, el $\xi \lambda m \epsilon \kappa.\tau.\lambda$, "he would have been disgraced, as the god of war, among the gods, if he had given up his arms through my prowess." Why he was not stripped of his arms the poet does not here specify; but the reason may be inferred from v. 337, viz. that it was not permitted to despoil a god.

368. obn eµerolra, 'did not desire;' was not eager to do so, in compliance with the good advice he had received -exéμer, for κατέχειν, — ερυσάρματαs, as if 'to hold in his steeds,' from ερυσάρμας, occurs also Il. xv. 354. *epusdepares* xvi. 370. In both places, as here, it takes the digamma.

372. Εμπλην, πλησίον. Il. ii. 526.

τών δ' υπο σευομένων †κανάχιζε πόσ' εὐρεία χθών. ώς δ ότ' άφ' ύψηλης κορυφης όρεος μεγάλοιο πέτραι αποθρώσκωσιν, έπ' αλλήλαις δε πέσωσι, 375 πολλαί δε δρύς ψψίκομοι, πολλαί δε τε πεύκαι αίγειροί τε τανύρριζοι ρήγνυνται ύπ' αὐτῶν ρίμφα κυλινδομένων, είως πεδίονδ' αφίκωνται, ως οι έπ' αλλήλοισι πέσον μέγα κεκλήγοντες. πασα δε Μυρμιδόνων τε πόλις κλειτή τ' Ιαωλκός, 380 *Αρνη τ' ήδ' Έλίκη *Ανθειά τε ποιήεσσα φωνη υπ' άμφοτέρων μεγάλ' ίαχον οί δ' άλαλητώ θεσπεσίω σύνισαν μέγα δ' έκτυπε μητίετα Ζεὺς, καδ' δ' αρ' απ' ουρανόθεν ψιάδας βάλεν αίματοέσσας σήμα τιθείς πολέμοιο έφ μεγαθαρσέι παιδί. 385

378. åfos.

380. [°]ΙαFωλκòs 382. μέγα Fίαχον? 381. Γάρνη τε Γελίκη τ' 385. Γεώ

373. πασ' Ο. 375. αποθρώσκουσιν Ο. 380. πόλις τε Ο. 383. σύνεσαν Ald.

Βοιωτών δ' έμπλην έπ' άριστερά θωρήσσοντο. Archilochus, frag. 57, έμπλην έμοῦ τε καὶ φίλου.

873. There can be no doubt that $\pi \delta \sigma'$ stands for $\pi \sigma \sigma d$, not for $\pi \delta \sigma a$, 'how greatly,' as Goettling is inclined to think. He proposes however $\kappa a \sigma d \chi \epsilon i$ $\pi \delta \sigma'$ eigen $\chi \delta \delta \sigma$, or $\kappa a \sigma d \chi \epsilon i$ $\pi \sigma \sigma' e i p \epsilon i a$ $\chi \delta \delta \sigma$, and $\pi \delta \sigma \sigma$ is the reading of the Harleian and other MSS. Hermann conjectures $\kappa a \sigma \sigma \chi h \sigma' \chi \epsilon$, $\chi \sigma$, as I, $\chi \tau i$. 105, $\pi h \chi f \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda o \mu \epsilon \tau \eta \kappa a \sigma \chi \eta \tau \xi \epsilon$. The final ι is not elided unless in the form $\pi \sigma \sigma \sigma i$. The simile consists in the noise and the shock from the collision of two huge moving bodies.

huge moving bodies. 875. Goettling cites, as the reading of one MS., $\pi \epsilon r \rho a \lambda \pi o 0 \rho \phi \sigma \kappa o v \sigma a \ell \pi'$ $\lambda \lambda \gamma \lambda a u \sigma \ell \pi \epsilon \sigma v \sigma c.$ This seems preferable, and it is rather supported by the variant $\lambda \pi o 0 \rho \phi \sigma \kappa o v \sigma u$.

377. phymural does not depend directly on δre , which takes the subjunctives as if for δrar , but is a distinct clause, stating a fact incidental to or consequent on the event described. The

contraction δηγνῦνται for δηγνύωνται might be defended by Ἐρινῦν for Ἐρινύων.

379. $\kappa \epsilon \kappa \lambda / \gamma \rho \sigma r r s$ is a present participle, used also by Homer, as from $\kappa \epsilon \kappa \lambda / \gamma \rho \omega$. Compare $\epsilon \rho \rho (\gamma \rho \sigma r r, sup. v. 228, where see the note.$

380. Muputóirav $\pi \delta \lambda is$, the settlement of the Myrmidons, viz. Phthiotis in Thessalia, whence Achilles was called $\theta \theta i \delta \pi \eta s$. Goettling remarks, that Arne was a town of Boeotia, Helice and Anthea in the Peloponnese. Either therefore the poet used a very strong, and indeed absurd, poetical figure, or, which seems probable, this verse is an interpolation. Cf. v. 474.

382. On $i_{\alpha\chi\sigma\sigma}$, $fi_{\alpha\chi\sigma\nu}$, see Theog. 678. 384. $\psi_{id\delta\alpha s}$, $\psi_{ecd\delta\alpha s}$. II. xvi. 459, $ai\mu\alpha\tauo\epsilon\sigma\sigma\alpha s$ $\delta\epsilon$ $\psi_{id\delta\alpha s}$ $\kappa\alpha\tau\epsilon\epsilon\chi\epsilon\nu\epsilon\nu$ $\epsilon\rho\alpha\epsilon$. Ibid. xi. 54, $\kappa\alpha\tau\delta$ δ^{i} $\psi_{id}\delta\epsilon\nu$ faces $\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\rho\alpha s$ $ai\mu\alpha\taui$ $\mu\nu\delta\alpha\lambda\epsilon\alpha s$ $\epsilon\xi$ $ai\theta\epsilon\rho\alpha s$. Red rain, like red snow, is a real phenomenon, though a rare one, and is said to be caused by a small alga (*Palmella prodiajosa*).

Digitized by Google

οໂος δ' έν βήσσης όρεος χαλεπός προϊδέσθαι κάπρος χαυλιόδων φρονέει †θυμῷ μαχέσασθαι ἀνδράσι θηρευτής, θήγει δέ τε λευκόν όδόντα δοχμωθείς, ἀφρός δὲ περὶ στόμα μαστιχόωντι λείβεται, ὅσσε δέ οἱ πυρὶ λαμπετόωντι ἔϊκτον, ὀρθὰς δ' ἐν λοφιή φρίσσει τρίχας ἀμφί τε δειρήν τῷ ἴκελος Διὸς υἱὸς ἀφ' ἱππείου θόρε δίφρου. ἦμος δὲ χλοερῷ κυανόπτερος ἦχέτα τέττιξ ὄζω ἐφεζόμενος θέρος ἀνθρώποισιν ἀείδειν

386. προΓιδέσθαι

390. Γοι ΓέΓικτον 393. χλοΓερφ 392. Fixedos

390

386. βήσσαις—προσιδέσθαι Ο. 387. φρονίει δλ—μάχεσθαι Ο. φρονίει δε Ald. 390. δ' έκτην MSS. 393. χλοερός Ο.

887. χαυλιόδων, a word applied by Herodotus to crocodiles' teeth, ii. 68, and ii. 71, seems to mean 'showing the teeth through the gap of the closed mouth,' χαύλος being a synonym of χαῦνος. John the Deacon (on v. 303) has a good note: χαυλιόδοντα μèν λέγονται δσα ξχουσι τοὺς δδόντας ξεστηκότας τοῦ στόματος, οἶον ἐλέφας καὶ χοῦρος καρχαρόδοντα δλ, δσα ἐντὸς μèν ἔχουσι τοὺς δδόντας, ἀλλ' ὀξεῖς, καὶ οἶον κεχαραγμένους. The variants φρονέει δὲ and μάχεσθαι clearly point to a reading which is likely to be right, φρονέει δὲ and μάχεσθαι. In this case we must supply ἐδσι in the verse preceding.—λευκῷ δδόντα, which was so called κατ' ἐξοχήν. Bion, Id. i. 7, κείται καλλό 'λδονις—λευκῷ δδόντι τυπείς. Virg. Georg. iii. 255, 'ipse ruit dentesque Sabellicus exacuit sus.' Apollonius has κάπριος ἀργιόδων, ii. 819. Hom. Od. xxiv. 332, τὴν ἐν Παρπησῷ μ' ἐλασεν σῦς

889. $\delta o \chi \mu \omega \theta \epsilon ls$, with his head awry; 'incurvata cervice,' Goettl.— $\mu \omega \sigma \tau_i \chi \delta \epsilon$ $\omega \rho \tau_i$, 'champing.' Whatever be the etymology of this word, $\mu d\sigma \tau a \xi$ a mouth, or $\mu a \sigma \tau i \chi \eta$ mastich, from the resemblance between the gum and the viscid saliva, it seems to be our word to masticate.

390. *Euror* Goettling for *Europ*, the present, not the imperfect, being required by the context. He compares Od. iv. 27, *äröpe dúw*, *yere* \hat{y} d*è dús* µer*dλouo Europ*. So µd*xeodor*, —*dnr*, inf. v. 406. The verse is here taken from II. i. 104.

391. Ar. Ran. 822, φρίξας 8 αυτοκόμου λοφιῶς λασιεύχενα χαίταν. Od. xix. 446 (compared by Goetling), φρίξας εδ λοφιὴν, πῦρ 8 ἀφθαλμοῦτ δεδορκὸς, said of a wild boar.

393. κυανόπτερος, 'dark - winged' They are called by Theocritus εἰθελίωνες τέττεγες, vii. 138. For the digamma in χλόη see Curtius, Gr. Et. 202.

394. θέρος λείδεων. The note of the cicada is described as a sign of summer in Opp. 582. The notion of this insect feeding on dew is common among the poets. Theoor. iv. 16, μ) πρωκας σπί-(εται, διοντερ δ τέττιξ; Virg. Ecl. v. 77, 'dumque thymo pascentur apes, dum rore cicadae.' Pseudo-Anacreon (quoted by Goettl.) θέρεος γλυκός προφήτηςδενδρέων ἐπ' άκρων | όλίγην δρόσο πο πωκός | βασιλεός διανός δείδεις.-θήλυς, θάλλευ ποιούσα. So Od. v. 467, στιβή τε κακή καl θήλυς έέρση. The sense of 'female' is quite secondary, viz causing to flourish by giving suck. The root is θa, Sanscr. dhà (our dairy).

Digitized by Google

i

ἄρχεται, ῷτε πόσις καὶ βρῶσις θηλυς ἐέρση, 395
καί τε πανημέριός τε καὶ ήῷος χέει αὐδην
ἴδει ἐν αἰνοτάτῳ, ὅτε τε χρόα Σείριος αζει·
(τημος δη κέγχροισι πέρι γλῶχες τελέθουσι,
τούστε θέρει σπείρουσιν, ὅτ' ὅμφακες αἰόλλονται,
οἶα Διώνυσος δῶκ' ἀνδράσι χάρμα καὶ ἄχθος,) 400
την ὥρην μάρναντο, πολὺς δ' ὀρυμαγδὸς ὀρώρει.
ὡς δὲ λέοντε δύω ἀμφὶ κταμένης ἐλάφοιο
ἀλλήλοις κοτέοντες ἐπὶ σφέας ὁρμήσωσι,
δεινη δέ σφ' ἰαχη ἄραβός θ' ἅμα γίγνετ' ὀδόντων·
οἱ δ', ὦστ' αἰγυπιοὶ γαμψώνυχες ἀγκυλοχεῖλαι 405

395. Hépon

396. åfŵos xéfei

404. δè Fiaxy?

396. ἀοιδὴν Ο. 397. ὅπότε Ald. ὅτε Ο. 398. τελέθωσι Ald. 400. διόνυσος Ν. διώνυσσος Ald., Ο. 403. κοτέοντε Ald. 406. κράζοντε μάχεσθον Ο. μαχέσθην Ν, Ald.

397. 18ει, Ιδρώτι. Cf. Opp. 413, καύματος ίδαλίμου, and ibid. 587, έπεὶ κεφαλην καὶ γούνατα Σείριος άζει.— στε Etymol. M. p. 465, 88, and so Dind. for όποτε, others giving στε περ, στε καὶ, δτι or στε.

 The word is not found elsewhere. $\delta\mu\phi\alpha\kappa\epsilons$, when the green grapes are beginning to change colour. So Aesch. Agam. 943, δταν δὲ τεόχη Ζεὺs ἀπ' δμφακοs πικρῶs οἰνον, τότ' ἤδη ψῦχοs ἐν δόμοιs πίλει.

400. This verse is perhaps interpolated here. Athenaeus, x. p. 428, cites it as from the μ eydaa: Hoia.

401. $\tau h \nu$ $\delta \rho n \nu$, at that season,' or perhaps, 'at that hour,' viz. noon. The accusative of time, without the notion of duration. Aesch. Eum. 109, forow $\delta \rho a \nu$ observes $\kappa our h \nu$ $\theta c \delta \nu$. Eur. Bacch. 722, al δt $\tau h \nu$ $\tau e \pi a \gamma \mu \ell n \mu$ $\delta \rho a \nu$ $\delta \ell \kappa (\nu n \nu)$ $\theta \ell \rho \sigma o \nu$.— $\pi o \lambda \delta s$ $\kappa . \tau . \lambda$, cf. sup. 274. 402—4. Goettling encloses these

402-4. Goettling encloses these lines, as resulting from another recension in place of the simile following. But both may be allowed to stand, the roaring $(la\chi \eta)$ of the lions and the screaming of the vultures being compared to the yell of the warriors. The poet was commencing the apodosis at of δ' , v. 405, but was led away into a second simile, so that the apodosis is deferred till v. 412.

405-6. Adapted or rather borrowed

αίγος όρεσσινόμου η άγροτέρης έλάφοιο πίονος, ήντ' έδάμασσε βαλών αίζήϊος άνηρ ίω από νευρής, αύτος δ' απαλήσεται άλλη χώρου αιδρις έων, οι δ' ότραλέως ένόησαν, 410 έσσυμένως δέ οἱ ἀμφὶ μάχην δριμεῖαν ἔθεντο, ώς οι κεκληγωτες έπ' άλλήλοισιν όρουσαν. ένθ ήτοι Κύκνος μέν ύπερμενέος Διός υίον κτεινέμεναι μεμαώς σάκει έμβαλε χάλκεον έγχος, ούδ' έρρηξεν γαλκόν έρυτο δε δώρα θεοίο. 415 'Αμφιτρυωνιάδης δὲ, βίη 'Ηρακληείη, μεσσηγύς κόρυθός τε και ασπίδος έγχει μακρώ αύχένα γυμνωθέντα θοως υπένερθε γενείου ήλασ' έπικρατέως από δ' αμφω κέρσε τένοντε ανδροφόνος μελίη· μέγα γαρ σθένος έμπεσε φωτός. 420 ήριπε δ', ώς ότε τις δρύς ήριπεν, ή ότε πέτρη ήλίβατος, πληγείσα Διός ψολόεντι κεραυνώ.

410. aridons 411. For

409. ἀπυὶ Ν. ἀπὸ Ald., Ο. ἀπαλλήσεται Ald. ἀπαλλήσετο Ν. ἀπονίσσεται Ο. 412. κεκλωγότες Ο. κεκλήγοντες Ν. κεκληγότες Ald. 415. χαλκὸς Ν. 419. τέρσε Ν. 421. ὅτε δρῦς Ο. 422. πλαγεῖσα Ν.

from II. xiv. 428, ol δ' 5στ' alγυπιολ γαμψώνυχες άγκυλοχείλαι πέτρη έφ' ύψηλη μεγάλα κλάζοντε μάχωνται.

ύψηλη μεγάλα κλάζοντε μάχωνται. 408. αζάιος (Od. xii. 83), a lengthened form of αίζηδς, 'vigorous,' Opp. 441. Theog. 863.

409. $\Delta \pi \delta$. Gaisford with most of the copies gives $\Delta \pi a$, a form not metrically necessary. The same variant occurs sup. v. 278, inf. v. 437. $\Delta \pi a \lambda h \sigma \epsilon r a$, shall wander from the spot, shall lose his way ($\Delta \lambda \hat{a} \sigma \theta a$.). The MSS. vary in the reading, one having $\Delta \pi a \lambda h \sigma \epsilon r a$, whence Goettling conjectures $\Delta \pi a \lambda h \sigma \epsilon r a$. 412. $\kappa \epsilon \kappa \lambda h \gamma \sigma r \epsilon s$ vulgo. Cf. v. 379. But MS. Harl. has $\kappa \epsilon \kappa \lambda \sigma \gamma \sigma r \epsilon s$, and

412. κεκλήγοντες vulgo. Cf. v. 379. But MS. Harl. has κεκλωγότες, and several others give κεκλωγότες, and it is obvious that this is the Homeric verse, II. xiv. 430, \$s ol κεκληγώτες έπ' άλλήλοισιν δρουσαν. Compare Od. xii. 256. Apollonius has κεκληγώτα, iv. 876.

413. δπερμενέοs. Perhaps δπερμενέα. 415. obð' δρρηξεν. See v. 140. Tzetzes records a variant χαλκόs (so MS. Emnsan.), viz. the spear-point did not break the shield; for the reason that the shield was not made only of bronze. We should not miss this verse if it were wanting in the copies.

420. $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \sigma s \kappa \tau \lambda$. For great was the force thrown by the hero into the blow.

421. ήριτε (ἐρείπω), an intransitive aorist common in Homer. See sup. v. 174. Theocr. xiii. 49, κατήριπε δ' ἐς μέλαν δδωρ ἀθρόος, ὡς δκα πυρσός ἀπ³ ούρανῶ ήριπεν ἀστήρ.

⁸s
⁸εριπ³·
⁴αμφὶ δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
ε
πον μεν
ε
πειτ³
ε
ίασε
Διος ταλακάρδιος υίος,
αυτος δ
δ
βροτολοιγον
⁸Αρην
ε
πιόντα δοκεύσας,
425
δεινον
δρων
δσσοισι, λέων
δ
σ
σ
του
δ
δ
του
του
του
δ
δ
του
του
του
δ
δ
δ
του
του
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
του
δ
δ
δ
δ
του
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ
δ</p

432. Fidir

423. βράχευ Ο. λευκώ Ν. 425. ἄρηα Ald. προσιόντα Ο. επιόντα Ald., Ν. 428. σχίσας όττι μάλιστα Ο (μάλ underlined). σχίσας Ald. 430. γλαυκίων δ όσσοισι κατά Ο. όσσοισι Ν. 431. μαστιχόων Ald. μαστιόων ΟΝ. αὐτοῦ Ο. γλύφει Ν.

423. This verse is perhaps spurious. 424-5. $\tau b \mu \mu b - ab \tau b s \delta \epsilon$. See on v. 332-3, where the same verse occurs. $-i\pi ab \tau a$ is here, as frequently, used in the present sense.

426. $\sigma \delta \mu a \tau_i$, the body of some beast. Hom. II. xviii. 161, is 3' and $\sigma \delta \mu a \tau os$ even to the second state of the second state of the secondstate of the second state of the second state of the secondto the lion, which is not now (thoughsee Herod. vii. 125) a European animal,is easily explained by the fact that theywere once common in the Greek settlements of Asia Minor, where Sir CharlesFellows attests that they are still metwith (Travels, p. 348).

429. $\epsilon\mu - \pi i\mu\pi\lambda a\tau a$. Goettling, by an unusual tmesis. But this is only the conjecture of Heyne on II. xx. 172, the old copies giving $\epsilon\mu\mu\nu\epsilon\omega$, which Gaisford retains, perhaps rightly. See Theog. 712. Hesych. $\epsilon\mu\mu\nu\epsilon\omega$ s: $\pi\rho\sigma-\theta\ell\mu\omega$ s, \betaualws .

430. γλαυκιῶν is to glare fiercely, to show the peculiar greenish light of the eye which most feline animals exhibit.

This is not a desiderative, but one of those verbs which imply bodily affection, like $\lambda \eta \mu \tilde{a} r$, 'to be blear eyed.' The passage is clearly copied from II. xx. 170, obp? δt $\pi \lambda \epsilon v \rho ds$ $\tau \epsilon$ kal $l \sigma \chi l a$ $a \mu \phi \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho a \theta \epsilon r$, $\gamma \lambda a v \kappa l \delta r$ $d \sigma \tau \delta r$ $\sigma \tau \rho \delta r \epsilon r$, $\gamma \lambda a v \kappa l \delta r$, δt $l \theta v s$ $\phi \epsilon \rho \sigma r a \mu \delta r \epsilon$.

481. μαστιάω, from μάστις (Od. xv. 182), seems here a synonym of μαστίζω. Another form μαστίειν occurs inf. 466, and II. xvii. 622, μάστιε νῦν, είως κε θοὰs ἐπὶ νῆας ἴκηαι. The author of this poem shows some laxness in coining words in άω, which are metrically convenient with the inserted o before the contracted syllable.—γλάφει, paws, tears up the ground. So Virgil, describing the horse, Georg. iii. 87, 'cavatque tellurem, et solido graviter sonat ungula cornu.' Probably ἄπαξ λεγόμενον, but formed like γλαφυρός, on the analogy of γλύφω. Hesych. γλάφει: γλύφει, δρύσσει, κοιλαίνει. Curtius compares sculpo with scalpo. Homer has the compound διαγλάφειν, Od. iv. 438. One MS. here gives γλύφει. We have γλάφυ πετρῆεν

ἀντίος ἔστη *Αρηος, ἐνὶ φρεσὶ θάρσος ἀέξων, ἐσσυμένως· ὁ δέ οἱ σχεδὸν ἦλυθεν ἀχνύμενος κῆρ, 435 ἀμφότεροι δ' ἰάχοντες ἐπ' ἀλλήλοισιν ὅρουσαν. ὡς δ' ὅτ' ἀπὸ μεγάλου πέτρη πρηῶνος ὀρούσῃ, μακρὰ δ' ἐπιθρώσκουσα κυλίνδεται, ἡ δέ τε ἠχῃ ἔρχεται ἐμμεμαυῖα, πάγος δέ οἱ ἀντεβόλησεν ὑψηλός· τῷ δὴ συνενείκεται, ἔνθα μιν ἴσχει· 440 τόσσῃ ὁ μὲν ἰαχῃ, βρισάρματος οῦλιος *Αρης, κεκληγὼς ἐπόρουσεν· ὁ δ' ἐμμαπέως ὑπέδεκτο. αὐτὰρ 'Αθηναίη, κούρη Διὸς αἰγιόχοιο, ἀντίη ἦλθεν *Αρηος ἐρεμνὴν αἰγίδ' ἔχουσα· δεινὰ δ' ὑπόδρα ἰδοῦσ' ἔπεα πτερόεντα προσηύδα· 445

438. Fmm

441. Fuaxô

445. Γιδούσα Γέπεα

434. ἀντίος ἄρηος ἔστη Ν. ἀντίος ἄρεος ἔστη Ο. 435. ἀχθόμενος Ο. 436. ἰάχοντε Ν. 437. ὀροῦσα Ald. ὀρούση Ν. ὀρου Ο. 438. μακρὰν δ Ο. 439. ἐκμεμανία Ν. 440. συνείκεται. 445. ἰδοῦσα ἔπεα Ν.

484. Perhaps, 'Appl, $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \phi \rho \epsilon \sigma i \kappa \tau. \lambda$. The genitive is more usual with $\Delta r r \epsilon \sigma$, but the dative occurs II. vii. 20, xv. 584, xx. 422. Sup. 96, $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \delta \epsilon \phi \rho \epsilon \sigma i \delta d \phi \sigma \sigma \delta \delta \delta \omega r$.

439. For

436. $ld\chi eir$ seems generally to take the digamma, cf. inf. 441. 451; but it is a doubtful word in this respect. We might read, $\delta \delta^* \delta \sigma \sigma \chi \epsilon \delta \delta \pi \beta \lambda v \theta \epsilon r$, $\lambda \mu \phi \delta r \epsilon \rho o F r d \chi o r r \epsilon s$.

437. πρηών, for πρών, 'a headland,' seems άπαξ λεγόμενον, like so many other words in this poem. It may be remarked that the same simile occurred before at v. 374. It is the δλοοίτροχοs of 11. xiii, 137.

438. μακρά δ' Perhaps μακρόν, as many MSS. give δροῦσα for δρούση or -η. And the MS. Harl. gives μακράν δ'.

Ibid. $\eta \chi \hat{\eta}$, with a noise. So one of Goettling's MSS. rightly, the rest having $\eta \chi \eta$.

440. συνενείκεται for συμφέρεται, συμβάλλεται, is άπαξ λεγόμενον. The Etymol. Mag. p. 691, 24 (quoted by Goettl.). has το ένείκω Βοιάτιον, δ σημαίνει το *èréyaw.* The sense appears to be, 'with whatever it comes into collision, there does that stop it.' We might have expected $\tau \hat{\eta}$ dd *evere(kerau*, 'and where it (the stone) strikes, there it (the hill) stops it.'

41. βρισάρματος. The weight of a god was supposed to be supernaturally great. So Cybele, in the ship from Troy, caused the vessel to strand, Ovid, Fast. iv. 300, "sedit limoso pressa carina vado." Heinrich compares II. v. 837, $\frac{1}{7}$ δ' is δίφρον έβαινε παραί Διομήδεα δίον έμμεμαυΐα θεά. μέγα δ' έβραχε φήγινος **ξίων** βοιθοσύνη.

445. $\delta \epsilon u \lambda$ has perhaps crept in as a gloss on $\delta \pi \delta \delta \rho a$, where the original reading was $\tau \delta v \delta' \delta \rho'$ (or $\kappa a \mu u$) $\delta \pi \delta \delta \rho a Fi \delta \delta \bar{v} \sigma a Fi \pi e a \kappa. \tau. \lambda$. The a dverb, so common in Homer, seems compounded of the root $\delta \rho a \kappa$ or $\delta \epsilon \rho \kappa$, and so it may originally have been $\delta \pi \delta \delta \rho a \xi$ for $i \pi \sigma \delta \rho \sigma \delta \kappa$, like $\lambda \delta \xi$, $\delta a \mu \pi \delta \xi$. For that ξ was sometimes evanescent, though a double letter, is shown by the accent of $\kappa \hat{\eta} \rho u \xi$, $\kappa \lambda \hat{\iota} \mu a \xi$, do.

160

Αρες, έπισχε μένος κρατερόν και χειρας αάπτους. ού γάρ τοι θέμις έστιν από κλυτά τεύχεα δύσαι Ηρακλέα κτείναντα, Διὸς θρασυκάρδιον υίόν. άλλ' άγε παῦε μάχην, μηδ' ἀντίος ἴστασ' ἐμεῖο. **Ως έφατ' ἀλλ' οὐ πεῖθ** *Αρεος μεγαλήτορα θυμον, 450 άλλα μέγα ιάχων φλογί είκελα τεύχεα πάλλων καρπαλίμως επόρουσε βίη Ηρακληείη κακτάμεναι μεμαώς καί β' έμβαλε χάλκεον έγχος σπερχνόν έου παιδός κοτέων πέρι τεθνηώτος έν σάκει μεγάλω. άπο δε γλαυκωπις Αθήνη 455 έγχεος όρμην έτραπ' όρεξαμένη ἀπο δίφρου. δριμύ δ' Αρην άχος είλε είρυσσάμενος δ' άορ όξω έσσυτ' έφ' Ήρακλέα κρατερόφρονα· τὸν δ' ἐπιόντα 'Αμφιτρυωνιάδης, δεινης ἀκόρητος ἀϋτης, μηρόν γυμνωθέντα σάκευς υπο δαιδαλέοιο 460 ούτασ' έπικρατέως δια δε μέγα σαρκός άραξε δούρατι νωμήσας, ἐπὶ δὲ χθονὶ κάββαλε μέσση. τω δε Φόβος και Δείμος έντροχον άρμα και ιππους

451. Γιάχων Γείκελα 454. éFoû 457. Γερυσσάμενος δ' άγορ

446. ἐπίσχες Ν. 449. παῦε μάχης vulgo. παῦσαι Ο. μάχη Ald. 450. πείθετ' θ' άρης μεγαλήτορι θυμώ Ό, 451. μέγ' ἰάχων Ald., N. µéy' om. O. 453. κατάμεναι Ν. κατακτάμεναι Ο. 454. παιδός έοῦ Ald., NO. 456. ἐτράπετ' Ν. 457. app' Ald. άρη Ο. 458. ήρακλήτ κρατερόφρονι NO, Ald. 461. σαρκός Ο. σάκος N, Ald. ἕραζε Ald. 4 460. σάκους N. 462. νωμήσαν Ald.

447. ob θέμις έστίν. See v. 336. 449. For µdxns, which would require wawaa, it seems necessary to read µdxnr, 'stop the fight.' Aldus has

 $\mu d \chi \eta$. 453. κακτάμεναι, for κατακτανεῖν of the later style. Above, v. 414, we have κτεινέμεναι μεμαώς.

454. σπερχνόν, hastily, angrily. Heaych. σπουδαίον, and σπερχνός ταχός, hastily, angrily. σπουδαίος, άγαν έγκείμενος πρός τι, ή έπειγόμενος. Cf. Ar. Ach. 1188, ληστάς έλαύνων καl κατασπέρχων δορί.—έοῦ παιδόs, Cycnus, whom Horcules had

slain, v. 419. 457. 'Αρην. See on v. 333. 460-1. Compare vv. 334. 364. Gaisford marks δια δέ-νωμήσας as spurious, after Guietus.

463. Φόβοs καl Δείμοs. These were the mapaorata or assistants in the chariot of Ares, sup. v. 195. For their genealogy of. Theog. 934.

ήλασαν αίψ' έγγυς, και από χθονός ευρυοδείης ές δίφρον θήκαν πολυδαίδαλον αίψα δ' έπειτα ίππους μαστιέτην ικοντο δε μακρον Ολυμπον. υίος δ' 'Αλκμήνης και κυδάλιμος 'Ιόλαος Κύκνον σκυλεύσαντες απ' ώμων τεύχεα καλά νίσσοντ' αίψα δ' έπειτα πόλιν Τρηχίνος ικοντο ίπποις ώκυπόδεσσιν. ατάρ γλαυκωπις 'Αθήνη έξίκετ' Ούλυμπόν τε μέγαν και δώματα πατρός. Κύκνον δ' αὐ Κήϋξ θάπτεν καὶ λαὸς ἀπείρων, οι δ' έγγυς ναίον πόλιος κλειτού βασιλήος Ανθην Μυρμιδόνων τε πόλιν κλειτήν τ' Ιαωλκόν Αονην τ' ήδ' Έλίκην· πολλὸς δ' ήγείρετο λαὸς,] τιμώντες Κήϋκα, φίλον μακάρεσσι θεοίσι. τοῦ δὲ τάφον καὶ σημ' ἀιδὲς ποίησεν Αναυρος όμβρω χειμερίω πλήθων. τώς γάρ μιν *Απόλλων

467. Fiólaos 472. λafàs 475. Γάρνην τε Γελίκην τε πολύς δ

474. Ιαξωλκόν 477. drides

465. One O. 464. ήλασεν Ald. 466. μαστιγέτην N. 468. outhoavtes Ald. 469. τραχίνον Ald. τριχίνος Ο. 470. wkvώκυπόδεσιν Ο. αυτάρ ON. πόδεσσι Ald. 472. θάπτε ON. 473. ναίων—πόλιας Ald. πόληας NO. 474. τ' ίαβηλον ώλκη Ο. 475. ελίην N. ηγείρατο Ο.

468. orvieboarres. This had been deferred at the desire of Athena, v. 332. One MS. and Aldus has συλήσαντεs, a more epic word.-Tρηχîros, see v. 353.

472. Kήϋξ, the father-in-law of Cyc-

nus, sup. v. 356. 474-5. Goettling is probably right in supposing these two lines to have been inserted here from v. 381, where see the note. It is not easy to account for the remarkable reading of the Har-leian, (confirmed as it is by one of Goettling's MSS. which has lamηλόν,) unless the β is a corruption of the digamma in 'IaFwhrór. After hads dwel-pour, 'a countless host,' the words πολλds-λads are a more tautology. Homer has dipos dreiper, Il. xxiv. 776.

477. άτδès, unseen, άτδηλον, άφανès, αμαυρόν. A rare and probably postepic word. The Anaurus, a river of Thessaly, seems to have been noted for its inundations. Hence Apoll. Rhod. i. 9, speaks of it as xemépios, swollen in winter. Tzeizes says, kaloûse de kal draupous robs xeudopous. Apollo, says the poet, would not permit the tomb of a brigand, who laid wait for travellers

162

465

Λητοίδης ήνωξ, ότι ρα κλειτάς έκατόμβας όστις άγοι Πυθώδε βίη σύλασκε δοκεύων.

479. nug N. nug O. nug Ald.

on the sacred road to Delphi, and car- deariforral two dolkar. ried off the cattle that were being frata was used by Homer, but there is driven to the shrine, to remain conspicnous to men. The sense is, διότι 479. $\delta \kappa a \tau \delta \mu \beta a s$. These σύλασκε τοὺς Κγοντας τὰς ἐκατόμβας. κάτας, or tithe of the flor Tzetzes: — Γνα δείξη, δτι καl οἰ τάφοι in the Greek Argument.

The aorist a variant firmy'.

479. έκατόμβαs. These were the δεraras, or tithe of the flocks, alluded to

480



•

•

ΘΕΟΓΟΝΙΑ.





•

EPITOME OF THE THEOGONY.

1—115. Introduction. 1—35. The Muses after dancing on Mount Helicon come by night to Hesiod and confer on him the gift of poetry with a staff of the bay-tree. 36-55. The office of the Muses in singing to the gods on Olympus. 56-74. The birth of the Muses in Pieria near Olympus, and their going thither to join the company of the gods. 75-97. Names of the Muses, their patronage of kings, and their power to impart eloquence. 98-103. The use of music in relieving care. 104-115. Invocation of the goddesses to aid the poet in his theme of the Theogony, suggested by themselves (v. 33).

116-132. Chaos and Earth, the first parents, and Eros.¹ The offspring of Chaos, Darkness subterranean and celestial; the subsequent birth out of Night, of Day, Heaven, Mountains, and Sea. 133-146. The offspring of Earth and Sky; Oceanus, the Titans of both sexes, the Cyclopes, and Cronos. 147-153. Other sons of Earth and Sky (Uranus), the hundred-handed giants. 154-172. Uranus dislikes his own progeny, and keeps them within the Earth their mother. Her scheme in concert with Cronos her youngest child to avenge herself on Uranus. 173-184. Cronos mutilates his father Uranus. 185-195. The Giants and the Erinyes spring from the blood, and Aphrodite from the foam of the cast-away members. 196-206. Titles and attributes of the goddess of Love. 207-210. Uranus calls his sons Titans (avengers). 211-225. Offspring of Night without a father. 226-232. The children of Eris (strife); 233-239. Of Pontus and Earth. 240-264. Ocean Nymphs, daughters of Nereus and Doris, and their names. 265-269. Children of Thaumas and Electra, Iris and the Harpies.

¹ Prof. Jebb ("Primer," p. 43) observes, "The *Theogony* falls into two chief parts. The first part tells how the visible order of Nature arose; the second tells how the gods were born."

270-286. Children of Phorcys and Latona, female monsters, the Graeae and the Gorgons, from whom Chrysaor and Pegasus sprang. 287-294. Gervon born from Chrysaor and Callirhoë the daughter of Ocean. 295-305. Earth brings forth Echidna, from whom by Typhoeus spring (306-332) the monsters Cerberus, Hydra, Gervon's dog Orthus, and Chimaera : and from Echidna by Orthus, the Sphinx. 333-336. The serpent born of Ceto and Phorcys to guard the golden apples. 337-345. Names of Rivers, the progeny of Tethys and Ocean. 346-370. Names of Nymphs born of the same parents. 371-374. Birth of Sun and Moon from the Titans Thes and Hyperion. 375-382. Children of the Titan Crius and The Winds born of Aurora and Astraeus; the stars and Eurybia. planets. 383-403. Kratos and Bia. attendants of Zeus, born of Styx and Oceanus. The prerogatives of Styx in binding the gods by oath. 404-452. Children of the Titans Coeus and Phoebe; Latona, Asteria, Hecate. Prerogatives of Hecate in Heaven and on Earth.

453-458. The elder gods of the second dynasty, offspring of Cronus and Rhea; Vesta, Demeter, Hera, Hades, Poseidon, Zeus. 459-491. Device of Rhea to prevent Cronus from devouring his own progeny, by giving him a stone to swallow. The education of Zeus in Crete. 492-500. Cronus disgorges his offspring together with the stone. 501-506. Zeus sets at liberty the imprisoned Titans. 507-542. Offspring of Iapetus and Clymene; Atlas, Menoeteus, Prometheus, and Epimetheus; and the punishments respectively inflicted on them. 535-569. Story of Prometheus deceiving Zeus at a sacrifice, and stealing fire. 570-589. Zeus sends Pandora in retribution, who brings evils upon man. 590-612. Women born from Pandora; invective against the sex. 617-663. Zeus, by advice of Earth, calls in the aid of the Hundred-handed in the fight against the Titanic powers. 665-716. Description of the contest, and final victory of Zeus. 717-745. Zeus imprisons the Titans in Hades, and appoints the Hundred-handed giants their keepers. Description of Hades. 746-757. The station of Atlas in the far west. 758-766. Abode of Sleep and Death, children of Night. 767-774. Abode of Hades and Proserpine, guarded by Cerberus. 775-792. Abode of Styx, and her ministry in ratifying oaths.

793-806. Punishment of those gods who swear falsely by Styx. 807-819. Description of the infernal prison of the Titans. 820-868. Typhoeus, born of Earth and Tartarus, half human, half serpent, rebels against Zeus, and is blasted with his thunderbolts. 869-880. Progeny of Typhoeus, the violent and sudden gales.

881—885. The Jovian dynasty. Zeus is appointed sovereign by the rest of the gods, at the suggestion of Earth. 886—900. He marries Metis, and swallows her when about to give birth to Athena. 901—906. He next marries Themis; 907—911. Eurynome; 912—914. Demeter; 915—917. Mnemosyne; 918—920. Latona; 921—923. and lastly Hera. 924—926. Athena is born from the head of Zeus. 927—929. Hephaestus is born from Hora. 930—937. Offspring of Poseidon and Amphitrite, Ares and Aphrodite. 938—944. Hermes is born of Maia by Zeus, Dionysus of Semele, Hercules of Alcmena. 945—955. Marriages of Hephaestus, Dionysus, and Hercules. 956—962. Circe and Acetes, children of the Sun. Medea the daughter of Acetes.

963—1022. Catalogue of goddesses who have wedded with mortal men, and their offspring. 969—974. Plutus born from Demeter and Iasius. 975—978. Ino and her sisters from Harmonia and Cadmus. 984—991. Memnon from Aurora and Tithonus, and Phaethon from Aurora and Cephalus. 992—1002. Medeus the son of Medea and Jason. 1003—1007. Phocus the son of Psamathe and Aeacus. 1008—1018. Aeneas the son of Aphrodite and Anchises, and the heroes who became settlers in Italy. 1019—1022. Transition to a distinct poem, the *Furancŵr Karáloyos*.

It is to be observed, that the scholiast (who appears from his comment on v. 429 to have been a Christian) explains the whole of the Theogony according to the mystic or symbolic system of interpretation. Whatever may have been the origin of Myth among the Aryan nations, it is probable that Hesiod merely collected and combined the opinions about the gods which were current in his time, and which were, perhaps, for the most part, of very much greater antiquity.

It is not indeed certain that Hesiod, or whoever was the author of the "Works and Days," was also the author of this poem. It is 170

sufficient for us to know that Herodotus (ii. 53), Plato, and other ancient authors expressly attributed the "Theogony" to him. Of its great antiquity, in the main, there can be no doubt; but in its present form it has little claim to be considered a complete and entirely genuine production. It seems to have undergone successive recensions and interpolations by the early rhapsodists, to whom we may not unreasonably attribute the many Homeric phrases and even verses which occur in it.1 These rhapsodists probably had traditional readings, more or less authentic, of various parts; which readings were afterwards combined, and caused tautology and abruptness. Some verses and passages may even have been added from Parmenides, Onomacritus, and the poets of the Orphic school. We can only form conjectures on these matters; but taking the poem as we have it, it is a very curious exposition of the earliest Greek creed, as well as a specimen of the epic language, remarkable for its general distinctness from the style and versification of the Iliad and the Odyssey, though a few passages seem to indicate a common origin.

The theory maintained by some, that both Homer and Hesiod adopted verses from still earlier poems, ballads, or metrical apophthegms, does not appear to have a very high probability. Still less is it likely that the one poet borrowed the verses or sentiments of the other. Indeed, "Homeric and Hesiodic poems" is a safer phrase than the name of definite authors. Such poems more probably had a remote and humble birth and a long growth, than came into sudden being from the genius of two great composers.

¹ Some rhapsodists may have recited and lectured on both Homer and Hesiod, though some confined themselves solely to Homer. See Plato, Ion, p. 531.



Μουσάων Έλικωνιάδων ἀρχώμεθ ἀείδειν, aἴθ Ἑλικῶνος ἔχουσιν ὄρος μέγα τε ζάθεόν τε,

1—2—7. Fedik

2. μέγα ζάθεόν τε LM.

1-115. In this long procemium the poet both addresses and eulogises the Muses, to whose injunction and inspiration, at a time when he was a shepherd on Mount Helicon, he attributes his faculty of song, while the subject the propess was suggested by them as they were singing the praises of the gods in a procession by night from Helicon. There is much probability in the opinion of Hermann, that the present introduction has been variously arranged, altered, and enlarged by successive rhapsodists. He discriminates not less than seven distinct recensions, in other words, so many separate procemia prefixed to the Theogony by those professional rediters of it, the union of all which by the earlier copyists resulted in the present incongruous medley. In some of these he supposes only the first line to have existed, followed immediately by v. 22, or v. 53, or v. 94. In others he thinks v. 5-10 were wanting, or only 3-4, together with 22-74; while in some recensions he conceives the procemium ended with v. 52, in others with v. 21, or with v. 74. All this is, of course, but conjecjural; and we can only say of his theory, that cach of these presumed recensions would present in itself a

tolerably complete and connected narrative, free from the repetitions which at present involve and disfigure it. Dr. Flach regards as apurious the whole passage from v. 5 to v. 35, and 43—103. Schoemann (com. crit. p. 62) observes, "sunt autem partes tree (procemii) distinguendae, quarum prima usque ad v. 36, poetam Musarum instinctu ad canendum provocatum esse memorat, altera usque ad v. 104 tota in Musarum laudibus versatur, tartia usque ad v. 115 brevem rerum in theogonia proponendarum indicem habet." It is to be remarked that a similar proëme to Zeus and the Muses commences the "Epya kal 'Hµépa.

1. Mourdaw $\kappa \tau \cdot \lambda$. 'From the Muses of Helicon begin we to sing.' This was the usual formula, or a similar one $\epsilon\kappa \Delta i \delta s \delta \chi \delta \mu e \sigma \delta a$, such as we find in the Homeric hymns.—'Elikawiddaw is not a mere epithet, but is added because Helicon was also the poet's abode, so that he claims them, as it were, $\kappa a \tau d$ $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \epsilon \epsilon i a \kappa v$. The form 'Elikawids is to be compared with 'Asids, 'Asawids, (Here. Fur. 785.) Suppervise, Rhes. 826. We have 'Elikawiddaw in Here. F. 791. Cf. 'Epy. 658.

2. al Feλixŵvos. Flach, Inf. 23. This word does not take the digamma.

καί τε περὶ κρήνην ἰοειδέα πόσσ' ἁπαλοῖσιν ὀρχεῦνται καὶ βωμὸν ἐρισθενέος Κρονίωνος· [καί τε λοεσσάμεναι τέρενα χρόα Περμησσοῖο, ἡ *Ιππου κρήνης, ἡ 'Ολμειοῦ ζαθέοιο,

3. Fiofeidéa

5. τερμησοιο Κ. τερμησοίο Ald. τερμησσοίο LM. 6. Ολμειοῦ KLM, Ald. Vulg. Όλμειοῦ.

3. The combinations ral $\tau \epsilon$, ral νv , $\lambda \lambda d \nu v$, are not uncommon in Hesiod, but it is sometimes difficult to define in words the force which they exert on the narration. See Opp. 268. Inf. v. 22. Generally, $\kappa a \ell \tau \epsilon$ seems to imply that an event happens conditionally rather than absolutely; 'and it may be that,' &c. See, for instance, Opp. 515-16. x. 224, σύν τε δύ' έρχομένω, καί τε πρό δ τοῦ ἐνόησεν. Ib. xviii. 309, ξυνός many places $\tau \epsilon$ seems poetically a synonyn of τo_i , as inf. 609, 784, 797. Here apparently there has be interpolation, and probably of v. 2, so that the original reading was alte mepl κρήνην κ.τ.λ. Another commencement seems to have been, Mourday 'Exikavidδων ἀρχώμεθ ἀείδειν, αἴτε λοεσσάμεναι к.т.λ. (v. 5.)

Ibid. περὶ κρήνην, round Aganippe, which is called *lostõns* from the dark and shadowy aspect of its clear and tranquil surface. "Significatur splendor aquae nigricans, ut violae, qualis est in uberioris aquae fonte." Van Lennep. The altar of Zeus, ncar to or in it, is regarded as the central object round which the dance was held, according to the most ancient custom of the heroic times. The regular abode of the Muses was on Olynpus, inf. v. 63, so that these visits to Helicon, as to a spot consecrated to them, were occasional. 4. βωμόν. Schol. ἐν Ἐλικῶνι γὰρ

4. βωμόν. Schol. ἐν Ἑλικῶνι γὰρ βωμός ἦν τοῦ Διός τοῦ Ἑλικωνίον. "Alibi, quod sciam, ejus arae mentio non exstat." Van Lennep.

5. Vulgo Τερμησσοΐο. Οπο copy gives Παρνησοΐο, others Περμησσοΐο οτ Περμησοΐο. Hesych. Περμησός ποταμός.

Id. 'OAµeiós' ποταµós. (See also in Innousphuns, where the present passage is referred to by name.) Cobet, Misc. Crit. p. 221, says the orthography is with the single σ . Gaisford and Van Lennep edit Περμησσοΐο, but Goettling thinks Περμησσόs was a later name of the same river, which joins the Hol-mius and flows into the Copaic lake. He remarks that Pausanias (ix. 29, 3) describes Aganippe as the daughter of Termessus, for so the MSS. are said to read, though the editors have intro-duced $\Pi e \mu \eta \sigma \sigma \sigma \hat{v}$ (- $\eta \sigma \sigma \sigma v$) on con-jecture. The schol. attributes the reading Tepungoio to the grammarian Crates, adding kakŵs, ó yap Tepunods opos dori, kal où norauos.—The genitive is used according to the common Homeric idiom, e. g. 11. xv. 265, Aoverda. έυρρείος ποταμοίο. 80 Scut. Herc. 342, Hesiod adopted the κονίοντες πεδίοιο. same construction in the 'Holai (fragm. lxxvi.) νίψατο Βοιβιάδος λίμνης πόδα παρθένος άδμής.

5

6. Όλμειου. So (with the aspirate) the best copies appear to give, and so Van Lennep has edited. Goettling, while he adopts 'Ολμεωῦ with the ordinary editions, (on the ground that the Acolic dialect rejoiced in the lenis), says, "Verior forma Όλμειδε esse vide-tur." For this little known river Wolf cites Strabo, ix. p. 624, Kal & Περμησσόs τε και δ Όλμειδε έκ τοῦ Έλικώνος συμβάλλοντες άλλήλοις eis την αυτην έμπίπτουσι λίμνην την Κωπαίδα του 'Αλιάρτου πλησίον. The word is formed like Πηνειόs, Σπερχειόs, and perhaps, (like -nords.) belonged to the ancient dialect of that part of Hellas.

ἀκροτάτῷ Ἑλικῶνι χοροὺς ἐνεποιήσαντο καλοὺς, ἱμερόεντας· ἐπερρώσαντο δὲ ποσσίν.] ἐνθεν ἀπορνύμεναι, κεκαλυμμέναι ἠέρι πολλῆ, ἐννύχιαι στεῖχον περικαλλέα ὅσσαν ἱεῖσαι, ὑμνεῦσαι Δία τ' αἰγίοχον καὶ πότνιαν [°]Ηρην ᾿Αργείην, χρυσέοισι πεδίλοις ἐμβεβαυῖαν, κούρην τ' αἰγιόχοιο Διὸς γλαυκῶπιν ᾿Αθήνην, Φοῦβόν τ' ἘΑπόλλωνα καὶ [°]Αρτεμιν ἰοχέαιραν,

10. Fόσσαν 14. loχéFaipar

9. rollo KLM, Ald.

10. στοίχον Κ.

14. φοίβον 'Απ. Μ.

8. exceptionarro, imoved nimbly, or 8. επερρώσαντο, unioved nimbly, or stamped vigorously with their feet. Schol. επιτεταμένως καλ έρρωμένως καλ είτόνως εχόρευσαν. Π. Ι. 529, άμβρό-σια δ' άρα χαίται έπερρώσαντο άνακτος Κρατός άτ' άθανάτοιο. Ibid. xxiv. 616, είνας Νυμφάων, αΙ τ' άμφ' 'Αχελώϊον έρρώσαντο. It is betk.r to take the societ of neat time rather than as imarist of past time, rather than as im-plying habitnal action. This intro-duces the imperfect $\sigma\tau\epsilon\hat{x}_{2}$, *ibant*, which Goettling supposes to be the same as an aorist in sense. The tenor of the narrative runs thus :-- The Muses had been dancing on Helicon; thence they descended by night, singing of the gods, to the place where Hesiod was tending his flocks. There they addressed him, ordered him to compose poetry, and gave him a wand of the green bay-tree, as a token of their favour and a budge of the profession of a bard. Goettling explains evroxia to mean, that the Muses appeared in a dream; but this view detracts much from the real character of the narrative. The extract given by Goettling from a letter of M. Aurelius the Emperor to Fronto (i. 2), proves the very contrary to what he asserts, and shows that Aurelius contended for a real visitation, while Fronto had explained away the

pussage to mean a mere phantasy. 9. $\pi \circ \lambda \lambda \varphi$ Aldus with some MSS. Like aldv and althp, this word ($\dot{a}h\rho$ or $\dot{h}h\rho$, i.e. $\dot{a}Fh\rho$) may have had two genders.

10. $\delta\sigma\sigma\sigma_a$, like $\kappa\lambda\eta\delta\omega\nu$, $\phi\eta\mu\eta$, signifies a divine voice. Schol. $\tau\eta\nu$ $\theta\epsilon ia\nu \phi\omega\nu\eta\nu$. Inf. v. 832 it is applied to the roaring of a bull, but as a subternaean and preternatural sound. Cf. inf. 43. 65. 701.

natural sound. Cf. inf. 43. 65. 701. 12. 'Appely. Heaych. (in v.) seems wrongly to have interpreted this $\lambda ev.mty$. Clearly, the Argive goddess is meant.— $\pi \epsilon \delta (\lambda or, cf. inf. 454, "Hopv \chi puo or \delta \delta \lambda or.$ Goetling omits this verse, because he thinks it unlikely that the particular attribute of Argive should have been added in a scheme of general Greek mythology. Argos, however, in its ancient acceptation, comprised a great part of upper Hellas. See Aesch. Suppl. 255 seqq. Van Lennep thinks from v. 11 to v. 21 might at least be left out without loss to the narrative; but he allows that the list of names they contain is not alien from the poet's general scheme. He remarks that "Hop properly takes the digamma. 14. $\lambda \pi \delta \lambda \lambda w a$. The first syllable is

14. 'A $\pi \delta \lambda \lambda \omega ra$. The first syllable is made long as in $\delta \pi \delta \lambda \omega v$, Opp. 131, where see the note. — $lo\chi \epsilon a \rho a v$, an ancient epithet of the Huntress in her terrestrial, of the Darter in her celestial capacity, probably from $\chi \epsilon \omega$, as the Romans said fundere or superfunders tela. Others (and so gloss. cod. Barocc. 60) explain $\tau \delta \epsilon o s$ gloss. cod. Barocc. 60) explain $\tau \delta \epsilon o s$ just $\omega r c c$. It is rather remarkable that so brief a mention (v. 918) is made in the Theogony as we now have it, of the birth of these important divinities, Apollo and Artemis.

173

ήδὲ Ποσειδάωνα γεήοχον, ἐννοσίγαιον, 15
καὶ Θέμιν αἰδοίην, ἑλικοβλέφαρόν τ' ᾿Αφροδίτην,
*Φοίβην τε χρυσοστέφανον καλήν τε Διώνην,
'Hῶ τ' ᾿Ηέλιόν τε μέγαν, λαμπρήν τε Σελήνην,
†Λητώ τ' Ἰαπετόν τε, ἰδὲ Κρόνον ἀγκυλομήτην,
Γαίάν τ' ἘΛικεανόν τε μέγαν, καὶ Νύκτα μέλαιναν, 20
ἄλλων τ' ἀθανάτων ἱερὸν γένος αἰὲν ἐόντων
αἴ νύ ποθ Ἡσίοδον καλὴν ἐδίδαξαν ἀοιδὴν,
ἄρνας ποιμαίνονθ Ἑλικῶνος ὖπο ζαθέοιο.
τόνδε δέ με πρώτιστα θεαὶ πρὸς μῦθον ἔειπον,

16. Γελικοβλέφαρον 18. ἀΓώ 23. Γάρνας 24. ἐΓειπον

15. ποσεωδάονα Κ. Ald. γεηόχον Μ. γαιήοχον Κ, Ald. 17. [°]Ηβην MSS. 18. μέγαν om. Μ. 19. In K this vorte follows v. 17. 23. ποιμένονθ Κ. 24. τόντε Ald. ἔειπον KM, Ald. (al. ἔειπαν.)

15. $\gamma \epsilon h \circ \chi \circ r$ is adopted by Goettling from one of hig MSS. for $\gamma a \epsilon h \circ \chi \circ r$. It is also found in the Bodleian MS. Barocc. 60 (where Robinson wrongly gives the reading as $\gamma \epsilon r \delta \chi \circ r$.) Porhaps it is rather a matter of pronunciation than spelling; but if $\gamma \hat{\eta}$ is contracted from $\gamma \epsilon a$, there is no difficulty in admitting $\gamma \epsilon h \circ \chi \circ s$ as a legitimate form. Goettling goes too far in supposing it to be a Boeotic word, because in Pind. Ol. xiii. 78, the metre seems to require it.

17. $\phi o(\beta \eta \nu \text{ for }^{\mathsf{e}}\mathsf{H}\beta \eta \nu \text{ Flach, from the conjecture of Schoemann. Cf. inf. 136, where, as here and in Aesch. Eum. 7, Phoebe is mentioned among the older or Titanian gods, whereas Hebe was of lower rank and later introduction.$

18. $\lambda a \mu \pi \rho \eta \nu$ Goettling here and v. 371, for $\lambda a \mu \pi \rho d \nu$.

371, for λαμπράν. 19. Some MSS. invert the order of this and the preceding verse. The arrangement in the text is that of Aldus, Robinson, Gaisford, and Goettling. There is some reason however for preferring to put v. 18 next before v. 20. For thus mention is made first of the greater, then of the lesser gods, next of certain Titanic powers, lastly the elemental divinities, sun and moon, earth and see. It is equally likely however

that v. 19 is an interpolation—It is to be observed, that the poet himself in the Theogony follows nearly an inverse order.

22. Though at may be the relative, the combination with v_{ν} rather suggests that it is the demonstrative, as we have be for obros in Opp. 22.—One cannot resist a suspicion, that vv. 22, 23, 25, are the additions of rhapsodists. The metre of 23 is not Hesiodic; and the (decos EAuchow is tamely repeated from v. 2. Moreover, v. 25 occurs as v. 52. They are however unquestionably ancient verses. Compare Ovid, Fast. vi. 13, 'Ecce deas vidi; non quas pracceptor arandi Viderat, Ascraeas cum sequeretur oves.' Id. A. Am. i. 27, 'Neo mihi sunt visae Clio Cliusque sorores, Pascenti pecudes vallibus, Ascra, tuis.'

24. $\pi\rho\omega\tau\omega\tau\sigma$, does not seem to mean 'they spoke to me first' (uliro), but that they spoke first reprovingly, and then conferred the gift of poetic inspiration. Van Lennep understands it to imply that this was the first of several subsequent interviews of the poet with the Muses.—Goettling prefers learne, the reading of only one or two MSS. But see on Opp. 289.

[Μοῦσαι ἘΟλυμπιάδες, κοῦραι Διὸς αἰγιόχοιο·] 25 Ποιμένες ἄγραυλοι, κάκ' ἐλέγχεα, γαστέρες οἶον, Ἐδμεν ψεύδεα πολλὰ λέγειν ἐτύμοισιν ὅμοῖα, Ἐδμεν δ', εὖτ' ἐθελωμεν, ἀληθέα μυθήσασθαι. ῶΩς ἔφασαν κοῦραι μεγάλου Διὸς ἀρτιέπειαι· καί μοι σκῆπτρον ἔδων δάφνης ἐριθηλέος ὅζον 30

27, 28. Γίδμεν 29. αρτιβέπειαι

27. ἐτύμοισι Μ. 28. αὐτὰν ἐθέλωμεν Μ. 29. Διὸς μεγάλου Μ. 30. ἔδων KM, Ald.

26. nousives. We cannot doubt that this is the vocative, though Goettling thinks it may be the nominative, and conceives an improbable antithesis in ήμεῖς δὲ Μοῦσαι ἶδμεν in the next verse. A class of persons is addressed, instead of the mere individual who represents The general sense is, 'Shepthem. herds! indolent and homeless race that you are, and averse from mental exertion, know that we Muses are not such as perchance you suppose; if we are accused of inventing lies, we know also how to speak the truth.' The inference intended to be drawn is, 'And we can teach you to do the like.'- äypauloi, daríotioi, elkη καταδαρθάνοντες. — κάκ' δλέγχεα, base-born poltroons; an Ho-meric expression, II. ii. 235, δ πέπονες, κάκ' $d\lambda$ έγχε', 'Aχαιίδες, οὐκέτ' 'Aχαιοί.— γαστέρες olou, 'mere bellies,' i.e. who merely est, like your own flocks, and have no more mind than they. Hesych. Γαστέρες οἶον· τροφής μόνης ἐπιμελού-μενοι, ές Ἡσίοδος ἐν τῷ Θεογονία. Schol. περί την γαστέρα μόνην άσχολούμενοι, και μόνα τα της γαστρός φρονούντες. Similarly the verse of Epimenides quoted by St. Paul, Kontes del yevoral, κακά θηρία, γαστέρες άργαί.

28. In the contrast of ἀληθέα with ψείδεα, didactic poetry is meant, as opposed to Epic. K. O. Müller (Lit. Gr. p. 80) thinks that there is an implied censure of other poems which were of a more imaginative cast. Goettling and others compare Od. xix. 203, ἴσκεν ψείδεα πολλὰ λέγων ἐτύμοιστ ὑμοῖα, and Theognis, v. 713, οὐδ' εἰ ψείδεα μὲν ποιοῖs ἐτύμοιστιν ὑμοῖα, Theograme and the construction and the construction and the construction and the construction with the construction of the construction and the co

Schol. records a variant γηρίσασθαι, which Graevius prefers, comparing Opp. v. 260, γηρίετ' ἀνθρώτων ἄδικον νόον. So also Flach and Schoemann.

29. ἀρτιέπειαι, 'plain-speaking,' a word ឪπαξ λεγόμενον as the feminine of ἀρτιεπής. Cf. II. XXII. 281, ἀλλά τις ἀρτιεπής και ἐπίκλοπος ἕπλεο μύθων. Schol. al ἀπηρτισμένα και τέλεια και ὑγιῆ λέγουσαι, ἡ ἀρτίοις ἕπεσι χρώμενα.

30. Koov, the common reading, for Koorav, cannot be defended, since the last syllable of the shortened forms of the plural $\xi\beta a\nu$, $\xi\sigma\tau a\nu$, $\xi\phi\nu\nu$, like $f\nu$ for for av inf. 321, is metrically long. It is more probable that ider, formed by the omission of the σ and by contracting oa, is the true reading; and this is given in the Aldine and some MSS, as well as by Hesych. in v. So the Codex as by Resych. If ϑ . So the Codex Galeanus has $i\partial_i\partial_{\vartheta w}$ for $i\partial_i\partial_{\vartheta \sigma w}$. Opp. v. 139, where others give $i\partial_i\partial_{\vartheta w}$.—For $\partial_p i\psi_{a\sigma au}$ two MSS. (ap, Goettl.) give $\partial_p i\psi_{a\sigma au}$, which affords an easier sense, although $\partial_p i\pi_{e\sigma}\partial_{\theta au}$ is properly a depo-nent, and the poet could as easily have written opeyauera. There is sufficient authority for doen an in post-epic times, e.g. Herod. ii. 92. If we admit doéyag- $\theta \alpha u$, it may depend either on $\theta \eta \eta \tau \delta v$, 'wondrous to pluck,' or as Goettling and Van Lennep prefer, and as seems more probable, on $\ell \delta \omega r \mu o_i$, 'they offered me a beautiful staff to cut from the tree.' It would be a more graphic description to make the Muses hand to Hesiod the staff which they had themselves gathered for him, as a badge or symbol of the poetic function. If $\delta \rho \neq \psi a \sigma a a$ be the genuine reading (and it is adopted by

175

ł

†δρέψασθαι θηητόν· ἐνέπνευσαν δέ μοι αὐδὴν †θείην, ὡς κλείοιμι τά τ' ἐσσόμενα πρό τ' ἐόντα. καί με κέλονθ' ὑμνεῖν μακάρων γένος αἰεν ἐόντων, σφᾶς δ' αὐτὰς πρῶτόν †τε καὶ ὕστερον αἰεν ἀείδειν. ἀλλὰ τίη μοι ταῦτα περὶ δρῦν ἢ περὶ πέτρην;

31. Hafntóv

31. δράψασθαι θειητόν KM, Ald. 32. θείην, ϊνα κλείοιμι K, Ald. θείαν ϊνα κλείοιμι M. 33. καί μ' έθέλονθ' M. 34. πρώτον και ύστερον M.

Schoemann), it would naturally have been changed into $\delta\rho 4\psi a\sigma\theta a\iota$ by those who doubted about the active $\delta\rho \epsilon^{\mu} e\iota v$. Aristides, T. ii. p. 370 (quoted by Gaisford.) construed $\delta\rho \epsilon^{\mu} a\sigma\theta a\iota$ $\theta\eta \tau \sigma \nu$, for his words are these : $-\epsilon \nu \delta m \epsilon \rho \beta \partial \lambda \bar{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \delta m \sigma v$ is $\sigma \delta \delta \bar{\epsilon} \tau \delta \tau \tau \tau \nu \chi \delta \sigma \tau a \kappa \lambda \delta \delta f \kappa \sigma \sigma$ $\lambda \alpha \beta \omega \kappa \tau \Lambda$.—The Boeotian minstrels always carried in their hands a branch of the bay during the recitation of poetry (K. O. Müller, Gr. Lit. p. 79). Whether $\delta \alpha \beta \delta \sigma$ or $\beta \alpha \pi \tau \epsilon \nu$ be the real element in $\beta \alpha \psi \omega \delta \delta s$, it is certain that the bay was selected as a tree sacred to $\Lambda pollo,$ for which reason the eating of bay-leaves was thought to impart the genius for both poetry and prophecy. Pausanias, ix. 30, 2, alludes to this passage, $\delta \eta \lambda \alpha \gamma \delta \delta \eta \kappa \alpha \delta \xi \alpha \delta \tau \omega \tau \sigma \omega$

31. Hesych. $\theta\eta\eta\tau\delta r$ $\theta\alpha\nu\mu\alpha\sigma\tau\delta r$, $\kappa\alpha\lambda\delta r$, $\pi\epsilon\rho\mu\kappa\alpha\lambda\delta\epsilon$. For the digamma see Curtius, Gr. Et. 253. The reading $\theta\epsilon\eta\tau\delta r$ (another way of representing the F) is recognized by Hesych. in v.

22. κλείοιμι, 'that I might celebrate in lays.' See Opp. v. l. As most copies give bra κλείοιμι, others δστε or δφρα κλόοιμι, Goettling concludes that the old reading was not $θ\epsilon(\eta v)$, but either δίαν or $θ\epsilon \sigma \pi i v$. Schoemann and Flach also read $θ\epsilon \sigma \pi i v$. The reading of Barooc. 60 (M), $θ\epsilon \hat{a} v$, $b v a \kappa.\tau.\lambda$, is not lightly to be rejected; it has been adopted by Van Lennep. Compare $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon a$ in Aesch. Theb. 692. H. Stephens restored on conjecture by $\kappa \lambda \epsilon \delta i \mu$, which is adopted by Gaisford and Goettling. Perhaps the verse is spu-

rious, and made up of v. 38; but the false reading $\kappa\lambda\phi\sigma\mu\mu$ would also account for the MSS. readings fore and $\delta\phi\rho\sigmaa$.

35

33. In this verse $\mu k \nu$ should be supplied, 'to sing of the immortals, but always to commence and end with an invocation or eulogy of themselves.' This condition they imposed as a tribute for the prerogative they had just conferred. Weise reads $\sigma\phi\bar{a}s \tau^* a\nu r ds$, apparently against the MSS.—For $\delta\sigma$ - $\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma\nu$ Wolf and others would read $\delta\sigma$ - $\tau a\tau or$. This may be right; for $\delta\sigma\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma\sigma$ should rather mean 'hereafter,' in posterum. But, as two MSS. omit the $\tau\epsilon$, we should perhaps read $\pi\rho\sigma\tau\sigma\sigma \kappa al$ is $\delta\sigma\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma\nu$. Cf. Opp. 351, is the $\chi\rho\eta t$ (ar κal is $\delta\sigma\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma k \beta\kappa av \epsilon \delta p \eta s$.

35. $\tau i\eta$, a lengthened form of τi , as in II. xxiii. 409, $\tau i\eta$ $\lambda \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$, $\phi \epsilon \rho i \sigma \tau \sigma \tau$; and elsewhere. Compare $\tau i \sigma \eta$, v. seq., and Opp. 10. Goettling needlessly renders it by quianam.— $\pi \epsilon \rho l$ $\delta \rho \sigma r$ $\kappa. \tau. \lambda$., a proverb of great antiquity, the meaning of which has been variously explained. According to the Scholiast, it was applied to those who rambled off from the subject before them into irrelevant topics; as if the poet meant to say, 'But why should I relate what the Muses said and did, when my purpose is to sing of the birth of the gods? K. O. Müller (Hist. Greek Lit. p. 82) says, "The oak and the rock represent the simple country life of the Greek autochthones, who thought they had sprung from their mountains and woods, and whose thoughts dwelt only upon these ideas, in primitive inno-

Τύνη, Μουσάων ἀρχώμεθα, ταὶ Διὶ πατρὶ ύμνεῦσαι τέρπουσι μέγαν νόον ἐντὸς Ὀλύμπου, teipeῦσαι τά τ' ἐόντα τά τ' ἐσσόμενα πρό τ' ἐόντα, φωνή όμηρευσαι των δ' ακάματος ρέει αυδή

39. owns M.

N

36. ΔιFì

38. Γειρεύσαι (Ερεύσαι)

cence and familiarity." Thus the meaning would be, 'But why should I say more about myself, a humble shepherd? Let me proceed to sing of other and greater subjects.' Homer has and dpuds out and nerons in Il. xxii. 126, and Od. xix. 163, both of them rather obscure passages. In the former it seems to mean 'to talk about common - place matters.' Some have fancied there is an allusion to the caks of Dodona and the rock of Delphi; and this is in some degree favoured by the epithet $\pi a \lambda a$. odrov attached to dovds in Od. xix. 163. Plato, Phaedr. p. 275, B,-ol & y', & φίλε, έν τῷ τοῦ Διός τοῦ Δωδωναίου ίερω δρυδο λόγους έφησαν μαντικοδο πρώτους γενέσθαι. τοῖς μὲν οδν τότε, ἄτε οὐκ οδσι σοφοῖς ὥσπερ ὑμεῖς οἰ νέοι, ἀπέχρη δρυδς και πέτρας ακούειν ύπ' εύηθείας, εί μόνον άληθη λέγοιεν. For it was the custom of foundlings and of childless persons to consult the oracles as to their parentage or prospects of progeny, as Xuthus does in the Ion of Euripides. Hence a person 'not from an oak or a rock' would be oux o ruxou, not one of obscure birth. Goettling supposes the same reference to the oracles, but gives the sense thus :--- " Sed quid ego res divinas profano, quid es renuntio hominibus, quae a Musis mihi concredita erant pro silentio premenda?" Van Lennep thinks the sense is, 'Why do I talk like rustic lovers amongst oaks and rocks?' viz. on matters concerning myself, or out of place in the present subject. But Müller's interpretation appears simpler, and suits the context at least as well. Plato (besides Apol. p. 34, p, and Phaedr. p. 275, B, cited by Goettling) alludes to this pro-verb in Resp. p. 544, D, olog' obv Sri kal **ανθρώπων «ΐδη** τοσαῦτα ανάγκη τρόπων

еlvaı, воатер каl толітецю́v; 🕈 оlei ек δρυός ποθεν ή έκ πέτρας τὰς πολιτείας γίγνεσθαι, άλλ' οὐχὶ ἐκ τῶν ἡθῶν τῶν ἐν ταΐς πόλεσιν :

39. þéfa?

36. τύνη, obros συ, 'Come now, my lute, or perhaps, & bunk, the poet ad-dressing himself. See on Opp. v. 10. Schol. πρός έαυτόν φησι κατά άρχαϊσμόν άντι τοῦ, σῦ ở Ἡσίοδε, Δωρικῶς. This verse would make a fitting introduction to the Theogony, were all the preceding part omitted.

38. eipevoal. If the accent be right, the verb should be $\epsilon l \rho \epsilon \omega$, which does not elsewhere occur. Goettling calls it "verbum Boeoticum pro δμνέω." We have however είρω in Od. ii. 162. μητοτήροιν δε μάλιστα πιφαυσκόμενος τάδε είρω, and ib. xiii. 7, δμέων δ ανδρί τασέ είρω, and its. An. 1, υμεων ο ανομ έκαστψ έφιέμενος τάδε είρω. Like the future έρέω, it took the digamma. In Od. iz. 13, and elsewhere, we have είρεσθαι 'to aak.' Hesych. εἰρεῦσαι: λέγουσαι. είρω γὰρ λέγω, οῦ ὁ μέλλων ἐρῶ. 'Ησίοδος ἐν τῆ Θεογονία. On the other band inf x 604 the arbeitanting other hand, inf. v. 804, the substantive elpéa is found. It is not improbable that in the present passage either v. 37 or v. 38 has been interpolated. The former verse occurs again inf. 51, and if that be here spurious, we must read either elpevour or ounpevour. The similar termination of participles in $-\epsilon \hat{v}\sigma a \iota$ in three consecutive verses is by no means pleasing.

39. Sunpevous seems to be most probably derived from $\delta\mu\eta$ ($\delta\mu\sigma\vartheta$) $\delta\epsilon\partial\nu$, 'to sing in concert.' Heaven. δμοφωνοῦσαι, όμοῦ λέγουσαι. Cf. βέει αὐδη in the next words. In Od. xvi. 468, wuhpnoe 86 μοι παρ' έταίρων άγγελος ώκλς, it means ηντιβόλησε. The Schol. refers it to δμοῦ εἴρουσαι. Van Lennep to δμοῦ and άρω.

ἐκ στομάτων ήδεία· γελά δέ τε δώματα πατρός 40 Ζηνὸς ἐριγδούποιο θεῶν ὀπὶ λειριοέσση σκιδναμένη· ἠχεῖ δὲ κάρη νιφόεντος 'Ολύμπου δώματά τ' ἀθανάτων. αἱ δ' ἄμβροτον ὅσσαν ἱεῖσαι θεῶν γένος αἰδοῖον πρῶτον κλείουσιν ἀοιδῆ, ἐξ ἀρχῆς οὖς Γαῖα καὶ Οὐρανὸς εὐρὺς ἔτικτον, 45 [οἴ τ' ἐκ τῶν ἐγένοντο θεοὶ, δωτῆρες ἐάων.] δεύτερον αὖτε Ζῆνα, θεῶν πατέρ' ἠδὲ καὶ ἀνδρῶν, [ἀρχόμεναί θ' ὑμνεῦσι θεαὶ λήγουσί τ' ἀοιδῆς,] ὅσσον φέρτατός ἐστι θεῶν κράτει τε μέγιστος' αὖτις δ' ἀνθρώπων τε γένος κρατερῶν τε γιγάντων 50

40. Γηδεία 42. Γηχεί 44.

44. κλέβουσιν

41. λειροοέσση Μ. 42. rápa M. 43. δώματ' άθανάτων Ald. **44.** αἰδοίων **Μ**. åειδη M. δώματα άθανάτων ΚΜ. 45. eructer K, Ald. ETIKTOV M. 46. eyevto M. Sothpes KM, Ald. 48. λήγουσί λήγουσαί τ' ἀοιδοῖς Äld. τ' ἀοιδής ΚΜ. 49. τόσσον M, Ald. 50. avois 8' M, Ald. avris 8' K, which leaves off κρατέϊ τε ΚΜ. with this verse.

44. $\theta\epsilon\hat{\omega}\nu$ is a monosyllable, as in II. i. 18. $\delta\mu\hat{i}\nu \ \mu\hat{e}\nu \ \theta\epsilono\hat{i}\ \delta\sigma\hat{i}\epsilon\nu$ 'Olómata $\delta\delta\mu\alpha\tau$ ' $\xi\chi\sigma\sigma\tau\epsilons$. Goettling reads $a\hat{i}\delta\sigma\hat{\omega}\nu$ with one or two good copies. The omission of F in $\delta\sigma\sigma\alpha\nu$ should here be noticed. Inf. 65 we may easily read $\deltai\lambda \ \sigma\tau\delta\mu\alpha$ For $\sigma\alpha\nu$ is $\hat{i}\sigma\alpha\mu$.

45. $\ell\xi$ $\delta\rho\chi\eta$ s is rightly connected by the Scholiast with ℓ_{Turres} . The old punctuation, $\kappa\lambda\epsilon i ourse \delta old \eta$ $\ell\xi$ $\delta\rho\chi\eta$ s, is retained by Gaisford, who also reads ℓ_{Turros} . The Titans or primeral gods are meant, as contrasted with the Olympian, or $\delta\sigma\tau\eta\rho$ s $\ell\Delta\omegas$. But this verse (46) is perhaps rightly r-jected by Goettling, as inserted from v. 111. 48. $\lambda\eta\gamma ourst,$ scil. $\delta\mu\sigma\delta\sigma\sigma$ as $d\sigma\delta\sigma$. Beginning they sing them, and leave off their song with them.' Though the

48. $\lambda \hbar \gamma \rho v \sigma \iota$, scil. ύμνοῦσαι αὐτόν. 'Beginning they sing them, and leave off their song with them.' Though the verse itself is probably spurious (κλείovoιν being readily supplied from above), $\lambda \hbar \gamma o v \sigma \iota$ has been rightly restored by Goettling from two MSS. The old reading was $\lambda \hbar \gamma o v \sigma a \ell \tau'$, which rendered it necessary to pronounce $\lambda o l \delta \eta s$, by synizesis. The

termination of an heroic verse with three spondees is very uncommon. We have in II. xviii. 255, žoruđe vîv lému $\mu^{\lambda} \mu (\mu verv \hbar \tilde{w} \delta \tilde{c} a \cdot Od. xxii. 418, al ré$ $<math>\mu^{\lambda} \delta \tau \mu d \zeta ovoi, kal at v \eta \lambda erreis eloir.$ But the original readings may have been $\hbar \delta a$ and $\nu \eta \lambda errées$. In Scut. H. 202, $\Delta i \delta s$ kal $\lambda \eta ro \tilde{v} s$ ulbs, the true reading is perhaps $\Lambda \eta r \delta os$.

49. Sorov, kall Sorv, 'how much.' To be distinguished from Sorow, 'by how much,' which implies an apodosis $\tau \delta \sigma \sigma \varphi$.

50. $dx\theta\rho\omega\pi\omega\nu$. The herces are prin arily meant; but the poet does not use $dx\delta\rho\omega\nu$, because he intends an antithesis with $\theta\epsilon\omega\nu$. It is doubtful if the passage following (wherein v. 51 is repeated from v. 37)), down to v. 67, originally stood in this place. The poet says indeed (v. 36) that he will begin with the Muses, i. e. with their birth and office; but perhaps these lines were borrowed from some distinct hymn in honour of the Muses.

ύμνεῦσαι τέρπουσι Διὸς νόον ἐντὸς ἘΟλύμπου Μοῦσαι ἘΟλυμπιάδες, κοῦραι Διὸς αἰγιόχοιο, τὰς ἐν Πιερίη Κρονίδη τέκε πατρὶ μιγεῖσα Μνημοσύνη, γουνοῖσιν Ἐλευθῆρος μεδέουσα, λησμοσύνην τε κακῶν ἄμπαυμά τε μερμηράων. ἐννέα γάρ οἱ νύκτας ἐμίσγετο μητίετα Ζεὺς νόσφιν ἀπ' ἀθανάτων ἱερὸν λέχος εἰσαναβαίνων ἀλλ' ὅτε δή ρ' ἐνιαυτὸς ἔην, περὶ δ' ἔτραπον ῶραι, μηνῶν φθινόντων, περὶ δ' ἦματα πόλλ' ἐτελέσθη, ἡ δ' ἔτεκ' ἐννέα κοῦρας ὁμόφρονας, ἦσιν ἀοιδὴ

55

60

56. ivréfa foi 60. ivréfa.

56. μίσγετο M.

59. ετελέσθην Ald.

52. Move at $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$. Though this verse reads like a common-place of the rhapsodists, it stands well enough grammatically in apposition to at $\delta \epsilon$ in v. 43.

54. Nothing certain is known about $2\lambda_{10}\partial_{10}$, which some have supposed to be identical with Eleutherse in Boeotia, but which others take to be a mountain, so called (says the Scholiast) $\delta \tau_1 \, \epsilon \kappa \epsilon^2 \, \delta$ $\Delta u \delta \sigma v \sigma \sigma \tau_{15} \, \mu a \nu l as \, \epsilon \pi a \delta \sigma a \sigma \kappa al \, \hbar \lambda \epsilon v - \epsilon \rho \delta \sigma \sigma$. The connexion of this event with the worship of the goddess of this goddess, the Muses, proved first to Dionyeus and then to mankind in general 'the forgetfulness of ills and the rest from cares.'-youved, the fertile plains at the foot of the mountain. Schul. $\tau \sigma i_3 \gamma \sigma \nu \mu \alpha \tau \delta \tau \sigma \sigma \sigma$. Neuefigs, $\pi \eta \mu'$ $\delta \sigma \rho \sigma \sigma \sigma s$. In these plains, according to Pausanias i. 38 (quoted by Goettling), there was an altar to Dionysus.

55. $\mu \epsilon \rho \mu \eta \rho a$, connected with the Homeric $\mu \epsilon \rho \mu \eta \rho i \langle \epsilon \nu r$, but not itself found a Homer, seems to have the same root as $(\mu \epsilon \rho \epsilon)$, implying division or hesitaton between two ways, as in $\mu \epsilon \rho \mu \mu r$. Hosych. $\mu \epsilon \rho \mu \eta \rho a \mu \phi \rho \sigma r i \delta \epsilon s$, $\beta \sigma \nu \lambda a$, Howara.

59. This verse occurs three times in the Odyssey, x. 470, xix. 153, xxiv. 43; but in each place it is rejected as N

spurious by Bekker. In x. 469 we also have the verse which here precedes (58), and neither is likely to be genuine in this passage.—It may be remarked however that the old year of ten months appears here to be indicated. Cf. Ovid, Fast. i. 33, 'Quod satis est, utero matris dum prodeat infans, Hoc anno statuit temporis esse satis.'

60. Evene, viz. at one birth .- On the as in koupas made short, see Opp. 675.δμόφροναs, cf. Scut. H. 49, διδυμάονε γείνατο παίδε, οὐκέθ δμοφρονέοντε, κασιγνήτω γε μέν ήστην.—μέμβλεται, perhaps for μεμέλεται, and that for μεμέληται, the β being inserted for euphony, as in Brat for maran-s, Britten for meritten, βροτόs for μροτόs (μορτόs) &c. The short ϵ of the perfect has the analogy of the short ϵ in many epic conjunc-tives, the H in its oldest use being, as in Latin and modern languages, the aspirate. Goettling thinks there was a present tense µέµβλοµaı, because Hesychius cites µέµβλεσθαι. But this may equally have been for μεμελήσθαι. The question is the more difficult, because the Epic poets were in the habit of forming new present tenses, at least in the active voice, from reduplicated perfects, e. g. πεφύκω, πεφράδω, κεκλήγω. (See Scut. H. 228.) A similar form is μέμβλωκα from root μολ, and μεμλόηκα

μέμβλεται, ἐν στήθεσσιν ἀκηδέα θυμὸν ἐχούσαις, τυτθὸν ἀπ' ἀκροτάτης κορυφῆς νιφόεντος ἘΟλύμπου, ἔνθα σφιν λιπαροί τε χοροὶ καὶ δώματα καλά. [πὰρ δ' αὐτῆς Χάριτές τε καὶ ἕΙμερος οἰκί' ἔχουσιν

έν θαλίης· ἐρατὴν δὲ διὰ στόματ' ὄσσαν ἱεῖσαι μέλπονται πάντων τε νόμους καὶ ἦθεα κεδνὰ ἀθανάτων κλείουσιν, ἐπήρατον ὄσσαν ἱεῖσαι.] αι τότ' ἶσαν πρὸς Ὅλυμπον ἀγαλλόμεναι ὀπὶ καλῆ,

65. στόμα Γόσσαν? 66. Γήθεα

61. μέλλεται Ald. έχούσας Μ. 63. σφϊ Μ. 64. Χάριτες και Ald.

was inflected as if from $\mu o\lambda i \omega$, a secondary present from the aorist $\mu o\lambda \epsilon \hat{\nu}$. Hesych. $\mu i \mu \lambda \lambda \epsilon r a \cdot \phi porri (\epsilon i, \epsilon \pi i \mu \epsilon - \lambda \epsilon \hat{r} r a .$

62. $\tau\nu\tau\theta\nu\lambda\tau\lambda\pi'\lambda\kappa\rho$. κ . "Hoc dicitur propter Pieriam, in qua natae sunt Musae." Goettl. The ancient notion of Olympus as the seat of the gods, viz. the top of the mountain in Thessaly, is to be distinguished from the later notion expressed by $t\nu\tau\deltas$ 'O $\lambda t\mu$ - $\pi \sigma \nu$ in v. 51. Hence these two passages are inconsistent with each other, as might be expected in an interpolation. Schoemann, p. 63, would place 62 after 53 or 56, and he thinks an interpolator transferred it to its present position in order to join on the commonplace following, $t\nu\theta\alpha\sigma\phi\nu$, &c.

64-7. These verses, which assign Pieria as the birth-place of the Graces and of Desire, are rejected by Goettling, who remarks that these deities pertain rather to Helicon. There are other reasons for taking the same view. For olmia has no digamma; and boraw leioau has already occurred twice, viz. at v. 10 and v. 43, so that the repetition of it in vv. 65 and 67 becomes almost intolerable.

65. $\epsilon r \ \theta a \lambda (ps.$ This can only mean, 'in the midst of festivities.' Van Lennep explains it thus:---"Vult poeta significare, illa in Olympo domicilia non nisi $\epsilon r \ \theta a \lambda (ps.$ sive, quando erant Deorum $\theta a \lambda (a.,$ incoluisse "Iµερor et

Charites." For (says he) the Muses were bound to attend the feasts of the gods on Olympus. Goetiling places a comma after $\xi_{\chi ourour}$, and translates, "Adjunctis etiam in eorum honorem festis, nempe Charitesiis, quae Orchomeni celebrabantur." But this would have been $\sigma \partial \nu \ \theta a \lambda i \eta s$. The construction seems in some way defective; either a verse has dropped out, or lines have been put together by rhapsodists which belonged to different porms.

belonged to different porms. 66. The original reading may have been $\mu \epsilon \lambda \pi o v \sigma'$ à $\partial a v d \tau w \tau \epsilon r \delta \mu o v r a l$ $<math>\kappa.\tau.\lambda$. Goottling explains $\pi d r \tau w r \delta \mu o v$ to mean 'the law of all things,' i. e. physiology, and #ea à $\partial a v d \tau w$ 'the nature of the gods.' But, in connexion with #ea, $\nu d \mu o v$ should signify 'customs,' institutions;' and yet the epithet $\kappa \epsilon \delta r \lambda$ would thus be out of place. Cf. Opp. 699, πa #ea $\kappa \epsilon \delta r \lambda$ $\delta \delta \delta \delta r v$ where it is applied to instructug a young wife. We must therefore understand 'the habits and the virtuous (of beneficent) dispositions of all the immortals.' Schol. $\tau \lambda s$ $\delta i a \tau \rho \mu \delta \lambda s$, $\tau \delta t$ $\kappa \epsilon \mu \sigma r \lambda s$

68. $\tau \delta \tau \epsilon$, on the occasion of the birth they went from Pieria to join the gods on Olympus. Cf. v. 202, reconstr $\tau a \pi \rho \partial \tau a \ \delta e \sigma \tau'$ is $\phi \partial \lambda or \ loboy.$ For haps, as lax should take the F_{τ} we should read at $\delta' \ \delta \tau'$ low map Fix_{τ} $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$.

180

ἀμβροσίη μολπŷ· περὶ δ' ἰαχε γαία μέλαινα
ὑμνεύσαις, ἐρατὸς δὲ ποδῶν ὕπο δοῦπος ὀρώρει, 70
νισσομένων πατέρ' εἰς ὅν· ὁ δ' οὐρανῷ ἐμβασίλευεν
αὐτὸς ἔχων βροντὴν ἠδ' αἰθαλόεντα κεραυνὸν,
κάρτεϊ νικήσας πατέρα Κρόνον. εὖ δὲ ἕκαστα
ἀθανάτοις διέταξεν ὁμῶς καὶ ἐπέφραδε τιμάς.
ταῦτ' ắρα Μοῦσαι ἄειδον ᾿Ολύμπια δώματ' ἔχουσαι, 75
ἐννέα θυγατέρες μεγάλου Διὸς ἐκγεγαυῖαι,
Κλειώ τ' Εὐτέρπη τε, Θάλειά τε Μελπομένη τε,
Τερψιχόρη τ' Ἐρατώ τε, Πολύμνιά τ' Οὐρανίη τε,
Καλλιόπη θ'· ἢ δὴ προφερεστάτη ἐστὶν ἁπασέων.
ἢ γὰρ καὶ βασιλεῦσιν ἅμ' αἰδοίοισιν ὀπηδεῖ.

71. στον 73. τέκαστα 76. εννέτα Διτός

71. ἐμβασίλευεν Μ. ἐμβασιλεύεν Ald. Vulg. ἐμβασιλεύει. 74. ἀθανάτως (not ἀθανάτων) διέταξεν ὁμῶς· ἐπέφραςδε δε Μ. 78. Οὐρανία τε Ald. 79. ἀπασάων Ald.

71. The common reading is $i\mu\beta\alpha\sigma_i$. $\lambda\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon$, which introduces a feeble and ordinary characteristic of Zeus. Van Lennep explains it as affording a present theme to the Muses on their arrival on Olympus. Two or three MSS. with Aldus give $i\mu\beta\alpha\sigma(\lambda\epsilon\nu\epsilon\nu)$. The imperfect is rather confirmed by $\alpha\epsilon\tau\deltas$ $i_{\chi}\infty\nu$ $\kappa.\tau.\lambda.$, which implies that others had hither to possessed that power which Zeus then exclusively held. The Muses were born just after he had assumed the sovereignty of the gods by expelling Cronus, and had allotted their several offices and prerogatives to the gods. Cf. Aesch. Prom. 236, $\delta\pi\omega \tau \sigma \chi_{U}\sigma\tau \pi \tau \delta\mu$ $\pi\alpha\tau\rho\rho\sigma\nu$ is $\theta\rho\delta\tau\sigma\nu Ka\thetai(\epsilon\tau)$, $\epsilon i\theta\delta\nu \delta aluo <math>\sigma_{1\nu}$ $right is <math>\delta\rho\lambda\sigma\nu$ $ka\delta_{1}(\epsilon\tau)$.

74. ἐπέφραδε. Closely coupled with διέταξεν, this would seem a reduplicated and angmented aorist rather than the imperfect of πεφράδω. See inf. 162, and Od. xxiii. 206, σήματ' ἀναγνούσης τά οἱ ἕμπεδα πέφραδ' Οδυσσεύς. Here we may translate, 'had severally declared their prerogatives.'

75. Leidov. The past narration is con-

tinued from at $\tau \delta \tau'$ to ar, v. 68; but it is not clear what $\tau a \hat{v} \tau a$ means. Probably, the praises of the gods alluded to in $\delta \mu revo aus$, v. 70, and specified sup. 65 -7.

76. ἀννέα. The number nine, a multiple of the original three,—a characteristic number in the ancient religions of the world,—is regurded as a later development in the cult of the Pierian goddesses. (See 'Mythology and Folklore,' p. 64.) In Od. xxiv. 60 we find Moῦσαι δ' ἐννέα πᾶσαι ἀμειβόμεναι ὅπι καλῆ θσήνεον.

καλŷ θρήνεον. 79. προφερεστάτη, 'holding the highest place,' preferred before the rest. Schol. ἐντιμοτάτη. The reason alleged is, that she attends on kings, not only as the Muse of epic verse, which celebrates their exploits, but as conferring on them the gift of eloquence, inf. 86, 90. The other explanation, τῷ χρόνφ προφερεστάτη, πρεσβυτάτη, seems less correct in this place.— \hbar δħ is Hermann's reading for \hbar δέ. Schoemann reads $\hbar \tau \epsilon$, "ex coni. Herm." Cf. v. 361, καl Στυξ, \hbar δη σφεων προφερεστάτη έστιν άπάσεων.

181

δντινα τιμήσωσι Διὸς κοῦραι μεγάλοιο, γεινόμενόν τε ἶδωσι διοτρεφέων βασιλήων, τῷ μὲν ἐπὶ γλώσσῃ γλυκερὴν χείουσιν ἐέρσην, τοῦ ἔπε' ἐκ στόματος ῥεῖ μείλιχα· οἱ δέ νυ λαοὶ πάντες ἐς αὐτὸν ὁρῶσι διακρίνοντα θέμιστας ἰθείῃσι δίκῃσιν· ὁ δ' ἀσφαλέως ἀγορεύων αἶψά τε καὶ μέγα νεῖκος ἐπισταμένως κατέπαυσε.

τε Γίδωσι
 83. χέγουσιν ἐξέρσην.
 84. καὶ Γέπε' vel τοῦ Γέπε'. λαξοί

82. βασιλέων M. 83. αοιδήν Ald. 84. Vulg. τοῦ δ' ἔπε'. εέρσην M.

81-95. Goettling assigns these verses to another recension, because he sees no connexion with the preceding, and because the gift of eloquence is here attributed to all the Muses, not to Calliope alone The objection is of little weight. It was the object of the poet to praise the Muses generally, and to extol the office of the kings, who (as Van Lennep well remarks) were in those early times the patrons of bards, because their deeds were thus celebrated in the public banquets.

82. γεινόμενον κ.τ.λ. Hor. Carm. iv. 82. γεινόμενον κ.τ.λ. Hor. Carm. iv. 3, 1, 'Quem tu Melpomene semel Nascentem placido lumine videris.' Theoer. ix. 35, οδ5 γλρ όρῶσι γαθεῦσαι, τὰς 8' οδ τι ποτῷ δαλήσατο Κίρκη. The reading of Stobaeus, xlviii. 12, γεινόμενόν τε Γδωσι, seems preferable to the vulg. εσίδωσι οι ἐπίδωσι, on account of the digamma.

83. χείουσιν, a mere variety of χεύουσιν or χέΓουσιν. Stobaeus, Flor. xlvi. p. 326. (Gaisf.), has another reading προχέουσιν ἀοιδήν. Possibly this verse was added to get rid of the apparent hiatus in the next verse (τοῦ Γέπε') by inserting the δέ.

84. Hosych. μείλιχα· ήδιστα, γλυκέα, προσηνή, έπιεική.

προσηνη, επιεικη. 85.—92. Quoted by Stobaeus, Flor. lxxvii. p. 468.—διακρίνειν θέμιστας is a mixed expression between διακρίνειν δίκας, 'to decide suits,' and νέμειν θέμιστας, (θέμιν, δίκην.) 'to apply the law in assigning disputed rights.' Cf. Opp. 9, δίκη δ' 10υνε θέμιστας. Ibid. 221,

σκολιαΐς δὲ δίκαις κρίνωσι θέμιστας. V. 224, οἶ τε μιν ἐξελάσωσι καὶ οὐκ ἰθεῖαν ἐνειμαν. Apoll. Bhod. iv. 1178, πολλοὶ iθείας ἀνὰ ἄστυ διεκρίνουτο θέμιστας, and Theoor. xxv. 46. These θέμιστες refer to men's rights, which may have become a subject of dispute, and require the decision of some authorised judge. Hence Apoll. Rhod. has θεμιστοῦχοι βασιλῆες, iv. 347. II. i. 238, δικασπόλοι, οί τε θέμιστας πρός Διός εἰρύαται. The savage Cyclopes had no θέμιστες, and no ἀγοραί βουληφόροι, Od. ix. 112.

86. $d\sigma\phi\alpha\lambda\epsilon\omega s dryopetar, 'speaking$ with unerring judgment,' viz. such ascannot be gainsaid, and therefore infallibly true. Properly, <math>dryopetar is to be a member of an dryoph, as Bouraever is to be a $\betaouraever fs$. Hence the Homeric phrase dryoph dryopetar. In Opp. 280 we have $rd \delta load' dryopetar, 'to speak$ the truth in public;' but it may heremean 'delivering an address from thejudge's seat,' viz. in the agora. Thesame words occur in Od. viii. 170 seqq.,to which the present passage bearsother strong and suspicious resemblances; indeed, the one must havebeen more or less adapted from the $other:—ol <math>\delta t \tau' ts abrdy reprofered$ activations. Is d'ardarless dryopetes alder $<math>\mu eillight, \mu erd \delta true bebr ds elsopharsu.$ Hence Van Lennep proposed here to read dryopeter for dryopetar.

87. al $\psi d \tau \epsilon$. The $\tau \epsilon$ here bears the common opic sense of τo_i or lows, 'it

85

τοὖνεκα γὰρ βασιλῆες ἐχέφρονες, οὖνεκα λαοῖς βλαπτομένοις ἀγορῆφι μετάτροπα ἔργα τελεῦσι ῥηϊδίως, μαλακοῖσι παραιφάμενοι ἐπέεσσιν. ἐρχόμενον δ' ἀνὰ ἆστυ θεὸν ὣς ἱλάσκονται αἰδοῖ μειλιχίῃ, μετὰ δὲ πρέπει ἀγρομένοισι, οἶά τε Μουσάων ἱερὴ δόσις ἀνθρώποισιν.

88. λα**Foîs 89. Fépya 90. Feπéeσσu** 91. Fáστυ

90. παρεφάμενοι Μ.

88. λαοίσι Ald. 93. οία τε Ald.

may be that,' &c. Goettling takes it for the copulative, as if $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\rho\rho\epsilon\dot{\nu}\epsilon_i$ had preceded.—*κal* $\mu\epsilon\gamma a$ $\nu\epsilon\hat{\nu}\kappaos$, 'even a serious quarrel,' which nothing else would have stopped but an appeal to arma

88. TOUVERA YAD K.T.A. 'For on this account are kings endowed with wis-dom, (or perhaps, 'have hold on the minds of their subjects'), because for their peoples, when being misled, they. effect a change in their actions by a public address, easily talking them over to better views) by gentle words.' This to better views) or genue would. This is in effect a eulogy on eloquence, and represents the kings as desirous to ad-vise and persuade rather than to punish. Or simply, 'for the people when wronged they make redress.' We might be tempted to construe βλαπτο-μένοις άγορῆφι, 'when injured by the unjust decisions of inferior judges,' and to regard the kings as reversing such sentences on appeal. But $\beta\lambda d\pi$ - $\tau\epsilon\sigma\thetaa$ may very well be used of the mental error or infatuated notions of those who have listened to interested demagogues, and been led to some political acts which it is the part of the kings to 'undo,' μετάτροπα τελεῖν, by their moderation and wisdom. Schol. βλαπτομένοις δι' άγνωσίαν τοῦ δικαίου, and μετάτροπα, μεταβλήσιμα els το βέλand herarpone, herarpone in the pro-ruor. There is a similar phrase in Od. Xvii. 51, at $\kappa\epsilon$ $\pi o \theta c Ze v herar for a$ $<math>\tau\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\sigma\sigma y$. Virgil may have had this passage in view, Aen. i. 148 seqq., 'Ac veluti in magno populo cum saepe coorta est seditio, saevitque animis ignobile vulgus, &c. Persius, iv. 6, 'Ergo ubi commota fervet plebecula

bile, Fert animus calidae fecisse silentia turbae majestate manus.' For the correlatives τούνεκα and ούνεκα (τοῦ and οῦ ἕνεκα), compare II. i. 110, τοῦ ở ἕνεκά σφιν ἑκηβόλος ἄλγεα τεύχει, οῦνεκ' ἐγὰ κούρης Χρυσηΐδος ἀγλά ἅποινα οὐκ ἔθελον δέξασθα.

παραφάμενοι Ald.

90. $\beta\eta\tau\bar{\delta}l\omegas$, without trouble, because their discretion, their eloquence, and the weight of their authority leads not only to a prompt decision, but to acquiescence in it.—*παραιφάμενοι*, 'talking over,' 'persuading.' Acech. Prom. 131, *πατρφαs μόγιs παρειποῦσα φρέναs*. Photius, *παρείπη*, *παραπείσε*.

tius, $\pi a \rho e(\pi)$, $\pi a \rho a \pi e(\sigma e.$ 91. Schoemann reuds àr' àr àr àr a from the scholia.— $i \lambda d \sigma \kappa o r \pi a$, they deprecate his anger by reverential words. The verb is used in reference to $\theta e b r \delta s$. If this verse be genuine here (see on v. 86), $a i \delta o i \mu e i \lambda_1 \langle n \rangle$, which in Od. viii. 172 refers to àr ope de, must signify 'with winning terms of respect.'— $\mu e r d$ $\delta \lambda \pi \rho \ell \pi e \iota \kappa. r. \lambda$, 'and he holds a conspicuous place among the people when assembled,' just as, in his progress through the city, he was deferentially addressed by them. There is a variant d r' d r d r d r d r d r d r r d.

93. old re, 'accordingly as eloquence is the sacred gift of the Muses,' i. e. accordingly as he shows eloquence in his address. There is some difficulty in explaining this phrase, which is used both adverbially, as in Od. iii. 73, η $\mu \alpha \psi \delta l \omega s \lambda \lambda \lambda \eta \sigma \theta e \ old re \lambda \eta \bar{\iota} \sigma \tau \eta \rho s \delta' \eta e \ \delta \lambda \lambda \eta \sigma \theta e \ old re to the second$ $both adverbially, as in Od. iii. 73, <math>\eta$ $\mu \alpha \psi \delta l \omega s \lambda \lambda \lambda \eta \sigma \theta e \ old re to the second$ $both adverbially, as in Od. iii. 75, <math>\eta \omega s \lambda \lambda \delta s$ $\beta \delta \sigma \kappa \epsilon_i \gamma \alpha \bar{\iota} \alpha \mu \epsilon \lambda \alpha \nu a$, and also as an accusative, as Od. xv. 323, old re to $\bar{\iota} s \lambda \gamma \alpha \theta o \hat{\iota} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \delta s$ $\lambda \gamma \alpha \theta o \hat{\iota} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \delta s \lambda \delta \sigma \epsilon \sigma \epsilon s \delta \delta \kappa \eta \bar{\iota}$

183

ἐκ γὰρ Μουσάων καὶ ἑκηβόλου Ἀπόλλωνος ἀνδρες ἀοιδοὶ ἔασιν ἐπὶ χθόνα καὶ κιθαρισταί· ἐκ δὲ Διὸς βασιλῆες· ὁ δ᾽ ὅλβιος ὅντινα Μοῦσαι φίλωνται· γλυκερή οἱ ἀπὸ στόματος ῥέει αὐδή. εἰ γάρ τις καὶ πένθος ἔχων νεοκηδέϊ θυμῷ

96. Δifòs 97. Foi péfei?

94. ἐκ γάρ τοι Μουσάων Μ. M, Ald. γλυκερίη---ρέεν Μ. 95. čaogiv Ald.

97. **φιλεύνται**

95

άναξ εύθυμος έδοκεν. Again, in Opp. 322 we have old τε πολλά, as in Opp. 37 άλλα τε πολλά,—all which passages are adduced by Goettling and Van Lennep. The latter critic would supply μεταπρέπει from the preceding verse, ut praecellit etiam sacrum Musarum donum hominibus. It is easier to supply έστί. Gaisford and Dindorf, after Guietus, edit roin. It would be better, if we must alter the text, to read ola, conspicuus est inter congregatos, qua est a Musis praeditus eloquentia. Goettling regards δόσιs as including several gifts or endowments, as if the poet had said δόρα.

94—7. As several MSS. give $i\kappa \gamma d\rho$ row Mowodwr, we should perhaps read $i\kappa \mu ir \gamma d\rho$ Mowodwr. If these verses are here genuine,—and they occur in the Homeric Hymn to the Muses and Apollo, v. 2,—the meaning seems to be, that though kings, holding their prerogative from Zeus, stand first, yet bards, inspired by the Muses, as even kings are with eloquence, hold the next place. The context shows that δ δ' $\delta\lambda\beta ios \kappa.r.\lambda$ refers alike to kings and poets. The $\gamma\lambda\nu\kappae\rho\eta$ $ab\delta\eta$, or royal eloquence, was mentioned in similar terms above, v. 83—4. Here it refers principally to poets, who thereby obtain success and notoriety,—for this is implied in $i\pi \lambda \chi \delta \delta \sigma a$, 'over the wide earth.' Cf. Od. viii. 479—81, mâo: $\gamma d\rho$ $\delta abdwr. For the accusative <math>\chi \delta b \alpha r \phi k ar$ $Oluas \mu w d' tolbate, <math>\phi (\lambda n \sigma \epsilon \delta the w) \Delta ar$ ancient bards, see Opp. II, w k far $<math>\mu w v \delta r k \gamma k \delta \delta \omega \gamma k \gamma k o kar v k ar$

elol δύω. Ibid. v. 125, πάντη φοιτῶντες έπ' alar. Inf. v. 187, Νύμφας θ' åς Μελίας καλέουσ' ἐπ' ἀπείροτα γαΐαν.... ἀοιδοί is not here the predicate, the sense being ἄνδρες ἀοιδοί ἐκ Μουσῶν, βασιλῆες ἐκ Διός είσι.

97. The MSS. vary between $\phi \lambda \omega rrai, \phi \iota \lambda \tilde{\omega} rrai, \phi \iota \lambda \tilde{\omega} \tilde{v} rai.$ The first is preferred by Hermann and Goettling (the aorist $\phi \tilde{\iota} \lambda a ro occurs II. v. 61$), the second by Van Lennep, the third, which is the vulgate reading, by Gaisford. It is probable that the ι is never intrinsically long, but the λ is sometimes doubled in the pronunciation, as in the address $\phi \lambda \epsilon$ $\kappa a \sigma i \gamma r \eta \tau \epsilon$. So $\circ 0 \lambda \lambda u \mu \pi os$ may be more correct than $O \delta \lambda u \mu \pi os$. Some distinguish three forms of the verb, $\phi I \lambda o \mu a \mu$.

98. veonybil, recently afflicted with grief for the death of a relative (this being implied in $\pi i \nu \delta \sigma_0$). Heeych, vecord $\pi e \nu \delta \delta \sigma \sigma \sigma r$. Similarly Aeschylus has veona θh_3 , Eum. 489. Van Lennep conjectures that the poet alludes to his own poetic efforts at the funeral of Amphidamas, at which he was present, Opp. 654.— $\delta(\eta \pi \alpha, \cdot \theta hould pine, \cdot \sigma te$ come dry in heart,—an idea perhapsderived from exhausting the fountain $of tears, obd <math>\ell m$ or a var, Aesch. Ag. 861. Cf. Opp. 587, $\ell \pi \epsilon i$ neepahy sad youraa $\lambda \epsilon i \mu \sigma \pi \gamma \delta r$, $\delta \sigma \epsilon$. Compare the use of $\pi \alpha \chi \nu \delta \sigma \sigma \delta \sigma$, of the effects of grief, Opp. 360. Goetting seems to have forgotten the Homeric passage when he says, "Hie usus verbi $\delta(\sigma \mu \alpha, m)$

άζηται κραδίην ἀκαχήμενος, αὐτὰρ ἀοιδὸς Μουσάων θεράπων κλεῖα προτέρων ἀνθρώπων 100 ὑμνήση, μάκαράς τε θεοὺς, οΐ Ὅλυμπον ἔχουσιν, αἶψ' ὄγε δυσφρονέων ἐπιλήθεται, οὐδέ τι κηδέων μέμνηται· ταχέως δὲ παρέτραπε δῶρα θεάων.

Χαίρετε, τέκνα Διὸς, δότε δ' ὑμερόεσσαν ἀοιδήν. κλείετε δ' ἀθανάτων ἱερὸν γένος αἰἐν ἐόντων, 105 οἶ γῆς ἐξεγένοντο καὶ οὐρανοῦ ἀστερόεντος νυκτός τε δνοφερῆς, οὖς θ' ἁλμυρὸς ἔτρεφε πόντος.

100. κλέτα 104. Διτός

99. ἀκαχημένοs Ald. οῦs ἀλμυρὸs Μ. 102. οὐκέτι Μ.

107. vuktos Sè M, Ald.

100. $\kappa\lambda\epsilon\hat{i}a$, for $\kappa\lambda\epsilon\hat{i}a$ or $\kappa\lambda\epsilon\epsilona$, the lays or legends about the heroes of old. Apoll. Bhod. i. 1, $\delta\rho\chi\delta\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma\sigma$ $\sigma\epsilon\hat{o}$, $\Phi\circ\hat{i}\beta\epsilon$, $\pia\lambdaaryer\epsilon\omegar$ $\kappa\lambda\epsilon a$, $\phi\omegar\hat{u}r$ $\mu rhoo\mua$. The lengthened form seems due to the digamma. Compare $\kappa\lambda\delta\omega$, $\kappa\lambda\nu\tau\delta_s$, with $\kappa\lambda\epsilon\epsilon(\omega, \kappa\lambda\epsilon\omega, \rho\epsilon\omega, \rho\nu\tau\delta_s, \chi\epsilon\omega, \chi\nu\tau\delta_s, d\alpha.$ In II. ix. 189, $\epsilon\epsilon\hat{e}\delta\epsilon\hat{e}\delta$, $\epsilon\mu\sigma$, $\kappa\lambda\epsilon$ $a\lambda\sigma\beta\omegar$, Van Lennep remarks that Hoyne preferred $\kappa\lambda\epsilon\epsilon'$ $a\nu\delta\rho\omegar$. If $\kappa\lambda\epsilon a$ be a genuine ancient form, it must be referred to a nominative $\kappa\lambda hs$ for $\kappa\lambda\epsilon F$ -s. Compare $\kappa\lambda\eta\delta\omegar$, $\kappa\lambda\epsilon\eta\delta\omegar$. Curtins (150) gives $\kappa\lambda\nu$ as the root.

102. For alψ 5γe there are variants alψa δ δ, alψa δ 5γe, alψ δ δ, alψd τe. This indicates a confusion between two old readings, bψ 5γe and alψa δδ δυσφρ. So inf. v. 169, for bψ abbis one MS. gives alψ abbis.—Swσφρονίων, from δυσφρονέν, a more poetical phrase than δυσφρονέν, a more poetical phrase than δυσφρονέν, a more poetical phrase than δυσφρον στο δυσφροσύντων, from δισφρον Cratylus, § 297. Two or three MSS. give δυσφροσύνεων, a good reading in itself, and Hesiod is very fond of the termination —οσύνη.

103. παρέτραπε, soil. θυμόν ἀπὸ κηδέων. Euripides, Med. 195, laments that music was not much used for consoling grief; στυγίους δὲ βροτῶν οἰδεἰς λύπας εδρετο μούση καὶ πολυχόρδοις φίδαῖς παίειν.

104. $\chi alpere$, "farewell,"-a common conclusion to long invocations, e. g. in

Theocr. Id. ii. 165, xxii. 214, xvii. 136. Perhaps, if we regard this verse as a continuation of the praises of the Muse, the meaning is 'all hail to you !', i. e. assist me to sing. Goettling condemns as spurious the passage from 105-115. He says, "hoc versu (104) necessario concluditur procemium theogoniae." He objects, that in v. 108 we should have expected xdos kal yaîa instead of beel καί γαΐα, especially as the birth of the gods is mentioned in v. 111. This latter verse indeed occurred as v. 46, and it is omitted in the quotation of this passage by Origen (see on v. 125). Further, it seems likely that v. 110 was made up from v. 382. Others have thought that there are traces of two recensions, e. g. 105-7 in place of 108 -13. We can determine nothing here with certainty, and perhaps Van Lennep is as near the truth as any of the other critics: "Mihi, quod in rudioris aevi carmine non sunt omnia pro nostro sensu concinne dicta aut disposita, quodque tautologia in quibusdam offensionem facit, non adferrre continuo necessitatem videtur, ut vel ordinem in eo versuum immutemus, vel duplicis recensionis commento tautologia libe-rare illud conemur." He thinks that in this brief epitome of the subject (105-115) the Muses are invoked to relate who were brought into being, how (108), and in what order (115).



εἶπατε δ', ὡς ταπρῶτα θεοὶ καὶ γαῖα γένοντο, καὶ ποταμοὶ καὶ πόντος ἀπείριτος, οἶδματι θύων, ἄστρα τε λαμπετόωντα καὶ οὐρανὸς εὐρὺς ὅπερθεν, 110 οἶ τ' ἐκ τῶν ἐγένοντο θεοὶ, δωτῆρες ἐάων, ὡς τ' ἀφενος δάσσαντο καὶ ὡς τιμὰς διέλοντο, ἡδὲ καὶ ὡς ταπρῶτα πολύπτυχον ἔσχον ὅΟλυμπον. ταῦτά μοι ἔσπετε Μοῦσαι ἘΟλύμπια δώματ' ἔχουσαι ἐξ ἀρχῆς, καὶ εἶπαθ ὅ τι πρῶτον γένετ' αὐτῶν. 115

^{*}Ητοι μέν πρώτιστα Χάος γένετ', αὐτὰρ ἔπειτα Γαΐ εὐρύστερνος, πάντων ἔδος ἀσφαλές aἰεὶ Γἀθανάτων, οἱ ἔχουσι κάρη νιφόεντος 'Ολύμπου,

108. Γείπατε

115. Γείπαθ

116. Xáfos

108. είπατε ώς Μ. 115. καί om. Μ. είποθ' Ald. 116. γίνετ' Μ. 117. γαία δ' εὐρύστερνος Μ.

112. Éxeros. The masculine form occurs Opp. 24, the neuter ibid. v. 637. The notion is, the triple dominion over Earth, Ocean, and Hades.— $\tau \mu \lambda s$, cf. v. 74.

113. $\delta s \ \epsilon \sigma \chi or$. How the Olympian gods came into possession of a new dynasty after the defeat of the Titans. See inf. v. 881 seqq. 114-15. Schol. $\tau a \tilde{v} \tau a$ $\delta t \circ \ \epsilon \pi \eta \ \delta$

114—15. Schol. ταῦτα δύο ἔπη δ Σέλευκοs ἀθετεῖ· ol δἱ περί 'Αρίσταρχου τὸ Ἐξ ἀρχῆς μόνον λέγουσιν. Where it is uncertain if λέγουσιν means 'reoite it,' viz. as connected with ἔσχον Όλυμπον, or λέγουσιν ἀθετεῖσθαι.—ἔσπετε, according to Curtius (Gr. Et. 461) is from a root σεπ, say, distinct from Fem in ἕποs and elπεῦν.

116. With this verse the Theogony properly commences, and here we find clearer indications of an ancient hand than in the long cento which forms the procemium. Gaisford remarks on this verse, "Locus ab iis imprimis celebratus, qui de rerum initis scripserunt." And he adds a long list of citations from ancient authors who have alluded to or quoted the passage,—among others Plat. Symp. p. 178, B, and Ar. Av. 694.

Ibid. #roi, as Goettling observes, is probably an alteration introduced for the purpose of adapting the narration to the concluding lines of the proce-mium. For Aristotle, Phys. Ausc. iv. 1, has $\pi d \sigma \tau \omega \tau \mu^{2} \pi \sigma d \sigma \sigma \sigma \tau \sigma \tau \pi \tau \Lambda$. $\gamma \epsilon \nu \sigma \tau \sigma$, 'came into existence,' not 'was produced,' as Hesiod regards space as necessarily antecedent to all created things.-Xdos, from the root Xa (Xdone, xaire, &c.), means the yawning and void receptacle for created matter. Curtius, Gr. Et. 176, comparing xaures, says that χdos was certainly $\chi dFos$. The order of Hesiod's primeval cosmogony is not far from the Mosaic; Space, Earth, Darkness, Light, the Heavenly Bodies, Mountains. The Heavenly Bodies, Mountains. The Scriptural account gives Earth and Sky, Darkness, Light, Heaven, Dry Land, (the Hesiodic offer, or continents above the sea-level,) Vegetation, the Heavenly Bodies. -- Ereira Taia, i. e. not born out of Chaos, but subsequently called into existence.

118. This verse, which occurs again inf. v. 794, is perhaps spurious in this place; and it was condemned by several of the ancient critics. For the earth is $\pi darraw$ iso dapa λ s simply as the terra

Τάρταρά τ' ήερόεντα μυχῷ χθονὸς εὐρυοδείης,] ήδ' *Epos, ὃς κάλλιστος ἐν ἀθανάτοισι θεοῖσι, 120 λυσιμελὴς, πάντων τε θεῶν πάντων τ' ἀνθρώπων

119. de póerra

119. μυχών Μ.

firma which supports all things that move and exist upon it. Begarding Olympus merely as a snowy mountain, this addition involves no inconsistency; but the mention of the gods seems somewhat out of order here, though they are indirectly spoken of in vv. 120 -1. If the line be genuine, the meaning must be that the earth was created primarily for the purpose of sustaining the gods in their exalted abodes, just as inf. v. 129, the mountains rose to be the abodes of the Nymphs. But the Schol. expressly says that this verse was rejected by the grammarians, obros $\delta \sigma \tau i \chi os \ \delta ereiral,$ and it is omitted in the quotation of the passage by Aristotle, Plato, and others cited in Goettling's critical note.

19. ψερόεντα, misty, murky, δνοφώδη. — This verse also has been suspected, and is rejected by Dr. Flach. Plato, Symp. p. 178, s, alluding to this passage, says, 'Hσίοδος πρώτον μèν Χάος φησί γενέσθαι, αὐτὰρ ἐπειτα-μετὰ τὸ Χάος δύο τούτω γενέσθαι, Γῆν τε καl "Eρωτα. Heinsius adds, that Aristotle (De Xenophane c. i.) also cites v. 120 next after 117. There is some difficulty too in the plural Τάρταρα, where we might have expected Τάρταρον personified, like Γαΐα and Έρος. The verse is acknowledged by Aristoph. Av. 698, Χάος ῆν καl Νόζ Έρεβός τε μέλαν πρώτον καl Τάρταρος εἰρός κ.τ.λ., by Plutarch, De Isid. et Osir. § lvii, δόξειε δ' Δν Γωνς καl 'Hσίοδος, τὰ πρώτα πάντα (l. πάντων; see on v. 116), χάος καl γῆν καl Τάρταρον καl Έρωτα ποιῶν, σἰχ ἐτέρας λαμβάνευ ἀρχὰς, ἀλλὰ ταίτας. Pausan. Boeot. § 27, 'Hσίοδον δὲ ἢ τὸν 'Hσιόδξ Θεογονίαν ἐσποιήσαντα οἶδα γράψαντα ὡς Χάος πρώτον, ἐτὶ δὲ abτῷ Γῆ τε καl Τάρταρος καl Έρως γένοιτο. Van Lennep thinks that the poet here could hardly have omitted Tartarus, where

he afterwards represents Typhoeus and others to dwell. Goettling remarks that by Tartarus, which he regards as a reduplicated form of the root $\tau a \rho$ in $\tau a \rho \delta \sigma \sigma \epsilon \nu$, the poet meant the subterranean recesses where earthquakes originate. For the account of Tartarus and Typhoeus who was thought to cause these commotions, see inf. 721. 821, seq. Euripides has $\tau d \rho a \gamma \mu a \tau a \rho \tau d \rho \epsilon \omega \nu$, Herc. F. 907. 120. 'Eps. Though Hesiod clearly

regards this divinity as the god of love, and speaks of him in this early stage of creation as the author of sexual production, which hitherto had not existed. there is some reason for believing that in the earliest mythology 'Epos and 'Epa were merely male and female powers representing Earth. Compare Diana and the old Italian Dianus (Janus), Liber and Libera, Annus and Anna (sun and moon), Phoebus and Phoebe. Hesiod must have meant love, 'Epus, because he applies the opithet $\lambda v \sigma i \mu \epsilon - \lambda \eta s$, which the Schol. vainly explains by $\delta \lambda \delta \omega \nu$ ras $\phi \rho o \nu \tau \delta s$, adding, où yap ένταῦθα την μίξιν καὶ την ἐπιθυμίαν λέγει, την λύουσαν τὰ μέλη. It is remarkable however that for bs κάλλιστοs κ.τ.λ., which affords a still stronger proof of the meaning of "Epos, Aristotle, Phys. iv. 1, read bs πάντεσσι μεταπρέπει alardrow. In truth, the rhapsodists seem to have had two different readings according to their conceptions of the character meant. Cf. Plat. Symp. p. 178, Δ, τό γάρ έν τοις πρεσβύτατον είναι των θεών τίμιον, η δ' δς· τεκμήριον δε τούτου· γονής γαρ Έρωτος ούτ' είσιν ούτε λέγονται ύπ' ούδενος ούτε ίδιώτου ούτε ποιητού, άλλ' Ησίοδος πρώτον μέν Xdos $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$.—Shakspeare seems to have alluded to this legend, in apostrophising Love as "O anything, of nothing first created !" (Rom. and Jul. i. 1.)

δάμναται ἐν στήθεσσι νόον καὶ ἐπίφρονα βουλήν. ἐκ Χάεος δ' Ἐρεβός τε μέλαινά τε Νὺξ ἐγένοντο· Νυκτὸς δ' αὖτ' Αἰθήρ τε καὶ Ἡμέρη ἐξεγένοντο, [οὖς τέκε κυσαμένη, Ἐρέβει φιλότητι μιγεῖσα.] Γαῖα δέ τοι πρῶτον μὲν ἐγείνατο ἶσον †ἑαυτῆ Οὐρανὸν ἀστερόενθ' ἶνα μιν περὶ πάντα καλύπτοι, ὄφρ' εἶη μακάρεσσι θεοῖς ἕδος ἀσφαλὲς αἰεί·

123. χάξεος

126. Figor

123. ¿ξεγένοντο Ald. 124. ¿ξεγένετο M.

123. Erebus is the subterranean darkness, as opposed to night which shrouds the upper world. $Ai\thetah\rho$, as usual, means the bright upper ether contrasted with the lower atmosphere, $\delta \hbar \rho$. The oreation of light out of darkness is in conformity with the Mosaic account. Prof. Jebb well remarks (Primer of Greek Literature, p. 43), "The belief that the world was ereated by a Supreme Power, though very old and widely spread in the East, was never congenial to the Greeks. Their tendency was to think of the world, not as made by a Creator, but us born out of pre-existing elements."

125. This verse is perhaps spurious. It is wanting in Origen, who quotes from v. 108 to v. 139, Philosoph. § 26, p. 174. Van Lennep remarks, that Cicero assigns Erebus as the husband to Night, De Nat. D. iii. 17; but this only shows that the verse may have been found in some copics in his time. The poet would seem to represent the birth of Darkness and Light, Sky, and Mountains, and Sea, by a principle of development from each other, without generation by the male. Hence he expressly says of Pontus, $& & tep \ \phi i \wedge for \eta ros \\ & & four four of the security of the$

pressly says of Fontus, are point for τ is a set of the same set of the se

86, \tilde{a} φάσs άγνον καl γη̂s ἰσόμους ἀήρ. Aesch. Cho. 311, σκότφ φάσs ἰσόμουρον. Hence they supposed there was a point of contact, viz. at the verge of the horizon, between earth and sky,-an idea which is poetically developed in Eur. Hippol. 744-751. Goettling remarking on the somewhat late form tavri, and contending that the early epic poets represented the heaven as brazen, $\chi \dot{a} \lambda x cos$, suggests $I \sigma d$ of $a \dot{v} \tau \tilde{p}$, referring $I \sigma a$ both to objards and object. And he thinks this doctrine of the brazen sky is the point of ¿dos às qales alei. He compares Pind. Nem. vi. 4, δ δε χάλκεος ασφαλες αίεν έδος μένει ούρανός. We might familiarly illustrate the Hesiodic notion of the flat circular earth and the convex over-arching sky, by a circular plate with a hemispherical dish-cover of metal placed over it and concealing it. Above the cover, (which is supposed to rotate on an axis, $\pi \delta \lambda \sigma s_{*}$) live the gods. Round the inner con-cavity is the path of the sun, giving light to the earth below. This is nearly the Platonic idea in the Phaedrus, p. 247. Before, however (v. 118), the earth was called the toos dopants of the gods, as being the basis on which Mount Olympus stood. The two accounts cannot perhaps be exactly reconciled; and this is an additional reason for

doubting the genuineness of v. 118. 127. Γνα-καλύπτοι. 'That she might throw it as a cover round all things.' Cf. II. v. 317, πρόσθε δέ οἰ πέπλοιο φαεινοῦ πτύγμ' ἐκάλυψεν.

γείνατο δ' Ουρέα μακρά, θεών χαρίεντας ἐναύλους, Νυμφέων, αι ναίουσιν ἀν' ουρέα βησσήεντα. η. δὲ και ἀτρύγετον πέλαγος τέκεν, οιδματι θῦον,Πόντον, ἄτερ φιλότητος ἐφιμέρου· αὐτὰρ ἔπειταΟὐρανῷ εὐνηθεῦσα τέκ' ἘΩκεανὸν βαθυδίνην,Κοῦόν τε Κρειόν θ', Ἱπερίονά τ' Ἰαπετόν τε,Θείην τε Ῥεῖάν τε, Θέμιν τε Μνημοσύνην τεΦοίβην τε χρυσοστέφανον Τηθύν τ' ἐρατεινήν.

130. νυμφάων M, Ald. 133. οὐρανῷ δ' εὐνηθεῖσα M. 135. Θείάν τε M, Ald. 136. φοίτην τε M.

130. Goettling condemns this verse as an interpolation. By $\delta rad \lambda ous$, 'habitations,' he understands temples of the gods built on promontories, including perhaps Mount Olympus. Possibly the poet merely meaul, that the mountains were created to sustain the Oread nymphs (at radows: $\delta r' obpea$), as the heaven was created as a seat for the gods. The repetition of obpea may appear inelegant, but it was necessary for specifying what class of nymphs were meant. Apollonius Rhodius, i. 501, who represents Orpheus as giving a sketch of the Hesiodic cosmogony in a song, seems to have known this verse; for he writes obped d' is dréreilekal is woraµol kelddorres, <math>abrifornNúmbrot.

Nóμφησι. 132. The poet distinguishes πέλαγος and πόντος, the deep and the widely expanded (terms virtually confined to the Mediterranean by the early writers,) from 'Ωκεανός, the great circumfluent stream which was regarded as the source of all the rivers through subterranean channels. Cf. Scut. H. 314. The union of Earth and Heaven caused the birth of Oceanus, because the sky seems to touch the earth at its extreme limits where the circling Oceanus was supposed to lie.

134—7. The birth of the primeval or Titanic powers from Earth and Uranus. For Kpeior Goettling gives Kpior, against nearly all the copies. The etymology is more probably the same as in $\kappa peiwr$ or $\kappa p \epsilon \omega r$, 'the ruling.' Schol. Kpeior Sè, $\tau b \beta a \sigma i \lambda u c v$ kal hypeporus ($\lambda \epsilon \gamma e i$).

Koios mny mean 'the intelligent,' from KOGIV, or perhaps 'Sky' (compare cohus, i.e. caelum, quoted by Festus, and Juno Covella). The duality of sexes is here so far observed, that there are six Teraves, Oceanus, Coeus, Crius, Hyperion, Iapetus, Oronus; and six Irad-rios, Theia, Rhea, Themis, Mnemo-syne, Phoebe, Tethys. Of these, Coeus was the father of Latona, Hyperion of the Sun, Iapetus of Prometheus, ('Iameriovions, Opp. 54,) Rhea the mother of the gods (alias Demeter). Themis was the first priestess and lawgiver, Mnemcsyne the mother of the Muses, (sup. v. 54,) Phoebe of Latona, Tethys of the sea-nymphs. It will be observed, that Cronus, the sire of the Olympian gods generally, is represented as younger than the Titanian powers, v. 137. Thus his birth forms a sort of Transition period between the old heaven and the new. A kind of secondary Titanian progeny is next enumerated, viz. the Cyclopes and the hundred-handed giants. The conflict between the Titans and the newer Olympian dynasty is not described till v. 630 seqq. But this is a subject on which there is reason to think Hesiod dwelt more at length in a part of the Theogony now lost. Homer briefly alludes to this monstrous offspring of the Cyclopes, &c., Od. vii. 206, δσπερ Κύκλωπές τε καλ άγρια φῦλα Γιγάντων

136. The name $T\eta\theta\varthetas$ is perhaps connected with $\tau\eta\theta\eta$, and means 'nursing mother.'

τοὺς δὲ μέθ ὅπλότατος γένετο Κρόνος ἀγκυλομήτης, δεινότατος παίδων· θαλερὸν ὅ ἦχθηρε τοκῆα. γείνατο δ' αὖ Κύκλωπας ὑπέρβιον ἦτορ ἔχοντας, Βρόντην τε Στερόπην τε καὶ ᾿Αργην ὀμβριμόθυμον, 140 [οῦ Ζηνὶ βροντήν τ' ἔδοσαν τεῦξάν τε κεραυνόν.] οἱ δ' ἦτοι τὰ μὲν ἄλλα θεοῖς ἐναλίγκιοι ἦσαν, μοῦνος δ' ὀφθαλμὸς μέσσῳ ἐνέκειτο μετώπῳ. [οἶδ' ἐξ ἀθανάτων θνητοὶ τράφεν αὐδήεντες· Κύκλωπες δ' ὄνομ' ἦσαν ἐπώνυμον, οὖνεκ' ἄρα σφέων

140. ἀστερόπην καὶ ἄργην ἀμβριμόθυμον Μ. 141. ἔδωκαν Μ. 142. οι δή τοι M, Ald. 143. μέσω Μ. 145. ἔειν Ald.

138. $\pi_{\chi} \theta \eta \rho \epsilon$, 'he disliked.' Some modern critics, after Ruhnkon, enclose this verse in brackets as spurious, and made up from v. 155, $\sigma \phi \epsilon \tau \rho \rho \delta' \pi_{\chi} \theta \sigma \nu \tau \sigma \tau \sigma \tau \sigma \tau$. The Schol. says that Aristarchus objected to $\theta a \lambda \epsilon \rho \delta r$, which means 'vigorous,' in reference to the numerous offspring of Uranus. The meaning perhaps is, that Cronus disliked not so much his father, as his father's feoundity, as oppressive to Gaza, whose part he took, v. 159, 168. These monst rs, says Sir G. W. Cox (Folk-lore, p. 178), are doubtles the lightning-flashes which plough up the stormy heaven. He remarks that the affinity with the Cyclopes of the Odyssey is distant, although it may be traced. Both probably were in their origin cloud-gods, and the Cyclopes were called *shepherds* from the clouds gathering on mountain-tops. The "one eye," and the estinction of it, can only mean the sun in the forehead of the sky, obscured by dark clouds.

141. Goettling regards this verse as spurious, and he is probably right. It is feeble, and is the kind of expletive ($\pi a \rho a \pi \lambda \pi \rho \omega \mu a \tau u \kappa \partial \tau$) line that the grammarians were fond of adding. Cf. inf. 286. Eur. Alc. 5, $\tau \epsilon \kappa \tau \sigma \sigma a \delta \delta \sigma w u \rho \delta s$

κτείνω Κύκλωπας. Dr. Flach retains 141, but rejects 142-146.

142. of 8' from Goetling and Hermann with two MSS. for of 54 Tot. For this verse Crates the grammarian gave, doubtless from an ancient recension, another, oi 8' 45 deardrow ernrol tracer audherres, to avoid the apparent difficulty of making the Cyclopes, who were mortal and slain by Apollo, (Eur. Alc. init.) τάλλα θεοῖs ἐraλίγκιοι. But this need not imply further resemblance than that of strength and stature. They were, in fact, a sort of demigods, like to the gods in form and might, except the peculiarity of the one eye, but yet mortal. Goettling places the verse attributed to Crates after v. 143, and regards it, with the two following, as belonging to another recension, in which the three verses (off' if - merány) stood in place of vv. 142-3. For mouros δ' ἀφθαλμός, which ought to mean 'only an eye,' Schoemann reads oppaulos of éeis.

145. $\xi\epsilon_{is}$, if genuine (which is doubted by Curtius, Gr. Et. 567), is formed on the analogy of $i\epsilon\rho\sigma\eta$, for $\xi\rho\sigma\eta$, $\xi\epsilon\delta\sigma a$ for $\xi\delta\nu\sigma$, $i\epsilon\lambda\delta\sigma\rho$ for $\xi\lambda\delta\sigma\rho$. If so, we should probably read $\xi\epsilon_{is}$, without the asprute. The passage however is suspected, for $\delta\nu\sigma\mu'$ $i\pi\omega\nu\mu\sigma\nu$ comes very awkwardly after the plural Kúnhorrs $\eta\sigma\sigma\sigma$. Perhaps $i\pi\omega\nu\mu\sigma\iota$. Indeed, this reading is supported by Etym. M. in v. Kúnhorrs, where $\xi\sigma\sigma\mu$ obvou' $i\pi\omega\nu\mu\sigma$ is given. In Od. vii. 54, 'Aphrn 8' $\delta\nu\sigma\mu'$ $d\sigma\tau\nu' i\pi\omega\nu\mu\sigma\nu$, it might be questioned

κυκλοτερής ὀφθαλμὸς ἕεις ἐνέκειτο μετώπφ 145 ἰσχύς τ' ἠδὲ βίη καὶ μηχαναὶ †ἦσαν ἐπ' ἔργοις.] ἄλλοι δ' αῦ Γαίης τε καὶ Οὐρανοῦ ἐξεγένοντο τρεῖς παίδες μεγάλοι ^{*}τε καὶ ὄμβριμοι, οὐκ ὀνομαστοὶ, Κόττος τε Βριάρεώς τε Γύης θ', ὑπερήφανα τέκνα. τῶν ἑκατὸν μὲν χεῖρες ἀπ' ὦμων ἀἰσσοντο 150 ἄπλαστοι, κεφαλαὶ δὲ ἑκάστῷ πεντήκοντα ἐξ ὦμων ἐπέφυκον ἐπὶ στιβαροῖσι μέλεσσιν. ἰσχὺς δ' ἄπλητος κρατερὴ μεγάλῷ ἐπὶ εἴδει.

146. μηχαναὶ ἦν ἐπὶ Fépyous? (cf. 321) 150. Feκατòv 151. Feκάστφ 153. Feíðei

148. δμβριμοι Μ. δβριμοι Ald. 149. Γύγης Μ, Ald. 150. άτσσαντο Ald. 153. άπλητος Μ. άπλατος Ald.

whether indervuos was not the original reading.

reasing. 146. The combination of personal strength, violence, and craft in their doings ($i\pi'$ $\xi_{\rho\gamma\sigma\iotas}$) implies that which was irresistible by any human means. Compare inf. v. 153, $\mu\epsilon\gamma d\lambda\varphi$ $i\pi$ effet. As $\xi_{\rho\gamma\sigma\iotas}$ here has no digamma, this verse is probably in some way corrupt. Perhaps $i\mu' i\pi i \xi_{\rho\gamma\sigma\iotas}$. See inf. v. 825. 148. The $\tau\epsilon$ was inserted by Her-

148. The $\tau\epsilon$ was inserted by Hermann.—obs dropastof. Perhaps 'not to be named,' as Cerberus is obredereads, inf. 310. But it is a difficult expression, perhaps like the Latin *nefandus*, implying something portentous. Schol. obs ob doraral τ_{15} dropaston, duri $\tau s \hat{\sigma}$ deword. Goettling compares $\pi a \tau \rho \delta \delta v \sigma w r \delta \mu o 0$ in v. 171, and explains it, " is, cui a forma et ab ingenii natura infelix nomen recte inditum est." But the comparison does not hold good; for $\pi a \tau h \delta \lambda \sigma \delta \mu \delta \pi \eta r h o 0$ does not deserve the name. Cf. Aesch. Cho. 183, $\mu h \tau \eta \rho$ obda $\mu \delta s$ i for $w \sigma \mu \sigma$ por pobrupa $\pi a v \delta \delta \delta \sigma \delta \sigma w \pi \pi \mu \delta v \sigma$.

149. For $\Gamma i\eta s$ most of the old copies give $\Gamma i\eta s$, in which the short v seems doubtful. Ovid however recognises the latter form, Fast. iv. 593, 'Quid gravius victore Gyge captiva tulissem, Quam nunc, te caeli sceptra tenentc, tuli?'

Also Horace, Od. ii. 17, 14, 'centimanus Gyges,' and iii. 4, 69. These are very ancient names, and what they symbolised is altogether uncertain. Possibly the three primitive seasons: at least, $\Gamma \acute{\eta} \eta$ s might refer to ploughing, $B\rho \mu a\rho \epsilon^{1} \delta$ to the severity of cold. Or perhaps, air, water, and land, as Homer calls $B\rho \mu a\rho \epsilon^{1} \delta$, $M_{12}(aw, II. i. 404$. Buttmann in his *Lexilogue* suggests that $\Gamma \acute{\eta} \eta$ may be derived from $\gamma v i o v$, 'a limb.' Van Lennep supposes they represent the warring of elemental powers, such as earthquakes and mighty deluges.

150-3. These verses occur again inf. v. 671, and one of them (152) also Opp. 149. Scut. 76.— λίσσοντο 'nimbly moved,' 'were ever in rapid motion.' Hom. 11. vi. 509, $\lambda\mu\phil$ δε χαίται όμωις λίσσονται. Ibid. xxiii. 628, οὐδ' ἔτι χεῦρες ὅμων ἀμφστέρωθεν ἐπαίσσονται ξιαφος ἰ. Soph. Oed. Col. 1261, κόμη δι' aŭρas ἀκτένισσος ἄσσεται..-ὅπλατοι, 'unapproachable,' is Gaisford's reading. Goetling gives ἅπλαστοι, which he compares with πρόσπλαστοι, (a false reading for πρόσπλατοι,) in Aesch. Prom. 755, but prefers to derive from πλάσσειν, so as to mean 'misshapen.' Van Lennep thinks ἅπλαστος contracted from ἀπέλαστος. We have a

οσσοι γὰρ Γαίης τε καὶ Οὐρανοῦ ἐξεγένοντο, [δεινότατοι παίδων, σφετέρω δ' ἦχθοντο τοκῆϊ 155 ἐξ ἀρχῆς, καὶ τῶν μὲν ὅπως τις πρῶτα γένοιτο] πάντας ἀποκρύπτασκε, καὶ ἐς φάος οὐκ ἀνίεσκε, Γαίης ἐν κευθμῶνι, κακῷ δ' ἐπετέρπετο ἔργω Οὐρανός· ἡ δ' ἐντὸς στοναχίζετο Γαία πελώρη στεινομένη· δολίην δὲ κακὴν ἐπεφράσσατο τέχνην. 160 αἶψα δὲ ποιήσασα γένος πολιοῦ ἀδάμαντος τεῦξε μέγα δρέπανον καὶ ἐπέφραδε παισὶ φίλοισιν.

158. Γέργψ

157. aviŋσκε Ald., M.

different word, $\delta \pi \lambda \eta \tau \sigma s$, 'unapproachable,' from $\pi \epsilon \lambda d\omega$, just below. $-\epsilon \pi \epsilon \phi \psi \cdot \kappa \sigma \nu$ seems a true imperfect from the secondary present $\pi \epsilon \phi \psi \kappa \omega$. See Scut. H. 228. $-\epsilon \pi i \epsilon i \delta \epsilon \iota$, i. e. $F \epsilon i \delta \epsilon \iota$. Cf. v. 146.

154. Soool ydp. The yap refers to v. 147; the three giants were born afterwards, because at first Uranus had concealed his progeny within the earth. But vv. 155-6 seem to interrupt the But vv. 155-6 seem to interrupt the sense and connexion; and the ellipse of $\beta\sigma a\nu$ after $\delta\epsilon u v \delta rar a v$ is hardly accord-ing to epic use. The explanation of the Scholiast is manifestly wrong; $\delta\sigma ou$ $\gamma a b \delta\epsilon u \delta r a v$ maldow row $\sigma v b \delta v$ kal this This $\beta \sigma a v$, $\ell u s o \delta v r a v$ $\pi p o t \ell p w raldow, rout \ell o t v d v a v$ $<math>\pi p o t \ell p w raldow, rout \ell o t v v d v d v$ $\delta \delta c h \phi w$, of kal the sense is the of all Corro. Rather the sense is, 'For of all who were born of Earth and Uranus, these were the most formidable sons." There is however too much reason to fear that this verse was patched up from v. 138,—itself probably a spurious verse. Dr. Flach reads 80000 8' Ap and ούτοι δεινότατοι, neither of which is satisfactory. Schoemann, p. 64, gives Overbeck's correction obton for boson, and tobrow for kal tww. It may be suggested that the original verses ran thus:--δσσι γάρ Γαίης τε και Ουρανοῦ εξεγένοντο έξ άρχῆς, τῶν μέν τις ὅπως πρώτιστα γένοιτο κ.τ.λ.—ἀποκρύπτασκε, εc. Οὐρανός. The a belongs to κρυπτάζω,

as βίπτασκε from βιπτάζω. We have this a in κρυπτάδιος. See on Scut. Herc. 480.

δολίην έφρασατο τέχνην. 161. αδάμαντος. It has been suggested on Opp. 147, that the old celts or cutting-implements and axe-heads made of basalt, greenstone, or traprock, may here be meant. In all ages and countries stone knives seem to have been preferred for religious ceremonies. It is difficult to conceive that with the early poets àddµas was a synonym of olonpos. It is true that operaror was a curved or hooked knife, like our sickle, and like it also was *kapxapóčor*, roughly toothed or serrated, which features would be more easily given by a metal. Anyhow, the poet says the material was now first created .- Apollonius Rhodius, in alluding to this passage of Hesiod, as mportépour émos, iv. 985, speaks of the event as one of the ra appra of ancient mythology.

είπε δε θαρσύνουσα, φίλον τετιημένη ήτορ

Παίδες ἐμοὶ καὶ πατρὸς ἀτασθάλου, αἶ κ' ἐθέλητε πείθεσθαι, πατρός κε κακὴν τισαίμεθα λώβην 165 ὑμετέρου· πρότερος γὰρ ἀεικέα μήσατο ἔργα.

[°]Ως φάτο· τοὺς δ' ἆρα πάντας ἔλεν δέος, οὐδέ τις αὐτῶν φθέγξατο· θαρσήσας δὲ μέγας Κρόνος ἀγκυλομήτης ἂψ αὖτις μύθοισι προσηύδα μητέρα κεδνήν·

Μητερ, ἐγώ κεν τοῦτό γ' ὑποσχόμενος τελέσαιμι 170 ἔργον, ἐπεὶ πατρός γε δυσωνύμου οὐκ ἀλεγίζω ἡμετέρου· πρότερος γὰρ ἀεικέα μήσατο ἔργα.

⁶Ως φάτο· γήθησεν δε μέγα φρεσὶ Γαῖα πελώρη. εἶσε δέ μιν κρύψασα λόχῷ· ἐνέθηκε δε χειρὶ ἄρπην καρχαρόδοντα· δόλον δ' ὑπεθήκατο πάντα. 175 ἦλθε δε Νύκτ' ἐπάγων μέγας Οὐρανὸς, ἀμφὶ δε Γαίη ἱμείρων φιλότητος ἐπέσχετο καί ρ' ἐτανύσθη πάντη· ὁ δ' ἐκ λόχοιο πάϊς ὦρέξατο χειρὶ

163. Γεῖπε 166. ἀΓεικέα μήσατο Γέργα 167. ἕλε δΓέος
 171. Γέργον 172. ἀΓεικέα—Γέργα 178. πάΓις

165. πείθεσθε πατέρος γε Μ. πατρός γε Ald. 170. γε om. Μ. 171. πατέρος Μ. 173. γήθησε δε Ald. 178. λοχεοῖο Ald. 🛥 λόχοιο (not λοχοῖο) Μ.

165. $\pi \alpha \tau \rho \delta s \kappa \epsilon$. So Goettling and Van Lennep after Hermann, with one MS., for $\pi \alpha \tau \epsilon \rho o s \gamma \epsilon$. Without $\kappa \epsilon s$, the hortative subjunctive $\tau \iota \sigma \delta \mu \epsilon \theta a$ would be required. The sense is, 'It may be that we shall avenge,' &c. Cf. inf. v. 170.— $\pi \rho \delta \tau \epsilon \rho o s \gamma \delta \rho \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. The Greek notion of retributive justice always turned on the question, who was the aggressor, the $\tau \delta \delta \rho \chi \epsilon \mu \delta \delta \kappa (a s.$ 168. Kpóros. The youngest, but the

168. Kpóros. The youngest, but the most formidable of the former offspring of Uranus, sup. 137.

171. δυσωνύμου. See on v. 148. Here perhaps it means, 'wrongly called by the name of Father;' as Aesch. Cho. 182, ούδαμῶς ἐπώνυμον—μητρόs. 175. δρηγν, the δρέπανον or sickle, v.

175. $\delta \rho \pi \eta \nu$, the $\delta \rho \epsilon \pi a \nu o \nu$ or sickle, v. 162. See Opp. 573.— $\delta \pi \epsilon \theta \eta \kappa a \tau o$, 'suggested,' 'laid down the whole plan.' See on $\theta \eta \kappa a \tau o$, Sout. H. 128. 177. ἐπέσχετο, 'stretched,' ἐπετάθη. The passive use of this aorist (unless it be here the middle, viz. ἐαυτόν, or a present ἔσχω be assumed) is remarkable. In Eur. Hipp. 27, καρδίαν κατέσχετο ἔρωτι δεινῷ, Monk gives κατέχετο. But in Od. iii. 284, we have δs ό μὲν ἕνθα κατέσχετ' ἐπειγόμενός περ όδοῖο. Eur. Heracl. 634, φροντίς τις ῆλθ οἰκεῖος, ῷ συνεσχόμην (συνειχόμην and συνισχόμην Elmsley). Photius, ἔσχετο. ἐπεσχέθη.

178. $\lambda_{0\chi}\epsilon_{00}$ Gaisford, Van Lennep, $\lambda_{0\chi}\epsilon_{00}$ Goettling, with several MSS. Others give $\lambda_{0\chi}\epsilon_{00}$ and $\lambda_{0\chi}\epsilon_{00}$. The latter is the reading of the Bodleian MS. Barocc. 60 (M). The Schol. compares $\theta_{0\pi}\epsilon_{0\pi}$, 'a door-stone.' But the true reading (or rather pronunciation) is probably $\lambda_{0\pi}\epsilon_{00\pi}$, according to the

Acolic use, as in oringos, urgos, Bpor-



σκαιή, δεξιτερή δε πελώριον έλλαβεν άρπην, μακρήν, καρχαρόδοντα, φίλου δ' από μήδεα πατρός 180 έσσυμένως ήμησε, πάλιν δ' έρριψε φέρεσθαι τα μεν ούτι έτώσια εκφυγε χειρός. έξοπίσω. όσσαι γαρ βαθάμιγγες απέσσυθεν αίματόεσσαι, πάσας δέξατο Γαία· περιπλομένων δ' ένιαυτών γείνατ' Ἐρινῦς τε κρατερὰς μεγάλους τε Γίγαντας, 185 . [τεύχεσι λαμπομένους, δολίχ' ἔλχεα χερσὶν ἔχοντας,] Νύμφας θ ας Μελίας καλέουσ' έπ' απείρονα γαίαν· μήδεα δ' ώς τοπρώτον αποτμήξας αδάμαντι

182. Γετώσια

179. ελαβεν Ald. 181. ήμεσε (gl. ἀπεθέρισε) Μ. 184. εδέξατο 185. ¿pirûs M. ¿purvûs Ald. M. 186. Omitted in LM, but 188. μήδεα δ' M. μήδεα θ' Ald. αδάμαντον Ald. given in Ald.

 χos , $\delta \pi \phi s$, &c. See the note on Aesch. Cho. 1038. On the same principle, the reading in Il. xxiii. 851, κάδ δ' έτίθει δύο μέν πελέκεας δέκα δ' ήμιπέλεκκα, may originally have been δέκα δ' ήμιπελέκεα, the κ being doubled in pro-nouncing it, as in κυνοκέφαλλος. So likewise II. xiii. 612, άξίνην εδχαλκον, in constant of the other and the other and the other and the answer of same as 悇ea, Opp. 512.

181. makir Eppite K.T.A., ' threw them 181. $\pi d\lambda w \, \bar{\epsilon} \rho \mu \psi \epsilon \, \kappa. \tau. \lambda.$, 'threw them behind him to be carried away b.ck-wards.' The syntax probably is, $\bar{\epsilon} \rho \mu \psi \epsilon$ $\ell \bar{\epsilon} \sigma \kappa i \sigma \omega$, $\pi d\lambda w \, \phi \bar{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon \sigma \theta a$. We may how-ever translate, ' and back he threw them to be carried away behind.' This was done in getting rid of any unclean thing, as the impious libations in Aesch. Cho. 90, $\sigma \tau \epsilon i \chi \omega$, $\kappa a \theta d \rho \mu a \theta' \, \delta s$ ris $\ell \pi \epsilon \mu \psi s s$. $\pi d\lambda w \, \delta \kappa a \delta \sigma \mu \pi s' \omega \sigma s'$ τις έκπέμψας, πάλιν δικοῦσα τέτχος δστρόφοισιν διμασιν.—φέρεσθαι, cf. v. 190. This story of the mutilation was very famous in an age when men began to inquire if gods could really be guilty of such atrocities. See, for instance, Cic. De Nat. Deor. ii. 24, § 63. 182. δτώσι αμ' ξκφυγε Dr. Flach, to

avoid the hiatus.

183. Photius, βαθάμιγγες βανίδες ή δ άπο των Ιππων κονιορτός. οί δε σταγόνας. Il. xi. 536, ἀφ' ίππείων δπλέων βαθάμιγγες.

184. Goettling gives πασας εδέξατο with two MSS. See on v. 60.

186. This verse is wanting in the two Bodleian MSS., and is enclosed in brackets by Goettling, as probably added by a rhapsodist from II. xviii. 510, where τεύχεσι λαμπόμενοι occurs. Hermann thinks that a later poet would have attributed a different sort of armour to the giants.

187. Mellas. The name is from $\mu \epsilon \lambda la$, an ash-tree, and seems similar to Douddes. Apoll. Rhod. ii. 2, 8v more νύμφη τίκτε, Ποσειδάωνι Γενεθλίω εύνηθέδα, Βιθυνίς Μελίη. Or it may have contained the root μελ, care, as in Mέλισα....έπι γαίαν, see on v. 95. 188. ἐς τοπρώτον κ.τ.λ. The sense appears to be, that the members were carried about for a long time by the sea

in the same condition as when they were cut off; but at last white foam began to arise from them, and so Aphrodite was born.—For the vulg. hypothe was some to the varge $\mu h \delta \epsilon a$ for the varge rightly give $\mu h \delta \epsilon a \delta$ with Barce. 60 (M). They both also follow Wolf in

κάββα, ἀπ' ἡπείροιο πολυκλύστω ἐνὶ πόντω, ὡς φέρετ ἀμ πέλαγος πουλὺν χρόνον, ἀμφὶ δὲ λευκὸς 190 ἀφρὸς ἀπ' ἀθανάτου χροὸς ὡρνυτο· τῷ δ' ἔνι κούρη ἐθρέφθη· πρῶτον δὲ Κυθήροισι ζαθέοισιν ἔπλητ', ἔνθεν ἔπειτα περίρρυτον ἴκετο Κύπρον. ἐκ δ' ἔβη αἰδοίη καλὴ θεὸς, ἀμφὶ δὲ ποίη ποσσὶν ὖπο ῥαδινοῖσιν ἀέξετο· τὴν δ' Ἀφροδίτην 195 [ἀφρογενέα τε θεὰν καὶ ἐϋστέφανον Κυθέρειαν] κικλήσκουσι θεοί τε καὶ ἀνέρες, οὖνεκ' ἐν ἀφρῷ θρέφθη· ἀτὰρ Κυθέρειαν, ὅτι προσέκυρσε Κυθήροις. Κυπρογενέα δ', ὅτι γέντο πολυκλύστῷ ἐνὶ Κύπρω. ἠδὲ φιλομμηδέα, ὅτι μηδέων ἐξεφαίάνθη· 200

190. πολύν Μ. 195. ύπαὶ Μ. 196. ἀφρογένειάν τε Μ. ἀφρογενείην τε Ald. 197. εἰν ἀφρῷ Μ. 198. αὐτὰρ Μ, Ald. κυθέρεια Ald. 199. κυπρογένει ὅτι Μ. κυπρογένειαν δ' ὅτι γένοιτο Ald. γέντο Μ. πόντῷ Μ, Ald. 200. καὶ φιλομηδεία Μ. ὅτι μειδέων Ald.

0

editing da' harelpoio, (sc. dad xepoou,) for ea' 'Harelpoio, 'upon Epirus,' which is given in some of the early editions.

193. $\xi \pi \lambda \eta \tau o$, $\xi \pi \epsilon \lambda a \zeta \epsilon$. An Homeric word, II. iv. 449. xiv. 438, perhaps to be regarded as an epic aorist from root $\pi \epsilon \lambda$ or $\pi \lambda a$. Cythera is the island off the coast of Laconia. Homer has $K \upsilon \theta h \rho o i \sigma i$ $(\pi \theta \epsilon o i \sigma i, IL xv. 432. Ovid, Fast. iv.$ 286, 'Veneris sacra Cythera petit.'

194. «ξέβη, 'stepped on shore'

196-9. $\dot{a}\phi\rho\sigma\gamma\epsilon\nu\dot{c}a$ and $K\nu\pi\rho\sigma\gamma\epsilon\nu\dot{c}a$, though only found in two or three MSS., for the vulg. ' $A\phi\rho\sigma\gamma\dot{\epsilon}\nu\epsilon_{ia\nu}$ and $K\nu\pi\rho\sigma\gamma\dot{\epsilon}\nu\epsilon_{ia\nu}$, seem clearly right, and are adopted by Goettling. Gaisford retains the old unmetrical reading, and encloses the four verses in brackets, remarking, after Wolf, that Plato does not recognize v. 196 in quoting the passage, Cratyl. p. 406. (This however is denied by Goettling, and rightly, as it would seem.) Van Lennep and Schoemann also enclose v. 196 within brackets. The argument against the genuineness of it rests principally on its being not necessary to the sense, and introducing a rather awkward construction, as well as a repetition in $K\nu\theta\dot{\epsilon}\rho\epsilon_{ia\nu}$ just below. The interpolator

probably meant, $\theta \epsilon ol \, \kappa u \lambda \hbar \sigma \kappa ou \sigma i \, \tau \hbar p$ 'A $\phi \rho o \delta (\tau \eta v \, \theta \epsilon \dot{a} v \, \delta \phi \rho \sigma v \epsilon s \epsilon a.$ This derivation of 'A $\phi \rho o \delta (\tau \eta$, (which, as Goettling maintains, is later than Homer, for he makes Dione the mother of the goddess.) arose from the same fondness for fancied etymologies which induced Euripides to derive the name from $\delta \phi \rho \sigma \sigma' v \eta$ (Tro. 990). Sir G. W. Cox (Folk-lore, p. 74) remarks that this is clearly a comparatively lute form of the legend. The real meaning of the name Aphrodite is unknown : it may be Semitic; but from its resemblance to $\delta \phi \rho \delta s$ the Greeks invented the story of her birth from sea-foam. The Roman poets allude to the Hesiodic etymology. Ovid, Fast. iv. 61, 'Sed Veneris mensem Graio sermone notatum Auguror: a spumis est dea dicta maris.' Tibull. i. 2, 39, 'Nam fuerit quicunque loquax, is sanguine natam, Is Venerem e rapido sentiet esse mari.'

199. $\gamma \epsilon \nu \tau o$. So three or four MSS., for the vulg. $\gamma \epsilon \nu o \tau \sigma$, which is wrong even in respect of syntax. The form (for $\epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \tau \sigma$) occurs also inf. v. 705. In Homer it always signifies $\epsilon \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon$, $\epsilon \delta \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \sigma$.

200. $\phi_i \lambda_{o\mu\mu\eta} \delta_{i\alpha}$. This verse also is 2

195

τη δ' Έρος ώμάρτησε και [°]Ιμερος έσπετο καλός γεινομένη ταπρώτα θεών τ' ές φύλον ἰούση. ταύτην δ' έξ ἀρχης τιμην ἔχει ήδε λέλογχε μοιραν ἐν ἀνθρώποισι και ἀθανάτοισι θεοισι, παρθενίους τ' ὀάρους μειδήματά τ' ἐξαπάτας τε τέρψιν τε γλυκερην φιλότητά τε μειλιχίην τε.

Τοὺς δὲ πατὴρ Τιτῆνας ἐπίκλησιν καλέεσκεν παίδας νεικείων μέγας Οὐρανὸς οῦς τέκεν αὐτός. φάσκε δὲ τιταίνοντας ἀτασθαλίη μέγα ῥέξαι ἔργον, τοῖο δ' ἔπειτα τίσιν μετόπισθεν ἔσεσθαι.

205. of a pous? 210. Fépyor

204. ανθρώποις Μ.

203. čo xev M.

rejected by Gaisford. Van Lennep, Schoemann, and Flach enclose both it and the preceding. It contains a deviation from the Homeric epithet $\phi_i \lambda_{o\mu}$ - $\mu\epsilon\epsilon\delta\eta_s$, 'laughter-loving,' which was another, perhaps less correct, form of the epithet, and one which accounts for the mention of $\mu\epsilon\epsilon\delta\eta\mu\alpha\tau\alpha$ among the attributes, v. 205, and for the form $\mu\epsilon\epsilon\delta\sigma$ in Hesychius, who seems to have read $\mu\epsilon\epsilon\delta\epsilon\omegar$ in v. 200, which is also given in the Aldine.

201. From very early times certain $\pi d\rho \epsilon \delta \rho oi$ were allotted to Aphrodite. Cf. Aesch. Suppl. 1020, $\mu \epsilon \tau d\kappa o \iota \sigma oi \delta \delta \phi (\lambda \alpha \mu \mu a \tau \rho) \pi d\rho \epsilon \iota \sigma \iota \sigma i , follows \delta \tau' oi \delta \delta \tau' \pi a \rho \nu \sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \ell \theta \epsilon . A follows for the son of Venus. See Cox, Folk-lore, p. 74.$

203. $\tau_{i\mu}\eta\nu$. We should have expected $\tau_{i\mu}\alpha_s$, 'prerogatives,' viz. those enumerated v. 205—7. Perhaps the singular was introduced on account of $\mu \sigma_i \rho \alpha \nu$ in the next verse, in order that $\tau \alpha \prime \tau \eta \nu$ might agree with both; and it is very probable that this latter verse (204) is spurious. It does not seem to have been known to the Scholiast.

207-10. Gaisford and others, after Wolf, reject these verses, on the ground that only Cronos had avenged himself on Uranus. This would be disproved by v. 155-6, were those lines certainly genuine. But it does not appear from

v. 167 that the rest dissented from the scheme for vengeance, only that none of them except Cronos had the courage to act. The objection, that these verses are out of place here, is equally ground-The narrative had been interless. rupted by the episode about the birth of Aphrodite, and now reverts to v. 180, &c. Goettling thinks there is here a loss of some lines in which the suc-cession of Cronos to the empire was described. Apollonius Rhodius seems to allude to it, i. 505, in the song of Orphous; Heider d' as mpartor 'Oplar Εύρυνόμη τε 'Ωκεανίς νιφόεντος έχον κράτος Ούλύμποιο. Ος τε βίη και χερσιτ ό μέν Κρόνφ είκαθε τιμής, ή δέ 'Ρέη, έπεσον δ' ένὶ κύμασιν 'Ωκεανοῖο· οἱ δέ τέως μακάρεσσι θεοῖς Τιτῆσιν άνασσοι. Translate, 'Now them the father called Titans by name, the great Uranus in reproach of the sons whom he had himself begotten; for he said that by way of repaying him they had done in their daring folly a fearful deed; but that for this in consequence (Exerca) there should be retribution at some future time.' The general sense is, that as Cronos had exacted vengeance on his father, so Cronos himself and the Titans would experience the vengeance of Zeus in being expelled from heaven. See inf. 728. Schol. τούτου γαρ του έργου έμελλεν αύτος ό Ζεύς τιμωρήσαι

205

OEOTONIA.

Νύξ δ' έτεκε στυγερόν τε Μόρον και Κήρα μέλαιναν και Θάνατον, τέκε δ' "Υπνον, † έτικτε δε φυλον 'Ονείρων **σύτινι κοιμηθείσα θεὰ τέκε Νύξ ἐρεβεννή**.] δεύτερον αῦ Μῶμον καὶ ἘΟιζὺν ἀλγινόεσσαν, Έσπερίδας θ', αἶς μήλα πέρην κλυτοῦ 'Ωκεανοῖο 215

215. Γεσπερίδας

211. στυγερόν μόρον M. (qu. στυγερήν Μοίραν, as in v. 217.) 213. Erw Ald. 214. άλγεινόεσσαν Μ.

τόν Κρόνον, έπει και αύτος ήμαρτεν eis τον ίδιον πατέρα. The use of τιταίνω (i) is peculiar to this passage, doubtless to suit the long i in Terfiras. Some consider it the same as the Homeric Trraire, (Sout. H. 229,) 'to stretch,' and explain it 'by stretching out their hands' (apéξατο v. 178). So Etym. M. p. 760, 40, (quoted by Gaisford,) Tirdy Tapà tò titaivo, olovel ol telvortes tàs χεΐρας είς το κόψαι τα αίδοια του πατρός Koorov. But Hesychius more correctly explains τ ratio both by $\tau \epsilon i \nu \epsilon w$ and by $\tau i \sigma w \lambda a \mu \beta d \nu \epsilon w$. See the note on $\delta \tau d \lambda \lambda w r$ (δ) in Opp. 131. He may have adopted, or even have coined, a word after the analogy of τίω (i). Cf. Od. ziv. 84, άλλά δίκην τίουσι και αίσιμα ξρη' ἀνθρώπων. Inf. v. 428, ἐπεὶ Ζεὺς τίεται αἰτήν. Apollonius Rhodius has Tiregrey, ii. 475. What is more to the purpose, we have aritos in Il. xiii. 414, but Eriros in xiv. 484. Ou the same principle, of forcing prosody to suit a supposed etymology, Ovid, Fast. ii. 34, having written 'Tunc cum ferales præ-teriere dies,' adds in v. 567 of the same book, 'Hanc, quia justa ferunt, dixère Feralia lucem.

211. The offspring of Earth and Uranus having been enumerated and enlarged upon, the children of Night, the daughter of Chaos (123), are given. These may be divided into classes, to both of which the alleged parentage is appropriate; (1) Those relating to Death and its counterpart Sleep; (2) Those denoting gloom, as grief, or the uncertainty of futurity, as Fute, Ven-geance, Deception, &c. Thiersch (ap. Goettl.) thought 211-232 the interpo-

lation of a later rhapsodist. Goettling thinks the poet was bound to add characters antithetical to those of love and harmony just enumerated. Schoemann, p. 64, notices that the offspring of night here mentioned, differently from that at v. 124, contemplates the existence of man on earth, who had not then been created. Van Lennep contends that Hesiod is here merely carrying out his own avowed intention at v. 106-7.

212-3. It is pretty evident that both these verses cannot be genuine as they now stand. It is likely however that $\tilde{\epsilon}_{\pi\epsilon_i\tau a}$ de was the original reading, and that a gloss $\tilde{\epsilon}_{\tau\mu\tau\tau}$ superseded it. The distich might be omitted with advantage to the context; for Odrator is but a tautology after $K\eta\rho a$, and $\xi\tau \kappa \tau \epsilon$ closely following $\tau \epsilon \kappa \epsilon$ is awkward, though even the Attic writers sometimes used the imperfect, and inf. v. 308-10, téketo is followed by Etuter. Cf. inf. 223-4. Heyne also regarded this distich as spurious, as Gaisiord has indicated. Cf. inf. v. 758, Erda de vukrds maides épeµrîs olki Exousur, "Υπνος και Θάνατος, δεινοί θεοί.

214. Momus does not here mean the god of laughter and fun. He appears to personify that most cruel of insults, taunts and ridicule in distress; or pertaunts and rulcule in distress; or per-haps slander, disparagement, μομφή ('nata ex invidia calumuia,' Van Len-nep). So Theognis used the word, ∇ . 1233, Οδδένα, Κύρν' abyal φαεσιμ-βρότου ἡελίοιο ἄνδρ' ἐφορῶσ', § μὴ μῶμος ἐπικρέματα, and Callimachus, Hymn. Apoll. 113, δ δὲ μῶμος, Γνα ἐθέσες ἐμδα μέστο. φθόρος, ένθα νέοιτο. 215. Έσπερίδες. These are called



†χρύσεα καλὰ μέλουσι φέροντά τε δένδρεα καρπόν. καὶ Μοίρας καὶ Κῆρας ἐγείνατο νηλεοποίνους, [Κλωθώ τε Λάχεσίν τε καὶ ^{*}Ατροπον, αἶτε βροτοισι γεινομένοισι διδοῦσιν ἔχειν ἀγαθόν τε κακόν τε,] αἶτ' ἀνδρῶν τε θεῶν τε παραιβασίας ἐφέπουσαι 220 οὐδέποτε λήγουσι θεαὶ δεινοῖο χόλοιο, πρίν γ' ἀπὸ τῷ δώωσι κακὴν ὅπιν, ὅστις ἁμάρτῃ. τίκτε δὲ καὶ Νέμεσιν, πῆμα θνητοῖσι βροτοῖσι, Νὺξ ὀλοή· μετὰ τὴν δ' Ἀπάτην τέκε καὶ Φιλότητα,

217. νηλεοποίνας Μ.

⁶ Daughters of night' because they dwelt in the far west, $\pi\rho\delta s$ ($\delta\phi\sigma\nu$, on the opposite side of the great ocean stream, to which the sun's light was believed not to have access. Cf. inf. v. 275. 294.

216. This verse can hardly be considered genuine as it stands. The plural $\mu \epsilon \lambda o v \sigma_i$ is very unusual after such a neuter as $\mu \hat{\eta} \lambda \alpha_i$ 'apples;' hence Muetzell proposed $\mu \epsilon \mu \eta \lambda \epsilon$. The addition of $\phi \epsilon \rho \rho v \tau \alpha$ $\delta \epsilon r \delta \rho \epsilon \alpha$ kap $\sigma b r$ is tame, unless we supply $\chi \rho b \sigma \epsilon o \sigma$ from the preceding. From the comment of the scholast we might infer that a line or more has been lost in which mention was made of Hercules slaying the dragon and gathering the apples. Perhaps the original stood somehow thus:- $\kappa o b \rho \alpha$ o' at valouoi $\pi \epsilon \rho \eta \nu$ $\kappa \lambda v \tau o \hat{\nu}$ ' $\Omega \kappa \epsilon a v o \hat{\nu}_0$, ' $E \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho (\delta \alpha s' \kappa \alpha k \tilde{\eta} \rho \alpha s' \epsilon' \epsilon' \kappa \tau \alpha \kappa \tau \tau \lambda$.-- $\kappa \lambda v \tau \sigma \hat{\nu}$, 'audible,' or possibly in the much more common sense of $\kappa \lambda \epsilon u v o \hat{\nu}$. Cf. v. 288, 294.

Cf. v. 288, 294. 217-22. These verses are included in brackets by Goettling. For first, inf. v. 905-6, nearly the same verses occur again as here 218-9; and secondly, the three Fates are there made the daughters not of Night, but of Zeus and Themis. Both accounts cannot have been given by the same author. But further, it is probable that 220-2, which Goettling perceived to refer to the K $\hat{n}pes$ alone, belong to another recension where they stood in place of 218-9. Perhaps therefore we should only enclose 218-9 in brackets here, regarding them as having been interpolated from the nearly similar couplet

905—6. (So also Schoemann has edited.) The epithet $\eta\lambda\epsilon corolivous$ is thus rightly followed by the expansion of the same idea in $\pi\alpha\rho\alpha\beta\alpha distas$ $\ell\phi\ell\pi overau$ &c. According to this view, the Moipau here, combined with K $\eta\rho\alpha$ s, will take the sense of $\mu\delta\rho\rho\sigma$ ral K $\eta\rho\alpha$ in v. 211, while the Moipau of v. 901 will be the goddesses of Destiny. See on Scut. Hero. 219.—For $\eta\lambda\epsilon corolivous$, 'relentlessly punishing,' Ruhnken proposed $\eta\lambda iro$ molvous, supposing it to mean 'punishing the guilty.' This is remarkably confirmed by the scholium $\tau \lambda s \gamma uroput mas$ $\tau \mu u \rho las \tau div rake to for work to be a scholium the size that$ $<math>\eta\lambda\epsilon corolivous$, Ecl. i. p. 9.

220. Hermann and Van Lennep prefer $\ell\phi\ell\pi\sigma\nu\sigma\mu$ (with one MS.), oble $\pi\sigma\taue$ $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$. By $d\nu\delta\rho\mu\nu$ $\theta\epsilon\bar{\mu}\nu$ $\tau\epsilon$ $\pi\epsilon\rho\alpha\beta\alpha\sigma\ell\alpha\tau$ are meant sins against both men and gods. Whereas $N\ell\mu\sigma\sigma\iotas$ is a woo only $\theta\nu\eta\tau\sigma\iota\sigma\tau$, $\beta\rho\sigma\tau\sigma\iota\sigma\tau$, v. 223.

222. $\delta \omega \omega \sigma_i$ is formed after the analogy of $\eta \beta \omega \omega$, for which see Opp. 698.— $\delta \pi \omega$, here for $\nu \ell \mu \epsilon \sigma_i \nu$. See Opp. 187.

224. This verse is perhaps an interpolation, and it is rejected by Flach. There is some natural association between Love and Deception, as between Néµeơis and Kôpes above. Otherwise it would seem strange to represent $\phi_i\lambda \delta \tau \eta_5$ as the daughter of Night, unless perhaps, as Hermann supposes, the $\nu \delta \tau \tau \eta_5$ as the daughter of Night, unless perhaps, as Hermann supposes, the $\nu \delta \tau \tau \eta_5$ as the daughter of Night, unless perhaps, as Hermann supposes, the $\nu \delta \tau \tau \eta_5$ idea is somewhat farfetched : conjungendae videntur notiones $\phi_i \lambda \delta \tau \eta \tau \sigma_5$ et "Epidos, ut denotent caccam (hoc propter Noctern) Cupididem caccumquo Jurgium et Iracundiam." However, $\phi_i \lambda \delta \tau \eta_5$ was the

Γήράς τ' οὐλόμενον, καὶ "Εριν τέκε καρτερόθυμον. 225Αύταρ Ερις στυγερή τέκε μέν Πόνον άλγινόεντα Λήθην τε Λιμόν τε καί *Αλγεα δακρυόεντα, Υσμίνας τε Φόνους τε, Μάχας τ' Ανδροκτασίας τε. Νείκεά τε Ψεύδεά τε Λόγους* τ' 'Αμφιλογίας τε, Δυσνομίην * Ατην τε, συνήθεας αλλήλοισιν, 230 Ορκον θ, δς δή πλείστον έπιχθονίους άνθρώπους πημαίνει, ότε κέν τις έκων ἐπίορκον ὀμόσση. Νηρέα δ' άψευδέα καὶ ἀληθέα γείνατο Πόντος,

229. ψεύδεα τε M. ψευδέας τε Ald. άμηλογίας τε Μ. 230. αλλήλησιν M. αλλήλοισιν Ald.

attribute of Aphrodite, v. 206, where it is combined with $4\pi 4\pi \eta$. Both Heyne and Ruhnken, followed by Gaisford, regard this as a spurious verse. 225. καρτερόθυμον, obstinate, dogged,

pertinacious.

227. As Aunds and ary are associated Opp. 230, Ruhnken here proposed άτην $\tau \in \lambda \mu \delta r \tau \epsilon$. By $\lambda \mu \delta s$ the poet means famine arising from the suspension of agriculture. With $\lambda \iota \mu \delta s$ we very often find $\lambda_{0i\mu bs}$ associated (e. g. Opp. 243), where there is some probability in Heyne's conjecture $\lambda_{0i\mu b\nu} \tau \in \lambda_{i\mu b\nu} \tau \epsilon$. Heyne's conjecture request to the conjecture request to the Goettling thinks $\lambda / \theta \eta$ here is the culpable forgetfulness resulting from apathy and carelessness. Schol. $\pi o \lambda - \lambda d\kappa s$ yàp épidos $\pi \rho \delta s$ tira yuroµérys $2\pi i \lambda ar \theta ar \delta \mu e \theta a$ kal têr $\pi \rho o \sigma \eta \kappa \delta r tra$. It is worthy of notice that Virgil, who translates this passage in those well-known and splendid verses, Aen. vi. 273 seqq., seems to have rendered $\lambda \eta \theta \eta$ by lethum, which is on a par with his rendering "Opkos in Opp. 804 by Orcus :---

- · Vestibulum ante ipsum, primisque in faucibus Orci,
- Luctus et ultrices posuere cubilia Curae ;
- Pallentesque habitant Morbi, tristisque Senectus,
- Et Metus, et malesuada Fames, et turpis Egestas,
- Terribiles visu formae; Lethumque, Labosque.'

228. Compare Il. xxiv. 548, aleí rou περί άστυ μάχαι τ' άνδροκτασίαι τε. Od. xi. 612, δσμίναι τε μάχαι τε φόνοι τ' aroportagian re. The following verse enumerates the sorts of tors which consists in verbal disputes, such as argu-ments and law-suits, as the present one comprises the consequences of violence, and the next (230) refers to factions and bad government. One copy, with the Aldine, here gives yeudéas re Adyous, and so Flach, Gaisford, and Van Lennep have edited. The λ in $d\mu\phi\lambda\sigma\gamma/as$ is doubled in pronunciation.

230. As lawlessness, or reluctance to obey established laws, is closely associated with mental delusion, i. e. conceit and infatuation, the poet well calls them $\sigma w \tau \beta \theta \epsilon s$, brought up together. Ruhnken, suggesting $\delta \tau \eta v$ in v. 227, here would read $\delta \pi \delta \tau \eta v$.

231. Όρκον κ.τ.λ. This divinity is made the son of 'Eors also in Opp. 804, Орков услубиевов, тов "Еріз текс түй" стібркоіз. In fact, an oath presupposes some kind of contention. Oaths would be wholly needless if men lived in per-fect amity. The Greeks especially were so prone to deceit, that nothing short of very strong inculcations of the sanctity of an oath would ensure its being kept.

232. STE TIS KE FERMY. Flach.

233. A catalogue of the marine deities here follows at some length. Pontus was the child of earth, $& & & \\ &$

πρεσβύτατον παίδων· αὐτὰρ καλέουσι γέροντα, οὖνεκα νημερτής τε καὶ ἦπιος, οὐδὲ θεμιστέων 235 λήθεται, ἀλλὰ δίκαια καὶ ἦπια δήνεα οἶδεν. αὖτις δ' αὖ Θαύμαντα μέγαν καὶ ἀγήνορα Φόρκυν Γαίῃ μισγόμενος καὶ Κητὼ καλλιπάρῃον, Εὐρυβίην τ' ἀδάμαντος ἐνὶ φρεσὶ θυμὸν ἔχουσαν.

Νηρήος δ' ἐγένοντο μεγήρατα τέκνα θεάων πόντφ ἐν ἀτρυγέτφ καὶ Δωρίδος ἠϋκόμοιο, κούρης 'Ωκεανοῖο, τελήεντος ποταμοῖο, Πρωτώ τ' Εὐκράτη τε, Σαώ τ' 'Αμφιτρίτη τε,

236. Foider 237. Oafparta

235. νημερτής έστι και Μ. 238. καλλιπάρειον Μ. 240. μεγήριτα Ald.

Eurybates, Eurydike, Eurycleia." (My-

240

ful, 'Abounding in monstera,' Widelyprevailing.' The meaning of $\phi \delta \rho \kappa vs$ is uncertain. Van Lennep cites Hesychius, $\phi \rho \kappa \delta r$. $\lambda e v \kappa b v$, $\pi o \lambda i \sigma r$, $\beta v \sigma \delta v$. We might have expected three female, as there are three male deities. Perhaps therefore a verse has been lost after 238.—The name Nereus may involve the root nar or ner, (vapos, Nar, Nero,) perhaps vaF, 'to flow.' But Curtius says (Gr. Et. 319) "it cannot be decided whether they are derived from va (sna) or vav (snu)." To the sea-god Nereus, as to Proteus and Glaucus, unerring prediction is attributed. Cf. Eur. Orest. 364, Nupéws $\pi \rho \alpha \beta \eta \pi r$ the sea-god, representing the sea itself.

234. airdp $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$. Though he was the eldest son, he is commonly called $\gamma \epsilon \rho \omega \nu$ not so much for his age as for his dignity and his justice.— $\theta \epsilon \mu \omega \tau \epsilon \omega \nu$, cf. v. 85.

and his justice.— $\theta \epsilon \mu \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega v$, cf. v. 85. 237. $\Theta a \psi \mu a s$ was the father of Iris, inf. 265. Eurybia appears also to be an elemental power. "We have a vast family of names, all denoting the rushing light of the dawn across the sky, or the blaze of splendour which spreads suddenly from one end of the heaven to the other. To this family belong Eurytos and Europa, Euryganeia, Euryphassa, Euryanassa, Eurymedousa,

thology and Folk-lore, p. 13.) 240. $\mu\epsilon\gamma\eta\rho\sigma\tau a$. Some MSS. with Aldus and Hesych. have $\mu\epsilon\gamma\eta\rho\sigma\tau a$. Compare $d\pi\epsilon\rho\sigma\sigma$, sup. v. 109. The other form of the compound (which has no-

pare amelorros, sup. V. 103. The other form of the compound (which has nothing to do with $\mu \epsilon \gamma a i \rho \omega$) is defended by molutiparos (épards). Van Lennep adopts $\mu \epsilon \gamma h \rho \epsilon r a$, 'children rivalling goddesses' (éps).

242. $\tau \epsilon \lambda \hbar \epsilon \nu \tau os$, 'terminal;' or, in which all the rivers have their $\tau \epsilon \lambda os$ or ultimate source. "Est $\tau \epsilon \lambda \hbar \epsilon \iota s$, cui nihil ad perfectionem deest: in suo genere perfectissimus. Sic apud Homerum passim $\tau \epsilon \lambda \hbar e \sigma ca$ is a construction of the second sim $\tau \epsilon \lambda \hbar e \sigma ca$ is a construction of the second "Est ultimus fluvius, non $\lambda \psi \delta \rho \rho os$," Goettling; who takes $\tau \ell \kappa ra$ dedur as a periphrasis for $\theta \epsilon a \ell$. The poet seems to mean, children by other goddesses as well as by Doris.'

243. Nearly all the names of the seanymphs have some reference to the characteristics or varied aspects of the sea; and hence there can be little doubt that $E \partial \kappa \rho d \tau \eta$, 'well-mixed,' is a better reading than $E \partial \kappa \rho d \tau \eta$, from $\kappa \rho a d \tau \sigma$. Aldus, the Scholiast, Apollodorus, and three or four MSS. agree in $E \partial \kappa \rho d \tau \eta$, Goettling would prefer $E \partial \kappa \rho d \tau \eta$, as more epic; but both he and Van Lennen, with Gaisford, give $E \partial \kappa \rho d \tau \eta$.—For Xad there would seem to have been an an-

Εύδώρη τε Θέτις τε, Γαλήνη τε Γλαύκη τε, Κυμοθόη Σπειώ τε, Θόη θ Αλίη τ' έρόεσσα, 245καὶ Μελίτη χαρίεσσα καὶ Εὐλιμένη καὶ ᾿Αγαυὴ, Πασιθέη τ' Ἐρατώ τε καὶ Εὐνείκη ῥοδόπηχυς, Δωτώ τε *Πλωτώ τε, Φέρουσά τε Δυναμένη τε, Νησαίη τε και 'Ακταίη και Πρωτομέδεια, Δωρίς και Πανόπη και ειειδής Γαλάτεια, Κυμοδόκη θ', ή κύματ' έν ήεροειδέι πόντω πνοιάς τε ζαθέων ανέμων σύν Κυματολήγη

250

246. 'Ayaffý

250. evf ewith

252. dfepofeidei

245. σπειώ τε θοή M. Ald. 246-7. Transposed in M. $\pi\rho\omega\tau\omega$ MSS. 249. TE om. M.

cient variant Nac (compare dévaos). For so the name is said to be written on an ancient vase referred to in Goettling's note. But the Schol. well observes, Zaw, διά την σωτηρίαν των πλεόντων.-- Αμφιrpiry contains the same root as Tpirwr, Therroy treat, and the Vedic god of the water and the air, Trita. See Mythology and Folk-lore, pp. 72, 205. 245. $\Theta \delta \eta \theta' \Lambda \lambda (\eta \tau \epsilon Valckenaer, from$

Il. xviii. 40, for the vulg. Saei te Bon, Θαλίη τ'.

246. 'Ayawh. The law of accenting proper names requires that the word should be written 'Ayaún. But Goettling thinks this rule applicable only to later dialects. We have 'Ayou'h in IL xviii. 42, where a list of above thirty sea-nymphs is given, many of the names being the same as those here enumerated. Whether one poet borrowed from the other, or both followed older traditions, it is needless to inquire. Virgil, who ornamented in his peculiar way everything which he borrowed, has a very beautiful imitation of these passages in

Georg. iv. 336 seqq. Cf. Aen. v. 825-6. 247. Goettling derives Eirein from eirais eineur, to yield to the anchor. This is very improbable. Had Hesiod thought of anchors, he would probably have written Eirain. Flach reads Eirinn. Not all the names, e.g. the three in this

very verse, and the four in that following (which is identical with Il. xviii. 43), have reference to marine attributes. For Πρωτώ, 'First-born,' it seems safe to substitute Πλωτώ. For Πρωτώ ocourred (and properly so, as meaning 'first-born') v. 243. Graevius sug-gested Kparré. Dr. Flach reads Πρωθώ (for Прошвы) after Schoemann. has Nereia Doto, Aen. ix. 103. Virgil

249. Perhaps Πρωνομέδεια. Cf. Ar. Ran. 665, Πόσειδον, δε Αλγαίου πρώνοςμέδεις. For 'Aκταίη several editors read 'Ακραίη.

250. Il. xviii. 45, Δωρls καl Πανόπη καl άγακλειτή Γαλάτεια, and so Flach here reads. Hermann suggests Maróreia, which metrically is an improvement, and Πανόπη was very likely taken from the Homeric verse. Virgil has 'Glauco et Panopeaeet Inco Melicertae,'Georgic. i. 437.

253. As the number of the Nereids was fifty, while Hesiod enumerates fiftytwo, Boissonade (after the Scholiast) rightly regards $K u \mu a ro \lambda h \gamma \eta$ not as a Nereid, but as the genius of calm ($\Gamma a \lambda h \nu e i a$, Eur. Hel. 1458), who is associated with Amphitrite (already enumerated v. 243) in assisting a Nereid to calm the winds and the waves. To reduce the list to 50, Dr. Flach reads $\Sigma \pi \epsilon_{i} \omega \tau \epsilon$ boy in 245, and Goettling (also with the

201

ρεία πρητνει και ευσφύρω 'Αμφιτρίτη, Κυμώ τ' 'Ηϊόνη τε έϋστέφανός θ' 'Αλιμήδη, 255Γλαυκονόμη τε φιλομμειδής και Ποντοπόρεια. Λειαγόρη τε καὶ Εὐαγόρη καὶ Λαομέδεια, Πουλυνόμη τε καὶ Αὐτονόη καὶ Λυσιάνασσα, Εὐάρνη τε, φυήν τ' έρατη και είδος αμωμος, καὶ Ψαμάθη χαρίεσσα δέμας δίη τε Μενίππη, 260 Νησώ τ' Εὐπόμπη τε, Θεμιστώ τε Προνόη τε, Νημερτής θ', ή πατρός έχει νόον άθανάτοιο. αύται μέν Νηρήος αμύμονος έξεγένοντο κουραι πεντήκοντα, αμύμονα έργ' είδυιαι.

Θαύμας δ' 'Ωκεανοΐο βαθυρρείταο θύγατρα 265

258. Λυσιξάνασσα 264. Fépya Fiδuîai 259. Feidos

254. πραύνει Μ. 255. και εὐστέφανός θ' είλιμείδη Μ. τε έϋφανόσθ Ald. 256. piloueions Ald. 258. autovóµn Ald. 261. θεμισώ τe Ald. 264. πεντήκοντ' αμύμονα Μ.

Schol.) proposes Προνόη τε νημερτής in v. 261-2. But Νημερτής is a nymph in II. xviii. 46, Νημερτής τε και Άψευδής kal Kallidragoa. Goettling, who objects that a female name should be Nyusprls or Nyuspreia, is sufficiently answered by the Homeric 'Avevons .--It is to be remarked that the Schol. seems to recognise a reading Θεμιστώ Πατρονόη τε. Yet he adds, ή γαρ προ-νοουμένη πάντων άληθής έστι. But Πατρονόη τε νημερτής is supported by ή πατρός έχει νόον. Cf. v. 233.

257. Aciayópy and Edayópy refer to the ready sale of imported commodities. Πολυνόμη means ή πολλά νεμομένη, 00cupying many cities. Abrovon may mean avrapkins, independent, self-devising; while Augidragga seems to signify ' paying tributes to kings.

260. $\delta(\eta \ \tau \epsilon$. Homer and other poets appear always to use the forms dia and diav. Hence Goettling suggests kal dia

Meríππη. Perhaps, θeín τε. 261. Εὐπόμπη, the safe conductor of ships. Soph. Phil. 1465, sal µ' evaloiq

πέμψον ἀμέμπτως. — Προνόη, the fore-seer. Aesch. Suppl. 946, πατέρ' εἰθαρση Δαναδν, προνόον καl βούλαρχον. 265-336. Goettling has well ob-

served, that this episode in the Theogony treats especially of certain astonishing, monstrous, or portentous personages, which were severally celebrated in the various states of ancient Hellas. The Oceanic progeny is resumed at v. 837, and continued to v. 370.—Thaumaa, whence Iris is called *Thaumantias* by Virgil, ix. 5, is obviously from $\theta a \hat{\nu} \mu a$, as his wife *Electra* means 'the drawer' of water, έλκήτρα. See Lexil. in v. ήλεκ-τρον. Cic. De Nat. Deor. iii. 20, § 54, "Cur autem Arci species non in deorum numero reponatur? Est enim pulcher, et ob eam causam, quia speciem habet admirabilem, Thaumante dicitur esse natus." The name "Ipis, which commonly takes the digamma, seems rightly referred to είρω, *Γείρω*, the messengar, i.e. the speaker. So ⁷ Ipos derived his name in Od. xviii, 7, οδνεκ' ἀπαγγέλλεσκε κιών ότε πού τις ανώγοι.

ήγάγετ' Ήλέκτρην· ή δ' ώκεῖαν τέκεν ^{*}Ιριν, ήϋκόμους θ΄ ^{*}Αρπυιας, ᾿Αελλώ τ' Ώκυπέτην τε, αι ρ' ἀνέμων πνοιῆσι καὶ οἰωνοῖς ẵμ' ἔπονται ὦκείῃς πτερύγεσσι· μεταχρόνιαι γὰρ ιαλλον.

Φόρκυϊ δ' aὖ Κητὼ Γραίας τέκε καλλιπάρηος [ἐκ γενετῆς πολιὰς, τὰς δὴ Γραίας καλέουσιν ἀθάνατοί τε θεοὶ χαμαὶ ἐρχόμενοί τ' ἀνθρωποι,] Πεφρηδώ τ' εὖπεπλον Ἐνυώ τε κροκόπεπλον, Γοργούς θ', αἳ ναίουσι πέρην κλυτοῦ ᠈Ωκεανοῖο,

266. τέκε Γίριν 267. 'ΑΓελλώ

267. ήϋκόμους δ' Ald. 268. ă ρ' Ald. οἰωνοῖσι ăμ' ἔσπονται Μ. 269. ὀκείης Ald. 270. καλλιπαρήους Ald. —os M. 272. καμαί τ' ἐρχ. Ald.

267. 'Ωκυπέτην. Apollodorus, i. 9, 21, calls her 'Ωκυπόδην, citing Hesiod as his authority. On the ds in "Αρπυιαs see Opp. 675.

See Opp. 675. 268. *#norra.* "Rectius fortasse *#norra.*" Schoemann, com. crit. p. 65. He thinks the poet refers to the Harpies as they were before they were overtaken and captured by the sons of Boreas.

269. $\mu eraxpovia,$ in the rear of time, i. e. keeping pace with the flight of time: 'celer ad instar temporis,' Goettling. This word is first used in the present passage, but is not found in Homer. Ruhnken, in a learned note (ap. Gaisf.), cites other instances from Apollouius Rhodius (ii. 328, 589. iii. 1150, &c.) and Nonnus, and remarks that there is generally a variant $\mu erax \theta \delta \nu uos$. Some of the lexicographers also recognise it, explaining it by $\mu er t \delta \mu o uos of the lexicographers to the reading$ $<math>\mu erax \theta \delta \nu uos$, where μera implies 'removal from,'as in the two words given as synonyms of it. If $\mu erax \rho \delta \nu uos$ be a genuine compound, it is very difficult to explain. Van Lennep, after citing what is known about it from the grammarians, concludes that "in summa, fatendum veram nos rationem verbi $\mu erax \rho \delta \nu uos, sc. kawrds, used intransitively,$ 'Laxhov, sc. kawrds, used intransitively,

like βίπτειν, ἰάπτειν. Sec on Eur. Hel. 1325. 270. $\Gamma \rho alas$. Aeschylus, Prom. v. 813, calls them al $\Phi o \rho \kappa (\delta e_s$ and $\delta \eta \nu a a a k \delta \rho a$, as he calls the Erinyes $\gamma \rho a a a \kappa \delta \rho a$, as a calls the Erinyes $\gamma \rho a a a \kappa \delta \rho a$, as he calls the Erinyes $\gamma \rho a a a \lambda a a$ mades, Eum. 69. Goettiing would here read mades, on account of $\Gamma \rho a l a s$ being awkwardly repeated in the next verse. It is more probable that vv. 271-2 are an interpolation. For we find the very same phrase in II. v. 443 (quoted by Robinson), $\delta reil of \pi ore <math>\phi \tilde{u} \lambda o \nu \delta \rho o \tilde{v} \phi \delta a \alpha d \tau w \tau \epsilon \theta e w \chi a \mu a l e \delta \chi o \mu \delta o v \delta \mu \delta o v$ $\delta \theta a \sigma d \tau w \tau \epsilon \theta e w \chi a \mu a l e \delta \chi o \mu \delta v \sigma \tau \epsilon$ $\delta v \theta \rho \sigma \pi w$. Dr. Flach rejects the distich. $-\kappa a \lambda \iota \pi a \rho \eta o v$ vulgo; $\kappa a \lambda \iota \pi d \rho \rho o$ Goettling after Seleucus (ap. Schol.). In v. 238 we have the same epithet of Ceto.

278. Though $\Pi\epsilon\phi\rho\eta\delta\dot{\omega}$, the common reading, might mean $\dot{\eta}$ $\pi\epsilon\phi\rho\alpha\delta\dot{v}\sigma\alpha$ (see sup. v. 71), $T\epsilon\phi\rho\eta\delta\dot{\omega}$, 'ashy,' which is found in one MS., gives a not inferior sense, in reference to the grey colour.— The repetition of $\epsilon\delta\pi\epsilon\pi\lambda\sigma\nu$ and $\kappa\rho\sigma\kappa\delta$ - $\pi\epsilon\pi\lambda\sigma\nu$ is not pleasing. It is probable, as Goettling suggests, that the name of the third was given, e. g. $\Pi\epsilon\phi\rho\eta\delta\dot{\omega}\Delta\epsilon\nu\omega$ $\tau\epsilon$ 'Ervis' $\tau\epsilon$ $\kappa\rho$. (See Apollodor. ii. 4.) Not only does Aoschylus call them $\kappa\delta\rho\alpha\iota$ $\tau\rho\epsilon\tilde{s}$ $\kappa\nu\kappa\nu\dot{s}\mu\rho\rho\phi\sigma\iota$ (Prom. 814), but the triple number of the Gorgons and the Hesperides indicates a similar trio of the $\Gamma\rho\alphai\alpha\iota$.

274. $\pi\epsilon\rho\eta\nu$. See on v. 215. It is impossible to decide whether the Hesperides were placed in the far west from some Phoenician traditions of the volcanic

έσχατιη πρός νυκτός, ίν Εσπερίδες λιγύφωνοι. 275 Σθεινώ τ' Εὐρυάλη τε Μέδουσά τε λυγρά παθοῦσα. ή μέν έην θνητή, at δ' αθάνατοι και αγήρω, αί δύο· τη δε μιη παρελέξατο Κυανοχαίτης έν μαλακώ λειμώνι και άνθεσιν ειαρινοίσι. τής δ' ότε δη Περσεύς κεφαλην απεδειροτόμησεν, 280 †ἐξέθορε Χρυσάωρ τε μέγας καὶ Πήγασος ἶππος. τῷ μέν ἐπώνυμον ήν, ὅτ' ἄρ' ἘΩκεανοῦ περὶ πηγὰς γένθ, ό δ' αορ χρύσειον έχεν μετά χερσι φίλησι. χώ μεν αποπτάμενος, προλιπών χθόνα μητέρα μήλων, ίκετ' ές άθανάτους. Ζηνός δ' έν δώμασι ναίει 285 βροντήν τε στεροπήν τε φέρων Διὶ μητιόεντι. Χρυσάωρ δ' έτεκε τρικάρηνον Γηρυονήα

275. πρὸς νύχθ ἶνα Γεσπερίδες 279. ανθεσι Γειαρινοΐσι

277. αγήρως M, Ald. 276. σθενώ τ' M, Ald. 279. In M, Ald. after this verse v. 288 is added, and is there omitted in M. 281. χρύσαορ M, Ald. γείνεθ Ald. 287. χρύ 282. or av M, Ald. 283. yeival M 287. ypúsaop M, Ald. tpiképalov Ald.

islands of the Atlantic, or the name 'Eoweploes was a Greeised form of a similar sound belonging to some other dialect, and so a significance was attached to it. So perhaps Κύκλωπες and other legendary monsters. That the Gorgons were commonly thought to inhabit the western coast of Africa has been remarked by Van Lennep, who thinks the story may have arisen from the capture of Gorilla apes.

281. ¿¿étope MSS., and so Goettling, who thinks Xpurdee may be a spondee by synizesis. It is more likely that the transcribers were misled by the frequent Attic abbreviation of xpuses. (See on Scut. H. 199.) Gaisford admits the conjecture of Guiet., έκθορε κ.τ.λ., remark-ing that the Schol. on Pind. Ol. xiii. 89 (63) agrees with the MSS. reading. So also Van Lennep, Schoemann, and Flach.

282. 87', for 871, not 876. Goettling compares Il. viii. 251, oid is our eldoro, δτ' άρ' έκ Διος ήλυθεν δρνις. He encluses however in brackets the passage down to v. 286, Wolf, followed by Gaisford, having previously condemned vv. 282-3. Either the etymology of both names is spurious, or both are genuine, as Goettling contends : otherwise we might incline to Hermann's emendation, $\delta \tau' \, \delta \rho'$ 'Ωκεανοῦ περὶ πηγὰς $φ\chi$ ετ' ἀποπτάμενος κ.τ.λ. Goettling objects to the crasis $\chi \dot{\omega} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$, as not epic, and further observes that the giving wings to Pegasus was a device of the later poets. He might have added, that τφ μèν ἐπώνυμον ἢν is very unusual for ὁ μèν ἐπώνυμος ἢν, or τῷ μέν ἐπώνυμον δνομα Αν. Cf. v. 144, Κύκλωπες δ' δνομ' ήσαν επώνυμον. Still Euripides may have had this passage in view when he wrote his play of Bel-Ierophon, ridiculed by Aristoph. Pac. 722, ύφ' άρματ' έλθών Ζηνός ἀστρα-πηφορεί, and ibid. v. 135, ούκουν έχρην σε Πηγάσου ζεῦξαι πτερόν; 287. τρικάρηνον. Otherwise called

μιχθεὶς Καλλιρόη κούρη κλυτοῦ 'Ωκεανοῖο. τὸν μὲν ἆρ' ἐξενάριξε βίη Ἡρακληείη βουσὶ πάρ' εἰλιπόδεσσι περιρρύτῳ εἰν Ἐρυθείη, 290 ηματι τῷ ὅτε περ βοῦς ἦλασεν εὐρυμετώπους Τίρυνθ' εἰς ἱερὴν, διαβὰς πόρον 'Ωκεανοῖο, ^{*}Ορθρον τε κτείνας καὶ βουκόλον Εὐρυτίωνα σταθμῷ ἐν ἠερόεντι πέρην κλυτοῦ 'Ωκεανοῖο. ΄Η δ' ἔτεκ' ἆλλο πέλωρον, ἀμήχανον, οὐδὲ ἐοικὸς 295

11 ο ετεκ αισιο πεταφού, αμηχανού, συσε εσικος 293
θνητοις ἀνθρώποις οὐδ' ἀθανάτοισι θεοισι,
σπηϊ ἐνι γλαφυρῷ, θείην κρατερόφρου' Ἐχιδναν,
ημισυ μεν νύμφην ελικώπιδα καλλιπάρηου,
ημισυ δ' αὖτε πέλωρον ὄφιν, δεινόν τε μέγαν τε,
ποικίλον, ἀμηστην, ζαθέης ὑπὸ κεύθεσι γαίης.
300
ενθα δε οἱ σπέος ἐστὶ κάτω κοίλῃ ὑπὸ πέτρῃ
τηλοῦ ἀπ' ἀθανάτων τε θεῶν θνητῶν τ' ἀνθρώπων·
ενθ ἀρα οἱ δάσσαντο θεοὶ κλυτὰ δώματα ναίειν.

290. βοΓσὶ 294. ἀΓερόεντι 295. σὐδὲ ΓεΓοικὸς 298. Γελικώπιδα 310. Γοι 303. Γοι

288. om. M. 290. έν M. 293. Ορθρον τε M. Ορθόν τε Ald. 294. ἱερόεντι M. 295. ἔτεκεν Ald. 300. κευθμόσι M. 302. οὐρανίων τε θεῶν M. 303. ἐν δ' ἄρα M. δάσαντο Ald.

τρισώματοs and triplex, as comprising three bodies joined in one. Many copies give τρικέφαλον, (as inf. v. 312,) which some would retain, pronouncing it with double λ , like Ar. Equit. 417, μαχεῖ σὺ κυνοκεφάλλφ; The reading probably proceeded from a gloss: see however sup. on v. 229, μάχαs τ' ἀμφīλογίαs τε. The name Γηρυῶν (Γηρυοντα, Γηρυονταλ) contains the root γαρ, as in γηρύεσθαι, garrire. Theocr. ix. 7, ἀδὺ μὲν ἀ μόσχος γαρύεται, ἀδὺ δὲ χὰ βώs. 288. This verse is wanting in ed.

288. This verse is wanting in ed. Junt. 1515, and in several good MSS. In others it is placed after v. 279.

290. 'Epublic.' The small island on which Cadiz is built.— $\pi a \rho \lambda$ Bourd, by the side of his oxen, viz. endeavouring to recover them. The verse is perhaps spurious, as $\epsilon i \lambda i \pi \delta \delta \epsilon \sigma \sigma i$ should take the F. Hercules, as a sun-goil, is asso-

ciated with the clouds, which are the oxen.

293. 'Opepor, the dog who guarded the herds. Goettling fancifully thinks that Orthus and Eurytion mean 'height' and 'breadth,' viz. hills and continents, that added to the difficulty of the achievement. But Orthrus is the Vedic Vitra, who is more or less closely associated with Ahi ($\xi_{XIS},$ ξ_{XISVa}), the strangling snake. See Mythology and Folk-lore, pp. 34 and 105.

294. hepóerri, dark, misty. See on v. 215.

295. οδδέν έοικός vulgo. Two MSS. have οδδέ έοικός. Perhaps ούτι FeFoiκός. Cf. v. 310.

300-3. Goettling encloses these verses as spurious. Gaisford would eject 300. 302. 305; and he transposes

ή δ' ἔρυτ' εἰν Ἀρίμοισιν ὑπὸ χθόνα λυγρὴ Ἐχιδνα, ἀθάνατος νύμφη καὶ ἀγήραος ἦματα πάντα. 305 Τῆ δὲ Τυφάονά φασι μιγήμεναι ἐν φιλότητι,

304. iv Fapíµoισιv?

301-2 to follow 305, concluding the account of the Echidna with v. 303. Schoeman reads η 8' $\epsilon \rho \sigma \tau$, $-\epsilon \sigma \delta \sigma \sigma \sigma \sigma \sigma$, - $\epsilon \nu \sigma \delta \sigma \delta \epsilon$, $-\tau \eta \lambda o \bar{v} \delta \pi^{*}$, $-\epsilon \nu \sigma^{*} \sigma^{*} \delta \sigma \sigma \sigma$, and in com. crit. p. 65 he suggests this arrangement, viz. 300, 303, 302, 304–5, 301. It is possible, as Hermann and Goettling suppose, that the verses of two recensions are here mixed together. But the vulgate is in truth as good as any of the changes that have been proposed. The cave in which the monster was born (v. 297) may be regarded as distinct from the cave where she dwelt apart from the gods (v. 301-2), so that here there is no tautology. As she was a monster half human and half infernal, she had no part with the gods above; and yet, as being divine, $\theta \epsilon i \eta$ (v. 297) she was entitled to some permanent and distinct abode. Compare what Aeschylus says of the Eumenides, (v. 388,) δμοίας οὐδενί Σπαρτών γένει, οὕτ' ἐν θεαίσι πρός θεών δρωμένας, ούτ' ούν βρο-

τείοις έμφερεῖς μορφώμασι. 304. ἔρυτο. Here the v is short, as if from $\ell p \bar{\nu} \mu a \mu$, the active of which would be $\ell p \bar{\nu} \mu \mu$. But neither of these forms is known. Between piopar, where the v is naturally long, though occasionally made short by position, and epiw (Fe- $\rho \dot{\nu} \omega$) where the v is short, the rhapsodists often make some confusion. In Od. ix. 194, abroû màp vnt re µéveiv kal vîna Fépurdai, the F can only be defended on the supposition that there is a contraction from epierolau, but epir- $\theta \alpha i$ is more probably an epic sorist, the same as $\hat{\rho} \hat{\sigma} \theta \alpha i$ in II. xv. 141, the $\hat{\epsilon}$ representing an original reduplication, as in erouperos. In Scut. Herc. 138 we have elouro in a deponent sense, where the a may be the augment, but may also be the digamma, ¿Fpuro.- Apiµoi- σw , either a mythical people in Cilicia, where the cave of Typhoeus was, (Pind. Pyth. i. 17, compared with Hom. Il. ii. 783, είν 'Αρίμοις, δθι φασι Τυφωέος έμ-μεναι εύνας.) or another form of the Aramaei, as Goettling and Van Lennep

suggest, comparing Strabo xiii. p. 626 D, who supposes the volcanic parts of Mysia were the seat of the Arimi. Virgil, who seems to have thought Eir-apiµotorr formed one word, uses the licence of a poet in so calling the isle of Ischia, Aen. ix. 715; 'Tum sonitu Prochyta alta tremit, durunque cubile Inarime Jovis imperiis imposta Typhaeo.'—It is remarkable that one MS. here gives 'Apiµotorr. It is very probable that the word took the initial digamma.

305. $\nu \dot{\nu} \mu \phi \eta$. This is apparently said in reference to her marriage with Typhaon. If any part of the account be really spurious, the two concluding lines are the most likely to have been adapted from II. ii. 783, by way of connecting the subject with the next.

306. Tupáora, the god of Volcanic eruptions; see inf. v. 821 seqq. For this reason he appears to be called ύβριστηs arepos, for the ancients attri-the next verse is merely exceptical; 'namely the terrible and violent wind.' As ¿λικώπιδι has the digamma, there is no place for a third $\tau \epsilon$, introduced by the reading of many copies, and pre-ferred by Goettling, $\delta \epsilon w \delta v \theta \delta \beta \rho \omega \tau \eta r$ τ' άνομόν θ' έλικώπιδι κούρη. He supposes that Sophocles had this passage in view in his description of the Centaurs, Trach. 1095, στρατόν θηρών ύβρισ-The known in former by the scholast recognises both readings, but without giving preference to either. He defines τυφών to be ταραχώδες πνεύμα, δ λυμαί-νεται άει τα φυτά. If άνεμον be here the right reading, as Gaisford and Van Lennep contend, we see the connexion between $\tau v \phi \omega s$, 'a hurricane,' and Tu- $\phi \omega c v s$, the god of wind; though the v is long in the former, short in the latter. But it is likely that v. 307 is an interpolated verse.

δεινόν θ ύβριστήν τ' ανεμον έλικώπιδι κούρη· ή δ' ὑποκυσαμένη τέκετο κρατερόφρονα τέκνα. ⁸Ορθρον μεν πρώτον κύνα γείνατο Γηρυονήϊ· δεύτερον αὐτις ἔτικτεν ἀμήχανον, οὖτι φατειον 810 Κέρβερον ὠμηστὴν, ᾿Αΐδεω κύνα χαλκεόφωνον, †πεντηκοντακάρηνον, ἀναιδέα τε κρατερόν τε· τὸ τρίτον ˁΤδρην αὖτις ἐγείνατο, λύγρ' εἰδυῖαν, Λερναίην, ἡν θρέψε θεὰ λευκώλενος ὅΗρη, απλητον κοτέουσα βίη Ἡρακληείη. 815

307. Γελικώπιδι 310. φατεξόν? 311. Άξιδέω 313. λυγρά Γιδυΐαν

307. ύβριστὴν ἄνεμον Ald. ὑβριστὴν ἄνομον θ' ἐλικώπιδι νύμφη Μ. 308. ὑποκυσσαμένη Ald. ὑποκϋσαμένη Μ. 309. Όρθρον Μ. 310. δεύτερον δ' αὐτις ἔτεκεν—φαεινὸν Μ. 312. πεντηκοντακέφαλον Μ. Ald. 315. τοκέουσα Μ.

308. bronusaµéra, 'becoming preg-nant;' 'having conceived under him.' Properly, κύειν (υ) is 'to impregnate.' Photins, κύει, γεννά. But he wrongly adds, κύειν την έν γαστρί έχουσαν λέyour ... This is rueir, ruea, 'to be pregnant,' whence κυήσω, εκύησα, κύηpregnant, whereas $\kappa \bar{\upsilon} \omega$ gives $\kappa \bar{\upsilon} \omega \omega$ and $\bar{\epsilon} \kappa \upsilon \sigma a$, mid. $\epsilon \kappa \bar{\upsilon} \sigma d_{\mu} \eta \nu$. From $\kappa \bar{\upsilon} \omega$ comes $\kappa \bar{\upsilon} \mu a$, as $\kappa \bar{\upsilon} \rho \mu a$ from $\kappa \bar{\upsilon} \rho \omega$. In Theognis, v. 39, $K \bar{\upsilon} \rho \nu \epsilon$, $\kappa \bar{\upsilon} \epsilon \iota$ $\pi \delta \lambda \iota s$ $\hbar \delta \epsilon$, we should manifestly read $\kappa \nu \epsilon \tilde{\iota}$. The analogy between Kuw and Kuéw is the same as between $\kappa \bar{\nu} \rho \omega$ and $\kappa \nu \rho \epsilon \omega$, $\mu a \rho \tau \bar{\nu} \rho \omega$ ($\mu a \rho \tau \bar{\nu}$, $\rho \omega \mu a \rho \tau \bar{\nu} \rho \omega$) and $\mu a \rho \tau \nu \rho \epsilon \omega$. Here the MS. Barocc. (M) alone retains the true reading. The other copies give inokuo-oauirn. Cf. inf. v. 411.—Tiketo, here for ξτεκε, as γείνασθαι is used indis-criminately of either sex. Generally however τεκέσθαι is said of the male, 'to have a child born for yourself.' Cf. Eur. Hel. 214, δτε σε τέκετο ματρόθεν Zeús. Also Herc. Fur. 1183, and Phoen. 648. Just below, v. 310, Itikter is used exactly in the same sense; and it may be remarked that the imperfect of this verb is sometimes used in place of an aorist, as Herc. Fur. 2, δν 'Αλκαίός ποτε έτιχθ' δ Περσέως.

310. αμήχανον. άπορον, difficult to deal with. Cf. 295.—οστι φατειόν, see sup. 148. Scut. H. 144.

311. Κέρβερον. Van Lennep remarks, from Pausan. iii. 25, 4, (who says that Hecataeus spoke of a deivos opis, called A toov Kuwy, at Taenarus,) that the origin of this legend probably arose from the subterranean sounds heard in the caves of that very volcanic district. "Pluto's palace is guarded by the monstrous dogs Orthrus and Cerberus, the latter of whom has three beads. These are the Vritra and Carvara of the Vedic mythology of India." (Mytho-logy and Folk-lore, p. 245.) Van Lennep and Schoemann retain the reading of nearly all the copies, πεντηκοντα- $\kappa \epsilon \phi a \lambda o v$, doubling however the λ , per-haps unnecessarily. See sup. on v. 287. By 'fifty-headed,' which perhaps expresses an indefinite number, later poets gave the epithet rolkparos. Horace has bellua centiceps, Carm. ii. 13, 34. Similarly the fifty Nereids are called 'centum sorres' in Ovid, Fast. vi. 499.—draudéa, 'cruel,' 'remorseless.'— Coattling has an idea that this remo Goettling has an idea, that this verse belonged originally to Typhaon, and should follow v. 306; and he remarks that the Scholiast seems to have found it so in his copy; ó μέν Πίνδαρος έκατογκέφαλόν φησιν είναι τόν Τηφωέα, ούτος δε πεντηκοντακέφαλον.

315. άπλητον, so as to be unapproachable; άπλητον κότον έχουσα. Here (cf.

207

καὶ τὴν μἐν Διὸς υἱὸς ἐνήρατο νηλέϊ χαλκῷ ᾿Αμφιτρυωνιάδης σὺν ἀρηϊφίλῷ Ἰολάφ Ἡρακλέης βουλῆσιν ἘΑθηναίης ἀγελείης. ἡ δὲ Χίμαιραν ἔτικτε, πνέουσαν ἀμαιμάκετον πῦρ, δεινήν τε μεγάλην τε, ποδώκεά τε κρατερήν τε, 320 τῆς δ᾽ ἦν τρεῖς κεφαλαί· μία μὲν χαροποῖο λέοντος, ἡ δὲ χιμαίρης, ἡ δ᾽ ὄφιος, κρατεροῖο δράκοντος, [πρόσθε λέων, ὅπιθεν δὲ δράκων, μέσση δὲ Χίμαιρα, δεινὸν ἀποπνείουσα πυρὸς μένος αἰθομένοιο.]

317. ἀρεΓιφίλφ Γιολάφ

316. viòs om. M. 319. $\epsilon_{\tau\epsilon}\xi\epsilon$ M. 321. $\tau\eta$ s δ av M. 323. $\mu\epsilon\sigma\sigma\eta$ M, in which a new hand commences after this verse.

v. 151) άπληστον would not be a bad reading. But Hesych. has άπλητον απρόσιτον, αχώρητον, μέγα.

318. Hesych. ἀγελείης λαφυρογωγοῦ, η ήγουμένης τοῦ πολέμου 'Αθηνῶς τὸ ἐπίθετον.

319. $\dot{\eta}$ $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$, soil. 'Exiona. The $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ answers the $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ preceding; 'the Lerna indeed was slain, but another offspring, the Chimaera, was born.'

319. Ibid. Xluaupar. The origin and meaning of this wild legend is thus explained by Sir Charles Fellows, who considers it of Lycian descent; "Lions still live in the mountains, the goat is found at the top, while the serpent infests the base of the Cragus, illus-trating the imaginary monster of its early fables." (Travels in Asia Minor, p. 348, ed. 1852.) In the title-page he gives a vignette of the Chimaera from a very ancient Greek terra-cotta. It resembles a lioness with a snake for a tail, and a goat's head and neck emerging upwards from the shoulder. But the fire-breathing probably referred to some aucient volcanic eruption, or per-haps to the ever-burning Yanah Dah, as suggested by the same writer, p. 372. The name $X \mid \mu \alpha \iota \rho \alpha$ is manifestly a form of χ/μ apics (for χ/μ dpica) a she goat. Cf. v. 322.—Sir G. W. Cox (Mythology and Folk-lore, p. 156) remurks that $\chi(\mu a \mu a \mu a)$ is properly a year-ling she-goat, i. e. a winter old. He regards the myth as representing a

shaggy cloud, and *Belleros* as the Sanscrit Varvara, applied by the Aryan invaders to the negro-like aboriginal Indian tribes. Pegasus, the winged horse, merely describes a way by which these monsters could be reached in the sky; the name is akin to cloud and vapour from water, $\pi\eta\eta\eta$. See *ibid.* p. 221.—For *dyaupdateros* see Scut. H. 207. This epithet is given to the Chimaera in II. vi. 179.

321. $\frac{3}{7}\nu$. Goettling contends that this is not the singular, but for $\frac{3}{7}\sigma_{xx}$, and the opinion is defensible, for $\frac{2}{7}\sigma_{xx}$ would make $\frac{3}{7}\nu$ by eliding the σ . Compare $\frac{2}{7}\delta\sigma_{x}$ or $\frac{2}{7}\delta\sigma_{x}$, sup. v. 10. In v. 146, it has been proposed to read ral $\mu\eta\chi$ aval $\frac{3}{7}\nu$ $\frac{1}{7}\nu$ $\frac{1}{7}\epsilon_{F}\sigma_{7}\sigma_{5}$, for the vulg. $\frac{3}{7}\sigma_{x}\nu$ $\frac{4}{7}\nu$ $\frac{1}{7}\epsilon_{F}\sigma_{7}\sigma_{5}$, for the vulg. $\frac{3}{7}\sigma_{x}\nu$ $\frac{4}{7}\nu$ $\frac{1}{7}\epsilon_{F}\sigma_{7}\sigma_{5}$, for the vulg. $\frac{1}{7}\nu$ of $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$. So also we have $\frac{2}{8}\kappa_{F}\nu\phi\phie_{F}$ for $\epsilon_{K}\dot{\nu}\phi\phi\phi\eta\sigma_{x}$, &c. Photius, $\frac{3}{7}\nu$ $\frac{1}{2}\sigma_{T}$ $\tau^{0}\dot{\nu}$ $\frac{4}{7}\sigma_{x}\nu$. Hence some grammarians regarded $\frac{2}{8}\pi\epsilon'\phi\nu\kappa\sigma_{F}$, $\frac{2}{8}\pi\epsilon'\phi\rhoa\delta\sigma_{F}$, $\frac{4}{8}\sigma_{c}$, not as imperfects, but for $\frac{2}{8}\pi\epsilon'\phi'\kappa\sigma_{c}$, and as imperfects, but for $\frac{2}{8}\pi\phi'\rho'\kappa_{c}$ and However, the well-known syntax called schema Pindaricum would justify us in regarding $\frac{3}{7}\nu$ as truly the singular. See on Eur. Ion 1146, $\frac{2}{8}\nu_{F}\nu's'$ $\delta\phi_{F}\sigma_{F}\sigma_{F}$ $\frac{2}{6}\mu\omega\nu\sqrt{7}\nu$ $\frac{2}{8}\kappaa\tau\delta\nu$ $\kappac\phia\lambda al \delta\phi_{10}$. The only question would be, if a Boeotic idiom of this kind was in use as early as Hesiod's age.

323-4. This distich is inserted from Il. vi. 181-2, where the destruction of

την μέν Πήγασος είλε καὶ ἐσθλὸς Βελλεροφόντης. 325 ή δ' ắρα Φικ' ὀλοην τέκε, Καδμείοισιν ὅλεθρον, "Ορθρω ὑποδμηθείσα, Νεμειαιόν τε λέοντα, τόν ρ' "Ηρη θρέψασα, Διὸς κυδρη παράκοιτις, γουνοίσιν κατένασσε Νεμείης, πημ' ἀνθρώποις. ἕνθ' ὄγε οἰκείων ἐλεφαίρετο φῦλ' ἀνθρώπων, 330 κοιρανέων Τρητοίο Νεμείης, ηδ' Ἀπέσαντος.

327. NeueFaiov

329. Neµéfns?

330. Γοικείων

326. ή δ αρ σφίγγ M, Ald. 327. δρθω M, Ald. 328. κυδυη M. κυδρη Ald. 330. ένθα ὄ γ M. ένθ' ἄρ' ὄ γ' Ald. and vulgo. έλεφαίρατο M.

the monster by Bellerophon is recorded. Van Lennep well remarks that Hesiod only assigns three *heads*, but Homer three conjoined *bodies*, to the monster.

326. η $\delta \lambda$, viz. Echidna (not Chimaera). Cf. Eur. Phoen. 1019, $\xi\beta_{as}$ $\xi\beta_{as}$, δ $\pi\tau\epsilon\rho\delta\sigma\sigmaa$, $\gamma\delta s$ $\lambda\delta\chi\epsilon\nu\mu a$ $\nu\epsilon\rho\tau\epsilon\rho\nu$ $\tau' E\chi(\delta\nu as, Ka\delta\mu\epsilon low <math>\delta\rho\pi a\gamma a$.— $\delta\kappaa$, the Sphinx. So the Scholiast with one M8. for $\chi\phi_i\gamma\gamma'$. See on $\Phi(\kappa\sigma)$ $\delta\rho\sigmas$, Scut. H. 33. Hesych. $\beta(\kappa as: \sigma\phi(i\gamma\gamma as.$ Plattus has the form *Pices* (for Sphinges) in Aul. iv. 8, 1.—The legend of the Sphinx was probably nearly identical with that of the Harpies, viz. an impersonation of the influences which cansed sudden death and sudden disappearances, as by pestilence & c. The name is from $\sigma\phi(\gamma\gamma\epsilon\nu, \cdot$ to grasp.'

name is from $\sigma\phi(\gamma\gamma\epsilon;\nu, \, \epsilon to grasp.')$ S27. Neµetaios is a lengthened form of Neµetaios, as ϕ arteids of ϕ arteis, v. 310, relapos for reaps, $\xi\epsilon(ns)$ for $\xi\bar{\eta}s$, &c. It seems probable, though it is not certain, that the inserted ι represents a lost digamma, NeµeFFaios. 328. $\kappa\nu\delta\nu\gamma$ Bar. 60, Goett. $\kappa\nu\delta\rho\gamma$ Van

328. κυδνή Bar. 60, Goett. κυδρή Van Lennep, with most MSS. Both forms are genuine, from the root κυδ. Compare παιδνός, κεδνός, and κυδρός (fur κυδερός) with βλαβερός. — κατένασσε, κατέκισε. See Opp. 168. Inf. v. 620. Hera, as the constant enemy of Hercules, had reared up the lion not so much to injure man as to become an adversary to Hercules. Hence it was that she allowed it to ravage even

οἰκείους ἀνθρώπους, her own Argive people.—ἰλεφαίρετο, 'used to injure,' ἐλυμαίνετο. This rare verb occurs in the same sense in Il. xxiii. 338, οἰδ' ἀρ' ᾿Αθηναίην ἐλεφηράμενος λάθ' ᾿Απόλλων Τυδείδης. In Ud. xix. 565, it is used of dreams which are cheating and delusive: τῶν of μέν κ' ἕλθωσι διά πριστοῦ ἐλέφαιντος, of β' ἐλεφαίρωται, ἕπέ ἀκράαντα φέροντες. The etymology is very uncertain. Van Lennep considers the primary idea that of catching (root ἐλ οι έλεφαίρατο (ἐλεφήρατο) is found in Barocc. 60, which alone retains vestiges of the true reading ἕνθ' ὅγε Foικείων κ.τ.λ., in omitting the ὅρα which commonly follows the ἕνθ.

331. Τρητοῦο Νεμείης, 'Mount Tretum in Nemen.' This was a hollow mountain side, said to have been the cave of the Lion. Cf. Pausan. ii. 15, 2, ẻκ Κλεωνῶν δέ εἰσιν ἐς ᾿Αργος όδοι δύο, ἡ μὲν ἀνδράσιν εὐζώνοις, καί ἐστιν ἐπίτομος, ἡ δὲ ἐπὶ τοῦ καλουμένου Τρητοῦ, στενὴ μὲν καὶ αὐτὴ περιεχόντων ὀρῶν, ὀχήματι δέ ἐστιν ὅμως ἐπίτηδεωτέρα. Diodor. Sic. iv. 11, Διέτριβε δὲ μάλιστα μεταξὺ Μυκηνῶν καὶ Νεμέας, περὶ ὅρος τὸ καλούμενον ἀπὸ τοῦ συμβεβηκότος Τρητόν. εἰχε γὰρ περὶ τὴν βίζαν διώρυχα διηνεκῆ, καθ ἡν εἰώθει φωλείων τὸ θηρίον. Apollolorus, ii. 5, 1, mentions the ἀμφίστομον σπήλαιον of the monster. "In the face of a scaur above the stadium is a conspicuous cavern-mouth. I wonder that it has not been claimed

ἀλλά ἑ ῒς ἐδάμασσε βίης Ἡρακληείης.

Κητώ δ' δπλότατον Φόρκυι φιλότητι μιγείσα γείνατο δεινον ὄφιν, δς ἐρεμνῆς κεύθεσι γαίης πείρασιν ἐν μεγάλοις παγχρύσεα μῆλα φυλάσσει. 335 [τοῦτο μὲν ἐκ Κητοῦς καὶ Φόρκυνος γένος ἐστί.]

Τηθὺς δ' 'Ωκεανῷ Ποταμοὺς τέκε δινήεντας, Νείλόν τ' 'Αλφειόν τε καὶ 'Ηριδανὸν βαθυδίνην,

332. Fe Fis 339. καλλιρέ εθρον

333. φόρκυνι Ald.

336. φόρκυος M.

on behalf of the Nemean lion." (Clark, Peloponnesus, p. 63.) The solar myth is well explained by Mr. B. Brown in Appendix iii. to "Eridanus," p. 79. The old reading was rpyroio Neuelys. Gaisford and Van Lennep give Tpyroio, Neµelys, hö' Amérawros. Of this latter place nothing seems to be recorded beyond the mention of it in Pansan. ii. 15. 3, as 5pos 'Améras brie rhy Neµéay.

15, 3, 88 δρος 'Απέσας ύπερ την Νεμέαν. 332. άλλά Γε (σφε) Γις (vis) &c. See on Scut. H. 53.

334. κεύθεσι γαίης, 8C. φωλεύων. πείρατα μεγάλα (πείραρ) are the vast boundaries of the earth; the illimitable realms stretching beyond Oce anus into space. See inf. 518. 622. 809. Schoemann reads έρεμνοῖς (with cod. Paris) and μεγάλης on his own conjecture. μῆλα, the golden apples of the Hesperides, sup. v. 215. Eur. Here. F. 394— 400, ύμνφδούς τε κόρας ἤλυθεν ἐσπερίαν ἐς αύλαν, — δράκοντα πυρσόνωτον, δς άπλατον ἁμφελικτός ἕλικ' ἐφρούρει, κτανών. This monster is called Λάδων by Apoll. Rhod. iv. 1397.

336. This verse is feeble, and perhaps au interpolation. Of the name *Phorcys* there appear to have been three forms, $\phi \delta \rho \kappa vs$, -v os, $\phi \delta \rho \kappa vs$ (for $\phi \delta \rho \kappa vs$) -v v os, and $\phi \delta \rho \kappa os$, -ov, the last of which was used by Pindar, Pyth. xii. 23, and Aesohylus, who has the patronymic al $\phi o \rho \kappa l \delta cs$, Prom. 813, where Hermann needlessly gives $\phi o \rho \kappa v v \delta cs$.

3:38. An enumeration of the principal rivers known to the Greeks in Hesiod's

time. Goettling here has a good comment. "This passage is worthy of note as illustrating the geography of Hesiod's age. He is the first poet who Hestod's age. He is the first poet who mentions the Nile by name, for Homer calls it Alyurros, (Od. iv. 477. 581. xiv. 258,) [Schol. καl έκ τούτου φαίνεται 'Ησίοδος 'Ομήρου νεώτερος. καl γλο "Ομηρος Αίγυπτον καλεί τον Νείλοτ,] neither does he mention the Phasis in Colabie. The Inter (Despeho) and Colchis. The Ister (Danube) and Ardercus belong to Scythia ; the latter, ac ording to Voss, is the same as Sal-mydessus. The Haliacmon is in Macedonia, the Strymon and the Nessus (Rhesus?) in Thrace. In Epirus we have the Achelous and Evenus, in the Peloponnese the Alpheus and the Ladon, in Thessaly the Peneus. Nothing more is said about the other rivers in European Greece, though the poet enlarges on those of Asia Minor, and especially of the Troad. To this b long the Scamander, the Simois, the Sangarius, the Bhodius, the Nessus, the Heptaporus, the Granicus, the Accepus. To Lydia belong the Macander and the Hermus, to Mysia the Caicus, to Paphlagonia the Parthenius. That he should have nowhere mentioned the rivers of Boeotia seems very extraordinary." Yet in Opp. 635, the poet says that Lis father came from Asia Minor to Ascra, Κύμην Αἰολίδα προλιπών, so that we may casily account for his knowledge of Asiatic rivers. According to the early Greek notions of geography, the Ister

Στρυμόνα, Μαίανδρόν τε καὶ ^{*}Ιστρον καλλιρέεθρον, Φασίν τε ^{*}Ρησόν τ^{*}, ^{*}Αχελώϊον ἀργυροδίνην 340 Νέσσον τε ^{*}Ρόδιόν θ^{*} ^{*}Αλιάκμονά θ^{*} ^{*}Επτάπορόν τε, Γρήνικόν τε καὶ Αἴσηπον, θεῖον τε Σιμοῦντα, Πηνειόν τε καὶ ^{*}Ερμον, ἐϋρρείτην τε Κάϊκον, Σαγγάριόν τε μέγαν, Λάδωνά τε Παρθένιόν τε, Εὖηνόν τε καὶ ^{*}Αρδησκον, θεῖόν τε Σκάμανδρον. 345 Τίκτε δὲ θυγατέρων ἱερὸν γένος, αἶ κατὰ γαῖαν

343. eupétryv

342. aloumov M.

344. λάδωνα παρθ. M.

345. ἄρδισκον Μ.

was the principal river of Europe, the Nile of Ethiopia, the Eridanus of the Celtic or northern division, and perl.aps the Phasis of Asia. The Eridanus is generally regarded as mythical, though in later times some associated it with the Padus. Van Lennep thinks that even in Hesiod's time the Po was designated by the name of Eridanus. Mr. R. Brown has shown ('Eridanus,' $\S xviii.$) that the Euphrates is probably meant.

340. 'A $\chi \epsilon \lambda \tilde{g} \delta r$ τ ' Gaisford, 'A $\chi \epsilon \lambda \tilde{u} \delta r$ τ ' Schoemann, Flach, and Van Lennep, 'A $\chi \epsilon \lambda \tilde{u} \delta r$ (without $\tau \epsilon$) Goettling, who thinks the contraction 'A $\chi \epsilon \lambda \tilde{g} \delta r$ alien from the ancient epic. The $\tau \epsilon$ seems only found in one MS., which however gives 'A $\chi \epsilon \lambda \tilde{u} \delta r$ τ '. The ι may have be en pronounced like j or y. 341 '' Patter Coettling and Yan Len

341. 'Plosor. Goettling and Van Lennep write 'Poolor with one MS., and on the authority of Arcadius 'On Accents,' p. 39. 15.

344. Addawa. A river in Arcadia, a branch of the Alpheus. Ovid, Fast. ii. 274, 'Quique citis Ladon in mare currit aquis.' Ibid. v. 89, 'Arcades hunc Ladonque rapax et Maenalus ingens Rite colunt.'

345. Σκάμανδρον. The initial σ vanishes in pronunciation. So Homer frequently uses it, and so σκέπαρνον, σμάραγδος, Ζάκυνδος, &c., are adapted to heroic metre. A good many words in English retain the initial sibilant which has dropped out of the classical languages, e. g. slime (limus), strife (lis, stlis),

snow (nix', smelt (melt) μέλδω. There is a suspicious resemblance between this passage and II. xii. 20, 'Phose' 'Επτάπορός τε Κάρησός τε 'Pόδιός τε, Γρήνικός τε καl Αίσηπος δίος τε Σκάμα:δρος, Καl Σιμόεις.

346. θυγατέρων, viz. the water-nymphs, 'Ωκεανîναι, v. 364. They differed however from the Nereids, who were marine divinities, and had quite distinct offices and attributes, as the names respec-tively imply. They are considered tively imply. They are considered *kouporpópo*, because they presided over rivers and fountains, which the early Greeks supposed to have their source in Oceanue, and to bring nourisburiet to all living things. Hence to rivers was offered the $\pi\lambda\delta\kappa\mu\mu\sigmas$ $\theta\rhoe\pi\tau\eta\rho\sigmas$, Aesch. Cho. 6. The meaning of most of the names is tolerably obvious, and hes been pointed out by the Schol. and also by Van Lennep and Goettling, who and by van Lennep and Goeting, who remarks that the nymphs presiding over islands and continents (yacar épé-wovca, v. 365), are called by cognate appellations, e. g. Europa, Aria, Doris, Laneira (from the 'Laves, or Ionians, Ausch. Pers. 929), Rhodeia (from Rhodes), Perseis. Those derived from combine obvision description and Rinodes), renotes, renotes the second secon trasted as tractable and intractable, in allusion to the artificial coercion that can be put on some rivers; 'Idron, whose banks blossom with violets, or from *ialveuv* 'to delight' (διλ τὸ τῶν ὑδάτων εἰφραντικὸν, Schol.). 'Ηλέκτρη means transparent ('purior electro р2

άνδρας κουρίζουσι σὺν ᾿Απόλλωνι ἀνακτι καὶ Ποταμοῖς, ταύτην δὲ Διὸς πάρα μοῖραν ἔχουσι, Πειθώ τ' ᾿Αδμήτη τε, Ἰάνθη τ' Ἐἰλέκτρη τε, Δωρίς τε Πρυμνώ τε καὶ Οὐρανίη θεοειδὴς, 350 Ἱππώ τε Κλυμένη τε, Ῥόδειά τε Καλλιρόη τε, Ζευξώ τε Κλυτίη τ', Εἰδυῖά τε Πασιθόη τε, Πληξαύρη τε Γαλαξαύρη τ', ἐρατή τε Διώνη Μηλόβοσίς τε, Θόη τε καὶ εὐειδὴς Πολυδώρη, Κερκητς τε, φυὴν ἐρατὴ, Πλουτώ τε βοῶπις, 355 Περσητς τ' Ἰάνειρά τ', ᾿Ακάστη τε Ξάνθη τε, Πετραίη τ' ἐρόεσσα, Μενεστώ τ' Εὐρώπη τέ, Μῆτίς τ' Εὐρυνόμη τε, Τελεστώ τε κροκόπεπλος Κρηνητς τ', ᾿Ασίη τε καὶ ἑμερόεσσα Καλυψὼ,

347. Γάνακτι 349. Γιάνθη 350. ΘεοΓειδής 352. τε Γιδυΐά τε 354. εὐΓειδής 355. βοΓωπις 356. Περσηΐς, Γιάνειρά τ'

351. ^bοδία τε M, Ald. 353. γαλαξάρη τ' M. 355. ^eρατην Ald. 357. Mενεσθώ τ' Flach, Schoemann. 358. τελεστώ τε M. τελεσθώ τε Ald. 359. κρησηts τ' M, in which the first hand recurs from this verse to 372, then the second hand again.

campum petit amnis,' Virg. Georg. iii. 522). Edvon, muddy, IIAntakon, cascading through the air, Γαλαξαόρη, casrather doubtful compound, meaning, perhaps, milk-producing by its moist air, or from ydλa aud ἀέξω, or, as the Schol. explains it, δια τδ ὑπὸ τῶν ἀνέμων λευκαίνεσθαι, καί οἶον ἐκγαλακτοῦσθαι. As Barocc. 60 gives yαλαξάρη τ', we might possibly read και 'Αλεξιάρη, 'averter of evil,' like νειδε ἀλεξιάρη in Opp. 464. Van Lennep, explaining "auras relaxans," seems to regard it as a form of χαλαξαόρη. Ζευξώ, confluent, 'Αμφιρώ, branching, Μενεστώ, slowly-flowing (or permanent, in respect of its source), Εὐρυνόμη, widely-ranging, Καλυψώ, covering with mud (Virg. Georg. i. 115, 'amnis abundans Exit, et obducto late tenet omnia limo'). Πρυμνώ, springing from a mountain's base (not "a summis montium fastigiis," Goettl.), Tελεστώ, remote (?), or perhaps, 'paying tribute,' Οὐρανίη, nin-

fed, Kepsylts, uncertain; Schol. did $\tau \delta$ $\eta \chi \eta \tau \kappa \delta \nu$. Perhaps from kepsils (Photius, $\phi \nu \tau \delta \nu$ algeloge $\delta \mu \omega \omega \nu$). Goettling further suggests that M $\eta \tau \iota s$, El $\delta \nu \iota a$, and $T \delta \chi \eta$, may refer to the prophetic attributes of the Nymphs.

but so that a state of the sum o

352. $\Pi \sigma \sigma i \theta \ell \eta$ occurs as the name of a Nereid, sup. 247, where one MS. gives $\Pi \sigma \sigma i \theta \delta \eta$. Here the termination implying swiftness is manifestly appropriate, as we have $\Theta \delta \eta$ below. Similarly both Leucothea and Leucothea appear to have been in use.

359. Keppents Goettling, with one MS. $\chi p \nu o \eta ts$ Galsford, Schoemann, and Van Lennep, with Hermann. The name is corruptly given in the other MSS, and

Εὐδώρη τε, Τύχη τε καὶ ᾿Αμφιρώ ᾿Ωκυρόη τε, 360 και Στυξ, ή δή σφεων προφερεστάτη έστιν άπασέων. αύται δ' 'Ωκεανού και Τηθύος έξεγένοντο πρεσβύταται κοῦραι. πολλαί γε μέν εἰσι καὶ ἄλλαι. τρίς γάρ χίλιαί είσι τανύσφυροι 'Ωκεανίναι, αι όα πολυσπερέες γαίαν και βένθεα λίμνης 365 πάντη όμως έφέπουσι, θεάων άγλαὰ τέκνα. τόσσοι δ' αυθ' ετεροι ποταμοί καναχηδά βέοντες, υίέες 'Ωκεανοῦ, τοὺς γείνατο πότνια Τηθύς· των δνομ' άργαλέον πάντων βροτόν ανδρα ένισπειν, οί δε εκαστα ίσασιν, όσοι περιναιετάουσι. 370 Θείη δ' 'Ηέλιόν τε μέγαν λαμπρήν τε Σελήνην

Ηῶ θ, ἡ πάντεσσιν ἐπιχθονίοισι φαείνει

367. péfortes

370. Γέκαστα Γίσασιν

372. dfû oafeirei

364. wreavibes M. 369. βροτών Ald. 870. οι αν περιναιετάωσι Ald.

early edd., Κρυσίη, Κρισίη, Κρησίη, Kongnts. Schol. Kovonts, Sid to Kovepor. A good conjecture of Naeke's is Koloonts.

361. $\pi po\phi \epsilon p \epsilon \sigma \tau d\tau \eta$, has precedence over all the rest. This is the probable meaning, since Zeus gave to her pecu-liar prerugatives, v. 400. But inf. v. 766 she is also spoken of as the *eldest*: δεινή Στύξ, θυγατήρ άψορρόου 'Ωκεανοίο πρεσβυτάτη, so that both ideas may here be combined.

364. The great number of the Ocean Nymphs who are nameless, belong, as the puet proceeds to say, to the thou-sands of fountains, streams, lakes, and rivers which have only a local notoriety. The Schol. on Pind. Ol. v. 1, reads tols yap upplas-wikearides. On ye uer see Scut. H. 5.

366. πάντη όμῶs, alike in every part of the world.

367. Hesych. καναχηδά ηχητικώς. 370. Exactor, MSS. Probably Exacta, singula nomina, as load takes the digamma, e. g. Opp. 40. 814. We may easily supply τa $\pi \epsilon \rho l$ $\tau as \epsilon \gamma \chi w \rho las$ róµφas. So also Van Lennep reads, on

the authority of Eustathius on Dionysius Perieg. 644 .- Soon Goettling with one MS. of tr Gaisford, Flach, Schoemann, and Van Lennep, which is the common reading, but scarcely the correct syntax, since there is no idea of a contingency to be proved by experi-ence. It is very probable that the dis-tich itself is not genuine.

371. Θείη, see v. 135. Pind. Isth. iv. 1.—'Υπερίονος, v. 134. As Hyperion is mide the parent of #Alos, he is evimide the parent of η_{Alos} , he is evi-dently a distinct personage in the Hesi-odic mythology. Inf. v. 1011 the sun is called 'T $\pi\epsilon\rho_1or/\partial\eta_s$. Catullus calls the sun 'progenies Thiae clara,' lxvi. 4. Ovid, Fast. i. 385, uses Hyperion for sol, and Homer makes η_{Alos} and 'T $\pi\epsilon\rho_l\omega r$ synonyms, e. g. Od. i. 23—4. II. vii. 408, but has 'T $\pi\epsilon\rho_1or/\partial\eta_s$ in Od. xii. 176. That sol and $\sigma \in \Lambda hr\eta$ are the same words, connected with $\sigma \epsilon \lambda as$ (the aspirate of the one representing the sibilant), seems a probable opinion. See Curtius, Gr. Et. 541, who refers helios to the root us, 'to burn,' ib. 401, as well 8.8 hos = àFús.

Digitized by Google

άθανάτοις τε θεοΐσι, τοι οὐρανὸν εὐρὺν ἔχουσι, γείναθ ὑποδμηθεῖσ Ἱπερίονος ἐν φιλότητι.

Κρίφ δ' Εὐρυβίη τέκεν ἐν φιλότητι μιγεῖσα 'Αστραῖόν τε μέγαν Πάλλαντά τε δῖα θεάων

Πέρσην θ, δς και πασι μετέπρεπεν ίδμοσύνησιν. 'Αστραίω δ' Ήως ανέμους τέκε καρτεροθύμους,

'Αργέστην, Ζέφυρον, Βορέην τ' αἰψηροκέλευθον

377. Γιδμοσύνησιν

374. ὑποδμηθεῖσα ὑπερίωνος Μ. ὑπευνηθεῖσ' Ald. 375. κρειώ δ' εὐρυβίη τέκε ἐν φ. Μ. τίκτεν φ. Ald. 376. ἀστραῖον μέγαν τε Μ. 377. ὄς γε Μ. ὅς καὶ πᾶσι Ald. 379. ἀργέστην. ζέφιρον. βορέην λαιψ. Ald.

378. dfùs

873. Perhaps an interpolated verse. Van Lennep defends it by II. xi. 1, 2, and II. ii. 48—9. But it is omitted by some scholiasts in quoting the passage 871—4.

875. Kp(φ , see sup. v. 134.—E $i\rho\nu\beta(\eta,$ v. 239. Of these two personages mythology records little, and nothing of Astraeus, Pallas, and Perses (the Titanic father of Hecate, v. 409). The names are merely mentioned in Apollodor. i. 2. The correlative feminine Astraea represented Justice in a later mythology, whereas Astraeus simply means 'father of the stars,' (cf. 'A σ - repin, v. 409.) Probably Hallas is from $\pi d\lambda \lambda \epsilon \nu$, 'the Earth-shaker.'

377. $i\delta\mu\sigma\sigma'\delta\eta$ appears to be $d\pi a\xi$ $\lambda\epsilon\gamma d\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma\nu$ for $\epsilon\pi\iota\sigma\tau h\mu\eta$. — $\pi d\sigma\eta\sigma\iota$ is Goetling's correction for $\kappa al\pi\sigma\sigma\iota$, from two MSS. The Bodleian MS. Barcoc. 60 is said to give $\delta s \gamma \epsilon \pi d\sigma\eta\sigma\iota$. I have morely copied from it the variant $\delta s \gamma\epsilon$. On the whole, $\kappa al\pi\sigma\iota$ seems as good; 'who also was conspicuous among all for his craft.' Cf. v. 430. And this is adopted by Van Lennep.

379. As three of the winds enumerated represent cardinal points, west, north, and south, it seems probable that by ' $A\rho\gamma\delta\sigma\tau\eta$'s Hes'od meant $E\delta\rho\sigma$, the east, or more properly the south-cast wind, so called, because it makes a clear sky (the Italian scirocco). So $\lambda a \mu \pi \rho \delta s \pi \epsilon \mu \sigma \delta r$ is the south of the south of the Carm. i. 7, 15, 'Albus ut obscure deter-

get nubila caelo Saepe Notus.' Gaisford get nubia casto Sappérinto Californi and others take appérins here for an epithet. Gluss. Barocc. 60 (M). τδν άνατολικόν, τόν δυσικόν, τόν άρκιτοῦρον, τόν ἐκ μεσημβρίαs. Apollon. Bhod. ii. 960, ἀλλ' ἐνὶ νηὶ, 'Αργέσταο παρῶστον ἐπιπνείοντος, ἕβησαν. Ibid. iv. 1628, εἰσία ἅ Ζζόμουσε μου ἀλάκτας ἕλ Σζάνουσε μου ἀλάκτας Και Δα. Σ antika de Zépupos per exapeer, Aube d aŭon dovéctao Nótov. It is clear from these two passages that this writer used the word both as a substantive and as an adjective. The same ambiguity ocours inf. v. 870, νόσφι Νότου Βορέω τε και Αργέστεω Ζεφύρου τε (al. και άργε στεω Ζεφύροιο). The Schol. absurdly 8258, Ζέφυρου λέγει τον Εδρον. But he inconsistently adds, wei de 'Apyéorns, ό και Εδρος καλούμενος, από ανατολής. Again, 'Arour (Laos de treis drénous elvas φησί κατά Ήσιόδον, Βοραν, Ζέφυρου, και Νότον. τοῦ γὰρ Ζεφόρου ἐπίθετον τὸ ᾿Αργέστην φησίν. Van Lennep thinks the poet regarded Edpos among the unstable winds (inf. 870), and so omitted to mention it. In later times, Appéorns was the north-west wind (see the table of winds according to Aristotle, engraved in Guettling's edition). Hence Ovid, Fast. v. 161, 'Frigidus Argestes summas mulcebit aristas." In Il. xi. 306, the word is an epithet to Noros, and also in xxi. 334, adrap eya Ζεφύροιο και άργέσταο Νότοιο είσομαι έξ άλόθεν χαλεπήν όρσουσα θύελλαν. These passages were doubtless copied by Apollonius Rhodius. In Od. v. 295,

καί Νότον, έν φιλότητι θεά θεώ εύνηθείσα. 380 τούς δε μέτ' αστέρα τίκτεν Έωσφόρον Ηριγένεια άστρα τε λαμπετόωντα, τάτ' οὐρανὸς ἐστεφάνωται. Στύξ δ' έτεκ' 'Ωκεανοῦ θυγάτηρ Πάλλαντι μιγείσα Ζήλον και Νίκην καλλίσφυρον έν μεγάροισι. καί Κράτος ήδε Βίην αριδείκετα γείνατο τέκνα, 385 των ούκ έστ' απάνευθε Διος δόμος, ούδέ τις έδρη, ούδ' όδος όπη μη κείνοις θεος ήγεμονεύει, άλλ' αίει παρ Ζηνι βαρυκτύπω έδριόωνται.

ως γαρ έβούλευσε Στύξ αφθιτος 'Ωκεανίνη

381. τίκτ' άξωσφόρον 382. Tà Foparòs

381. τίχθ έωσφόρος Μ. 384. veinn M. 386. Aufòs 359. «κεανίη M. 388. έδριέωνται Μ.

the four principal winds are enumerated together, σύν δ' Εδρός τε Νότος τ' έπεσον Ζέφυρός τε δυσαής, και Βορέης αίθρηγεvérns

381. 'Europópov, ouropópov, the planet Venus, or the 'morning star.' Perhaps, τίκτ' 'Hωσφόρον, as the MS. Baroco. 60

rint' Had popol, as the MS. Darocc. to suggests. The form of the compound is remarkable. See Pind. 1stb. iii. 42. 384. $2\bar{\eta}\lambda os$ and $N(\pi\eta$, rivalry and v.ctory, imply ϵ_{PIS} , contention (see Opp. 23-5), and ϵ_{PIS} involves *nores*, a feel-ing of resentment, which ripens into στύγος, hatred. For this reason, Irok is represented as the parent of these divinities. There is a plausible reading in three or four MSS., velkyv for vlkyv. This is a rare substantive, which some prefer in Aesch. Agam. 1349, in place of $\nu(\kappa\eta s.$ In Eur. Orest. 1679, the best MS. gives *νείκας* τε διαλύεσθε, for the vulg. *νείκους*. But Κράτος and Bía which follow are in favour of Νίκην. Van Lennep says, "Tota fabulae ratio Nixys mentionem hic requirit." Pausan. lib. viii. 18, init., Elvai de the Etbya Ησίοδος μέν έν Θεογονία εποίησεν. Ησιόδου γαρ δη έπη την Θεογονίαν είσιν οι νομίζουσι· πεποιημένα ούν έστιν ένταῦθα, ἀΩκεανοῦ θυγατέρα την Στύγα, γυναῖκα δὲ αὐτην εἶναι Πάλλαντος έσικότα δέ πεποιηκέναι τούτοις και Λίνον φασίν. έμοι δ' έπιλεγομένφ παντάπασιν

έφαίνετο ταῦτά γε είναι κίβδηλα. Βυ ταῦτά γe, ' this part at least,' Pausanias probably meant the present paragraph about Styx. It is not unlikely that from v. 383 to v. 403 is a later interpolation. Goetfling condemns all but the first three lines. The use made by A-schylus of Kpáros and Bía, as the ministers of Zeus, in the Prometheus, may in leed have been taken from this passage; but conversely, this passage may have been added in consequence of that, which is the more likely, because so much is said in the tragely about the contest between Zeus and Cronus, which the poet here represents as the cause of Koaros and Bla receiving special prerogatives from Zeus. Cf. Callim. Η. in Jov. 66, ου σε θεών έσσηνα πάλου θέσαν, έργα δε χειρών, ή τε βίη τό τε κάρτος, δ καl πέλας είσαο δίφρου. 387. δππη μη, nisi ubi. The meaning merely is, that they always attend Zeus

when he goes forth. Hermann and Van Lennep read ήγεμονεύη, Guiet. ήγεμονεύοι, Goettling retaining the vulg. ήγεμονεύει. Heyne ejects the verse as spurious.

389. as isoure, so she planned or designed to raise her sons to a special honour, by voluntarily offering her services to Zeus.

ήματι τω ότε πάντας Όλύμπιος αστεροπητής 390 άθανάτους ἐκάλεσσε θεούς ἐς μακρόν *Ολυμπον, είπε δ', δς αν μετά είο θεων Τιτήσι μάχοιτο, μή τιν' απορραίσειν γεράων, τιμήν δε εκαστον έξέμεν ην το πάρος γε μετ' αθανάτοισι θεοίσι. τον δ' έφαθ', όστις άτιμος ύπο Κρόνου ήδ' αγέραστος, τιμής και γεράων έπιβησέμεν ή θέμις έστίν. 396 ήλθε δ' άρα πρώτη Στύξ άφθιτος Ουλυμπόνδε σύν σφοίσιν παίδεσσι φίλου δια μήδεα πατρός. την δε Ζεύς τίμησε, περισσα δε δώρα έδωκεν. αὐτὴν μέν γὰρ ἔθηκε θεῶν μέγαν ἔμμεναι ὅρκον, 400

392. Feine 8 Feîn 393. Féragrov

398. of olow

397. αφθιτον ούλυμπόν 393. αποραίσειν Ald. 395. čoat' Ald. τε M. (gl. eis τόν.) 398. σφήσι Μ. σφίσι Ald. 400. µèv om. M.

392. Is ar µdxorro. This syntax is used in oblique past narration, when the direct narration would have required bs as with a subjunctive; as here, Zeus would say, $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega \delta \mu \tilde{\nu}$, δs as here, Zeus would say, $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega \delta \mu \tilde{\nu}$, δs as here $\lambda \mu \omega \tilde{\nu}$ have the same set of the same set $\delta \sigma \tau is$ $\mu d \chi \sigma i \tau \sigma$ without δv . Com-pare $\pi \rho i \nu \tau i \sigma a \tau \sigma$ in Scut. H. 17. But in A geoth Dars 159 we have $\tau = \tau \rho v$ in Aesch. Pers. 452 we have Star rear φθαρέντες έχθροι νησον έκσωζοίατο. Βεθ also Soph. Trach. 164, hvin av xwoas drein. Translate: 'And he said that of all the gods who should fight with him against the Titans, he would not depose any one from his prerogatives, but that each should retain the office which he before held among the immortals.

395. aripos. This may mean anoriµos, deprived of his just prerogatives: see inf. v. 423. But, connected with ayépaoros, it seems rather to signify those who have not yet received honours, as contrasted with those who have been deprived of them. Thus $\frac{2}{3}$ $\theta \notin \mu is \ \partial \sigma l y$ Bhoeper, 'that he would restore them to,' 'put them in possession of.' 397. πρώτη. Her coming first is made

the ground of the very special honours conferred on her and her children.-- $\sigma \phi o \hat{\sigma} \sigma v$, suis, a form of $\sigma Fos = bs$ or ios. It is rather a rare word, though it must often have been so pronounced even where it is written without the sibilant, c. g. inf. 819. Cf. IL xiv. 202. of μ èr spoint Signar Old it Alv. 202 of μ èr spoint Signar it refers för kal fraklor. Xviii. 231, dupt spois syttesu kal frykesur.—The reading of some copies, spisur or spoint, indicates that some understood the daughters of Styr, whose attributes were appropriate to the occasion, viz. Niky and Bia, sup. v. 384-5.-dia undea marpos, by the advice of her father Oceanus, who appears to have joined in the Bounh or plot, v. 389.

399. The *hiatus* is rather unusual. See sup. v. 10, 369. Inf. v. 435. 466. Dr. Flach marks it with an obelus.τίμησε, supply περισσῶς from the next clause. Of 412. We might even read $\delta \hat{\omega} \rho o \nu$, and take $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \dot{\alpha}$ adverbially with both acrists. Most of the MSS. give Sédance, probably by an alteration of the transcribers.

400. θεών δρκον. Herself he made to be a solemn oath of the gods, but her sons ($K\rho dros$ and $B(\eta)$ he made to be dwellers with himself for all time-

216

παίδας δ' ηματα πάντα έοὺς μεταναιέτας εἶναι. ŵς δ' αὖτως πάντεσσι διαμπερὲς, ὥσπερ ὑπέστη, ἐξετέλεσσ'· αὐτὸς δὲ μέγα κρατεῖ ἡδὲ ἀνάσσει. Φοίβη δ' αὖ Κοίου πολυήρατον ἦλθεν ἐς εὐνήν· κυσαμένη δὴ ἔπειτα θεὰ θεοῦ ἐν φιλότητι 405 Λητὼ κυανόπεπλον ἐγείνατο, μείλιχον αἰεὶ, ἦπιον ἀνθρώποισι καὶ ἀθανάτοισι θεοῖσι, [μείλιχον ἐξ ἀρχῆς, ἀγανώτατον ἐντὸς ἘΟλύμπου.] γείνατο δ' ᾿Αστερίην εὐώνυμον, ἦν ποτε Πέρσης ἠγάγετ' ἐς μέγα δῶμα φίλην κεκλησθαι ἄκοιτιν. 410

401. Γεούς 403. Γανάσσει

405. θεα θεών M. 410. ηγάγεθ ώς M.

Here, as often elsewhere, $\delta\rho\kappa\sigma s$ is the object by which the oath is taken. As that object was a divine person, who would be aggrieved by her name being used for false swearing, she becomes also the avenging "Opkos who is mentioned in Opp. 804. The form of taking the oath was to bring some water from the spring called Styx: see inf. v. 784. Hom. II. xv. 37, kal ro kareißouerow Xrwyds 55wp, Sore µérµoros "Opkos Seudorarto re xélei µakdperosi 6eoiosw.

401. μεταναίται, μεθ έαυτοῦ οἰκοῦνras. This is explained by v. 388. The word is ẩπαξ λεγόμενον, and is wrongly interpreted μετοίκους, μετανάστας.—For żożs many MSS. give ἑοῦ, which should be written ἔου, if a personal pronoun, another form of which is εἶo, sup. v. 392.

402. Some $i\pi i\sigma \tau\eta$. See v. 395. 404. $\phi o(\beta\eta$. She was the sister of the Titan Coeus, sup. v. 134-6. As the mother of Latona, she was also the grandmother of Apollo, which is the account given by Aeschylus, Eum. 8. Goettling cites a grammarian in Bekker's Anecdota, vol. i. p. 428, η and $\phi o(\beta\eta_S \mu a \mu \mu a \nu \mu a \nu \mu a \nu)$, is 'Holodos. (Read, is Alox(λo_S , who says $\tau \delta \phi o(\beta\eta_S \delta' \delta \nu \rho \mu')$ $\xi_{\chi et}$ rapés $\nu \mu o \lambda \phi = 0$

405 Au χ.....) έχει παράνυμον.) 406. Αητώ. The goddess of night, generally (but according to Curtius, 119, wrongly) referred to λήθη and λαν. $\theta dx \epsilon \nu$. Hence she is $\mu \epsilon l \lambda_{1\chi} cos$, kind and genile, and $\kappa \nu a \nu \delta \pi \epsilon \pi \lambda cs$, sable-robed: hence also she is the mother of Phoebus, the god of light, of Hecate, the Moon, and of Asteria, the goddees of star-light. The Schol. observes, $\Lambda \eta \tau \delta$ $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \tau a$. $\eta \lambda \eta \theta \eta$. Latona herself (see v. 19) seems to hold a kind of half-way position between the Olympian and the Titanian powers.

408. Most critics agree in rejecting this verse, which, besides the tautology of $\eta\pi_{iov}$ after $\mu\epsilon(\lambda_{i\chi}ov)$, has the unusual feminine superlative $\lambda_{j}\alpha_{v}\alpha'\tau\pi\tau\sigma ov$. Possibly we might read $\xi\rho ros$ for $\epsilon r\tau \delta_s$, as Pallas is called olov $\xi\rho ros$ of $rt \delta_s$, as Pallas is called olov $\xi\rho ros$ of $rt \delta_s$, as Pallas is called olov $\xi\rho ros$ of $r\tau \delta_s$, as Pallas is called olov $\xi\rho ros$ of $r\tau \delta_s$, as Pallas is called olov $\xi\rho ros$ of $r\tau \delta_s$, as Pallas is called olov $\xi\rho ros$ of $r\tau \delta_s$, as Pallas is called olov $\xi\rho ros$ of $r\tau \delta_s$, as Pallas is called olov $\xi\rho ros$ of $r\tau \delta_s$, as Pallas is called olov $\xi\rho ros$ of $r\tau \delta_s$, as Pallas is called olov $\xi\rho ros$ of $r\tau \delta_s$, as Pallas is called olov $\xi\rho ros$ of $r\sigma \delta_s$, as Palla is called of τ of ros of ros of ros of rosis construct the original stood thus : $\mu\epsilon(\lambda_i \chi or \lambda_i e \delta_i)$, $\mu\epsilon(\lambda_i \chi or \lambda_i e \delta_j \rho \sigma_i)$ is a Palla is construct $\theta e \sigma \sigma_i$.

409. ebénvuor. This is a euphemistic epithet of the goddess of stars, offspring of Night and Heaven (if such, as Van Lennep suggests, be the real meaning of Koios. See sup. v. 134).—Itéporys, here the Titan; see sup. v. 377. Probably he represented the sun. We cannot be sure of the true etymology; but the sun-worshipping Persians seem to have some connexion with the name. See inf. on v. 913.

Digitized by Google

-

ή δ' υποκυσαμένη Εκάτην τέκε, την περί πάντων Ζεὺς Κρονίδης τίμησε πόρεν δέ οι ἀγλαὰ δῶρα, μοιραν έχειν γαίης τε και ατρυγέτοιο θαλέσσης. ή δε και αστερόεντος ύπ' οὐρανοῦ ἔμμορε τιμης, άθανάτοις δε θεοίσι τετιμένη έστι μάλιστα. καί γαρ νύν ότε πού τις επιχθονίων ανθρώπων έρδων ίερα καλά κατά νόμον ίλάσκηται, κικλήσκει Έκάτην πολλή τέ οι έσπετο τιμή ρεία μάλ', φ πρόφρων γε θεα ύποδέξεται εύχάς.

> 411. Γεκάτην 412. For 418. Γεκάτην For

412. πόρε Μ.

415. aθavátors τε MSS.

418. έσπεται M.

415

411-52. The whole of the episode about Hecate is regarded by Goettling as an interpolation. Dr. Flach also excludes it, and Schoemann, com. crit. p. 67, regards it as "sine dubio" the work of an interpolator. He remarks that the conception of Hecate is here very different from the ordinary mythology. Unquestionably, from v. 416 to v. 452 is somewhat different in style, if not inferior in poetical power. The Schol. says, ἐπαινεῖ τὴν Ἐκάτην Ἡσίοδος, ὡς Bouoros· ἐκεῖ γὰρ τιμᾶται ἡ Ἐκάτη. But the remark has little weight. It is more probable that the senisofe about is more probable that the episode about Hecate was added by some poet or rhapsodist of the Orphic school. This has been inferred by Heyne and Goettling from the repetition of *µouvoyevhs* in vv. 426. 448, the Orphic bards being said to lay weight on that circumstance. But from v. 411 to 415 there is nothing to object to; on the contrary, Hecate as the goddess of light is rightly asso-ciated with Phoebe and Asteria. For her attributes as a moon-goddess, a correlative of 'Απόλλων Εκατος, see 'Mythology and Folk-lore,' p. 157.

Ibid. Apollonius Rhodius calls Hecate θeà Περσητs in lib. iii. 467. 478. In iii. 1035 she is also 'only child ;' μουνογενή δ' Έκάτην Περσηίδα μειλίσσειο, a passage which he probably took from the Theogony as we now read it. 414. ύπ' οὐρανοῦ. If ὑπὸ be right

(several copies giving $\delta \pi^{i}$), the sense is, $\dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\ell} \tau_{i\mu} \hat{\alpha} \tau_{ai} \kappa \alpha \dot{\ell} \dot{\pi}^{i} \delta \dot{\ell} \pi^{j} \delta \dot{\ell} \pi^{i}$, she is also held in bonour by Heaven.' Goettling suggests in' oupavoû, on account of v. 427. It seems necessary to read & for $\tau \epsilon$ in v. 415; 'she is also bonoured under heaven (i.e. on earth), but espo-cially by the gods.' Otherwise v. 415 is a mere repetition of the preceding.

416-20. Goettling refers these verses to a still later interpolation. Perhaps they are merely a little out of place. If they followed next after v. 413, the sal yap would refer to $\mu oipar$ yains, while the yap in v. 421 would explain the Beoîoi retiuting of v. 415. It may be remarked that sal yap rur, 'for even now.' suggests the comparison of a later with an earlier recorded practice. But the distich 414-5 interferes awkwardly with the connexion, and perhaps it should be inclosed in brackets.

417. iλάσκηται, soil. θeobs, implied in $\theta \epsilon o \hat{i} \sigma i$ v. 415.— $\pi o v$, i. e. anywhere in the Hellenic world. In this case, the poet appears to say, he invokes Hecate to obt in for him the favourable hearing of the gods.--κατά νόμον, σεμνώς, roul-μως, "duly." In iepà καλά there is an allusion to ralliepeioval, litare.

419. φ. If this be right, and not ov, which is found in good copies, the dative may depend either on πρόφρων or on ύποδέξεται (i. c. ύποδέξηται), as in δέξατό οί σκηπτρον &c.

 Θ EOFONIA.

καί τε οι όλβον οπάζει, επεί δύναμίς γε πάρεστιν. 420 όσσοι γαρ Γαίης τε και Ούρανου έξεγένοντο και τιμήν έλαχον, τούτων έχει αίσαν άπάντων, ούδε τί μιν Κρονίδης εβιήσατο, ούδε τ' απηύρα όσσ' έλαχεν Τιτήσι μετά προτέροισι θεοίσιν, άλλ' έχει ώς τοπρωτον άπ' άρχης έπλετο δασμός, 425 και γέρας έν γαίη τε και ουρανώ ήδε θαλάσση. ούδ', ότι μουνογενής, ήσσον θεά έμμορε τιμής, άλλ' έτι καί πολύ μαλλον, έπει Ζεύς τίεται αὐτήν φ δ' έθελει μεγάλως παραγίγνεται ήδ' δνίνησιν έν τ' άγορη λαοίσι μεταπρέπει όν κ' έθελησιν. 430 ή δ' δπότ' ές πόλεμον φθισήνορα θωρήσσωνται άνέρες, ένθα θεά παραγίγνεται, οίς κ' έθέλησι

> 420. For 430. λαξοίσι

420. raí oi Ald. 421. ora M. 424. rernou Ald. 426-7. inverso ordine MSS. 430. *iv d yop j* Ald. 431. θωρήσσονται M. Ald. 432. παραγίνεται Ald.

420. δλβor ¿πάζει, viz. through her intercessory power.

422. alcar, the luck, or lot, $\tau \delta \chi \eta \nu$, of the Titans. The meaning is, she was not deposed from her office by Zeus: see sup. v. 393. - ànnúpa, here fur àpei-Acro, as in Scut. H. 428. See Opp. 240.

425. δασμός. Cf. v. 112. 426. μουνογενής. Schol. ol γαρ μονο-γενείς πλεονεκτοῦσι πάντοτε. The point of this is certainly obscure, nor is Van Lennep's note satisfactory: "Neque ideo minus honoris obtigit ei, quod est unigenita (conf. infra v. 448), quodque sic nullos habet fratres qui illam tueantur (conf. "Epy. 376-380), immo multo plus honoris illi obtigit, quod Jupiter cam honorat.

427. This verse is rejected as spurious by Gaisford and Schoemann after Wolf and Heyne. Goettling's suggestion has been adopted, that this and the preceding line should be transposed.

428. riera. An unusual sense of the middle, for $\tau(\epsilon_i, \tau)$, On the long i see v. 209. Schoemann reads éwel sal Zeùs tler aùthr.

429. παραγίγνεται. Goettling remarks that this verb, repeated again in v. 432 and 436, is unlike the ancient epic diction. It more resembles the Latin use of adesse. The addition of µeyálas also

of dateses. The solution of $\mu \tau$ proves and is extremely tame. 430. $\delta \nu \ s' \ \ell \theta \ell \lambda \eta \sigma \nu$, viz. $\delta \nu \ \delta \nu \ \ell \theta \ell \lambda \eta$ 'Exdry $\mu erampéreu$. Hecate is here described as bringing success in all the affairs of life, in the popular assembly, in war, in the law court, in athletic contacts in adjunct (fabing) provided contests, in sailing (fishing), provided that she is religiously worshipped and duly propitiated.

duly propidiated. 431. $\dot{\eta}$ &. Rather, perhaps, $\dot{\eta}\delta' \delta\pi\delta\tau'$ $\kappa.\tau.\lambda. - \Theta physicarrai is given by Goett-$ ling and Van Lennep from one MS. for $<math>\theta \omega physicarrai, which Gaisford retains.$ The subjunctive is defended by $\dot{\alpha}\epsilon\theta\lambda\epsilon\phi \omega\sigma_{UP}$, v. 485. In this latter verse, to avoid the unusual hiatus (see v. 899) we might read $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\bar{\omega}\sigma_{U'}$ or even $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\bar{\omega}\kappa$ s. For the influence of the goddess on games the influence of the goddess on games, see Donaldson on Pind. Isthm. iv. 1.

Digitized by Google

νίκην προφρονέως ἀπάσαι καὶ κῦδος ὀρέξαι· ἐν τε δίκῃ βασιλεῦσι παρ' αἰδοίοισι καθίζει· ἐσθλὴ δ' αὖθ', ὁπότ' ἀνδρες ἀγῶνι ἀεθλεύωσιν, 435 [ἔνθα θεὰ καὶ τοῖς παραγίγνεται ἠδ' ὀνίνησι.] νικήσας δὲ βίῃ καὶ κάρτεϊ καλὸν ἄεθλον ῥεῖα φέρει χαίρων τε τοκεῦσιν κῦδος ὀπάζει. ἐσθλὴ δ' ἱππήεσσι παρεστάμεν οἶς κ' ἐθέλησι· καὶ τοῖς οῖ γλαυκὴν δυσπέμφελον ἐργάζονται 440 εὖχονται δ' Ἐκάτῃ καὶ ἐρικτύπῷ Ἐννοσιγαίῷ, ῥηϊδίως ἀγρην κυδρὴ θεὸς ὥπασε πολλὴν, ῥεῖα δ' ἀφείλετο φαινομένην, ἐθέλουσά γε θυμῷ. ἐσθλὴ δ' ἐν σταθμοῖσι σὺν Ἐρμῆ ληΐδ' ἀέξειν·

436. καὶ τοῖσι παραγίνεται Ald. 438. ῥεῖα φέρει χαίρων, τε τοκεῦσι δὲ Μ. χαίρων τε τοκεῦσί τε Ald. 439. παριστάμεν Μ. 442. ῥηιδίως δ' MSS. κυδνη Μ, Ald. 443. ἀφείλετο ἄγραν φ. Μ. 444. λητδα αὕζειν Μ.

434. It seems more natural to read ϵv $\delta \epsilon \delta(\kappa p, \text{ and to transpose 430 to follow this verse.}$

436. This is a weak and useless verse. Gaisford encloses it in brackets, after Heyne and Ruhnken. Even Van Lennep, the champion of questioned verses, would omit this, which repeats the prosaic $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \ell \gamma ver \alpha \iota$ for the third time. Perhaps, as the Aldine $\tau \alpha \delta \iota$ suggests, we should read $\pi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \iota$.

438. φέρει ἄεθλον, carries the weighty prize easily, viz. on account of his great strength, supernaturally imparted by Hecate. The addition of βεΐα, and τοκεῦσιν ὅπάζει, is in favour of this sense of φέρεια, which might otherwise mean φέρεται, 'wins.' Photius, φέρειν, λαμβάνειν. See Oed. Col. v. 6 and v. 651.—The varieties of reading in this verse suggest a doubt whether the passage 435—8 can be considered genuine. Van Lennep gives βεΐα φέρει χαίρων τε· τοκεῦσι δὲ κῦδος ὅπάζει, and so Schoemaun.

440. γλαυκήν, the sea: not so much by an ellipse of θάλασσα as by a Hesiodic idiom of expressing things by descriptive epithets, e. g. φερέοικοs for 'a snail. Euripides similarly has άξενος ύγρα for the Pontus, Electr. 793, per-

haps after the Homeric πουλον έφ' όγρην, Od. iv. 709. — δυσπέμφελον, 'stormy;' see Opp. 618.— έργάζονται, a metaphor from tilling the ground and making profit from it. The absence of the digamma from this word is a strong ground for suspecting the antiquity of the passage.

440-1. Commonly, there is a comma after $\ell p\gamma d(\rho rrai and a colon after 'Erro$ oryalor. According to this, vv. 441 and442 are distinct sentences coupled by $<math>\delta \dot{\epsilon}$, whereas according to the other way, ot is the nominative also to $\epsilon \delta \chi o rrai.$ It seems clear that $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ should be omitted in 442, so that the sense would be: 'And to those who plough the stormy main and pray to Hecate and Poseidon, the goldess easily gives great gain (or success).' The word $\delta \gamma \rho \eta$ is variously interpreted of catching fish, of piratical enterprise, and of hunting, without respect to the preceding verse. Probably it means generally, any profit from mercontile speculations.

443. This verse can hardly be regarded as genuine, the termination being so similar to v. 446.

444. $\sigma i\nu$ 'Ep $\mu \hat{p}$, 'with the aid of Hermes.' He was the god of herds, not only as generally presiding over profit

βουκολίας τ' ἀγέλας τε καὶ αἰπόλια πλατέ αἰγῶν, 445 ποίμνας τ' εἰροπόκων ὀΐων, θυμῷ γ' ἐθέλουσα, ἐξ ὀλίγων βριάει, καὶ ἐκ πολλῶν μείονα θῆκεν. οὖτω τοι καὶ μουνογενὴς ἐκ μητρὸς ἐοῦσα πᾶσι μετ' ἀθανάτοισι τετίμηται γεράεσσι. θῆκε δέ μιν Κρονίδης κουροτρόφον, οἱ μετ' ἐκείνην 450 ὀφθαλμοῖσιν ἴδοντο φάος πολυδερκέος 'Hoῦς. οὖτως ἐξ ἀρχῆς κουροτρόφος· αἶ δέ τε τιμαί. Ῥεῖα δ' ὑποδμηθεῖσα Κρόνῷ τέκε φαίδιμα τέκνα,

446. ofiwr 451. Fidorto páfos afois

446. γ' ότων Ald. θυμφ δέκ' έθέλουσα M. 447. κάκ M. και έκ Ald. 453. βέα δ' M. βείη δ' αι δμηθείσα Ald.

and gain, but because his earliest exploit in infancy was the successful abduction of a herd from its owner. Hence Eur. Orest. 998, $\lambda\delta\chi\epsilon\nu\mu\alpha$ ποιμνίοισι Mauddos τοκοῦ.— $\lambda\eta$ tổa, here for cattle generally, as oxen, goats, and sheep are specifically mentioned in the next verses. The notion of booty, and of driving off the stock from an enemy's land, seems early to have ceased. Compare $\lambda\eta$ tẩerau γυναῖκα, 'gets a wife,' in Opp. 700.

447. βριδει, 'makes strong,' prolific and healthy. See Opp. v. 5, from which this verse was perhaps made up, βέα μèν γὰρ βριδει, βέα δὲ βριδοντα χαλέπτει. 449. μετ' άθανάτοισι. She is honoured

449. $\mu e\tau^2 d\theta a \nu d\tau o v \sigma t$. She is honoured (by men) among all the immortals, viz. not less than they. That this is the sense is clear from $\sigma \delta \tau \omega$ in the preceding verse. This verse therefore is not to be compared with v. 414.

450. µer' ékcírnr, viz. to those who should be born after her, or at least, after her possession of these attributes. Perhaps we should read *iBourro* ¢dos, qui post eam lumen vidissent.—The genuineness of these three verses is again questionable, yet hardly more so than the whole of this episode in praise of Hecate (416-452). It is doubtful if the ancient poets attributed to Hecate the office of *kouporpépos*, which was

rather assigned to the elemental powers, as Earth and Rivers. But this, like much of the preceding, seems referable to physical notions about lunar influences, which can hardly be as old as Hesiod, with whom Hecate was not more the moon than $\phi o \beta o s$ was the sun. —Anyhow, v. 452 seems to have been added, as Wolf perceived, to conclude the subject.

221

ⁱΙστίην, Δήμητρα, καὶ ⁱΗρην χρυσοπέδιλον, iφθιμόν τ' Atδην, δς ὑπὸ χθονὶ δώματα ναίει 455νηλεὲς ἦτορ ἔχων, καὶ ἐρίκτυπον Ἐννοσίγαιον,Ζῆνά τε μητιόεντα, θεῶν πατέρ' ἠδὲ καὶ ἀνδρῶν,τοῦ καὶ ὑπὸ βροντῆς πελεμίζεται εὐρεῖα χθών.καὶ τοὺς μὲν κατέπινε Κρόνος μέγας, †ὄστις ἔκαστοςνηδύος ἐξ ἱερῆς μητρὸς πρὸς γούναθ' ἶκοιτο, 460τὰ φρον ων, ἶνα μή τις ἀκαυῶν Οὐρανιώνωνἄλλος ἐν ἀθανάτοισιν ἔχοι βασιληΐδα τιμήν.πεύθετο γὰρ Γαίης τε καὶ Οὐρανοῦ ἀστερόεντος

455. 'AFίδην 459. f. εὐτε Γέκαστος 461. ἀγαffῶν

454. είσττην δήμητραν Μ. Ιρην Ald. 456. εὐρύκτυπον Μ. 458. πολεμίζεται Μ. 462. έχει Ald. έχη Μ.

457. Zîra. Flach omits this and the next verse. That Zeus, though king of the gods, was not the eldost son of Cronus, is the constant tradition of an-Cronus, is the constant tradition of an-cient mythology. When the Homeric Hera says (11. iv. 59), rad $\mu = \tau \rho e \sigma \beta \nu r d$. $\tau \eta \tau \tau \epsilon \kappa e \tau o K \rho \delta \nu o S \delta \gamma \kappa \nu \lambda o \mu h \tau \eta s$, and adds specially $\gamma e \nu e \beta$, in point of birth, the poet only so far differs from H c sidd, that the latter makes Vesta and De-meter older than Hera. Ovid, Fast. vi. 285, gives the inverse older; 'Ex Ope Juponem memorant Carstemande creates Junonem memorant Cereremque creatas Semine Saturni; tertia Vesta fuit.' Of the three brothers who severally reigned over Hades, the Sea, and the Heavens, --Pluto, Poseidon, Zeus,--the last is here the youngest. His superiority from the first, in intellect and contrivance, over the older children of Cronus, could only be shown by representing him as capable of doing something which others before him could not do. His empire avowedly depended on might rather than on right. See Hom. II. viii. 17-26. Hence, to make him become the greatest, it was necessary to represent him also as the youngest. It is to be observed however that in the Iliad (xv. 182) Zeus is described as senior to Poseidon. Ibid. v. 187, rpeis yap r' in Κρόνου είμεν άδελφεοί, ούς τέκετο 'Ρέα,

Zeds καl έγὰ [Ποσειδών], τρίτατος δ' 'Atôns ἐνέροισιν ἀνάσσων. Also xiii. 354, where the poet says of Poseidun, ή μὰν ἀμφοτέροισιν όμὸν γένος ἀδ' Ια πάτρη, ἀλλὰ Zeds πρότερος γεγόνει καὶ πλείονα ήδη.

459. δστιs. Wolf conjectured &s τις, which seems better. But εκαστος elsewhere takes the digamma.— Perlaps εδτε or &s τε (δστε Flach). πρός γούνατα, viz. in the course of birth. Hom. Il. xix. 110, δς κεν επ' ήματι τώδε πέσμ μετά ποσσί γυναικός.

462. ξ_{χ_0} Goettling. ξ_{χ_0} Gaisford and Van Lennep. $\xi_{\chi_{\ell_1}}$ the early editions.

463. $\Gamma a(\eta s, from Gaea in her capacity$ $of <math>\pi per \delta \mu a r r s$, Aesch. Eum. 2. To this oracle, in reference to the dynasty of Zeus, Prometheus alludes, Aesch. Prom. v. 218, and to the dethroning of Cronus by his stronger son, ib. v. 787. Ovid, Fast. iv. 197. 'Reddita Saturno sors have erat; Optime Regum, A nato sceptris excutiere tuis. Ille suam metuens, ut quaeque erat edita, prok m Devorat, immersam visceribusque tenet.' Van Lennep suggests a not improbable origin of this wild and extravagant legend, viz. that time (for Koirors is the same impersonation of $\chi poiros as$ 'Father Time' is with us), in the

οῦνεκά οἱ πέπρωτο ἑῷ ὑπὸ παιδὶ δαμῆναι, [καὶ κρατερῷ περ ἐόντι, Διὸς μεγάλου διὰ βουλάς·] 465 τῷ ὅγε οὐκ ἀλαοσκοπιὴν ἔχεν, ἀλλὰ δοκεύων παίδας ἑοὺς κατέπινε· 'Ρέην δ' ἔχε πένθος ἄλαστον. ἀλλ' ὅτε δὴ Δί' ἔμελλε θεῶν πατέρ' ἠδὲ καὶ ἀνδρῶν τέξεσθαι, τότ' ἔπειτα φίλους λιτάνευε τοκῆας [τοὺς αὐτῆς, Γαίάν τε καὶ Οὐρανὸν ἀστερόεντα,] 470 μῆτιν συμφράσσασθαι, ὅπως λελάθοιτο τεκοῦσα παίδα φίλον, τίσαιτο δ' ἐρινῦς πατρὸς ἑοῖο

464. For Feφ 465. Διτός 467. έτους 472. έτοιο

467. péar d' M. 468. Sì om. M.

course of the revolving seasons, destroys and again restores the various products of the year. Even Euripides spoke of Alder Kobrou wais, Heraol. 899. See Cic. De Nat. Deor. ii. 25.

465. Gaisford and Flach enclose this verse in brackets, after Heyne and Wolf; and they are probably right, though Goettling dissents. It is wanting in one MS. (Par. B, Van Lennep.) Besides, kal kparepô rep dorn rather awkwardly refers to ol instead of to read, and Aubs du Bould's seems wrongly to define the person, which the oracle must have left indefinite; for otherwise Cronus would not have eaten up one child after another. Goettling thinks this latter clause is the poet's own interpretation of the oracle's meaning, and connects it with $\partial a_{\mu} \hat{\eta}_{rad}$, not with $\pi \ell \pi \rho \sigma r_0$.—There is a variant $\pi a \tau \rho \delta$ for Aubs, mentioned also by the Scholiast.

466. The *biatus* in $\delta\gamma\epsilon$ obx is unusual; but examples are not wanting in Hesiod. See sup. v. 399.

470. One may reasonably suspect that this unnecessary verse was added by some rhapsodist as a comment on rowins. If so, the correction of Reize, rods advins for rods advins, as being more truly epic, is perhaps superfluous, though Van Lennep finds it in two MS3.

471. λελάθοιτο, the reduplicated aorist middle, for λάθοι, scil. Κρόνον. Perhaps, δπως λελάθοι δ τεκοῦσα κ.τ.λ. Flach reads δπως κε λάθοι τε, and so Schoemann. But λελαθέσθαι is an Homeric form.

472. Hesych. 'Epurvûs · aµaprlas. This gloss is by some referred to this pas-sage, which is certainly a difficult one. Goettling compares II. xxi. 412, core KEV TĤS MATPOS epurbas etamortivous, Baid by Pallas to the wounded Ares; 'so you may atone for the anger of your mother.' But the middle τ is ought here to mean, 'and might avenge the curse of her father Uranus;' whereas the context requires, 'might exact vengeance for the crying sin of his father Cronus.' Perhaps therefore it is best to assume that there is a change of the subject, i. e. that was $\phi(\lambda os, i. e.$ Zeds, is the nominative to $\tau fourto, -$ 'that she might bring him forth in secret, and he (in after times) might avenge the accursed deed of his father.' avenue the accurred deed of his father.' Guiotus proposed $\delta v \delta \rho \delta s$ for $\pi \alpha \tau \rho \delta s$. The next verse, if genuine, means, 'on account of the children which crafty Cronus had severally swallowed.' Gais-ford however and Goettling enclose it in brackets, after Wolf and Heyne, but Flach and Schoemann retain it, reading waldow θ . In one MS. it is omitted in the text, but added in the margin. Perhaps, after all, the verse is original. and epiros warpos waidow may mean, 'a futher's sinful treatment of his child-

 $\mathbf{223}$

[παίδων οὖς κατέπινε μέγας Κρόνος ἀγκυλομήτης.] οἱ δὲ θυγατρὶ φίλῃ μάλα μὲν κλύον ἠδ' ἐπίθοντο, καί οἱ πεφραδέτην ὅσαπερ πέπρωτο γενέσθαι ἀμφὶ Κρόνῷ βασιλη̈ϊ καὶ υἱέϊ καρτεροθύμῷ. πέμψαν δ' ἐς Λύκτον, Κρήτης ἐς πίονα δη̂μον, ὅππότ' ἀρ' ὅπλότατον παίδων ἦμελλε τεκέσθαι, Ζῆνα μέγαν· τὸν μέν οἱ ἐδέξατο Γαΐα πελώρη Κρήτῃ ἐν εὐρείῃ τραφέμεν *τ' ἀτιταλλέμεναί τε. ἔνθα μιν ἶκτο φέρουσα θοὴν διὰ νύκτα μέλαιναν πρώτην ἐς †Λύκτον· κρύψεν δέ ἑ χερσὶ λαβοῦσα

475. For 479. 488. For 482. Fe

478. τεκείν γε M. 480. τρεφέμεν M. Κρήτη ευρείη Ald. 482. πρώτον ές αυτήν λύκτον Ald. κρύψε Ald.

ren.' In this case the consequence of a crime, which is the vengeance it incurs, is put for the crime itself.

475. $\pi \epsilon \phi \rho a \delta o v$, the reduplicated a rist of $\phi \rho d \langle \omega \rangle$, or the imperfect of $\pi \epsilon \phi \rho a \delta \omega$, occurs Opp. 766. See on Scut. H. 228. This declaration on the part of $\Gamma a \hat{a} \alpha$ was made in her capacity of $\mu d \nu \tau rs$. See v. 403. The sense is, they consented to assist her in concealing the birth of Zeus, and not only so, but they told her what great fortunes awaited him if she could deceive Cronus.

477-84. Goettling regards these verses as the work of a recent rhapsodist, who was desirous to eulogise Crete. See II. ii. 645-9. Gaisford, who commonly follows Wolf and Heyne, and rarely gives an original or independent opinion, encloses only 479, 480. But this couplet seems at least as genuine as the rest of the suspected paragraph, if rightly explained; 'him indeed mighty Gaea received from har (Rhea) in wide Crete, for to nurse and fondle (viz. in the capacity of μaia , Aesch. Cho. 39, and $\kappa ouperpoops$). There she (Earth) came bearing him through the dark night, to Lyctus first; and she hid him, having taken him in her hands, in a cavern under a precipice.' It seems necessary to accept

Hermann's obvious correction, $\xi\nu\theta a \mu\nu$, for $\xi\nu\theta a \mu \epsilon\nu$. Goettling explains $\phi\epsilon$ powa by $\epsilon\nu$ yarrol $\xi\chi$ owa, in which case the nominative to Iarro is 'Peia. But this is a forced interpretation. Rhea had come to Crete, had there given birth to a son, and consigned it to Earth to carry off and hide. Van Lennep also makes Rhea the subject to Iaro, but takes $\phi\epsilon$ owa to mean 'carrying off.' It is not improbable that v. 483 is interpolated.—For $\delta\epsilon\xi$ aro compare Acsech. Cho. 737 and Ar. Ach. 478, $\mu\eta\tau\rho\delta\theta\epsilon\nu \delta\epsilon\delta\epsilon\gamma\mu\epsilon\nuos.$ The dative of is well known from the Homeric $\delta\epsilon\xi$ ard of oxigm pov, &c. As usual, it here has the digamma.

480. Probably $\tau \rho a \phi \ell \mu \epsilon r \tau'$ $d \tau \epsilon \tau a \lambda$. $\lambda \ell \mu \epsilon r a \ell \tau \epsilon$, or $\tau \rho \epsilon \phi \ell \mu \epsilon r \tau'$, the present being found in many copies. The first $\tau \epsilon$ is wanting in the editions.

re is wanting in the editions. 482. $\Lambda\delta\kappa\tau\sigma\nu$. There seems an allusion to the root $\lambda\kappa\kappa$, light, not only on account of the antithesis with $\nu\delta\kappa\tau\sigma$, but because a new-born child was said to come forth to light, $\phi\delta\omega\sigma\delta\epsilon$, but to be reared in the darkness of the womb, $\epsilon\nu \sigma\kappa\delta\tau\sigma\iota\sigma\iota = \nu\eta\delta\delta\sigmas$, Aesch. Eum. 635. Flach however, with Schoemann reads Rex &c., and this is probably right.

475

ἄντρφ ἐν ήλιβάτφ, ζαθέης ὑπὸ κεύθεσι γαίης,
Λἰγαίφ ἐν ὅρει, πεπυκασμένφ, ὑλήεντι.
τῷ δὲ σπαργανίσασα μέγαν λίθον ἐγγυάλιξεν
485
[Οὐρανίδη μέγ' ἄνακτι, θεῶν προτέρφ βασιλη̈̃ι]
τὸν τόθ ἐλῶν χείρεσσιν ἑὴν ἐγκάτθετο νηδὺν,
σχέτλιος, οὐδ' ἐνόησε μετὰ φρεσιν, ὡς οἱ ὀπίσσω
ἀντὶ λίθου ἐὸς υἱὸς ἀνίκητος καὶ ἀκηδὴς
λείπεθ', ὅ μιν τάχ' ἔμελλε, βίῃ καὶ χερσὶ δαμάσσας, 490
τιμῆς ἐξελάαν, ὁ ὅ ἐν ἀθανάτοισιν ἀνάξειν.
Καρπαλίμως δ' ἄρ' ἔπειτα μένος καὶ φαίδιμα γυῖα

καρπαλιμώς ο αρ επειτά μενος και φαιοιμά γοια ηὔξετο τοῖο ἀνακτος· ἐπιπλομένων δ' ἐνιαυτών Γαίης ἐννεσίησι πολυφραδέεσσι δολωθεὶς

487. čříp (feir Flach) 489. feis 491. Faráfeu 493. fáraktos

487. νηδην Ald. 489. ἀνήκεστος Μ. 490. βίη χερσι Μ. qu. βίη χείρεσσι. Cf. Opp. 321. 491. ἐξειλάειν Μ. 493. ἐπιπλομένου ἐνιαυτοῦ Ald. 494. τῆς γαίης Μ.

The Aldine reading of this verse indicates a variant $\pi\rho\bar{\rho}\tau\sigma\nu$ is $ab\tau\bar{\eta}\nu\Lambda\delta\kappa\tau\sigma\nu$. Expute Si $\chi e\rho\sigma$ i $\lambda a\beta\sigma\bar{\nu}\sigma a$. With the next verse compare v. 800.

484. Alyai φ . The name probably refers to the legend of the goat Amalthaca, who fed Zeus, Ovid, Fast. v. 115. The 'goat-mountain' is probably another name for '18a,—itself perhaps a Pelasgic word meaning wood. Alyel φ however is thus the more correct orthography.

485. ἐγγυάλιξεν, ἐνεχείρισε, put into his hands. Ovid, Fast. iv. 205, copies this passage :-- 'Vesto latens saxum caelesti gutture sedit. Sio genitor fatis decipiendus erat.'

486. This verse appears to be spurious, and the same kind of interpolation as v. 470, viz. exceptic of the sense. It is omitted by Flach. The phrase $\mu e \gamma$ *brann* is not easily defended, and *brat* is a digammated word. Besides, Oronus could not properly be called $\pi \rho \delta re \rho or$ *Baculebs* till Zeus supplanted him in the empire.

487. εγκάτθετο. The motion into implied by this compound sufficiently de-

fends the accusative. So $\ell\mu\pi\epsilon\sigma\epsilon\tilde{\nu}$, $\kappa\alpha\tau\alpha\sigma\kappa\eta\pi\tau\epsilon\nu$ are found with an accusative of the person in the tragic writers. Otherwise either ig $r\eta\delta\omega$ (synizesis) or $\ell\sigma\kappad\tau\theta\epsilon\tau\sigma$ would be an easy correction. The latter is found in two MSS., and adopted by Dindorf. See inf. 890. 899, where some MSS. give $\ell\sigma\kappad\tau\theta\epsilon\sigma$, and Opp. 27.

489. akyons, unheeded, uncared for.

491. 5 St. A prose writer would have said abros St. as Goettling observes.

493. The Aldine reading $\delta \pi_{i\pi\lambda\rho\mu\delta\rho\sigma\nu\sigma}$ [δ^{*}] $\delta_{\mu\nu\alpha\sigma\nu\sigma\sigma}$ seems as good as the plural, which is found in several MSS. The infant Zeus grew space, and about the same time in the following year Cronus disgorged his offspring. It was the common notion, that the gods became adult in a very short time after birth. 494. $\Gamma al\eta s$. This was a part of the $\mu\eta \tau rs$ mentioned in v. 471. Probably, as Contiling successing y 406 helonged to

494. $ra/\eta s$. This was a part of the $\mu\eta\tau$ is mentioned in v. 471. Probably, as Goettling suggests, v. 496 belonged to another recension, where the present verse was omitted. Some therefore represented the disgorging of the stone as the result of craft, others, of violence.

δν γόνον ἁψ ἀνέηκε μέγας Κρόνος ἀγκυλομήτης 495 [νικηθεὶς τέχνησι βίηφί τε παιδὸς ἑοῖο.] πρῶτον δ' ἐξήμεσσε λίθον, πύματον καταπίνων· τὸν μὲν Ζεὺς στήριξε κατὰ χθονὸς εὐρυοδείης Πυθοῖ ἐν ἠγαθέη γυάλοις ὕπο Παρνησοῖο σῆμ' ἔμεν ἐξοπίσω, θαῦμα θνητοῖσι βροτοῖσι. 500

[Λῦσε δὲ πατροκασιγνήτους όλοῶν ἀπὸ δεσμῶν

495. στον 496. έτοιο 500. θάτμα 501. όλοτων ______ 499. παρνασσοίο Μ. παρνησοίο Ald. 500. έμμεν Μ.

497. ἐζήμεσσε (ἐμεῖν) Passow and Hermann for ἐξήμησε, which Gaisford retains without remark. Cf. Ar. Ach. 6, τοῖς πέντε ταλάντοις οἶς Κρέων ἐξήμασεν. —καταπίνων, by a rare use, represents the imperfect, ἐπεὶ πύματον κατέπινεν. Cf. v. 467. We should have expected καταπιών, as Goettling observes.

Of V. TO', We allow the latter between arranish, as Goetling observes. 500. The depositing of the sacred stone at Delphi to be 'a sign and a wonder' to posterity, suggests the probability that this, like the Roman ancile and other objects superstitiously worshipped as $\delta_{1}\pi_{e\tau}\eta$, may have been a meteoric stone. Pausan x. 24, 5;- $\ell\pi ara\beta drīt \delta_{\ell} dr_{0} \tau_{0} \mu \mu \mu \mu aros \lambdai 0 os$ $\delta \sigma \eta \mu \ell \rho as: rour ow kal klaup$ dory e la kara z kova, kal kard kopr $<math>\delta \tau \mu \ell \rho as: rour ow kal klaup$ $\delta argu le a transfear, ra dord kor$ $<math>\delta \tau \mu \ell \rho a: rour sub do a do a do$ the earth, we should perhaps read $kard <math>\chi 0 \delta r a$. The notion may be, that be buried the lower part of it under the surface. But why not $\delta \tau d$ (or $\ell \pi$) $\chi 0 \sigma r \delta s$? See on Opp. 617, $\tau \lambda \epsilon d\sigma s$ d kard $\chi 0 \sigma r \delta s$, $\delta \mu \delta \ell \eta s \epsilon s r \delta \eta s$ $\mu \epsilon \sigma \sigma \sigma r \delta s$ d $\mu \rho \epsilon \delta \eta s$ $\delta \eta s$

μείλιον έγχος. 501-6. These verses are obviously spurious, and the present editor had marked them so without knowing Goettling's opinion on the subject. Flach also omits them. That a considerable *lacuna* exists here, wherein the quarrel between Cronus and Zeus was described, is more than probable, and has

been remarked by others. Wolf infers this from a passage of Plato (De Rep. ii. p. 377, E), where Hesiod is blamed for representing what Cronus suffered from, and what he did in requital to, his son. He might have added, that both Acaschy-lus (Eum. 611) and Euripides (Herc. F. 1317. 1342) speak of Zeus as having put in bonds his father Cronus, an event which was, in all likelihood, narrated in detail by Hesiod. The six verses enclosed within brackets were added as a transition to the next subject, which began abruptly from the loss of several lines. We have seen the birth of Zeus, but not his accession to the celestial to describe the acts of Zens in punish-ing rebels against his authority. And nothing in fact has as yet been said about the imprisonment of the Cyclopes by Uranus; which however Apoliodorus expressly mentions, i. 1, 2, pere τού σαρισθεί γ Μαπισική, Ι. 1, Ζ, μετά τούτους δε αυτώ τεκνοῦ Γή Κύαλαπας, 'Αργην, Στερόπην, Βρόντην, Εν ξεποτος είχεν ένα δφθαλμών έπι τοῦ μετώπου. 'Αλλά τούτους μέν Ούρανός δήσας eis Τάρταρον ξοριψε. There may be an allusion to it in rdyras arosporraorse sup. v. 157. Compare inf. v. 624 seqq. The Cyclopes would hardly be called Oppavioa by Hesiod (for v. 486 is clearly spurious), even if (which is uncertain from v. 139 compared with 133) he had made them the sons of Uranus. In either case they were the $\pi arponasi \gamma m_{\tau oi}$, 'father's brothers,' of Zeus, since his father Cronus was a brother of the Cyclopes at least by the mother Gaes,

Οὐρανίδας, οὖς δησε πατηρ ἀεσιφροσύνησιν· οἱ οἱ ἀπεμνήσαντο χάριν εὐεργεσιάων, δῶκαν δὲ βροντην ήδ' ἀἰθαλόεντα κεραυνὸν καὶ στεροπήν· τὸ πρὶν δὲ πελώρη Γαῖα κεκεύθει· 505 τοῖς πίσυνος θνητοῖσι καὶ ἀθανάτοισιν ἀνάσσει.] ΄ Κούρην δ' Ἰαπετὸς καλλίσφυρον Ἐκεανίνην ἡγάγετο Κλυμένην καὶ ὁμὸν λέχος εἰσανέβαινεν. ἡ δέ οἱ ὅΑτλαντα κρατερόφρονα γείνατο παίδα· τίκτε δ' ὑπερκύδαντα Μενοίτιον ἠδὲ Προμηθέα 510 ποικίλον, αἰολόμητιν, ἁμαρτίνοόν τ' Ἐπιμηθέα, ὅς κακὸν ἐξ ἀρχῆς γένετ' ἀνδράσιν ἀλφηστῆσι·

503. Foc

506. Γ**ανάσσει** 509. Γοι

506. τοισι M. 507. ωκεανίην M.

v. 137-9. des upper unit, 'folly,' infatuation.' So des upper output, Opp. 815.646. Buttmann regards the compound as a suphonic form of das upper (daw). The form descar is noticed as doubtful on Opp. 741. 503. cl. Perhaps for obros. See on

503. of Perhaps for obrow. See on v. 22.—The syntax of this verse seems to be confused of two idioms, χdow elgor or $j\partial ecar e idepyection, and aneurh$ $carro edepyection. Of 11. xxiv. 428, <math>\tau \phi$ el dareurhcarro kal de davárous are aloy. Thuoyd. i. 137, $\pi e i \partial a \mu d \tau \phi u$ day dareurhcardau delay. The thunderbolts were kar' $d g \alpha h$ the weapons of Zeus. Cronus had not possessed these; and it was to the gratitude of the liberated Cyclopes that the new sovereign owed the making of them.

505. $\pi \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \theta \epsilon_i$, had concealed them, vis. the thunderbolts. Compare v. 141, where the Cyclopes are said $\tau \epsilon \delta t a$ $\kappa \epsilon \rho a w \delta v$, to manufacture it. They first supplied them to Zeus, according to Apollodorus, i. 2, 1, on the occasion of the battle with Cronus and the Titans. 507. The offspring of Lapetus and Clymene are now described. Lapetus

507. The offspring of Iapetus and Clymene are now described. Iapetus (v. 134), son of Gase and Uranus, was one of the primeval Titanic powers, and his sons, Atlas, Menoetius, Prometheus, are described as the first enemies of

Zeus in his new dynasty. A long narrative about Prometheus and Pandora forms the principal part of this account, which is only another version of the story given in Opp. 50 seqq. Some of the verses are even identical, e. g. 571-3 occur Opp. 70-2, and 613 is nearly the same as Opp. 105. There is nothing surprising in this repetition; and the variations in the story are not greater, as Goettling observes, than may be accounted for by the different character and object of the two poems, or perhaps by an interval of some years between the composition of them.

510. $\delta\pi\epsilon\rho\kappa\delta\sigma_s$, over-boastful, an epithet of the Achaei in II. iv. 66, is by some taken for a contraction of $\delta\pi\epsilon\rho$ - $\kappa\nu\delta\eta\epsilon_{is}$, in which case the word must be circumflexed, like $\chi\rho\nu\sigma\delta\nu$ $\tau\mu\eta\rho\taua$, II. $\chi\nu$ iii. 475. The same doubt may be raised about $\delta\rho\gamma\eta$ s in Aesch. Ag. 114.

511. apaprison, wrongly judging, on account of his name which implies afterthought, or finding out consequences too late. See Opp. 47 and 84.

d 512—16. These verses, in the opinion of Goettling, are wrongly inserted here. For the punishment of Menoetius ought to follow the account of the quarrel between Zeus and the Titans, inf. v. 535 seqq. The objection however has little O 2

πρώτος γάρ βα Διός πλαστήν υπέδεκτο γυναικα παρθένον. ύβριστην δε Μενοίτιον ευρύοπα Ζεύς είς *Ερεβος κατέπεμψε βαλών ψολόεντι κεραυνώ 515 εινεκ' ατασθαλίης τε και ήνορέης ύπερόπλου. Ατλας δ' οὐρανὸν εὐρὺν ἔχει κρατερῆς ὑπ' ἀνάγκης πείρασιν έν γαίης, πρόπαρ Έσπερίδων λιγυφώνων, έστηώς, κεφαλή τε και ακαμάτησι χέρεσσι. ταύτην γάρ οι μοιραν έδάσσατο μητίετα Ζεύς. 520

513. Aufòs 520. For

513. ἐπέδεκτο Μ. 519. With this verse M 518. πρόπαν Μ. The deficiency in M is ends, and N commences with the next. supplied by L, which agrees with M hitherto, and henceforth closely with the Aldine. 520. εδάσατο N.

weight. The sons of Iapetus are mentioned together, and so are their rospective fates or destinies. Of these Atlas may be supposed to symbolise patient endurance, or industry, and Menoetius resignation to the will of fate. All the four brothers incurred the anger of Zeus, because they were of Titanic origin.

513. πρώτος ύπέδεκτο, he was the first to receive the newly-fashioned woman from the hands of Zeus, and to convey the gift to mortals, though Prometheus had warned him against it, Opp. 84–9. Goettling explains the sense differently, as if unederro meant, ' took to himself; "Nempe primus fuit Epimetheus, qui uxorem feminam sibi consociaret. Post imitati sunt homines." Perhaps the true reading is arédento, as Barocc. 60 gives entoento

516. Cf. inf. v. 619, ηνορέην ύπέροπλον dyáperos. Apollodor. i. 2, 3, 'lareroù δè κal 'Agías (Hesiod says Khuµérns, v. 508) της 'Ωκεανού 'Ατλας-καί Προμηθεύς και Έπιμηθεύς, και Μενοίτιος, δν κεραυνώσας έν τη Τιτανομαχία Ζεύς κατεταρτάρωσεν.

517. 'Arlas & s. τ . This is some-what briefly put for, 'Atlas also was similarly punished by Zeus, by being made to sustain the heaven in the far west.' Aesch. Prom. 355, $\epsilon \pi \epsilon i \ \mu \epsilon \ \kappa a l$

κασιγρήτου τύχαι τείρουσ' Ατλαρτος δε πρός έσπέρους τόπους έστηκε κ.τ.λ. Ibid. 433, μόνον δη πρόσθεν άλλον έν πόνοις δαμέντ' άδαμαντοδέτοις Τιτανα λύμαις eloidopar dewr. Homer calls him "ATLas δλοόφρων, Od. i. 52.-πείρασιν, the furολοφρών, Od 1. 52. —περάστο, the In-thest limits; cf. v. 335. —πρόπαρ, 'be-fore,' in front of,' προσαροιθε.—λιγυ-φώνων, 'sweet-voiced,' ύμνωδολ κόραι, Eur. Hero. 394, ἀοιδολ Hipp. 743. Goett-ling refers the epithet to the Λίγνες, or western Celts. But there can be little doubt that the abode of the Hesperides was placed beyond the Ocean stream, $\pi i \rho \eta \nu \kappa \lambda \nu \tau o \hat{\nu}$ $\Omega \kappa e \omega o \hat{\nu} o$, sup. τ . 215. $\pi e [\rho a \sigma u \nu e \mu e \gamma d \lambda o i s, v. 335.$ Humboldt thinks the Atlas of the early poets was the great volcano of Tenerifie (Peak of Teyda), of which vague accounts had been brought by Phoenician marinera. This is not improbable, at least as the origin of the legend; but Hesiod conceives the idea of a vast giant holding up the sky with his arms and back; and Aeschylus copies him, Prom. 358 and 438. See inf. v. 745 seqq.

519. fornas, in a standing position, όρθοστάδην, άϋπνος, οὐ κάμπτων γόνυ, Aesch. Prom. 82. Goettling rightly places a comma after λιγυφάνων.—This verse is repeated inf. 747. 520. ¿δάσσατο, had awarded (δαίω). Generally (as Opp. 37), the middle

δησε δ' ἀλυκτοπέδησι Προμηθέα ποικιλόβουλον δεσμοῖς ἀργαλέοισι μέσον διὰ κίον' ἐλάσσας. καί οἱ ἐπ' αἰετὸν ὡρσε τανύπτερον· αὐτὰρ ὄγ' ἡπαρ ἡσθιεν ἀθάνατον, τὸ δ' ἀέξετο ἶσον ἀπάντη νυκτὸς, ὅσον πρόπαν ἡμαρ ἔδοι τανυσίπτερος ὅρνις. 525 τὸν μὲν ἆρ' ᾿Αλκμήνης καλλισφύρου ἀλκιμος υἱὸς Ἡρακλέης ἔκτεινε, κακὴν δ' ἀπὸ νοῦσον ἀλαλκεν Ἰαπετιονίδη, καὶ ἐλύσατο δυσφροσυνάων, οὐκ ἀέκητι Ζηνὸς ἘΛυμπίου ὑψιμέδοντος, ὄφρ' Ἡρακλῆος Θηβαγενέος κλέος εἴη 530 πλεῖον ἔτ ἡ τοπάροιθεν ἐπὶ χθόνα πουλυβότειραν.

522. Γαργαλέοισι

rı 523. Foi

For 524. Fiorov

529. df ékme

524. toov om. LN. 525. $\pi \rho \acute{a} \pi a \nu$ N and by the first hand L. $\acute{e} \delta \epsilon \iota$ Ald.

means 'to have allotted to oneself.' Cf. v. 112, but also v. 537. 885. Inf. 789, $\delta\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon d\tau\eta$ $\delta^{2} d\tau^{2} \mu a \partial \rho a \delta \delta \delta a \sigma \tau a u. v.$ $544, <math>\delta\epsilon\epsilon \delta \delta \sigma \sigma a \rho a \rho a s$. The phrase here (if this verse be genuine) merely means $\tau a \delta \tau \eta r \sigma a v \tau \eta v \delta r e \mu e v.$

521. Promethens also was punished by Zeus, as well as Atlas, and in the same way, by being bound with fetters. Hence the fates of both are compared in Aesch. Prom. 433 seqq., quoted above. Homer includes Japetus and Kronos, whom he represents as imprisoned by Zeus in the far west, II. viii. 480.— $d\lambda u \pi row \delta \eta$, a word of obscure etymology. As $\lambda \lambda i \sigma \pi e \pi s$, there is no place here for a privative; and to derive $\delta \lambda u \pi row \delta n$ rom $\lambda \lambda i \omega$, $\delta \lambda u \pi row \delta \lambda u \pi row a here for a$ $privative; and to derive <math>\delta \lambda u \pi row f as y here$ $<math>\pi \lambda \epsilon o d \epsilon \tau \delta u \pi row \delta a \lambda v for a \delta a \lambda i \pi \eta u a,$ II. x. 94), is hardly satisfactory. The Scholiast's theory is obviously false, $\pi \lambda \epsilon o r d \epsilon \pi i \sigma x \pi \cdot \pi \lambda$, 'having driven them (the clasine) through the middle of the pillar,' i. e. affixed them halfway up, so as to clasp his breast, or perhaps $\mu \epsilon \sigma o refers to the diameter of$ $the pillar. Schol. <math>\eta row \delta \mu \mu \epsilon \sigma v \pi \delta r m r ho \mu \eta \theta a$. This indicates a reading $\mu \epsilon \sigma o r \mu \epsilon \sigma w \delta d \kappa \cdot \pi \cdot \lambda$. The use of

the accusative in the sense of 'right through' is not common. But cf. Eur. Phoen. 1397, $\delta \pi \rho \delta \sigma \theta \epsilon \tau \rho \omega \theta \epsilon ls \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho ra$ Πολυνείκους βία διῆκε λόγχην. The compound is altogether irregular in thesense of ἄλυκτος πέδη (Hesych. δεσμοῦείδος ἀναφεύκτου). Was the term applied to a chain to prevent a slave fromrunning away,—an 'escape-chain,' asit were?

526-534. Perhaps this passage about Hercules is a later addition. (It is omitted also by Flach.) See Aesch. Prom. 891. The epithet $\Theta\eta\beta\alpha\gamma\epsilon\nu\etas$ seems purposely given to a Bocotian bard.

528. 'Iameruoviky. This double patronymic form, which had its origin solely in metrical convenience, occurs also Opp. 54. The intermediate name was 'Iameriar (i), like Kpoviar, 'Tmepiar, Marciar, as the Schol. observes. Similarly we have 'Appridôns, the son of Ares (Cycnus) in Scut. 57, as if from 'Apns, 'Apnros Pindar has Talaioviôns, Ol. vi. 15.—For élúsaro we might have expected élvore. But see the note on Opp. 95.

531. $i\pi$ $\chi\theta\delta\nu a$. For the accusative see Opp. 11.

† ταῦτ' ẳρα ἁζόμενος τίμα ἀριδείκετον υἰόν καίπερ χωόμενος παύθη χόλου ὃν πρὶν ἔχεσκεν, οὖνεκ' ἐρίζετο βουλὰς ὑπερμενέϊ Κρονίωνι. καὶ γὰρ ὅτ' ἐκρίνοντο θεοὶ θνητοί τ' ἄνθρωποι 535 Μηκώνη, τότ' ἔπειτα μέγαν βοῦν πρόφρονι θυμῷ δασσάμενος προὖθηκε, Διὸς νόον ἐξαπαφίσκων.

532. ταῦτ' ὅρ ἀζ. LN, Ald. 533. παύθη Ν. παύσθη L, Ald. 537. ἐξαπατίσκων Ald. ζηνὸς νόον LN, Ald.

532. This verse appears to be corrupt, or perhaps a verse has been lost which followed it. Flach, with Robinson, has $\tau o \tilde{v} \tau or \phi' \phi' \dot{a} \zeta$. Goettling's conjecture, $\tau a \tilde{v} \tau' \dot{a} \phi' \dot{a} \gamma a \zeta \phi \mu e v or$ bable in itself, is the more so becausetwo or three MSS. give the unmetrical $elision <math>\tau a \tilde{v} \tau' \dot{a} \phi' \dot{a} \zeta \phi \mu e v \lambda o \beta a \tilde{a} \sigma v$ $\dot{a} \gamma a \zeta \phi \mu e v \lambda o \beta a \tilde{a} \sigma v$. But $\tau i \mu a$ cannot be the imperfect, unless on the supposition of some lacuna, or that the next verse, which has no connecting particle, is spurious. Perhaps $\tau \mu \phi$ $\dot{a} \phi \lambda \sigma v \kappa \tau \lambda$. Or (as we might rather expect $\pi a v \sigma d \mu e v \sigma s \phi \mu e v \sigma$, of. inf. v. 561. 568.

534. ϵ_{ρ} (see o βουλάs, 'competed in wisdom,' claimed to be wiser, as the 'fore-knowing' god. He was ποικιλόβουλοs, v. 521, and αἰολόμητις, v. 511. The allusion is to the contest next described, as to whether of the two was the shrewder. See on v. 60 for the short as of the accusative.

535. $\ell \kappa \rho i \nu \sigma \tau \sigma$, 'quarrelled,' literally, 'were having their dispute decided.' So inf. v. 882, $\tau \mu d\omega r$ $\kappa \rho i \nu \sigma \tau \sigma$ $\beta i \eta \phi \mu$. Schol. $\ell r \tau \eta$ $M\eta \kappa \omega r \eta$ $\ell \kappa \rho i \nu \sigma \tau \sigma$ $\delta i r \phi \rho \omega \tau \sigma \lambda \sigma \omega r \mu \sigma \lambda \sigma \nu r \delta \sigma \ell \sigma \omega \tau \lambda \sigma \omega r \mu \sigma \lambda \sigma \nu r \delta \sigma \ell \sigma \omega \tau \delta \sigma \sigma \omega \tau \delta \sigma$

tion with them, of the primitive indigenous divinities. Van Lennep thinks the story may be traced to some ancient council held at Sicyon to determine what gods should belong to the national religion of Hellas.

537. daggdueros, 'having divided into two portions' (perhaps as umpire). See on v. 520.—*moobhare*, placed before them, offered for a banquet. The trick consisted in this: he placed the eatable parts of the ox inside the skin, and covered the heap over with the paunch, which, as Goettling infers from Od. xviii. 44, was an inferior part, and one little cared for; while the really worthless parts, the stripped bones, he enveloped in enticing fat, so as to appear the better share. Zeus, although he took the latter, is represented as doing so knowingly (v. 551), and making the affair an excuse for punishing man, since in future, in con-sequence of the choice, only bones wrapped in fat were burned on the altars for the portion of Zeus. Schol. διά τούτο άνέσχετο χλευασθήναι, δπως εδρη χώραν τιμωρήσασθαι τον Προμηθέα, Ίνα άξιος η μείζονος τιμωρίας. Sir G. W. Cox remarks on this (Mythology and Folk-lore, p. 174, note), "In relating this story the Hesiodic puet says, strangely enough, that Zeus saw through the trick from the first, and that it was therefore no trick at all. But this is inconsistent with the feverish eagerness of Zeus to lay his hands on the fat. The god is really out-witted." The story seems to have been one of the lepol Adyon, to account for certain sacrificial practices.

τοῖς μὲν γὰρ σάρκας τε καὶ ἔγκατα *πίονα δημῷ	
έν ρινφ κατέθηκε καλύψας γαστρί βοείη,	
τῷ δ' αὐτ' ὀστέα λευκὰ [βοὸς δολίη ἐπὶ τέχνη	540
εὐθετίσας κατέθηκε] καλύψας ἀργέτι δημῷ.	
δη τότε μιν προσέειπε πατηρ ανδρών τε θεών τε	
Ίαπετιονίδη, πάντων † άριδείκετ' άνάκτων,	
ῶ πέπον, ὡς ἑτεροζήλως διεδάσσαο μοίρας.	
Ως φάτο κερτομέων Ζεὺς ἄφθιτα μήδεα εἰδώς.	545
τον δ' αύτε προσέειπε Προμηθεύς άγκυλομήτης,	
ηκ επιμειδήσας, δολίης δ' ου λήθετο τέχνης.	
Ζεῦ κύδιστε, μέγιστε θεῶν αἰειγενετάων,	
τωνδ έλεῦ ὑπποτέρην σε ἐνὶ φρεσὶ θυμὸς ἀνώγει.	
Φη ρα δολοφρονέων. Ζευς δ αφθιτα μήδεα είδως	550
γνω β' ουδ' ήγνοίησε δόλον κακά δ' όσσετο θυμώ	
· ·	

539. Bofein

540. Bofòs 542. 546. προσέ ειπε 545. 550. Feidùs

538. πίονι MSS. τοις μέν γάρ Ν. τῷ μέν γάρ vulg. 544. µoîpav 547. ourelingero N. 548. Zeus Ald., L. Zeu N. 550. dn Ald. pa ous hyvonoe N, the intermediate words omitted. With this verse a different hand commences in L, which henceforth exhibits readings more distinct from N.

538-40. $\tau o \hat{s} \mu \hat{r} - \tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \hat{t}$. There were two shares, and two parties to choose; gols and mortal men. This is a safer and better reading than $\tau \hat{\varphi} \mu \hat{e} r$ $-\tau \hat{\varphi}$ $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$, 'on this side and on that.' Guiet. proposed $\tau \hat{\eta} \ \mu \hat{\ell} \nu - \tau \hat{\eta} \ \delta \hat{\epsilon}$, which perhaps is the Attic rather than the Epic form. Two MSS. give rois uer-Tois dé .- For nion we should doubtless read $\pi lora$, 'the inwards rich in fat,' i. e. the $\sigma \pi \lambda \dot{\alpha} \gamma \chi \nu a$, or larger organs, as the heart and liver, which were considered delicate parts. The error may have arisen from ἀργέτι δημφ v. 541.

Flach also gives πίονα. 540. δολίη έπι τέχνη, for δόλια μηχατόμενος, intending a crafty trick. Cf. inf. 555.—κατέθηκε, set down, viz. near to the other heap. But this verse can hardly be genuine. It repeats κατέθηκε, it anticipates v. 555, and it contains a verb everticer, which seems of a late coinage. We should read therefore $\tau \hat{\omega}$ δ' αδτ' boréa λευκά καλύψαs άργέτι δημώ. 543. This is a corrupt or spurious verse, since avat regularly has the digamma. Besides, 'conspicuous among all kings' was an extraordinary appellation for Prometheus. Perhaps, apideiκετε λαών.

544. έτεροζήλωs, unfairly, partially; with zeal for one side.

545. κερτομέων, reproaching him for the apparent unfairness, though Zeus knew which was the better portion.— $\delta\phi\theta tra \mu\hbar\delta\epsilon a \epsilon i\delta\dot{a}s$ is quoted by Goett-ling from II. xxiv. 88, and Hymn. in Ven. 48, and so $\delta\delta\lambda trs \delta'$ où $\lambda \hbar\theta ere$ τέχνηs from Hymn. Merc. 76. Compare also inf. 545. 550. 559. 561. 549. σε ένί. Perhaps γέ σ' ένλ, or σε

γ dví. But see on v. 399.

551. bovero, designed, meditated. See Lexil. p. 445.

θνητοῖς ἀνθρώποισι, τὰ καὶ τελέεσθαι ἔμελλε. χερσὶ δ' ὄγ' ἀμφοτέρησιν ἀνείλετο λευκὸν ἄλειφα. χώσατο δὲ φρένας, ἀμφὶ δέ μιν χόλος ἵκετο θυμὸν, ὡς ἶδεν ὀστέα λευκὰ βοὸς δολίη ἐπὶ τέχνη. 555 ἐκ τοῦ δ' ἀθανάτοισιν ἐπὶ χθονὶ φῦλ' ἀνθρώπων καίουσ' ὀστέα λευκὰ θυηέντων ἐπὶ βωμῶν. τὸν δὲ μέγ' ὀχθήσας προσέφη νεφεληγερέτα Ζεύς· Ἰαπετιονίδη, πάντων πέρι μήδεα εἰδὼς, ῶ πέπον, οὐκ ἄρα πω δολίης ἐπιλήθεο τέχνης. 560 ῶς φάτο χωόμενος Ζεὺς ἄφθιτα μήδεα εἰδώς· ἐκ τούτου δὴ ἔπειτα, δόλου μεμνημένος αἰεὶ, οὐκ ἐδίδου μελέοισι πυρὸς μένος ἀκαμάτοιο [θνητοῖς ἀνθρώποις, οῦ ἐπὶ χθονὶ ναιετάουσιν.]

555. Fίδεν βoFòs	557. κάξουσ'	559. 561. Feidùs
------------------	--------------	------------------

552. έμελλεν Ald.	554. θυμφ LN, Ald.	557. ὑπὸ βωμῶν N.
έπὶ βομμῶ L, Ald.	559. Ιαπετεονίδη Ν.	560. επίλάθετο N.
563. μελίησι LN, Ald.	564. of om. N.	

553. Goettling and Van Lennep give $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\epsilon_i\phi_a$, with several MSS., for $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\epsilon_i\phi_a\rho_i$, as the older form. Hesych. $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\epsilon_i\phi_a$ $\sigma\tau\dot{\epsilon}a\rho, \mu\dot{\nu}\rho\sigma_r, \chi\rho\dot{\sigma}\mu_a, \dot{\epsilon}\lambdaaior.$ Cf. Aesch. Agam. 313. The share meant is the bones covered with fat, v. 540-1.

554. Schoemann reads $\chi \omega \sigma \sigma \tau \sigma \delta \epsilon \phi \rho \epsilon \sigma s \lambda \mu \phi$, $\chi \delta \lambda \sigma s \delta \epsilon \mu \nu \kappa \tau \sigma \theta \nu \mu \delta \nu$, the majority of copies having this or ler. 556. $\epsilon \kappa \tau \sigma \hat{\nu}$, 'in consequence of this;'

556. $\ell\kappa \tau \sigma \tilde{v}$, 'in consequence of this:' like $\ell\kappa \tau \sigma \delta \tau \sigma v$ inf. v. 562, and the Attio $\ell\kappa \tau \sigma \delta r \delta s$, Aesch. Agam. 850. Eur. Electr. 31. Ion 843. Since Zeus had himself chosen the bones, mortals thought themselves justified in offering the same in sacrifice. Human nature is ever the same: the smaller share falls to the lot of religion, the larger to s. 1f.—This distich (556—7), if not an interpolation, can hardly be considered to stand in its right place. Goetling would transpose it to follow v. 561, where it is much more appropriate. In this case, it would almost follow that v. 564 was added by an interpolator, since $\mu \epsilon \lambda \delta \sigma \sigma in 563$ would refor to $\phi \delta \lambda'$

àrôpámov.—dortéa $\lambda \epsilon \nu \kappa d_{\lambda}$ the thigh-bones stripped clean from the flesh. These appear to have been burnt along with the slices of meat enveloped with fat. Here there is specific mention of offering bones in a burnt sacrifice, yet $\mu \eta \rho i \alpha$ and $\mu \eta \rho ol$ ($\mu \eta \rho d$) seem to mean meat-slices carved or cut from the joint, the technical term for which is $\delta \kappa \tau \delta \mu \kappa \epsilon \nu$ or $\delta \xi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\nu}$. See Soph. Ant. 1011, Ar. Pac. 1021.

560. οδπω άρα. 'So you have not yet, it seems,' &o. This implies that Prometheus had frequently before practised the arts of deception. Cf. v. 547, and 562, δόλου μεμνημένος άεί.

562, δόλου μεμνημένος άεί. 563. ούκ έδίδου, he did not allow, did not continue the use of fire to mortule. The reading of many copies, μελίησι, is not easy to account for, except on the supposition made in the note on v. 556, that v. 564 was wanting. It seems to have been made from a gloss to μελέσισι, viz. ανθρώποις implied from v. 556. The Schol. seems to refer to it in the comment ή δri έκ Μελιών έγένοντο Νυμφών.

Θ EO Γ ONIA.

ἀλλά μιν ἐξαπάτησεν ἐὐς παῖς Ἰαπετοῦο, 565 κλέψας ἀκαμάτοιο πυρὸς τηλέσκοπον αὐγὴν
ἐν κοίλῷ νάρθηκι· δάκεν δ' ἄρα νειόθι θυμὸν
Ζῆν' ὑψιβρεμέτην, ἐχόλωσε δέ μιν φίλον ἦτορ, [ὡς ἴδεν ἀνθρώποισι πυρὸς τηλέσκοπον αὐγήν.]
αὐτίκα δ' ἀντὶ πυρὸς τεῦξεν κακὸν ἀνθρώποισι. 570
γαίης γὰρ σύμπλασσε περικλυτὸς ᾿Αμφιγυήεις
παρθένῷ αἰδοίῃ ἴκελον Κρονίδεω διὰ βουλάς.
ζῶσε δὲ καὶ κόσμησε θεὰ γλαυκῶπις ᾿Αθήνη
ἀργυφέῃ ἐσθῆτι· κατακρῆθεν δὲ καλύπτρην
δαιδαλέην χείρεσσι κατέσχεθε, θαῦμα ἰδέσθαι· 575
ἀμφὶ δέ οἱ στεφάνους, νεοθηλέας ἄνθεσι ποίης,

567. νεξόθι 569. ξίδεν 572. ξίκελον 574. ξεσθήτι 575. Θάξμα ξιδέσθαι 576. Γοι

567. δέ ἐ εἰόθι Ν. 574. ἀργυφέι Ald. ἀργυρέη Ν. ἀργυφέη L. καταθήκε δὲ καλύπτρην Ν. καταθήκεν δὲ κ. L, but with gloss ἐπάνω τῆς κεφαλής. 575. κατέθηκεν Ν. 576. νεοθηλέας Ald. νεοθηλέος LN? πίης Ν.

567. νάρθηκι. See Opp. 52.—νειδθι, έκ νέου, for νεάτου, 'at the very bottom.' Photius, νεάτη · έσχάτη. IL xxi. 317, ούτε τὰ τεύχεα καλά, τά που μάλα νειδθι λίμνης κείσεται. 569. ώς τδεν. Perhaps ώς τδ' έν κ.τ.λ.

569. és töev. Perhaps és tö év $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$. But this verse seems made up from 555 and 566. Goettling is inclined to include in brack ts v. 568, and in the proceeding one to adopt the reading of the Emmanuel MS. (N.), ödxev öt é $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$.

570. *àrrl πυρόs.* See Opp. 57. For the next three verses see ibid. 70-2.*iκελον*, supply πλάσμα from σύμπλασσε.

575. "Recte habet $\chi eigesou \kappa art o \chi e 0$. Pandora enim veli ab Minerva ei praebiti lactnias suis manibus tenobat, quemadmodum sac pissime id expressum videmus in antiques artis monumentis." Goetti. The meaning appears simply to be, 'ahe kept down with her hands (i.e. drew close, or prevented from being mov.d by the wind) a worked veil that fell from her head.' Compare $\sigma \chi \acute{e} \sigma d a$ $\kappa a \lambda \acute{e} \pi \tau \rho \eta \nu$ in Apoll. Rhod. iii. 445. So

Penelope in Od. XXI. 65, ärra rapeidar $\sigma_{\chi 0\mu dr\eta} \lambda_{iragd} \kappa \rho h \delta e \mu a$, and Helen in II. iii. 419, $\kappa ara \sigma_{\chi 0\mu} \ell r\eta$ éar $\hat{\varphi}$ à $\rho \gamma \eta r_i$ $\phi a c r \hat{\varphi}$. There is perhaps some objection to the abrupt change of the subject from Athena to Pandora. At the same time, the change will account for the repetition of Hallar's 'A $\theta h \eta r\eta$ in 577, which some critics have thought an indication of spuriousness. The $\kappa a \lambda' \delta \pi \tau \rho \eta$ was probably a kind of head-cloth, which could be held so as to enclose the face. It is nearly represented by the coloured kerchiefs that gipsics wear, after the Eastern fashion. It appears generally to have been richly embroidered; hence $\chi_{i\delta} \sigma i q \kappa a \lambda' \delta \pi \tau \rho q$

576. στεφάνους. As this, the chaplet of flowers, was added over and above the στεφάνη, the diadem or circlet of gold, and by the same hand of Pallas, Wolf and others have enclosed this and the next verse in brackets. The same account, however, is given in Opp. 74, $\dot{a}\mu\phi$ δε τήνγε [°]Ωραι καλλίκομοι στέφον

 $\mathbf{233}$

ΗΣΙΟΔΟΤ

ίμερτοὺς †παρέθηκε καρήατι Παλλὰς Ἀθήνη· ἀμφὶ δέ οἱ στεφάνην χρυσέην κεφαλῆφιν ἔθηκε, τὴν αὐτὸς ποίησε περικλυτὸς Ἀμφιγυήεις ἀσκήσας παλάμησι, χαριζόμενος Διὶ πατρί. 580 τῆ δ' ἐνὶ δαίδαλα πολλὰ τετεύχατο, θαῦμα ἰδέσθαι, κνώδαλ', ὅσ' ἦπειρος πολλὰ τρέφει ἠδὲ θάλασσα. τῶν ὅγε πόλλ' ἐνέθηκε, (χάρις δ' ἀπελάμπετο πολλὴ,) θαυμάσια, ζώοισιν ἐοικότα φωνήεσσιν.

Αὐτὰρ †ἐπειδὴ τεῦξε καλὸν κακὸν ἀντ ἀγαθοῖο, 585 ἐξάγαγ ἐνθα περ ἀλλοι ἐσαν θεοὶ ἠδ ἀνθρωποι κόσμφ ἀγαλλομένην γλαυκώπιδος ὀμβριμοπάτρης. θαῦμα δ ἔχ ἀθανάτους τε θεοὺς θνητούς τ ἀνθρώπους, ὡς εἶδον δόλον αἰπὺν, ἀμήχανον ἀνθρώποισω.

578. Foi 580. Διξί 581, 588. θάγμα 581. Γιδέσθαι 584. ζώοισι FeFoixóra 589. Feiðov

577. ἰμερτούς τε Ν. 578. δὲ om. L, Ald. 582. κνώδαλα ὄσσ' L, Ald. πολλὰ om. Ald. 584. θαυμάσια Ν. θαυμασίη L, Ald. 587. ὀβρ. Ν. ὀμβρ. L, Ald.

άνθεσιν elapıvolσι. Here the entire decoration of the woman is attributed to Pallas, who ζώσε και κόσμησε τ. 573, where ζωννύναι refers to the έσθης, κοσμεϊν to the head-ornaments.—For παρέθηκε, which Goettling explains παρά την καλύπτρην έθηκε, Hermann would read περίθηκε or περέθηκε (like περίαχε inf. v. 678). The former is better, and appears very probable. But the reading of the Emmanuel MS., μερτούς τε, suggests μερτούς τ' ἐπέθηκε κ.τ.λ. And this is adopted by Dr. Flach, who however excludes from the text 366—584. We might also suggest προσέθηκε.

We might also suggest $\pi \rho o \sigma i \theta \eta \kappa \epsilon$. 578-80. These three verses were probably taken from a different recension, in which they stood is place of the distich preceding.

distich preceding. 582. The readings of the Aldine and Barooc. 109 suggest κνώδαλά θ' δοσα περ ήπειρος τρέφει ήδε θάλασσα.

584. $\theta a \nu \mu d \sigma i a$, the reading of several good copies, is manifestly better than $\theta a \nu \mu a \sigma (\eta)$, agreeing with $\chi d \rho i s$.

585. καλδν καίδν. When Zeus had fashioned a fair evil as a counterbalance to the good that had been fraudulently obtained, viz. the stolen fire. Of. v. 370. Opp. 57, dord ruphs δόσω κακδν φ κεν δπαστες τέρπωσται. From v. 571 Hermaun infers that the same nominative is continued as in the foregoing sentence, viz. 'Αμφιγυήεις.—For κάλον see Opp. 63. The correction of Hermann is probably right, abrah éπel τεῦξεν κ.τ.λ.

586. źróż wer. The poet does not say where the place was, in which both gods and men were assembled to witness the new-created beauty. In Opp. 84 the story is differently told. There Hermes is ordered to conduct Pandora as a gift to Epimetheus, who forthwith places her at the disposal of mortals on earth. There is something abrupt and obscure in the narrative here, which says daïpes $\xi_{\chi er}$ àrôpómous és eldor šóhar àrôpómots.

[Εκ τῆς γὰρ γένος ἐστὶ γυναικῶν θηλυτεράων.] 590 τῆς γὰρ ὀλώϊόν ἐστι γένος καὶ φῦλα γυναικῶν, πῆμα μέγ' * αἶ θνητοῖσι μετ' ἀνδράσι ναιετάουσιν, οὐλομένης πενίης οὐ σύμφοροι, ἀλλὰ κόροιο. ὡς δ' ὁπότ' ἐν σμήνεσσι κατηρεφέεσσι μέλισσαι κηφῆνας βόσκουσι, κακῶν †ξυνήονας ἔργων, 595 αἱ μέν τε πρόπαν ἦμαρ ἐς ἠέλιον καταδύντα

593. ἀσύμφοροι L, Ald. κούροιο L. 594. εἰς μήνεσσι (εἰ σμήνεσσι) Ν. qu. ὡς δ' ὅπότε σμήνεσσι? 595. βόσκωσι Ν. βόσκουσι L, Ald. 596. ai μέν τοι Ν. ai μέν τε L. οi μέν τε Ald.

590. This verse, as Hermann perceived, belonged to another recension in place of the next, or possibly of the next three. Indeed, there is hardly any passage in Hesiod which demonstrates the fact of two recensions being mixed together more incontestably than this. The whole passage, from v. 590 to v. 612, was suspected by Wolf; and it seems not only in great measure different in style, but it is partly made up of Homeric phrases, and involves some suspicious violations of the digamma. That Hesiod should be one of the so-called Greek misogynists, in common with Acechylus, Aristophanes, Euripides, the pseudo-Simonides, and othera, is not a little remarkable. Hesiod, however, does not allude to the faithleseness of women, on which Euripides especially dwells; but he calls them idle, self-indulgent, fit only for the rich; though he modifies his harsh opinion of the sex at v. 608.

591. $\tau\eta$ s, sc. $\tau a\delta\tau\eta$ s, viz. from Pandora, the first of her sex.— $\delta\lambda\delta\delta\tau\nu$, a lengthened form of $\delta\lambda\sigma\delta\nu$, and that for $\delta\lambda\sigma\delta\nu$. Probably the word was $\delta\lambda\sigma\delta\sigma$ s, whence a secondary form $\delta\lambda\sigma$ -Foos, $\delta\lambda\sigma\phi\delta\tau\sigma$ s. See Curtius, Gr. Et. 562.—The stop commonly placed after $\gamma\epsilon\nu\sigma\sigma$ is intolerable. The most natural way of construing the vulgate reading seems to be this; $\tau\eta\mu\mu\mu\epsilon\gamma\alpha$ $\epsilon\kappa\epsilon\nu\sigma\sigma$, of *vacet* $\delta\nu\sigma\sigma\sigma$ is a unusual periphrasis, and it seems better to insert at, with Schoemann and Flach, for this agrees more directly with $\sigma\mu\rho\sigma\rho\sigma$ than $\theta\lambda\alpha$ $\gamma\nu\nu\alpha\alpha$ - $\kappa\partial\nu = \gamma\nu\nu\alpha\lambda\kappa\sigma$ s. This adjective takes

the genitive in the sense of 'companions in,' 'fellow-bearers of,' though in Opp. 802 we have $\lambda\mu\lambda\delta\gamma\,d\rho$ $\tau\sigma\iota$ $\pi d\mu$ - πar $\dot{a}e\gamma\gamma\dot{\rho}$ $\sigma\dot{\nu}\mu\phi\rho\rhos$ $\dot{a}\sigma\delta\rhol$. Theognis 526 (quoted by Goettling), $\dot{\eta}$ $\pi er(\eta$ $\delta\dot{e}$ $\kappa ar\hat{\varphi}$ $\sigma\dot{\nu}\mu\phi\rho\rho\sigma$, $\dot{a}r\delta\rhol$ $\dot{\phi}e\rhoeu$. Were we sure v. 592 was genuine, we might read $o\dot{c}$ $\sigma\mu\phi\rho\rho\sigmar$, especially as there are variants $\dot{a}\sigma\dot{\mu}\phi\rho\rhoa$ and $o\dot{c}$ $\sigma\dot{\mu}\phi\rho\rhoa$. Perhaps, however, it was added in consequence of the stop at $\gamma\dot{e}ros$, by some who construed κal $\dot{e}\kappa$ $\tau\eta\sigma\delta\epsilon$ $\phi\hat{\nu}\lambdaa$ $\gamma\nu\nu\pi a\kappa\hat{w}s$ $(\gamma\nu\nu\pi\hat{a}\hat{\kappa}es)$ $raierdovoir <math>\tau\eta\mu a$ $\mu\dot{e}\gamma a$ $\mu e\tau'$ $\dot{a}\sigma\delta$ - $\rho\dot{a}\sigma\nu$. Stobaeus, Flor. $\sigma\gamma'$. 47, cites 591-3 as we have them in the copies. - $\kappa\dot{o}\rho\omegao$, abundance, luxury.

594—5. There are variants έν σίμβλοισι and βόσκωσι, adopted by Gaisford and Flach, rejected by Goettling.—ξυνήοναs (ξυνδς), for κοινῆ έργαζομένους κακά. Cf. v. 601. The absence of the digamma from έργων is an indication of some error. Cf. Opp. 832. Inf. v. 601. Here at least one is tempted to read κακῶν ξυνήοναs ἀργοὺς, but that Hesiod uses the form ἀεργός. The simile from drones in a hive is applied by Plato to idle spendthrift citizens, De Rep. vii. p. 552, O, βούλει οὖν, ἦν δ ἐγὼ, φῶμεν aὐτ∂ν, ὡς ἐν κηρίφ κηφὴν ἐγγίγνεται, σμήνους νόσημα, ῶτω καὶ τὸν τοιοῦτον ἐν οἰκία κηφῆνα ἐγγίγνεσθαι, νόσημα πόλεως;

596. πρόπαν ήμαρ, all day long; of. sup. v. 525. ημάτια, day by day. So Hom. II. iz. 72, πλεΐαί τοι οίνου κλισίαι, τδν νήτε 'Αχαιῶν ημάτια Θρήκηθεν ἐπ' εἰρέα πόντον άγουσιν. Goettling's conjecture ἀκάμαται is superfluous.—τιθείσι, see inf. v. 875. II. zvi. 262. Aeschylus uses this form for τιθέασι, Ag. 451.

ήμάτιαι σπεύδουσι, τιθεισί τε κηρία λευκα, οί δ' έντοσθε μένοντες έπηρεφέας κατα σίμβλους άλλότριον κάματον σφετέρην ές γαστέρ' άμωνται ŵς δ' αυτως άνδρεσσι κακον θνητοίσι γυναίκα 600 Ζευς υψιβρεμέτης θηκε, ξυνήονα έργων άργαλέων · ἕτερον δε πόρεν κακον άντ' άγαθοιο· öς κε γάμον φεύγων και μέρμερα ἕργα γυναικών μη γήμαι έθελη, όλοον δ' ἐπι γήρας ἵκηται χήτει γηροκόμοιο, ό δ' ου βιότου ἐπιδευής 605 ζώει, ἀποφθιμένου δε δια κτήσιν δατέονται χηρωσταί· ῷ δ' αυτε γάμου μετα μοιρα γένηται, κεδνην δ' ἔσχεν ἄκοιτιν ἀρηρυίαν πραπίδεσσι,

601. Γέργων Γαργαλέων

603. Fépya

604. δλοδον 605. επιδεδής

598. ἐπηρεμφέας Ν. ἐπϊρεφέας L. ἐυνήονας vulg. 602. δ' ἔπορε Ν. διάζωήν Ν. 608. ἔσχε L, Ald.

600. ώς αύτως Ν. γυναίκας... 605. βιότου τ' Ν. 606. ζώη...

598. Hesych. σίμβλοι· τὰ σμήνη, τὰ ἀγγεῖα τὰ τῶν μελισσῶν, ἐν οἶs τὰ κηρία συνάγεται.

599. duŵra, heap up, scrape together, corradunt in ventrem. Cf. Opp. 775. 778.

601. $\xi \nu r \hbar \rho v a$, 'taking part in grievous troubles,' i.e. causing them cares. See on v. 603, and for $\xi \nu r \hbar \rho v$, 'a partner,' Find. Pyth. iii. 4%. Hessoh. $\xi \nu r \hbar \rho v s \cdot$ $\kappa o \nu \omega v o i$. This must be the sense, otherwise the comparison with the drones altogother fails, if we render it 'helpmates in their hard labours.' This would be a virtue; but the poet is speaking of women's vices. The meaning is determined by v. 595. Stobaeus, citing 600-9 ($\xi \theta'$. 15), gives $\lambda \epsilon \nu \gamma a \lambda \epsilon \omega r$ (602) and $\langle \omega \hbar \nu$ (606).

and (whr (606). 602. Erepor randor. The making of the woman was randor art' dradoio, v. 585; the second evil consists in the following dilemma; Either a man marries, or he does not. If he does not, strangers possess his wealth; if he does, though he may have a good wife, he may at the same time have, as a counterbalancing

evil, an insubordinate family, $\lambda \tau a \rho \tau \eta \rho \delta s$ $\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \theta \lambda \eta$. Schol. $\tau o \tilde{v} \tau \delta \eta \sigma u r$, $o f \tau \epsilon \mu \eta$ $\gamma \eta \mu as$ (l. $\delta \tau i \delta \mu \eta \gamma \eta \rho a \beta \sigma r \kappa \epsilon \sigma \delta a$. "H of resor $\xi \chi \epsilon u$ $\lambda \gamma \eta \rho \delta \sigma r \kappa \epsilon \delta \eta \eta \gamma \eta \rho \rho \delta \sigma r \kappa \epsilon \sigma \delta a$. "H of resor- $\lambda \gamma a \theta \delta \nu \tau \delta \mu \eta \gamma \eta \rho \rho \delta \sigma r \kappa \epsilon \sigma \delta a$. "H of resor- $\lambda \gamma a \theta \delta \nu \tau \delta \mu \eta \gamma \eta \rho \rho \delta \sigma r \kappa \epsilon \sigma \delta a$. The poet is weighing good against evil in both cases: (l) an unmarried man has not the expense of a wife and family, but then he has no one to care for him, and he leaves his property to strangers; (2) a married man may have a good wife but a bad offspring, and thus tho good is counterbalanced by the evil. v. 607 seems to have been made up from the similar verse in II. v. 158, $\chi \eta \rho \sigma \tau \sigma \delta \delta$ $\delta \iota \lambda \kappa \tau \eta \sigma \iota \delta \sigma \tau \sigma \iota$. On this word, comtaining the same root ($\chi \eta$) as $\chi \eta \tau \iota$, $\chi \eta \rho \sigma$, and heres, see Curtina, Gr. Et. 200.

603. μέρμερα Fέργα is to be remarked, as compared with the undigammated έργων in v. 595. In v. 601 one of the MSS. gives γυναϊκα—ξυνήνορα, whence ξυνήονα has now been adopted as probably the true reading. Hesych. γηροκόμος· γηροτρόφος.

τῷ δέ τ' ἀπ' αἰῶνος κακὸν ἐσθλῷ ἀντιφερίζει έμμεναι δς γαρ τέτμη αταρτηροίο γενέθλης, 610 ζώει ένι στήθεσσιν έχων αλίαστον ανίην θυμώ και κραδίη, και ανήκεστον κακόν έστιν.

Ως οὐκ ἔστι Διὸς κλέψαι νόον οὐδὲ παρελθεῖν. ούδε γαρ Ιαπετιονίδης ακάκητα Προμηθεύς τοιό γ' υπεξήλυξε βαρυν χόλον, αλλ' υπ' ανάγκης 615 καὶ πολύιδριν ἐόντα μέγας κατὰ δεσμὸς *ἔρυκεν. 🐇

609. alfüros

613. Aufòs

616. πολύ Γιδριν

609. τώδ ảπ' L, Ald. 610. τέμνη L. ην δεκε τέτμη Ald. δςδέ κε γήμη Ν. 614. iaπernoviδns N. 615. dváyny Ald. 616. μέγα N. ερύκει MSS.

609. dericepifei, 'contends against,' • matches.' In Opp. 210, a passage of doubtful genuineness, it has the same sense. The phrase $d\pi^{\prime}$ alwros, for del, is not free from suspicion, though Homer has an' aldros réos diteo, Il. xxiv. 725, for and Blov, nor is Euperau in the next verse easily explained. Schoemann reads τψ δi δi alwros κ.τ.λ. Stobaeus, ending his quotation with αντιφερίζει, might seem to have not read in his copy the three concluding lines. The context seems to require $\epsilon i \gamma d\rho \tau \epsilon \tau \mu \eta \kappa. \tau. \lambda$. Flach and Schoemann read $\epsilon \mu \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon s$ with Wopkens, and mark ἀρτιφερίζει with an obelus. Possibly, δs γήμαs γὰρ τέτμη $\kappa. \tau. \lambda.$, one MS. giving δs δέ κε γήμη.

610. The word arapropos does not occur elsewhere in Hesiod, though Homer once or twice uses it. Schol. σκληράς, χαλεπής, άπο γενικής (?) γενεάς. Gloss. Barocc. 109, βλαβεράς.

Ibid. yevédans. If the poet had meant 'race' in the sense of 'womankind,' he would probably have avoided ambiguity by using yuraikos. But the troubles of an ungrateful offspring are clearly here meant. Euripides touchingly alludes to the same cross in life, Med. 1090-1104; and he has a very similar passage on happy and unhappy marriages, in Orest. tive in the sense of $\tau i \chi p$. 612. This verse is defended by

Goettling, who construes allastor oupp. Gaisford encloses it in brackets after Ruhnken, who thinks oun and spadin were glosses on ortheerow, and artheer-TOP a gloss on the false reading arlactor for $d\lambda$ laston. (Hesych, arthreston dulaston). It is possible that $\delta\sigma\chi ev$ should be read for $\delta\sigma\tau v$. for άλίαστον.

613. As obx for. This reverts to the punishment of Prometheus described sup. v. 521. The sense is, 'Thus we see that no cunning is so clever as to escape punishment, if it involves dis-obedience to Zeus.' It is, as it were, the moral of the foregoing story. Compare Opp. 105, οδτως ούτι τη έστι Διός νόον έξαλέασθαι.

614. andnyra. Perhaps 'beneficent,' the Homeric epithet of Hermes (II. xvi. 185. Od. xxiv. 10), and probably to be compared with a similar attribute of the same god, *épicówios*. The nega-tive quality of doing no harm suggested the positive quality of doing good. But in the former sense only Darius is called *ärakos* in Acsch. Pers. 663

616. πολύζοριν. He is elsewhere called ποικίλοs and αἰολόμητις, sup. v. 511. Horace terms him callidue Carm. ii. 18, 35. For the vulg. epónes Flach reads Epuker, with Schoemann, and this seems necessary, as Prometheus was liberated by Hercules.

'Οβριάρεφ δ' ώς πρώτα πατηρ ώδύσσατο θυμφ Κόττφ τ' ήδε Γύη, δησε κρατερφ ενί δεσμφ, ηνορέην ύπέροπλον † άγώμενος ήδε και είδος και μέγεθος· κατένασσε δ' ύπο χθονος ευρυοδείης· 620 ενθ' οι δ' άλγε' έχοντες ύπο χθονι ναιετάοντες είατ' επ' έσχατιη, μεγάλης εν πείρασι γαίης, δηθα μάλ', άχνύμενοι, κραδίη μέγα πένθος έχοντες, άλλά σφεας Κρονίδης τε και άθάνατοι θεοι άλλοι

617. βριάρεω δ' ώς τὰ πρῶτα LN, Ald. δδύσσατο L, Ald. 619. ἀγόμενος Ν. 622. εἶτ' ἐπ'—μεγάλοις Ν. 623. μέγα om. Ν.

617 seqq. The contest between the Olympian gods and the Titans, or the change from the old to the new dynasty, is related at length .-- Cottus, Briareus, and Gyer, were the hundredhanded giant sons of Gaea and Uranus, sup. v. 149. For their treatment of their father they had been threatened with punishment (sup. v. 209, 210), and the threat is now about to be excented, on the principle that an undutiful son (Cronus) will himself have an undutiful offspring (Zeus).— 'Oppiapeds, another form of the name, is recognised in Etym. M. p. 346, 38, and indeed is sufficiently defended by the analogy of $\beta_{\rho_1 d \omega}$ compared with $\delta \beta_{\rho_1 \mu \rho_2 \sigma}$. Here the metre requires 'O $\beta_{\rho_1 d \rho_2 \sigma} \omega_2$, while in v. 149 and 714 either form is admissible. It occurs also inf. v. 734, where the common reading, Kórros $\tau \epsilon$ sal δ B $\rho_1 d \rho_2 \omega_2$, though a manifest solecism, is minimal by Gaisford But here the retained by Gaisford. But here the MSS. give Bridrey & bs xpara (80 Van Lennep), or Bridges & is ra spora (Gaisford). L. Dindorf conjectured 'Οβριάρεφ, which Goettling says is found in two MSS. To make Βριάρεφ a spondee by synizesis is quite out of sponds to $\sigma \phi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho \phi \eta \chi \theta \sigma \tau \sigma \tau \sigma \kappa \eta I \ \ell \xi d \rho \chi \eta s$, sup. v. 155. Homer has the form bobooopa more than once.---byoe, see v. 157.

619. dywheros, 'being awed at.' Compare dyalera, Opp. 333. The genuineness of this and the next verse is doubtful. It does not appear that $\dot{a}\gamma \dot{a}\mu eros or \dot{a}\gamma \hat{a}\sigma \delta u$ is elsewhere found ; and the form looks like the coinage of a post-epic interpolator, on the model of the Homeric $\dot{a}\gamma da\sigma \theta e$, $\dot{\eta}\gamma da\sigma \theta e$, $\dot{a}\gamma da\sigma - \theta a$, from $\dot{a}\gamma a\mu a u$. Again, $\dot{\sigma}\sigma \dot{\lambda} g \theta \sigma \dot{\delta} s$ and $\dot{\sigma}\sigma \dot{\lambda} g \theta \sigma \dot{\delta}$, in the same sense, should hardly stand in two consecutive verses. Thirdly, $\dot{\eta}\delta \dot{\delta}$ and elsos is a violation of the digamma; and lastly, $\dot{\eta}rop \dot{e}\eta s \dot{\sigma} \pi \rho \phi - \pi \lambda \sigma v$ occurred sup. v. 516. Dr. Flach's conjecture is very probable, $\dot{a}\gamma a d \mu \sigma v \sigma s$ $i\delta \dot{\delta} - fei \delta s \dots - \kappa a \tau \dot{\epsilon} \mu \sigma \sigma \sigma s$, see Opp. 168. sup. v. 329.

622. $d\pi' d\sigma \chi a \tau i p$, in the far west, where A tlas also was punished (sup. v. 517), and where the Hesperides abode, who seem in some way to have been associated with woe and gloom, since they were the daughters of Night, and sisters of Möµos and 'Oī('s, v. 214--5. The west is also called *reipera yains* in v. 335 and 518. Even Tartarus itself was by some placed in the furthest parts of the west. Hence Hades is called 'Eowepos 6eds, Soph. Oed. R. 177. Compare inf. v. 729. 731, and 653, where (dops (connected with (épopes) means the darkness of the sunless west. The Schol. explains *in weipon yains* by *inoxidra tis yis.*-The reading of the Emmanuel MS., µeydλois, is supported by v. 335, *reipasur in µeyd*lois.

623. This verse is regarded as spurious by Heyne. But, as Goettling remarks, we require the addition of $\delta\eta\delta\lambda$ $\mu\Delta\lambda\alpha$, 'for a very long time,' because they were at length brought back to the light. We might indeed omit v. 622, and read *ruerdescov* in v. 621.

ούς τέκεν ήύκομος 'Ρεία Κρόνου έν φιλότητι,] 625 Γαίης φραδμοσύνησιν ανήγαγον ές φάος αυτις. αύτη γάρ σφιν απαντα διηνεκέως κατελεξε, σύν κείνοις νίκην τε και άγλαον εύχος άρέσθαι. δηρόν γαρ μάρναντο, πόνον θυμαλγέ έχοντες, Τιτηνές τε θεοί και όσοι Κρόνου έξεγένοντο, άντίον άλλήλοισι δια κρατερας ύσμίνας. οι μέν αφ' ύψηλης Όθρυος Τιτηνες αγαυοί, οί δ' αρ' απ' Ουλύμποιο θεοί, δωτήρες έαων,

> 626. dáfos 632. avaffoi

> > 632. ophios N.

628. Reirows L., Ald. δοτήρες έάων Ν.

626. φραδμοσύνησιν, the oracular warninga, εννεσίησι sup. v. 494. Apol-lodor. i. 2, 1, μαχομένων δ' αυτών ένιαυ-robs δέκα, ή Γή τῷ Διέ ξχρησε τὴν νίκην, robs καταταραθέντας δυ έχη συμμό χους · δ δε την φρουροῦσαν αὐτῶν τὰ δεσμὰ Κάμπην ἀποκτείνας ἕλυσε.

627. $\sigma\phi\sigma$, viz. to the gods; whereas opias above means the imprisoned giants. - Evarra Survexées, had told them the whole matter in detail, viz. (to use the words of Aeschylus, referring to the same event, Prom. 220,) &s ού κατ' ίσχον ούδε πρός το καρτερον χρείη, δόλφ δε τούς ύπερσχόντας κρατείν. -toeova, 'that they would win glory,' -an Homeric phrase. The sorist infinitive follows verbs of promising or hoping, by a kind of prolepsis peculiar to the Greek mind, when an expected act is contemplated as realised. Per-

haps desiredu. See Opp. 455. 629. δαρόν γάρ κ.τ.λ. For the other Titans (not the hundred-handed; compare 134 with 147) had long been contending with the Cronidae, or new Olympian powers. What the cause of the dispute was, Hesiod does not expressly say; but inf. v. 882, it is said to have been about their prerogatives, ruder spirarto. Acechylus is more explicit, Prom. 207, erel ráxior hotarto daluores xénou, ordois r' ér anhancir άροθύνετο, οί μέν θέλοντες έκβαλεϊν έδρας Kobvor, is Zeds ardorou Silber, of Se

τούμπαλιν σπεύδοντες, ώς Ζεύς μήποτ' apfeler Bear. It was on condition of assisting Zeus against the rest, that these three Titans, (the hundred-handed,) whose bodily strength surpassed theirs, were liberated. A similar legend (from the lepol λόγοι) is re-corded in Il. i. 401, where Thetis is said to have summoned Briareus to the aid of Zeus, whom the other gods were for putting in bondage. By the Cro-sidae are meant primarily Zeus and his brothers and sisters (sup. v. 453), with those of the elder gods whom he could win over to his cause, against the rest of the Titans headed by Cronus himself. Aeschylus (who perhaps had the Theogony in a much more perfect condition) says that Prometheus sided with Zeus, being unable to persuade the other Titans, Prom. 212. It is clear from v. 624-6 that the offspring of Bhea, viz. the elder gods, sided with Zeus; and in v. 883 it is stated that they agreed to confer the sovereignty on Zeus, whom Hesiod therefore does not represent as a *tiparros* or usurper.

633. of 8' ap' en' N.

632. ayavol. It is probable that this word is nearly a synonym of $d\gamma a\theta ol.$ As the v appears to have represented F, we have dyas, dyas, as variants of the root. (Curtius however, Gr. Et. 172, thinks the root yav, yaF, more nearly allied to yéynea and gaudeo.)

239

οΰς τέκεν ἠΰκομος Ῥεία Κρόνφ εἰνηθείσα· οἱ ἑα τότ ἀλλήλοισι μάχην θυμαλγέ ἔχοντες 635 συνεχέως ἐμάχοντο δέκα πλείους ἐνιαυτοὺς, οὐδέ τις ἦν ἔριδος χαλεπῆς λύσις οὐδὲ τελευτὴ οὐδετέροις, ἶσον δὲ τέλος τέτατο πτολέμοιο. ἀλλ' ὅτε δὴ κείνοισι παρέσχεθεν ἄρμενα πάντα, νέκταρ τ ἀμβροσίην τε, τάπερ θεοὶ αὐτοὶ ἔδουσι, 640 πάντων ἐν στήθεσσιν ἀέξετο θυμὸς ἀγήνωρ. †ὡς νέκταρ δ' ἐπάσαντο καὶ ἀμβροσίην ἐρατεινὴν, δὴ τότε τοῖς μετέειπε πατὴρ ἀνδρῶν τε θεῶν τε·

Κέκλυτέ μευ, Γαίης τε καὶ Οὐρανοῦ ἀγλαὰ τέκνα, ὄφρ' εἶπω τά με θυμὸς ἐνὶ στήθεσσι κελεύει. 645 ἦδη γὰρ μάλα δηρὸν ἐναντίοι ἀλλήλοισι νίκης καὶ κράτεος πέρι μαρνάμεθ ἦματα πάντα Γιτῆνές τε θεοὶ καὶ ὅσοι Κρόνου ἐκγενόμεσθα.

638. Fiorov

643. μετέ ειπε

645. ώs Feíπω Flach

637. η om. L, Ald. τελευτην Ald. 638. πολέμοιο L. 639. ἄρμενα L. 641. ενι στήθεσσιν Ν. qu. πάσιν ενι στ.? 642. νέκταρ τ' Ν, Ald.

634. Of this verse the same may be said as of 631. 648. 668, and indeed many others, that they are not improbably interpolated by rhapsodists. The present verse occurred as v. 625.

636. $\pi\lambda$ elows, full or solar years, as contrasted with the lunar; or the great cyclic years of 99 lunar months. See on Opp. 617. Van Lennep suggests that the poet may have alluded to the duration of the Trojan war.

638. This verse, which is unnecessary to the context, was perhaps made up from II. xv. 413, &s $\mu \wr \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon n$ loa $\mu d\chi \eta$ $\tau \epsilon \tau a \tau \sigma \tau \epsilon \delta \lambda \epsilon \mu \delta s$ $\tau \epsilon$. It also occurs in II. xi. 436. Both passages were indicated by Wolf.

639. παρέσχεθεν, viz. Κρονίδη; in v. 624, the intervening passage (627-638) being virtually a parenthesis. The giants were there released from Tartarus by Zeus, and now they are entertained by him. Hence $\kappa\epsilon i rous refers to$ Briareus and his fellow-giants. Goett $ling would prefer <math>\pi a \rho \epsilon \sigma \chi \epsilon \theta o r$, vir. $\theta \epsilon d$ in the next verse, or $\nu \epsilon \kappa \tau a \rho \tau' \delta u \beta \rho o r \eta \tau \epsilon$, so that $\pi a \rho \epsilon \sigma \chi \epsilon \theta \sigma r$ would be for $\pi a \rho \epsilon \sigma \chi \epsilon \theta \eta \sigma \sigma \sigma$. Neither of these is necessary, though Van Lennep is inclined to approve the latter, and Dr. Flach adopts it.

642. There is an appearance of tautology here, which may have resulted from two recensions being mixed together. Perhaps either 641-2 or 642-3 should be ejected, or only v. 642, in which case we must read warraw τ' is ortheerous $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$. (So Dr. Flach edits.) 646. "Quod hic dicitur $\mu \Delta \lambda a$ Super,

646. "Quod hie dicitur μάλα δηρότ, supra v. 636 erat δέκα πλείους ένιαντούς, et quod hie ήματα πάστα, supra erat συνεχέως." Goettling.

ύμεις δε μεγάλην τε βίην και χειρας αάπτους φαίνετε Τιτήνεσσιν έναντίοι έν δαι λυγρή, 650 μνησάμενοι φιλότητος ένηέος, δσσα παθόντες ές φάος αψ αφίκεσθε δυσηλεγέος από δεσμού πμετέρας δια βουλας ύπο ζόφου ήερόεντος.

⁶Ως φάτο· τὸν δ' ἐξαῦτις ἀμείβετο Κόττος ἀμύμων· δαιμόνι, ούκ αδάητα πιφάσκεαι αλλα και αύτοι 655 ίδμεν, ο τοι πέρι μεν πραπίδες, πέρι δ' έστι νόημα, άλκτήρ δ' άθανάτοισιν άρής γένεο κρυεροίο. άψορρον δ' έξαθτις αμειλίκτων από δεσμών σησιν επιφροσύνησιν ύπο ζόφου ήερόεντος ήλύθομεν, Κρόνου υιε αναξ, ανάελπτα παθόντες. 660

649. dfámmos? 653. de coóertos 656. Figuer 660. Γάναξ άνάΓελπτα

652. ind der μou LN, Ald. 655. πιφάσκεν Ν. 656. npantons N. 658. ύπὸ δεσμῶν N. 658-9. Transposed 657. γένετο N. in L. Ald., which give σησι δ' επιφροσύνησιν ύπο κ.τ.λ. · 660. ήλύθαμεν Ν.

649. dárrovs. See v. 150. Opp. 148. 651. μηποάμεροι, Compare v. 503.—
 ένηλε, 'kind,' 'cordial,' is an Homeric
 word of very uncertain etymology.
 652. ἀπὸ δεσμοῦ. Many good copies

give 6πλ, 'from under,' as inf. v. 669. For δυσηλεγήs see Opp. 506. t53. Gaisford marks this verse as

spurious, after Wolf, and he gives $\dot{\alpha}\pi\dot{\partial}$ for $\dot{\sigma}\pi\dot{\partial}$, but against the MSS. The sense is rather weakened by omitting this line ;--- ' remember, it was through me that you returned from prison.

655. obr áðánra, ' what is well known to us,' viz. Soa áyadd únd ooû ênddouer.— Tipáskopai, identical with Tipavokopai, (πεφαF-σκα.) contains the reduplicated root φαF. Van Lennep gives πεφαύσκεαι with three or four MSS. (The Emmanuel MS., according to my collation, has πιφάσκεν, not πιφαύσκεο.)

656. 8 Toi Hermann for Sti .- wepi, adverbially, for replocons, should be ac-cented on the first syllable, as representing repletor.

657. aphs, soil. Blaßns. Cf. Scut. H. 29. The meaning seems to be, that Zeus had hitherto protected the other of ava used as the full form of the pri-

gods in the long war with the Titans. For the masculine form κρυεροΐο Goettling compares inf. v. 696, robs & augere θερμός ἀυτμή. We might compare the feminine ἀγανώτατον, sup. v. 408, but that the verse may be of a later insertion

658-9. The MSS. and edd. (with the single exception of the Emmanuel MS.) give these two verses in inverted order, σής δ' ύποφραδμοσύνησιν από ζόφου ήερό-εντος Αψορρον δ' έξαῦτις κ.τ.λ. There are various readings offer 8' exceptor/ory-our, offs 8' ex., and suppor without the 8.6. The reading in the text is that of Goettling and the MS. Emm. (N). Van Lennep gives σησι δ' έπιφροσύνησαν-έψορρον έξαντις, remarking that this is a better order of the words; 'It was by your thoughtfulness too that we returned from the darkness of the prison.' The hiatus however in Europov ¿Eavris seems objectionable, even though Svonλεγέος ἀπὸ δεσμοῦ in v. 652 is not very unlike it. Dr. Flach agrees with Van Lennep, but excludes v. 658.

660. aváchara supplies an example

τῷ καὶ νῦν ἀτενεῖ τε νόῷ καὶ ἐπίφρονι βουλῆ ῥυσόμεθα κράτος ὑμὸν ἐν αἰνῆ δηϊοτῆτι μαρνάμενοι Τιτῆσιν ἀνὰ κρατερὰς ὑσμίνας.

⁶Ως φάτ²· ἐπήνησαν δὲ θεοὶ, δωτῆρες ἐάων, μῦθον ἀκούσαντες· πολέμου δ' ἐλιλαίετο θυμὸς 665 μᾶλλον ἔτ' ἢ τοπάροιθε· μάχην δ' ἀμέγαρτον ἔγειραν πάντες, θήλειαί τε καὶ ἄρσενες, ἤματι κείνω, Τιτῆνές τε θεοὶ καὶ ὅσοι Κρόνου ἐξεγένοντο, οὖς τε Ζεὺς Ἐρέβεσφιν ὑπὸ χθονὸς ῆκε φόωσδε, δεινοί τε κρατεροί τε, βίην ὑπἐροπλον ἔχοντες. 670 [τῶν ἐκατὸν μὲν χεῖρες ἀπ' ὥμων ἀἰσσοντο πᾶσιν ὑμῶς, κεφαλαὶ δὲ ἑκάστω πεντήκοντα ἐξ ὥμων ἐπέφυκον ἐπὶ στιβαροῖσι μέλεσσιν.] οἱ *δ' ὅτε Τιτήνεσσι κατέσταθεν ἐν δαὶ λυγρῆ

664. čFáwr?

669. *фá*fooδ€

672. Feráorw

664. ώς φάτο. ἐπήνεσαν δὲ Ν. qu. ἐπήνεσσαν ? ἐάων LN, Ald. 666. μάχην τ' Ν. 669. ἐρέβευσφϊ Ν. οὖτε ζεὺς ἐρέβεσφῶν L. οὖτε Ζεὺς ἐρέβευσφιν Ald. φάος τε Ν. φάος δὲ L. φάως δε Ald. 673. μελέεσσι Ν. οι τοτε MSS.

vative à, which merely means the reversal of some quality, as àróµoios is the converse of 8µoios.

661. ἀτενεί νόφ, with stedfast resolve, with unflinching allegiance.

668. This verse occurred also at v. 630 and 648. Here it is not necessary, though it rather assists the syntax of the next verse.

669. 'Epé $\beta ev\sigma\phi v vulgo$, and so Van Lennep. Goettling, after L. Dindorf and some MSS. gives 'Epé $\beta e\sigma\phi v$. In II. ix. 572, Bekker edits $\delta \kappa \lambda ver \ell\xi$ 'Epé- $\beta e\sigma\phi v$, and we may compare the common form $\sigma\tau \hbar \theta e \sigma \phi_i$, which no one would write $\sigma\tau \hbar \theta e \sigma \phi_i$. The old genitive was $\ell \rho \delta e \sigma \sigma_i$, and thus the suffix is added to the crude form as in *reuserripa*, Opp. 716, where see the note. It is to be observed that ϕ_i was not peculiar to the dative, but was commonly added to the genitive also; thus we have in Homer $\delta \kappa \pi a \sigma \sigma \lambda \delta \phi_i$ $\kappa \rho \phi \mu a \sigma e V Od. viii. 67, <math>\delta \pi$ '

έσχαρόφιν ib. vii. 169, ἀπὸ νευρῆφιν Π. xiii. 585, ἐξ εὐνῆφι ib. xv. 580. In most cases this termination may be explained either in the instrumental or the local sense; e. g. ἐκ πασσαλόφι κρεμάσαι = ἐκκρεμάσαι πασσάλφ, 'to hang up by a peg;' ἐξ εὐνῆφι ἀνίστασθαι, 'to get up on one's bed in order to leave it.'

671-3. These three verses occurred before, v. 150-2, with $\delta\pi\lambda\alpha\sigma\tau\sigma$; for $\pi\hat{\alpha}\sigma\tau\sigma$ spins. Gaisford and Flach enclose them in brackets after Wolf. Goettling and Van Lennep think them genuine here.

674. κατέσταθεν. Though a war is often said καθίστασθαι, e.g. Thuc. i. I, init., the sense here seems rather to be, 'stood opposite to,' ex adverse constiterunt; and the dative thus depends on the implied notion of *άντιοι* Van Lennep well compares Here. Fur. 1168, *έπ* σύλεμον ύμϊν καθίστατα.

πέτρας ήλιβάτους στιβαρής ἐν χερσὶν ἔχοντες, 675 Τιτήνες δ' ἐτέρωθεν ἐκαρτύναντο φάλαγγας προφρονέως, χειρῶν τε βίης θ' ἆμα ἔργον ἔφαινον ἀμφότεροι, δεινὸν περιίαχε πόντος ἀπείρων, γή δὲ μέγ' ἐσμαράγησεν, ἐπέστενε δ' οὐρανὸς εὐρὺς σειόμενος, πεδόθεν δ' ἐτινάσσετο μακρὸς Ὅλυμπος 680 ῥιπή ῦπ' ἀθανάτων, ἔνοσις δ' ἶκανε βαρεῖα Τάρταρον ἠερόεντα, ποδῶν τ' αἰπεῖα ἰωὴ ἀσπέτου ἰωχμοῖο βολάων τε κρατεράων.

677. Γέργον 678. δεινόν περιγίαχε 682. Γιωή

675. στιβαρὰς LN, Ald. 677. προφρονέων Ν. 678. δεινὸν δὲ περίαχε MSS. 682. ποδῶν δ° αἰπεῖά τ' ἰωή L, Ald. (gl. L, φωνή.) δδὸν αἰτίατη κρατεάων Ν. 683. κρατεάων Ν. ἀσπεύτου ἰοχμοῖο Ν.

675. The best copies seem to give στιβαρλς, and so the Schol., μεγάλας, καθ ὑπερβολην (this referring to ηλιβάτους), στιβαρλς, Ισχυράς. But inf. v. 692 we have χειρδε άπδ στιβαρής, and v. 715, τριηκοσίας πέτρας στιβαρήν άπο χειρών. Of course, πέτρας στιβαρών άπο χειρών. Of course, πάτρας στιβαρών άπο χειρών. Of course, πάτρας στιβαρών άπο χειρών. Of course, πάτρας στιβαρών άτο χειρών γίγαντας. δτι ταις τών όρών κορυφαίς Έβαλλον. Apollodorus also εωγα (i. 6, 1), ηκόστιζον δε els ούρανον πάτρας και δρύς ημμένας. The giant Polyphemus tore off and threw at Ulyssees κορυφην δρεος μεγάλουο, Od. ix. 481.

676. The resemblance of this verse to I. xvi. 563 can hardly be accidental; of $\delta^* \{ \pm n \in \}$ dupor to absorve kaprivers of a ayyes. So also II. xii. 415, 'Apyelou &' $\pm \tau$ fooder that inverse of Ary-as.

7 ms. So also II. xii. 415, 'Appendix of ir épader ékaprúrarto dálaryas. 678. Van Lennep calls the vulgate reading $\pi e plaze$ an Acolic crasis for $\pi e plaze$, citing the authority of Choeroboscus in Etym. M. p. 92, 9. Certainly the elision of the *i* in $\pi e pl$ is very rare, though we have $\pi e pol \chi e rai$ inf. v. 733, if the reading is to be relied on. Guietus conjectured deuder d' interplaye. Generally, but not always, $ld\chi e u$ takes the digamma. The present passage (674 ---8) seems to have been tampered with, perhaps by the insertion of the Homeric verse 676. In this case $\pi popporders$ will belong to $\kappa a \tau \ell o \tau a \theta \epsilon v$. On the whole it seems most probable that for of $\tau \delta \tau \epsilon$ $\kappa \tau . \lambda$, we should read ol 8 $\delta \tau \epsilon T \iota \tau f \mu \epsilon \sigma \sigma \epsilon$ $\kappa a \tau \ell \sigma \tau a \theta \epsilon v \to \pi \epsilon \rho l$ Fiaze $\kappa . \tau . \lambda$, the apodosis commencing with this verse. Otherwise we might read $\delta \epsilon \mu \delta \nu \pi \epsilon \rho l \delta'$ laze $\pi \delta \nu \tau \sigma \sigma$, as sup. v. 69, $\pi \epsilon \rho l \delta'$ laze $\gamma a i \alpha \mu \epsilon h \alpha u \sigma \alpha$. In Scut. H. 451, $\lambda \lambda \lambda \alpha$ $\mu \epsilon \gamma a i \alpha \omega \sigma \kappa$. There is certainly a digamma, and ib. 382 for $\mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda'$ lazor we might read $\mu \epsilon \gamma a lazor.$

read μέγα Ιαχον. 679. ἐσμαράγησεν, crashed, viz. with the noise of the hurled rocks. Cf. inf. v. 693.

682. The common reading moder al-אנות ד' ושא, טו הסטשי ט' מואנות ד' ושא, was corrected by Hermann. It is retained by Schoemann, who punctuates Táprapor ηερόεντα ποδών, αίπεῖά τ' lωή. But ποδών is thus quite out of its natural place. It is manifest that iwh here has the di-gamma, and that the τ ' was inserted from ignorance of it. In II. x. 139, $\tau \partial \nu$ δ' alva wepl $\phi \rho \epsilon \nu \alpha s \beta \lambda \nu \theta'$ iwh, the old reading was probably 7x0e Fiwh. Compare II. iv. 276, ind Zepupous luns, and xvi. 127.- iωχμοΐο, a secondary genitive; 'the far-ascending noise of feet from the incessant pursuit and from the hard blows of the missiles.' The clause is exegetical of Evoois Bapela. The 7 in ίωχμός is long, as in Sout. H. 154, έν δέ προίωξίς τε παλίωξίς τε τέτυκτο.

R 2

& ap' éπ' aλλήλοιs ίεσαν βέλεα στονόεντα.
φωνη δ' aμφοτέρων ίκετ' οὐρανὸν ἀστερόεντα 685
κεκλομένων· οἱ δὲ ξύνισαν μεγάλῷ aλλητῷ.
οὐδ' åρ' ἔτι Ζεὺς ἴσχεν ἐὸν μένος· aλλά νυ τοῦγε
εἶθαρ μὲν μένεος πληντο φρένες, ἐκ δέ τε πασαν
φαῖνε βίην· ἀμυδις δ' åρ' ἀπ' οὐρανοῦ ήδ' ἀπ' Ολύμπου
ἀστράπτων ἔστειχε συνωχαδόν· οἱ δὲ κεραυνοὶ 690
ἰκταρ ἄμα βροντῆ τε καὶ ἀστεροπῆ ποτέοντο
χειρὸς ẳπο στιβαρῆς ἱερὴν φλόγα εἰλυφόωντες
ταρφέες, ἀμφὶ δὲ γαῖα φερέσβιος ἐσμαράγιζεν
καιομένη, λάκε δ' ἀμφὶ πυρὶ μεγάλ' ἄσπετος ὕλη.
ἔζεε δὲ χθὼν πασα καὶ ᾿Ωκεανοῖο ῥέεθρα, 695

687. Ισχε Γεόν 689. ἀπὸ Γορανοῦ? 692. Γειλυφόωντες 694. καΓομένη 695. ῥέΓεθρα

685. δ' ίκετ' LN, Ald. 688. πλήντοι Ν. 690. συνωλαδον Ν. 691. ἀστραπŷ π. Ν. ἀστεροπŷ εὐ π. L, Ald. 692. φλόγα θ L, Ald. φλόγα είλ. Ν. 695. ἔζεσε Ν.

686. This is rather a weak verse, and perhaps spurious. It is excluded by Dr. Flach. The entire passage, describing the contest of the Titans, is in a more florid and descriptive style than Hesiod commonly adopts. It was the opinion of Heyne that a fragment from an ancient *Titanomachia* had been inserted here. Goettling regards 687-712 as the addition of a later writer, desirous to magnify the exploits of Zeus. It may be remarked that the article in of δ^2 $\kappa e \rho a \nu ol, v. 690$, is hardly consistent with the early epic usage. 687. obx er i ox ev. "Significat poeta

687. $obx \epsilon \tau_i \ I \sigma \chi \epsilon \nu$. "Significat poeta Jovem antea vim suam quasi cohibuisse, ut illam omnem exsereret in hac postrema bellum conficiente pugna." Van Lennep.

688. είθαρ, an epic form of εύθύς.... πλήρτο, a passive acrist of πλήμι, πίπλημι. So Scut. Η. 146, τοῦ καὶ δδόντων μὲν πλήτο στόμα.

690. $\sigma \nu \nu \omega \chi a \delta \delta r$, $\sigma \nu \nu \epsilon \chi \hat{\omega} s$, continuously. The termination is the same as in $\pi \epsilon \rho \nu \sigma \tau a \delta \delta r$, and the long ω results

from $\sigma \delta r \sigma \chi \sigma s$ being pronounced $\sigma \delta r \sigma \sigma \chi \sigma \sigma$ after the Aeolic dialect, as we have in $\lambda \delta \kappa \chi \sigma \omega$ sup. v. 178.

691. Υκταρ, πλησίον, close to the enemy. "Ita ut pervenirent, ab Ins." Van Lonnep.—Hesychius too derives it (in v.) from *inveitona*. ἀστεροπή ποτίοτο seems the most probable reading, and is preferred by Van Lennep. Goettling gives ἀστραπή εδ ποτέοιτο.

scenas into most provide rearing, and is preferred by Van Lennep. Goettling gives $\delta\sigma\tau\rho\pi\eta$; eð $\pi\sigma\tau\delta\sigma\tau\sigma$. 692. $\phi\lambda\delta\gammaa$. Most of the copies add θ' , which may be compared with the false reading airstid τ' is η in v. 682, as resulting from the lost digamma. Compare Ferriers, Férres Boüs, with our word welkin. In II. xi. 156, is of Ste $\pi\bar{v}\rho$ difnrow is diving input of the set $\pi\bar{v}\rho$ difnrow is diving input of the set allows the omission of the $\tau\epsilon$. But in Scut. H. 275, $\tau\bar{\eta}\lambda\epsilon$ of $\Delta\pi'$ allowing $\deltaaf\delta\omega\nu \sigma \epsilon has ellowing of the set in v.$ 695, seems to have been written by onewho had seen the effects of a torrent oflave falling into an adjoining bay.

i

πόντος τ' ἀτρύγετος· τοὺς δ' ἀμφεπε θερμὸς ἀῦτμὴ Τιτῆνας χθονίους, φλὸξ δ' ἠέρα δῖαν ἴκανεν αঁσπετος, ὅσσε δ' ἀμερδε καὶ ἰφθίμων περ ἐόντων αἰγὴ μαρμαίρουσα κεραυνοῦ τε στεροπῆς τε. καῦμα δὲ θεσπέσιον κάτεχεν Χάος· εἴσατο δ' ἀντα 700 ὀφθαλμοῖσιν ἰδεῖν ἠδ' οὖασιν ὅσσαν ἀκοῦσαι αὖτως, ὡς ὅτε Γαῖα καὶ Οὐρανὸς εὐρὺς ὖπερθεν †πίλνατο· τοῖος γάρ κε μέγιστος δοῦπος ὀρώρει [τῆς μὲν ἐρειπομένης, τοῦ δ' ὑψόθεν ἐξεριπόντος. τόσσος δοῦπος ἔγεντο θεῶν ἔριδι ζυνιόντων].

700. χάγος 701. γιδείν γόσσαν

696. ἀμφείπετο L, Ald. 700. ἄντισα Ν. 705. ἔπλειτο L (gl. ὑπήρχε), Ald. ζυνιέντων Ν.

696. θερμόs. For the masculine form see v. 657.

697. The epithet χ for loss, which should mean $\delta \pi o \chi$ for loss, but is prematurely applied to the Titans not yet imprisoned by Zeus, makes it probable that this verse is interpolated. Van Lennep thinks it may mean that the Titans made the surface of the earth their battle-ground against the gods above, i.e. it may stand for $\ell \pi \chi \beta o r loss.$

above, i. e. it may stand for $i\pi_igeorious$. 700. $\epsilon i\sigma a \tau o$, it appeared; viz. $\mu d \chi \eta$, or $\tau \delta \pi \rho \tilde{a} \gamma \mu a$. But the absence of the F throws doubt on the genuineness of the passage. So Od. v. 281, $\epsilon i\sigma a \tau o \delta' \delta s$ $\delta \tau \epsilon \rho \mu v b i hepocubit <math>\pi \delta \nu \tau \varphi$.—In the next verse perhaps $\delta \sigma \sigma a \nu$ took the digamma. See on v. 10 sup.

next verse pernaps or ar book the digamma. See on v. 10 sup. 702. is for mixraro. This should describe a real event, 'as when the earth and sky met,' or we should expect mixraro, 'as when (i.e. as if) it should meet.' Again, roles $\mu \epsilon_{\gamma \alpha \sigma \sigma \sigma}$ so if it should meet.' Again, roles $\mu \epsilon_{\gamma \alpha \sigma \sigma \sigma}$ so if it should meet.' Again, roles $\mu \epsilon_{\gamma \alpha \sigma \sigma \sigma}$ so if it should meet.' Again, roles $\mu \epsilon_{\gamma \alpha \sigma \sigma \sigma}$ so if it should meet.' Again, roles $\mu \epsilon_{\gamma \alpha \sigma \sigma \sigma}$ so if it should meet.' Again, roles $\mu \epsilon_{\gamma \alpha \sigma \sigma \sigma}$ so if it should meet.' Again, roles and one that does not well suit the roors $\delta o m \sigma \sigma$ in v. 705. Perhaps therefore we should read is for $\gamma a \alpha - m \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau' \delta \sigma \sigma \sigma \gamma d \rho$ are $\mu \epsilon_{\gamma \sigma \sigma \sigma \sigma} \ldots \tau \lambda$, ' for as great a noise as would have been made by the fall of earth and sky, so great noise did arises from the gods meeting in conflict.' (This conjecture is adopted by Dr. Flach, but with oles and $\delta \rho \omega \rho o$.) Per-

haps, after all, 704-5 are spurious verses, especially as it seems incredible that any ancient poet should have used epernouerns and exercitor in the same clause and so nearly in the same sense, 'the one falling in ruins, the other tumbling from on high.' (For the in-transitive derreir see Sout. H. 421.) At all events, v. 705 seems a mere appro-priation of an Homeric verse, II. xx. 66, τόσσος άρα κτύπος δρτο θεῶν ἔριδι ξυνιόν-των. Indeed the whole description there of the gods inciting Trojans and Achaeans to the contest, bears a close resemblance to the present. - Goett-the like sounds as when Gaea and Uranus embraced (cf. v. 133); for such a noise would have then occurred, had they fallen, as now arose from the gods in their conflict." It seems however difficult to extract any other meaning than this :—' And it seemed, to behold it closely with the eyes, and to hear the noise with one's ears, just as when earth and wide heaven from above approached each other.' Possibly we should read τοΐος γάρ τε μέγιστος δουπos δρώρει, omitting the two next verses.

705. For Eyerto see sup. v. 199.

 $\mathbf{245}$

συν δ' ανεμοί *τ' ένοσίς τε κονίην έσφαράγιζον †βροντήν τε στεροπήν τε καὶ αἰθαλόεντα κεραυνὸν, κήλα Διός μεγάλοιο, φέρον δ' ιαχήν τ' ενοπήν τε ές μέσον αμφοτέρων, ότοβος δ' απλητος δρώρει σμερδαλέης έριδος, κάρτος δ' ανεφαίνετο έργων, 710 εκλίνθη δε μάχη· πριν δ' άλλήλοις επέχοντες έμμενέως έμάχοντο δια κρατερας ύσμίνας. οί δ' αρ ένι πρώτοισι μάχην δριμείαν έγειραν Κόττος τε Βριάρεώς τε Γύης τ' αατος πολέμοιο, οι βα τριηκοσίας πέτρας στιβαρών από χειρών πέμπον έπασσυτέρας, κατά δ' έσκίασαν βελέεσσι Τιτήνας, καί τους μέν ύπο χθονος εύρυοδείης πέμψαν καί δεσμοίσιν έν άργαλέοισιν έδησαν,

710. Γέργων

έσφράγιζον Ν. κόνιν L, Ald. 706. ανεμοι ένοσίν τε MSS. 710. κράτος δ'- ἔργον Ν. 718. & om. L.

714. afatos

There is a variant Enderto, which may be a corruption of $\xi \pi \lambda \eta \tau o$, a gloss on πίλνατο preceding, or of έπλετο, a gloss on έγεντο. Cf. sup. v. 193. Il. viii. 63, άταρ ασπίδες δμφαλόεσται έπληντ' άλλήλησι.

706. κονίην τ' Goettling and Van Lennep, with several MSS., for κόνιν τ' or κόνιν θ' äμa. The meaning of the vulgate, our d' arepoi trooir te K.T.A., is not clear;-- 'together the winds brought clear; — together the winth brought with a mighty noise the earthquake and the dust.' Here σφαραγίζειν seems an active form of σφαραγέα, 'to crackle.' Hesych. ἐσφαράγιζον. ἐδόνουν· μετὰ ψόφου ήχουν. Flach and Schoemann read σὺν δ' ἀνεμοί τ' ἕνοσίς τε κονίην ἐσφαράγιζον, omitting the next distich. Descholt we chould mod thus . General Probably we should read thus ; Boovth δε στεροπή τε συν αιθαλόεντι κεραυνώ, κῆλα Διώς μεγάλοιο, φέρον ἰαχήν (Γιαχήν, 800 ν. 678) τ' ένοπήν τε.

710. This verse reads like a later insertion.

711. $\pi \rho l \nu$, before this final manifestation of the power of Zeus (cf. v. 687), and before the strength of Briareus and his brethren had been exerted. The subject to endxorro is not of Geol, as Goettling supposes (for this would not allow of allows being added), but the combatants on both sides.

712. ἐμμενέως, 'angrily' See Scut. H. 429. Others interpret, 'persevar-ingly,' 'without intermission.'

714. aaros. On this doubtful word, where the second a is properly long, see Lexil. p. 3, and on Scut. H. 59. Fins Goettling and Buttmann for Γύγης.

715. nérpas, see sup. v. 675. By *kareoklavar* the poet seems to mean

κατέκρυψαν, κατέχωσαν. 716. ἐσκίασαν, cf. Virg. Æn. xii. 578, 'obumbrant aethera telis,' and Π. xvii. 366-9.

718. $\pi \epsilon \mu \psi a \nu \kappa. \tau. \lambda$. There is something weak in *néuvar* sal Edyoar, for the more usual rénuvarres, and we should have expected the binding to precede the conveying away to prison. In v. 732, it is said that the imprisoned Titans cannot get out, not because they are chained in Tartarus, but because a

[νικήσαντες χερσίν ὑπερθύμους περ ἐόντας,] τόσσον ἕνερθ' ὑπὸ γῆς, ὅσον οὐρανός ἐστ' ἀπὸ γαίης· ἶσον γάρ τ' ἀπὸ γῆς ἐς Τάρταρον ἠερόεντα. 721 ἐννέα γὰρ νύκτας τε καὶ ἦματα χάλκεος ἄκμων οὐρανόθεν κατιών δεκάτῃ ἐς γαίαν ἴκοιτο· ἐννέα δ' αὖ νύκτας τε καὶ ἦματα χάλκεος ἄκμων ἐκ γαίης κατιών δεκάτῃ [δ'] ἐς Τάρταρ' ἴκοιτο· 725 τὸν πέρι χάλκεον ἕρκος ἐλήλαται· ἀμφὶ δέ μιν νὺξ

721. Fîsov 722-4. ivés

720. ἕνερθ ἀίδης LN. 721 seqq. In N the verses are in this order; 722, 725, 721. But 721 is thus read, τόσσον δ' αὖ ἀπὸ γαίης ἐς Τάρταρον ἡερόεντα. 725. δεκάτη δ' ἐς τάρταρον ἴκοιτο L, Ald. δ' ἐς τάρταρον ἴκοι N.

door is closed upon them. And v. 719 is so feeble a verse that it is probably an interpolation. (It is omitted by Flach.) One may suspect the original reading to have been kal rows wer ind χθονός εύρυοδείης δήσαντες δεσμοΐσιν έν δργαλέοισιν ξπεμψαν τόσσον ένερθ ύπο γης $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$. But it is remarkable that this last verse seems to have been adapted from II. viii. 16, τόσσον ένερθ' 'Atõew δσον obpards έστ' ἀπό γαίηs. Virgil copies but diversifies this description, Aen. vi. 577, 'Tum Tartarus ipse Bis patet in praeceps tantum, tenditque sub umbras, Quantus ad aetherium caeli suspectus Olympum.' From Homer or Hesiod Apollodorus took his statement, i. 1, 2, Apolitation of the second se βουλαΐε Ταρτάρου μελαμβαθής κευθμών καλύπτει τον παλαιγενή Κρόνον αυτοίσι συμμάχοισι.

συμαχοισι. 721—3. These verses are wanting in several MSS. Ruhnken, followed by Gaisford, condemned v. 721. Flach omits 722—5, with Schoemann, and the Paris MS. Thus $\tau \delta r \pi \epsilon \rho_i$ in 726 refers to $T d \rho \tau a \rho o \nu$ in 721. In other MSS. 723—4 are omitted; and in the Emmanuel and some others the order is inverted or otherwise changed. The occurrence of χ d λ κeos δ κμων twice seems

to have caused these disarrangements; or perhaps the two verses commencing with $\ell\nu\nu\ell\alpha$ were at first transposed, or one of them omitted. The sense is, as the text now stands;—'The distance is equal between heaven and earth, and earth and Tartarus; for a mass of bronze would take nine days to fall through either space.' The idea of the $\delta\kappa\mu\omega\nu$ probably originated in the actual fall of a mass of meteoric iron.

of a mass of meteoric iron. 723. $\delta \epsilon \kappa d \tau p$ δ' Van Lennep, with many MSS. Goettling omits the δk , by which the sense is somewhat benefited at the expense of the metre. If δk be genuine, it is added as if $\kappa \alpha \tau i \omega$ had preceded.

726-81. Here follows a long and minute description of Tartarus, its various parts, and the rebel powers confined therein. The conception and the language are alike fine, and they have the impress of a genuine antiquity in the main, though some verses may Hesiod's idea of be interpolations. Tartarus was nearly this :- A vast cavernous recess under the earth, extending indefinitely into Chaos, contained, as it were, an upper and a lower region. From the upper part of this dim abode, which was fenced round with a brazen wall, Xalkeov Epros, v. 726, were seen, in the obscurity, and forming as it were the vault of the prison-house, the roots

247

τριστοιχεὶ κέχυται περὶ δειρήν· αὐτὰρ ὖπερθεν γῆς ῥίζαι πεφύασι καὶ ἀτρυγέτοιο θαλάσσης. ἔνθα θεοὶ Τιτῆνες ὑπὸ ζόφῳ ἡερόεντι κεκρύφαται βουλῆσι Διὸς νεφεληγερέταο χώρῳ ἐν εὐρώεντι, πελώρης ἔσχατα γαίης. τοῖς οὐκ ἐξιτόν ἐστι, πύλας δ' ἐπέθηκε Ποσειδέων

729. dfepóevti

728. πεφύκασι LN, Ald. 730. διδς μεγάλοιο έκητι Ν. 731. πελώρης τ' Ν. 732. τοις ούκ έξυπόντον έστι Ν.

of carth and sea. The highest part of this place is the $\delta\epsilon_{\mu\rhoh}$, the entrance through earth, as food enters the body through the throat. But it is in the deepest and darkest spot, $\delta \pi \partial (\delta \phi \varphi, v.$ 729, and perhaps in the region of the far west (sup. v. 622), that the rebel Titans are confined; the $\chi \delta \sigma \mu a \mu \epsilon \gamma a$ of v. 740, from which there is no escape through the upper $\delta\epsilon_{\mu}h$, because Poseidon has placed gates over the entrance. Virgil seems to allude to this lowest region, Aen. vi. 580, 'Hic genus antiquum Terrae, Titania pubes, Fulmine dejecti, fundo volvuntur in imo.' Milton borrowed hence the notion of the *depth* of hell, Parad. L. Bk. ii., "All unawares, fluttering his pinions vain, plumb down he drops Ten thousand fathoms deep."

727. *τριστοιχε*!, 'in three rows,' 'in a triple fold.' The notion of a triple wall, triple darkness, &c., is common in the poets, as is the multiple of three, nine. Cf. Georg. iv. 480, 'novies Styx interfusa coerect.' Aen. vi. 549, 'sub rupe sinistra Maenia lata videt, triplici circumdata muro.' Ovid, Fast. iii. 801, 'Hunc triplici muro lucis incluserat atris Parcarum monitu Styx violenta trium.'

728. $\pi\epsilon\phiba\sigma\iota$. This is a notable instance of that singular property of the epic perfect, the evanescence of the κ , and the shortening the long vowel before it, $\pi\epsilon\phi\nu$ a for $\pi\epsilon\phi\nu\kappaa$. So $\tau\epsilon\theta\nu\eta\dot{\omega}$ s, $\tau\epsilon\theta\nu\kappa\epsilon\dot{\nu}$ s, $\tau\epsilon\theta\nu\kappa\dot{\nu}$ s, for $\tau\epsilon\theta\eta\kappa\dot{\omega}$ s, $\tau\epsilon\theta\nu\dot{\kappa}$ for $\tau\epsilon\theta\eta\kappa\dot{\kappa}$ s, and so $\epsilon\sigma\eta\dot{\omega}$ s (inf. v. 747), $\epsilon\sigma\tau\dot{\omega}$ s, $\epsilon\tau$.

In $\phi \delta \omega$ indeed, the ν seems only accidentally long, as in $\lambda \delta \omega$ and $\delta \delta \omega$. for we have $\phi \delta \sigma v s$ by the side of $\lambda \delta \sigma v s$ and $\delta \delta \sigma v \omega$. Homer has $\lambda \delta \omega$ in Od. xxiii. 343, and even the Attic poets sometimes used $\phi \delta e v$ and $\delta \epsilon v v$. The elimination of the κ is however a singular phenomenon. Like the digamma, it would seem in a certain sense to have been an arbitrary sound; and perhaps, as the forms commonly called *second* perfects are more common in the early epic, the κ did not originally form a part of the perfect termination. Dr. Donaldson's opinion on this matter will be found in p. 185 of his larger Greek Grammar.

731. χώρφ ἐν εὐρώεντι. 'Per loca senta situ,' Virg. Aen. vi. 462. As έσχατα is rather unusual for κατὰ τὰ έσχατα, or adverbially for πορρωτάτω, we should perhaps read χώρον ἐς εὐρώεντα. Compare ἐπ' ἐσχατιῆ, v. 622. Gaisford, following Wolf, encloses this verse in brackets, and both Flach and Schoomann ejeot it. The passage seems to have been tampered with. The old reading may have been ἔνθα θεοl Τιτήνες ὑπὸ ζόφψ ἡερόεντι χώρψ ἐν εὐρώεντι πελώρης ἔσχατα γαίης ναίουσιν βουλῆσι Διός νεφεληγερέταο, τῶν οὐκ ἐξιτόν ἐστι, κ.τ.λ.

732. Horeidéar. To this god were attributed any mighty effects of nature in moving vast masses. The verbal $\xi_{i\tau}\sigma_r$ is remarkable, and perhaps $\frac{\pi}{2}\pi^2$ $\lambda_{er}\phi_{\mueror}$. Acechylus has $\frac{\xi_{ori}}{\delta}$ oùr $ebi\xiodor,$ Pers. 684.

χαλκείας, τοΐχος δὲ †περοίχεται ἀμφοτέρωθεν. ἕνθα Γύης Κόττος τε καὶ ἘΟβριάρεως μεγάθυμος ναίουσιν, φύλακες πιστοὶ Διος αἰγιόχοιο. 735 ἕνθα δὲ γῆς δνοφερῆς καὶ Ταρτάρου ἤερόεντος πόντου τ' ἀτρυγέτοιο καὶ οὐρανοῦ ἀστερόεντος ἐξείης πάντων πηγαὶ καὶ πείρατ' ἔασιν, ἀργαλέ', εὐρώεντα, τάτε στυγέουσι θεοί περ, χάσμα μέγ', οὐδέ κε πάντα τελεσφόρον εἰς ἐνιαυτον 740

736. δfepóerti 739. Fapyaλέ

733. τείχος δὲ περίκειται Ν. τείχος δέ περ οἴκεται L, Ald. 734. δβριάρεος N. δβριάρεως (or δ βρ.) L, Ald. 736—7. ἐθα δὲ γῆς δνοφερῆς καὶ οὐρανοῦ ἀ. N, omitting the intermediate words. 738. ἔασσιν N, Ald. 740. πάντα om. N.

733, $\pi\epsilon\rho\sigma(\chi\epsilon\tau a\iota)$ was conjectured by Hermann for the vulg. $\pi\epsilon\rho(\kappa\epsilon\iota\tau a\iota)$. It was afterwards restored by Goetling and Van Lennep from two or three copies, some others having $\tau\epsiloni\chi_{05}$ s is a spin the formula the first state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state haps $\tau\epsiloni\chi_{05}$ s is the right verb, and possibly $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota\sigma\taui\chi_{05}$ should be restored, or $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota$ $ori\chi_{05}$ ($\pi\epsilon\rho\iota\sigma\tau\iota\chi(i\omega)$, Aesch. Ag. 1354). By $d\mu\phi\sigma\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma\delta\sigma$ he means that the wall is continuously built from the gate on both sides of it.

734—5. On the form 'Oβριάρεως, which is found in one MS. and the Aldine for δ Bριάρεως (a solecism), see on v. 617. Van Lennep edits ένθα Γύγης, Κόττος και Βριάρεως μεγάθυμος. The MSS. as usual agree in Γύγης. 735. φύλακες πιστοί. Cf. Aesch. Prom.

735. φύλακες πιστοί. Cf. Aesch. Prom. 990, 3 κατρί φῦναι Ζηνί πιστὸν ἄγγελον. The hundred-handod Giants had been released from their prison by Zeus, sup. v. 624, and are now appointed to the office of jailors over the conquered Titans. Apollodor. i. 2, 1, oi δὲ τούτοις όπλισθέντες κρατοῦσ: Τιτάνων, καὶ καθείρξωντες αὐτοὺς ἐν τῷ Ταρτάρῷ τοὺς Ἐκατόγχειρας καθίστασαν φύλακας. Schol. δεῖ δὲ νοεῦν, ὅτι οὐτοι ἐκτὸς τοῦ τείχους ἐπιτηροῦσι τοὺς Τιτάνας, οὐδὲ ἐν τῶ Τιταῦς ἰδεῖ νοεῦν οἰκοῦντας τούτους.

736-9. These lines seem merely to repeat in other words the description in v. 728. Indeed, v. 739 is borrowed directly from 11. xx. 65; and all these four verses are repeated inf. v. 807-10. It is possible indeed that from 736 to 745 is a varied account of Tartarus, added from another recension, and formerly standing in place of 721-9. The idea of distance would seem to have been differently described in these two re-censions (or recitations of the early rhapsodists), by the xáxeos anyor in the one, and the year's journey from the entrance of Tartarus to the bottom (obbas) in the other. There is something abrupt in v. 740, where xdopa $\mu \epsilon \gamma$ ' should be exceptical of some preceding word different from *myyal* kal relpara, and also in the omission of τ is before *incorro*.—How the 'sources of sea and aky' (which were thought to touch in their extreme limits) can be said to have their site in Tartarus, will be understood from the note on v. 726.

740. $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \phi \delta \rho os \epsilon \nu a w \tau \delta s is a complete$ $or solar year, the same as <math>\delta \epsilon a \pi \lambda \epsilon i ovs$ $\epsilon \nu a w \tau o \delta s$, sup. v. 636; or it may mean simply, 'for an entire and complete year,'viz. measured by the seasons in any general way. Here $\pi d x \tau a$ is not the nominative to *koero*, but for $\delta \lambda or$. We must supply τs , the idea being, that the descent is so vast from the en-

249

ούδας ίκοιτ', εἰ πρῶτα πυλέων ἐντοσθε γένοιτο, ἀλλά κεν ἐνθα καὶ ἐνθα φέροι πρὸ θύελλα θυέλλῃ [ἀργαλέη· δεινόν τε καὶ ἀθανάτοισι θεοῖσι τοῦτο τέρας· καὶ νυκτὸς ἐρεμνῆς οἰκία δεινὰ ἔστηκεν νεφέλης κεκαλυμμένα κυανέησι.]

Τῶν πρόσθ Ἰαπετοῖο πάϊς ἔχει οὐρανὸν εὐρὺν ἑστηὼς, κεφαλῆ τε καὶ ἀκαμάτῃσι χέρεσσιν, ἀστεμφέως, ὅθι Νύξ τε καὶ Ἡμέρη ἇσσον ἰοῦσαι

744. Forkía

742. φέρει LN, Ald. 743. δεινή τε Ν. 744. έρεβενής Ν. 746. των προς τ' Ald. 747. καί οπ. Ν. 748. ότι L. τε οι... Ν. ήμέρα τάσσον L, Ald.

trance of Tartarus to the bottom, that any one would be falling for a whole year, being driven to and fro, up and down, back and forward, by violent currents of wind. A storm is said $\pi po-\phi \epsilon \rho e_{\mu\nu}$, to carry any thing before it. Here the dative is superadded to signify 'storm upon storm.' Compare Opp. 579, 'Hás roi $\pi po \phi \epsilon \rho e_{\mu\nu} h \delta \delta \delta \delta , \pi po \phi \epsilon \rho e_{\delta} \delta \epsilon \tau e$ $\xi p \gamma o v.$ II. vi. 845, &s $\mu^* \delta \phi e \lambda^* \eta \mu \sigma \tau i \tau \phi$ $-\delta \chi e \sigma \delta a i \pi po \phi \epsilon \rho o v \sigma a kar h a be \mu o o be$ $e \lambda \lambda a of \chi o tro <math>\pi p o \phi \epsilon \rho o v \sigma a kar h a be here a$ $k e \lambda a of \chi o tro <math>\pi p o \phi \epsilon \rho o v \sigma a kar h e b e e ra$ $a k e \lambda e v \delta a.$

743—5. "Hi versus mire languent. Ineptissimum etiam est *kal vertos épeµ* $ris olkía \deltaeuvà, quod cum sequentibus$ v. 746 seq. prorsus non cohaeret. Igiturseclusi utpote non profectos ab Hesiodo." Goettling. But, as Van Lennepobserves, the position of the abode ofnight refers back to*troa*in v. 736, viz.in the extreme west. Nevertheless, v.743 is like an interpolated verse, and itis not very clear to what robro répasexactly refers. These three verses mayhave been added to introduce the episode about Atlas,*bs* $<math>\pi\rho bs$ *iowtorvs iornec*, as Aeschylus said in Prom. 356. They are rejected by Flach. Schoemann supposes a lacuna of lost verses.

supposes a lacuna of lost verses. 746. The photoe, postdoole, in front of these abodes of night. Schol. $\pi p \delta \tau d \omega$ olkew, $\delta \eta \lambda ov \delta \tau \iota \tau \eta s$ vertos. He adds, that there is an inconsistency in this ac-

count of Atlas. For how, he asks, could Atlas stand on the earth and support heaven, if heaven was so far from earth that a mass of iron would be nine days in falling? Accordingly Dr. Flach omits v. 747. The poet's notion doubtless was, that Atlas held up the sky near its junction with earth in the far west. It is not said, either here or sup. v. 517 seqq., that Atlas was confined in Tartarus, though he was in penal servitude. —The common reading is ξ_{xer} observe eboby, which has been altered to ξ_{xei} on Hermann's conjecture, and from one of Goettling's MSS. Neither the middle verb nor the elision of the final a is usual. (See Opp. 702.) The active is defended by the nearly similar passage sup. 517—19, 'Arkas 8' observe eboby ξ_{xei} sparepits or $dxdy_{xys}$, where v. 747 occurs as v. 519. Van Lennep thinks ξ_{xerau} may be defended by II. xxi. 531, but there ξ_{xere} is the imperative.

748. $\delta\theta_i$, in the place where, δi , viz. in the west. This locality is expressed by a singular metaphor (derived, probably, from the change of night-watches), "Where Night and Day approaching (viz. when going in opposite directions): greet each other as they pass the mighty threshold," or as Night steps upon the earth and Day sinks or descends beneath it. Bohol. $\dot{\eta}$ role kal $\dot{\eta}$ fuictor xup-(duerai dar dalafass. Compare Od. z.

745

Į

ἀλλήλας προσέειπον, ἀμειβόμεναι μέγαν οὐδὸν, χάλκεον. ἡ μὲν ἔσω ^{*}κατεβήσετο, ἡ δὲ θύραζε 750 ἔρχεται, οὐδέ ποτ' ἀμφοτέρας δόμος ἐντὸς ἐέργει, ἀλλ' αἰεὶ ἑτέρη γε δόμων ἔκτοσθεν ἐοῦσα γαίαν ἐπιστρέφεται, ἡ δ' αῦ δόμου ἐντὸς ἐοῦσα μίμνει τὴν αὐτῆς ὥρην ὁδοῦ, ἔστ' ἂν ἴκηται· ἡ μὲν ἐπιχθονίοισι φάος πολυδερκὲς ἔχουσα, 755 ἡ δ΄ [°]Τπνον μετὰ χερσὶ, κασίγνητον Θανάτοιο, [Νὺξ ὀλοὴ, νεφέλῃ κεκαλυμμένη ἠεροειδεῖ. [°]Ενθα δὲ Νυκτὸς παῖδες ἐρεμνῆς οἰκί ἔχουσιν,

Ηέλιος φαέθων ἐπιδέρκεται ἀκτίνεσσιν

760

749. προσέξειπον

751. Eéoyei

757. dFeoofeideî

758. Foikía

749. ἀλλήλοις Ν. 750. καταβήσεται MSS. 754. τῆς αὐτῆς L. τὴν αὐτῆς Ν, Ald. 755. ἐπιχθονίησι Ν. 758. ἐρετμαῖς Ν.

82, 56: ποιμένα ποίμην ήπόει εἰσελάων, ό δέ τ' ἐξελάων ὑπακούε....There is a variant ἀμφις ἐοῦσαι, but it has less authority and gives an inferior sense. For ἡμέρα there are variants ἡμέραι and ἡμέρα. The plural was first written on account of loῦσαι, and then the final I was mistaken for T, giving the Aldine τῶσσσν, and leaving ἡμέρα. 749. μέγαν οὐδόν. The idea is that

749. μέγαν οἰδόν. The idea is that af steps leading to a vast portal, through which guards pass to keep watch outside. For the expression of. Theoor. ii. 104, άρτι θύραs ὑπὲρ οὐδὸν ἀμειβόμενον ποδὶ κούφφ. Aesch. Cho. 562, el δ' οἶν ἀμείψω βαλὸν ἔρκειον πυλῶν. Ιbid. 952, τάχα δὲ παντελϡς χρόνος ἀμείψεται πρόθυρα δωμάτων.

750. *karaβhøeral*, 'descensura est, is a less probable sense than *kareβhøero* (the epic aorist), *descendere solet*. Day retires, as it were, into the subternanean palace of night, and then Night leaves her own abode, comes upon the earth from the western door, and leaves it by a similar door in the east at the moment when Day reappears at that door.

754. $a\dot{v}\tau\hat{\eta}s$ does not agree with $\delta\delta o\tilde{v}$, as if for $\tau\hat{\eta}s$ $a\dot{v}\tau\hat{\eta}s$ (see on Scut. H. 85), but stands for the emphatic $\delta av\tau\hat{\eta}s$, which is not an epic form; 'she awaits her own time for the journey, until it shall have arrived.'

755-7. These lines are enclosed in brackets by Gaisford and Flach after Heyne and Wolf. It seems more likely that v. 757-61 in part belonged to a different recension, or were the interpolations of rhapeodista, especially as v. 760 is read in Od. xi. 16, and the feeble line that follows seems modified from ibid. 17, 18; oth bards a statistic form ibid. 17, 18; oth bards a statistic form ibid. 17, 18; oth bards a statistic form ibid. 17, 18; oth the feeble line that follows seems modified from ibid. 17, 18; oth bards a statistic support a statistic form and the feeble paraset as the seems modified from ibid. 17, 18; oth the seems for the second row, 'consanguineus let sopor,' Aen. vi. 278, occurs also in 11. xiv. 231. See sup. v. 212. It is not improbable that the original passage contained only v. 754 followed by 758 and 762 seq., the names not having been specified, but only the attributes of Sleep and Death described. Flach has ejected 759-61.

οὐρανὸν εἰσανιών οὐδ' οὐρανόθεν καταβαίνων.] τῶν ἔτερος μὲν γῆν τε καὶ εὐρέα νῶτα θαλάσσης ἦσυχος ἀνστρέφεται καὶ μείλιχος ἀνθρώποισι, τοῦ δὲ σιδηρέη μὲν κραδίη, χάλκεον δέ οἱ ἦτορ νηλεὲς ἐν στήθεσσιν· ἔχει δ' δν πρῶτα λάβησιν ἀνθρώπων· ἐχθρὸς δὲ καὶ ἀθανάτοισι θεοῖσιν.

Ένθα θεοῦ χθονίου πρόσθεν δόμοι ἀχήεντες, [ἰφθίμου τ' ᾿Αΐδεω καὶ ἐπαινῆς Περσεφονείης,] ἑστᾶσιν, δεινὸς δὲ κύων προπάροιθε φυλάσσει, νηλειὴς, τέχνην δὲ κακὴν ἔχει· ἐς μὲν ἰόντας σαίνει ὅμῶς οὐρῆ τε καὶ οὖασιν ἀμφοτέροισιν, ἐξελθεῖν δ' οὐκ αὖτις ἐậ πάλιν, ἀλλὰ δοκεύων ἐσθίει ὅν κε λάβησι πυλέων ἔκτοσθεν ἰόντα [ἰφθίμου τ' ᾿Αΐδεω καὶ ἐπαινῆς Περσεφονείης.] ἕνθα δὲ ναιετάει στυγερὴ θεὸς ἀθανάτοισι,

770

765

775

764. For 768. 774. AFibew

764. σιδήρη Ald. 768. ἐπαινεῖς Ν. 774. om. N. ἀίδαο L, Ald. 771. oùpavî te N.

763. ήσυχος καl μείλιχος. On this principle Latona, the goddess of Night, is called ήπιος καl μείλιχος, sup. v. 406. 767. πρόσθεν, in front of the abode of

767. $\pi\rho\delta\sigma\theta\epsilon$, in front of the abode of Sleep and Death is the dwelling of Hades and Proserpine. Compare $\tau\partial\sigma$ $\pi\rho\delta\sigma\theta\epsilon$ in v. 746. One can hardly doubt that the next verse has been interpolated from Od. x. 534. xi. 47. Wolf supposed it to have been a marginal comment on $\theta\epsilon\sigma\delta\chi\theta\sigma\sigma$. It occurs again, at least in the majority of MSS., as v. 774.—On $\delta\pi\alpha\deltar\eta$, which Buttmann would write $\epsilon\pi'adr\eta$ s, see the Lexilogus in v.

770. The syntax appears to be, τοδs μèν eiσιόνταs σαίνει, though perhaps σαίνειν ἕs τινα may be defended.— ἀμφστέροιs, viz. both ears on every one of his fifty or hundred heads; for there is a discrepancy in this respect with v. 312 sup.

772. έξελθεῖν. The Schol. cites Aesch. Pers. 684—6, οἰκτρῶs καλεῖσθέ μ', ἔστι δ' οὐκ εὐέξοδον. 774. Omitted in many copies, and certainly needless in this place. Cf. v. 768.

775. *ένθα*, in continuation of v. 758 and 767, viz. near the abode of night. -θυγάτηρ προσβυτάτη, the eldest as well as most venerated, προφερεντάτη ἀπασίων, sup. v. 361.-κλυτό δόματα, perhaps 'sounding halls,' like δόμαε ἡχήρντες, sup. v. 767, κλυτός Όλεαπός v. 274. Cf. κλυτό δόματα νείεν in v. 303. As an epithet to persons (inf. 927. 956), it may rather mean 'renowned.'--This episode about Siyz is of considerable interest, and evidently of great antiquity. Derived from a root meaning 'to shudder,' it was held to be the one infernal power of which the celestial gods stood in dread, and the majesty of which they dared not violate. This special prerogative had been conferred on Styx sup. v. 397-9. Hesiod's conception of it seems to have been rather vague: it rose from a covern beneath the earth (having its ultimate source,

 $\mathbf{252}$

δεινή Στὺξ, θυγάτηρ ἀψορρόου ᾿Ωκεανοῖο πρεσβυτάτη. νόσφιν δὲ θεῶν κλυτὰ δώματα ναίει μακρῆσιν πέτρησι κατηρεφέ · ἀμφὶ δὲ πάντη κίοσιν ἀργυρέοισι πρὸς οὐρανὸν ἐστήρικται. παῦρα δὲ Θαύμαντος θυγάτηρ πόδας ὠκέα ἶρις ἀγγελίης πωλεῖται ἐπ' εὐρέα νῶτα θαλάσσης, ὅππότ' ἔρις καὶ νεῖκος ἐν ἀθανάτοισιν ὅρηται. καί β' ὅστις ψεύδηται ἘΟλύμπια δώματ' ἐχόντων, Ζεὺς δέ τε ἶριν ἔπεμψε θεῶν μέγαν ὅρκον ἐνεῖκαι

776. dyoppéfou

780. Fipis

784. Fiper

781. άγελίην Ν. άγγελίης L, Ald.

like all other rivers, from Oceanus), but appeared on the surface of the earth falling from a rock (v. 792). In later times, at least, the Styx was thought to flow into Lake Avernus. But there was a spring so called in Arcudia, Pausan. viil. chap. 18. 779. *kloww*. The notion seems bor-

779. $\kappa locut.$ The notion seems borrowed from a grotto having white stalagmites that formed supporting pillars to the roof. $-\pi\rho\delta s$ obcarby perhaps means, 'reaching upwards to the base or foundation of heaven,' viz. in Tartarus, sup. v. 787. Cf. Eur. Bacch. 1082, $\pi\rho\delta s$ obparby kal yalaw dorfpile $\phi\hat{as}$ $\sigma\epsilon\mu\nuo\hat{v}$ rupós. Schol. $r\hat{v}ro \kappa a\theta'$ $i\pi\epsilon\rho\betao\lambda hy, où yap & xpis obpavoù ol <math>\kappa loves$ $d\kappa$ Taprápov. There is a confusion between the subterranean abode of a goddess, and the subterranean cave of a doep-seated spring.

deep-seated spring. 780-2. Gaisford and Flach reject these lines after Wolf and Heyne, who condemn also v. 783. To remove them however would be a great detriment to the sense. 'But seldom does Iris go to and fro (from Heaven to Tartarus and back) with a message over the wide surface of the sea, (namely,) when strife and dispute shall have arisen among the immortals; and whoever (i.e. whenever any one) of those dwelling in Olympus shall speak falsely, then

truly does Zeus send Iris to bring, as a mighty oath for the gods, from afar in a golden flagon the much-celebrated water.' There is nothing obscure here but the use of Zevs $\delta \ell$ re for Zevs $\delta \hbar$ rou (Zevs $\tau \delta \tau \epsilon$ Flach) in v. 784.

(Zeos rore Flach) in v. 784. 781. The MSS. vary between $d\gamma\gamma\epsilon\lambda i\eta$, $d\gamma\gamma\epsilon\lambda i\eta$, $d\gamma\gamma\epsilon\lambda i\eta$. This is a difficult Homerio word, for which the reader should refer to Buttmann's discussion in the Lexilogus (in v.). He shows that many of the grammarians believed in a nominative δ $d\gamma\gamma\epsilon\lambda i\eta$ s. Between the genitive and the cognate accusative it is difficult to decide. We find in Homer, II. iii. 206, $\eta\delta\eta$, $\gamma d\rho$ kal $\delta\epsilon \tilde{\nu}\rho\delta$ mor' $\eta\lambda\nu\theta\epsilon$ fins 'Odvore's $\sigma\epsilon \tilde{\nu}$ ërek' $d\gamma\gamma\epsilon\lambda \eta s$. In xiii. 252, $\tilde{\eta}\epsilon$ rev $d\gamma\gamma\epsilon\lambda i\eta s$. Betwees. But in xi. 140, $d\gamma\gamma\epsilon\lambda \eta s$ $\delta\lambda\theta\sigma ra$ occurs. Goettling attempts to explain the genitive as in $\pi\rho\eta\sigma\epsilon\omega$ $\delta\delta\delta\sigma$, $\theta\epsilon\tilde{\nu}$ $\pi\epsilon\delta(\omega)$, dc. Van Lennep adopts the realing $d\gamma\gamma\epsilon\lambda i\eta$, the thing being used for the person.

783. Flach reads with Gerhard kat ρ' $\delta \tau \epsilon \tau \tau s \psi$. Van Lennep assents to Goettling's explanation of the syntax, kal $\delta \pi \delta \tau \tau s \psi \epsilon \delta \delta \pi \tau a$, $\delta \sigma \tau s$, $\sigma \tau s$.

kal dordre ris ψ eidoprai, foris nor eris. 784. μ éyar $\delta \rho \kappa or$. The water itself is so culled, because $\delta \rho \kappa os$ properly means any object to swear by. See on v. 400.

τηλόθεν ἐν χρυσέη προχόφ, πολυώνυμον ὕδωρ, 785 ψυχρον, ὅ τ' ἐκ πέτρης καταλείβεται ἠλιβάτοιο ὑψηλης· πολλον δέ θ' ὑπο χθονος εὐρυοδείης ἐξ ἱεροῦ ποταμοῖο ῥέει διὰ νύκτα μέλαιναν ἘΩκεανοῖο κέρας· δεκάτη δ' ἐπὶ μοῖρα δέδασται. ἐννέα μὲν περὶ γῆν τε καὶ εὐρέα νῶτα θαλάσσης 790 δίνης ἀργυρέης εἰλιγμένος εἰς ἅλα πίπτει, ἡ δὲ μί' ἐκ πέτρης προρέει μέγα πημα θεοῖσιν.

788. þéfa

790. **trvé**a

791. Feiλιγμένος

792. προρέτει

785. πολϋόμβρϊμον Ν. 786. πέτρας Ν. 787. πολλον δ' ύπο L, Ald. 788. ποταμοῦ Ν. 791. είλιγμένα Ν. 792. προβρέει Ν.

785. "πολυώνυμος dicitur Styx quod multa est in ore poetarum." Goetling. Schol. δνομαστόν, τίμιον, ή ἕνδοξον, ή πολλάκις ύπό πολλών δνομαζόμενον, διά τούς δρκους. So the cave of Typhoeus in Cilicia is called πολυώνυμον, Pind. Pyth. i. 17.

786. $\psi v \chi \rho \delta v$. This probably alludes to the physical meaning of Styx. See on v. 775.—*καταλείβεται*, as Homer calls it τδ κατειβόμενον Στυγός δδωρ, Od. v. 185. Il. xv. 37.

789. κέρας, a branch, ἀπορρώζ. Thuc. i. 110, τριήρεις διάδοχοι πλέουσαι ἐς Αϊγυπτον ἕσχον κατὰ τὸ Μενδήσιον κέρας. Van Lennep well compares Apoll. Rhod. iv. 1282, where the Ister is called ὅπατον κέρας ἀΩκεανοῖο.—δεκάτη μοῖρα, a tenth share of the water of Oceanus is allotted to Styx, which therefore πολλον βέει, v. 787—8. 790. ἀννέα, viz. κέρατα, the accusa-

790. $\delta rv \epsilon a$, viz. $\kappa \epsilon \rho a ra$, the accusative after $\epsilon i \lambda r \gamma \mu \epsilon ros$, the subject of which is ' $\Omega \kappa \epsilon a v \delta s$. Translate:—'nine indeed (out of tam) channels cocean conveys in zig-zag courses with clear eddies round the earth and the broad expanse of the sea, and (so at last) falls into the brine; but this one (viz. the Styx, or tenth portion) flows from a rock, a great harm to the gods.' The passage is difficult, but is capable of a better and simpler meaning than that given by some interpreters. By $w \epsilon \rho l$ $\gamma \delta r$. $\kappa \cdot \lambda$. the subterranean courses of

the rivers appear to be meant, as described in Georg. iv. 366, 'Omnia sub magna labentia flumina terra Spectabat diversa locis, Phasimque Lycumque, &c. These rivers, like the Styx itself, ultimately appear on earth and fall into the inner seas, viz. the Mediterra-nean or Pontus. The Schol. has this comment :-πολύ δὲ ὑπὸ τὴν γῆν διὰ τοῦ 'Ωκεανοῦ λαθραίως παρέρχεται το ῦδωρ τῆς Στυγός, και οῦτος. (There is some corruption here. He adds.) έστι δέ τὸ ἐκεί ΰδωρ τῆς Στυγός τὸ δέκατον μέρος τοῦ 'Ωκεανείου ΰδατος, ὡς ἔχειν τὸ λοιπόν $\delta\delta\omega\rho$ $\tau o\hat{v}$ (Akeavoû $\mu olpas$ drvéa, $\tau \delta$ δd $\tau \hat{\eta}s$ $\Xi \tau v \gamma \delta s$ $\mu la x$. The superstitions dread of the Styx (a stream cascading from a rock in Arcadia) arose from its being in a solitary and weird-looking place, and also from the water disap-pearing in a 'swallow,' which was supposed to conduct it to the underworld.-As for eldiyuévos, (for which the Emmanuel MS. has eix17µéra,) Hesiod used it also of the tortuous course of the Cephisus, frag. cci., sal τε δι' 'Ορχομενοῦ είλιγμένος είσι δράκων Ss. (The sister stream Ilissus might seem to be derived from this very verb.) Euripides used the same participle in the Theseus (frag. 385, 7) to describe the letter X, rpiror di Bistrouxis ris is είλιγμένος.

792. μέγα πημα. See on v. 400.

δς κεν την ἐπίορκον ἀπολείψας ἐπομόσση ἀθανάτων, οι ἕχουσι κάρη νιφόεντος Ολύμπου, κείται νήϋτμος τετελεσμένον εἰς ἐνιαυτον, 795 οὐδέ ποτ ἀμβροσίης καὶ νέκταρος ἔρχεται ἇσσον βρώσιος, ἀλλά τε κείται ἀνάπνευστος καὶ ἀναυδος στρωτοῖς ἐν λεχέεσσι, κακον δ' ἐπὶ κῶμα καλύπτει. αὐτὰρ ἐπην νοῦσον τελέση μέγαν εἰς ἐνιαυτον, ἄλλος δ' ἐξ ἀλλου δέχεται χαλεπώτερος ἀθλος· 800 εἰνάετες δὲ θεῶν ἀπομείρεται αἰὲν ἐόντων, οὐδέ ποτ' ἐς βουλην ἐπιμίσγεται οὐδ' ἐπὶ δαῖτας ἐννέα πάντ' ἔτεα· δεκάτῷ δ' ἐπιμίσγεται αὖτις εἰρέας ἀθανάτων, οἱ Ολύμπια δώματ' ἔχουσι.

801. eiváferes

803. εννέξα πάντα βέτεα

804. Feipas?

793. τὸν—ἐπομώσει Ν. ἀπολεώμας LN, Ald. Ald. 797. βρόσῖος L. 799. νοῦ σου Ν. 80 L. χαλεπώτατος Ald. χαλεπώτερος Ν.

793. $d\pi o \lambda \epsilon (\psi as, \cdot having taken up$ this water as a libation.' Cf. v. 785.— $<math>\ell \pi (op \kappa or, perhaps agreeing with \tau h v,$ 'in witness of an oath.' Otherwise, $we must understand <math>\ell \pi (op \kappa or \delta p \kappa or)$ $\ell \pi o \mu \delta \sigma \sigma y$. Perhaps too the poet intended $\ell \pi o \mu r \delta r a \delta r \delta r \sigma \sigma r$, 'to swear by the immortals,' to be taken together like $\ell \pi \delta \sigma r \mu \sigma \sigma \sigma r \ell \pi \omega r o \mu \sigma \sigma \ell \ell \sigma \sigma$. See Opp. 194.

795. νήϋτμος, 'breathless,' from ἀῦτμή. Schol. γρ. νηϋποτμος, a variant which probably gave rise to νήποτμος, found in the Aldine and others.

797. άλλά τε. Perhaps άλλ' δγε. But see sup. on v. 3. Goettling is certainly wrong in saying "Huic τε v. 798 respondet δè in κακδν δέ"—ἀτάπνευστος can only be explained by ἀνὰ having the negative sense of a (see on ἀνάελπτα, v. 660, and cf. ἀνάεδνον (ἀνέεδον), II. ix. 146). But ἀναπνεῦν means 'to respire;' hence ἅμπνευστος might mean 'having drawn breath,' and ἀνάμπνευστος 'breathless.' Either this form of the word should be restored, or Hermann's ἅμι ἅμνευστος.

798. xôµa, 'a trance,' 'a lethargy.'

This word is used in Il. xiv. 359. Od. xviii. 201.

799. The μέγαs ἐνιαντὸs is either the same as the τετελεσμένος or τελεσφόρος ἐνιαντὸs, sup. v. 740, 'a full year,' or, as Goettling thinks, not a single year, but a cycle of eight years (ἐνναετηρίs) is meant.—νοῦσον τελέση, sc. διατελέση εἰς ἐνιαντὸν καρτερῶν, πάσχων. The common phrase τελευτῶν ἕς τι explains this syntax.

801. àmouelperau. See on Opp. 578. Van Lennep prefers the form àmauelperau with several MSS. here, and the Cod. Gale in the former place.—Between évrderes and the Homeric form elvderes the MSS. vary. Van Lennep prefers the former, which is the common reading, comparing évraeréne in Opp. 486. There may have been a primitive form évféa by the side of évréfa.

802. The full construction perhaps is, obde és βουλην λων έπιμίσγεται τοῖs βουλεύουσιν. Van Lennep well compares Π. Xviii. 215, στη δ' έπι τάφρον λων άπο τείχεος, obd' és 'Aχαιούς μίσγετο.

804. elpéas, the conversations, léoxas.

795. νήποτμος L, 800. χαλαιπώτατος

τοίον ἄρ' ὄρκον ἔθεντο θεοὶ Στυγὸς ἄφθιτον ὕδωρ, 805 [ὦγύγιον, τό θ' ἵησι καταστυφέλου διὰ χώρου.]

[Ένθα δὲ γῆς δνοφερῆς καὶ Ταρτάρου ἠερόεντος πόντου τ' ἀτρυγέτοιο καὶ οὐρανοῦ ἀστερόεντος ἐξείης πάντων πηγαὶ καὶ πείρατ' ἔασιν, ἀργαλέ', εὐρώεντα, τάτε στυγέουσι θεοί περ.] 810 ἔνθα δὲ μαρμάρεαί τε πύλαι καὶ χάλκεος οὐδὸς, ἀστεμφής, ῥίζῃσι διηνεκέεσσιν ἀρηρὼς, αὐτοφυής· πρόσθεν δὲ θεῶν ἔκτοσθεν ἁπάντων

807. δ ξερόεντος 810. Γαργαλέα

806. τὸ δ'—κατασταφελοῦ Ν. 809. ἔασσϊν Ν, Ald. 811. λάϊνος οὐδὸς L. 812. ἀστεμφής L.

See on $\epsilon i \rho \epsilon i \sigma a a$, sup. v. 38. Homer uses $\epsilon i \rho \epsilon u \sigma a d \epsilon i \rho a$ (II. xviii. 531), Hesiod $\epsilon i \rho \epsilon u \sigma a d \epsilon i \rho \epsilon a$. Buhnken remarks that the form $\epsilon i \rho \epsilon a$ is not mentioned by any of the old lexicographers, and would read $\epsilon i \rho a a$.

805. Iderro deci. Zeus appointed this honour as a special prerogative of Styx, sup. v. 400; but here the gods are said to have adopted it for themselves.

806. Perhaps this verse is an addition; it is ejected by Flach also. The epithet $\delta\gamma\gamma_{i\gamma_{i}\sigma_{i}}$ seems to mean 'dark' (see on Aesch. Eum. 989). As the Thebans had their ' $\Omega\gamma_{i\gamma_{i}\sigma_{i}}$ $\pi\delta\lambda a$, it may have been a Boeotic word, and so employed by Hesiod. But the Styx is strangely said *lévai* $\delta\delta\sigma\rho$ $\delta\iota\lambda$ $\chi\delta\rho\sigma\nu$. Probably we must supply $\beta\epsilon ova,$ and suppose the epithet to refer to $\epsilon\pi$ $\pi\epsilon\tau\rho\eta s$ in v. 786. The more common word applied to rocks is $\sigma\tau\nu\rho\lambda\delta s$, which combines the two notions of 'hard' and 'dry.' Cf. Aesch. Prom. 767. Soph. Antig. 250. Hesych. $\kappa arastrophov.$ $\kappa arathov. Karastrophov. Karathov.$ $<math>\kappa arathov. Karastrophov. Karathov.$ $\kappa al hµess Strophas kalonev.$

807-10. These four lines have already occurred at v. 736, and their recurrence here is an evidence of disjointed portions of this poem having been variously put together. Gaisford, Flach, and Goettling, after Wolf, en-

close in brackets from 807 to 819. The frequent use of the commencement **i**-ba $\delta \lambda$ or $i \nu \theta a$ (e.g. 729, 734, 736, 758, 767, 775, 807, 811) facilitated the repetition of similar descriptive passages. Assuming only 807—10 to be wrongly added in this place, $i \nu \theta a \delta \lambda$ in v. 811 must refer to the gates placed over Tartarus by Poseidon, v. 733, which were there called $\chi d \lambda \kappa \epsilon a a$, which were there called $\chi d \lambda \kappa \epsilon a$, but are here $\mu a \rho - \mu d \rho \epsilon a$, meaning perhaps simply 'bright.' Photius, $\mu a \rho \mu a \rho \epsilon \mu \nu \cdot \lambda a \mu \pi \rho d \nu$. Cf. IL xiv. 273, $\delta \lambda a \mu a \rho \mu a \rho \epsilon \mu \nu$ and xvii. 594, $a l 2 h \beta a \mu a \rho \mu a \rho \epsilon \mu \nu$. Ibid. viii. 15, $\delta + a$ $a l 2 h \rho \epsilon a$ is the set of
812. ἀστεμφηs, firm, immoveable, ἀxίνητος, sup. v. 748. II. iii. 219.—βίζησι, deeply infixed or implanted in the earth with natural and not artificial foundations. Cf. Oed. Col. 1590, τδν καταρράκην δδδν χαλκοῖς βάθρωσι γῆθεν ἐρριζωμένου. This line closely resembles II. xii. 134, δρύες—βίζησιν μεγάλρσι διηνεκέεσσ' ἀραφυΐαι.

Supresters' apapria. 813. $\pi\rho\delta\sigma\sigma\thetaer$. This appears to coincide with $\delta r\theta a$ in v. 734. Van Lennep explains, "Non ante sed ultra illud limen." But there is no reason why $\pi\rho\delta\sigma\thetae$ should have a different sense here and in 746, 767, viz. in front of the gates. Here however not the rebel Titans, but the hundred-handed giants, their keepers, were posted as sentinels. There is less difficulty in $\pi\epsilon\rho\eta r$ xdees.

Τιτήνες ναίουσι πέρην Χάεος ζοφεροΐο. αὐτὰρ ἐρισμαράγοιο Διὸς κλειτοὶ ἐπίκουροι 815 δώματα ναιετάουσιν ἐπ' Ωκεανοΐο θεμέθλοις, Κόττος τ' ἠδὲ Γύης· Βριάρεών γε μὲν ἠὖν ἐόντα γαμβρὸν ἑὸν ποίησε βαρύκτυπος Ἐννοσίγαιος, δῶκε δὲ Κυμοπόλειαν ὀπυίειν, θυγατέρα ἦν.

Αὐτὰρ ἐπεὶ Τιτῆνας ἀπ' οὐρανοῦ ἐξέλασε Ζεὺς, 820 ὅπλότατον τέκε παίδα Τυφωέα Γαία πελώρη Ταρτάρου ἐν φιλότητι διὰ χρυσέην ᾿Αφροδίτην. οῦ χεῖρες μὲν ἔασιν ἐπ' ἰσχύϊ ἔργματ' ἔχουσαι,

814. Xáfeos	815. Δ, Fòs	818. Fóv	819. σfήν (σφήν)
	823.	Fέργματ '	

814. μένουσι Ν. 815. κλειτοί τ' L. 819. οπυίει Ν. 820. ξε οὐρανοῦ ἐξέλασχε Ν. 821. τυφῦέα Ν. 823. ἔασσιν Ald.

which means in the part of Tartarus across the great gulf, and furthest removed from the celestial gods. The guards or sentinels dwell close to the upper entrance of Tartarus, and therefore near to the $\pi \acute{o} rov \pi \eta \gamma al$ (v. 738) and $\acute{o} \pi e a v \hat{o} \delta \acute{e} \mu \epsilon \theta \lambda a$, the under parts, as it were, seen from below, of sea and earth.

817. $\gamma \in \mu e\nu$, 'but,' i.e. there are two out of three who continue to keep guard over the Titans, sup. 785. From the contiguity of their habitation to Oceanus, we may suppose that Poseidon selected the favoured one for his son-inlaw. K. O. Müller observes (Hist. Gr. Lit. p. 91) that Poseidon and Briareus seem to have had in some countries a common worship. Thus Briareus is said to be called Aiyalaw by mostal men, Il. i. 404, and Alyalar is also a name of Poseidon (Hesych. erdalos Beos). Sup. 626 it was said that Briareus Cottus and Gyes had been released from bondage for their services to Zeus; here they seem transferred to some happy land, some μακάρων νήσος. To this Pindar alludes, Pyth. iv. 291, λύσε δè Zeùs àpoirous Tirâras.

819. $h\nu$ evidently takes both the σ and the F, or in other words, the aspirated digamma. The metre requires $\sigma F h\nu$ or

σφήν. So Scut. H. 59, αὐτὸν καὶ πατέρα $\delta \nu$ 'Αρη. II. xiii. 376, δ δ' ὑπέσχετο θυγατέρα $\hbar \nu$, and Od. xix. 400, θυγατέροs $\hbar s$. Od. ix. 461, δs εἰπῶν τὸν κριὸν ἀπὸ ξο πέμπε θὐραξε. The ἐ in ἐδν is from another form. Ε̄ν for σΕῶν, or Feir.

another form, For for σFor , or Feór. 820. Hesiod continues his account of the Titanic race, and the doom which they received from the victorious Zeus. This Typhoeus, the god of volcances and earthquakes (see v. 306-7), was born after the expulsion of the Titans from heaven, and became a second and hardly less formidable adversary of Zeus. Müller (Hist. Gr. Lit. p. 91) calls this episode "an after-piece to the battle of the Titans." Goettling thinks that it should follow v. 452, and so conclude the first part of the Theogony. Compare Apollodor. i. 6, 3, 45 δè ἐκράτησαν ol θeol τῶν Γιγάντων, Γή μῶλλον χολωθeiσα μίγνται Ταρτάφο, καὶ γεντᾶ Τυφῶνα ἐν Κιλικία, μεμιγμέτην ἔχοντα φύσιν ἀνδρὸs καὶ θηρίου. Aeschylus calls the monster γηγενηs, as born from Earth, Prom. 359. Pindar, Pyth. i. 25, mentions it as κεῦνε ἐρπτον.

tions it as $\kappa \epsilon i \nu \sigma \epsilon \rho \pi \epsilon \tau \sigma \sigma$. 823. $\epsilon \pi' l \sigma \chi \delta \sigma$. Van Lennep explains this, 'for strength,' 'whose hands are engaged in actions to show his strength.' Hesych. $\epsilon \rho \gamma \mu \sigma \pi \sigma \cdot \pi \rho d \gamma \mu \sigma \pi \sigma$. Perhaps he took it to mean 'whose hands were



καὶ πόδες ἀκάματοι κρατεροῦ θεοῦ· ἐκ δέ οἱ ǚμων ἢν ἑκατὸν κεφαλαὶ ὄφιος, δεινοῖο δράκοντος, 825 γλώσσησι δνοφερῆσι λελειχμότος, ἐκ δέ οἱ ὄσσων θεσπεσίης κεφαλῆσιν ὑπ' ὀφρύσι πῦρ ἀμάρυσσε. [πασέων δ' ἐκ κεφαλέων πῦρ καίετο δερκομένοιο,] φωναὶ δ' ἐν πάσησιν ἔσαν δεινῆς κεφαλῆσι παντοίην ὅπ' ἱεῖσαι, ἀθέσφατον. ἆλλοτε μὲν γὰρ 830 φθέγγονθ' ὦστε θεοῖσι συνιέμεν, ἆλλοτε δ' αὖτε

824-6. Foi 828. Káfero

825. κρατεροΐο Ν. 826. λελιχμότος Ν. λελειχμότος (?) L. (gl. λείχοντος.) λελειχμότες Ald. ούδέ οἱ ὄσσων Ν. 828. δ' om. Ν. πασῶν δ' L. πασσῶν δ' Ald. 830. ἰεῖσαι MSS.

825. On ην for ησαν (or έσαν) see v. 321. Aristophanes seems to copy this passage, Pao. 756, έκατον δε κύκλφ κεφαλαί κολάκων οἰμωξομένων ἐλιχμῶντο Περί την κεφαλήν. Apollodor. i. 6, 3, χεῖρας δε εἶχε (Τυφων), την μεν ἐπὶ την ἐσπέραν ἐκτεινομένην, την δε ἐπὶ τὰς ἀνατολάς· ἐκ τούτων δε ἐξεῖχον ἐκατον κεφαλαὶ δρακόντων, —πῦρ δε ἐδέρκετο τοἶς δμμασι.

826. The masculine λελειχμότες, the reading of most copies, and as such retained by Goettling and Van Lennep (who however gives λελιχμότες), is capable of defence, since $\kappa\epsilon\phi\alpha\lambda al \delta\phii\omega\nu$ is virtually the same as $\delta\phi\epsilon s$. Compare sup. v. 591—3, $\phi\tilde{\lambda}a\gamma\nu a\kappa\tilde{\omega}\nu$ — $\sigma\delta\mu\phi\rhooo$. Gaisford edits $\lambda\epsilon\delta\epsilon\iota\chi\mu\delta\sigma res$, with two or three MSS. The anomalous perfect $\lambda\epsilon\delta\lambda\epsilon\iota\chi\mu a$ or $\lambda\epsilon\lambda\iota\chi\mu a$ must be referred to $\lambda\epsilon\delta\chi\omega$, $\lambda\epsilon\iota\chi\mu\delta\nu$, $\lambda\chi\mu\eta\mu\mu$, though the regular form would be $\lambda\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\iota\chi a$. See on Sout. H. v. 235, and Buttm. Lexil. p. 547, note. Perhaps $\lambda\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\iota\chi\mu\delta\tau\epsilons$ is

the result of two readings, $\lambda \epsilon \lambda_i \chi \mu \delta \tau \epsilon_s$ and $\lambda \epsilon \lambda \epsilon_s \chi \delta \tau \epsilon_s$. Curtius, Gr. Et. 483, says, "it would be possible to bring forward the Hesiodic $\lambda \epsilon_s \lambda \epsilon_s \chi \mu \delta \tau \epsilon_s$ and derive its μ from *F*." Perhaps it is a rhapsodist's coinage from $\lambda \chi \mu \omega =$ $\lambda_i \chi \mu \delta \omega$. —*i* $\kappa \delta i \ \kappa. \tau \lambda$, 'And from the eyes in his monstrous heads under the eyebrows fire flashed.'

828. This verse is thought by Wolf and others to have belonged to another recension, in place of the two preceding. It is enclosed in brackets by Gaisford and Goettling, ejected by Flach. 830. The vulgate issue seems in-

830. The vulgate *leisau* seems incapable of defence, while the π before the aspirate has some analogy in the Acolic $\delta\pi\phi$ is. One or two MSS. only give $\delta\phi'$ leisau.

831. $\theta \epsilon o i \sigma_i$ should properly have been $\theta \epsilon o i s$. The dative is difficult to explain. Perhaps it is a confused construction for $\theta \theta \epsilon \gamma \rho \sigma r \sigma$ for a coverable elast $\theta \epsilon o i s$. Van Lennep would supply $\ell \epsilon \delta \sigma s$. Van Lennep would supply $\ell \epsilon \delta \sigma s$. Van Lennep would supply $\ell \epsilon \delta \sigma s$. The various sounds here described, and compared to the noises made by animals, are to be referred to the earthquake-rumblings and other strange sounds which commonly precede eruptions. These sounds are called 'intelligible to the gods,' either because they were not intelligible to others, or because they knew that rebellion and hostility were intended.

ταύρου ἐριβρύχεω, μένος ἀσχέτου, †ὄσσαν ἀγαύρου, ἀλλοτε δ' αὖτε λέοντος ἀναιδέα θυμὸν ἔχοντος, ἀλλοτε δ' αὖ σκυλάκεσσιν ἐοικότα, θαύματ' ἀκοῦσαι, ἀλλοτε δ' αὖ ῥοίζεσχ', ὑπὸ δ' ἦχεεν οὖρεα μακρά. 835 καί νύ κεν ἔπλετο ἔργον ἀμήχανον ἦματι κείνω, καί κεν ὅγε θνητοῖσι καὶ ἀθανάτοισιν ἀναξεν, εἰ μὴ ἀρ' ὀξὺ νόησε πατὴρ ἀνδρῶν τε θεῶν τε. σκληρὸν δ' ἐβρόντησε καὶ ὅμβριμον, ἀμφὶ δὲ γαῖα σμερδαλέον κονάβησε καὶ οὐρανὸς εὐρὺς ὖπερθεν, 840 πόντος τ' Ωκεανοῦ τε ῥοαὶ καὶ τάρταρα γαίης. ποσσὶ δ' ὖπ' ἀθανάτοισι μέγας πελεμίζετ' ὅΟλυμπος ὀρνυμένοιο ἀνακτος· ἐπεστενάχιζε δὲ γαῖα. καῦμα δ' ὑπ' ἀμφοτέρων κάτεχεν ἰοειδέα πόντον

834. Γετοικότα θάξματα 843. Γάνακτος

836. Γέργον 837. Γάναξεν 844. κάΓμα ΓιοΓειδέα.

832. ἐριβρόχεω μένος ἄσχετον L. ἄσχετον N. 834. om. N. 835. ῥοίζασχ' L, Ald. ῥοίζεσχ' N. 837. ὅδε Ν. 839. σκληρόν τ' Ν. δβριμον Ν. γαΐαν Ν. 840. οὐρανὸν ἐγγός Ν. 842. πολεμίζετ' Ν. 843. ὑπεστονάχιζε Ν. 844. ἀμφοτερήνων Ν.

832. raipou, viz. ϕ aral $\delta\sigma$ ar, v. 829. But louiora in v. 834 depends again on ϕ θ frycers. According who has rather closely copied this passage in Prom. 360 seqq., says nothing about a tauriform shape;—

αντέστη θεοîs

σμερδναΐσι γαμφηλαΐσι συρίζων φόνου εξ δμμάτων δ' ήστραπτε γοργωπόν σέλας,

ώς την Διός τυραννίδ' έκπέρσων βία.

But Ovid, also alluding to this passage, Fast. iii. 799, describes the monster as half serpent, half bull-shaped; 'Matre satus Terra, monstrum mirabile, taurus Parte sui serpens posteriore fuit.' Hesiod, indeed, only says that his voice was like that of a bull. But *bora* is not the right word, and moreover it should be *Fosta*. Parhaps *bore* & *dyalopo*, *torva tuentis*.

835. Most copies give $\beta ol(a\sigma \chi', which would imply a present tense in -d\omega or$

8 2

-d $(\omega$. See Scut. H. 480. Goettling gives $\delta o(\xi e \sigma \chi')$ with the Emmanuel MS. (which omits v. 834). Some copies have $\delta o \hat{c} o \hat{s} \delta \sigma \chi'$ or $\hat{s} \chi e$. Properly, $\delta o(\hat{s} \psi)$ is 'to whizz,' to rastle,' to hiss.' But Hesiod seems to have used $\delta o(\hat{s} \psi)$. Perhaps, $\delta \lambda \lambda \sigma r e \delta' a \hat{c} \delta o(\hat{s} \psi) \pi \delta f \hbar \chi e e v o b \rho e a$ $<math>\mu a a \rho d$, or $\delta \pi \delta f \hbar \chi e e \delta' \kappa. \tau. \lambda$.—On three consecutive verses beginning with the same word, see Opp. 5.

836. *Lpyon dufficator*, vis. the attainment of the sovereignty. The preceding narrative implies that Typhoeus sent out threatening sounds against Zeus. Goettling thinks this verse belonged to another recension in place of v. 837.

843. incorrendzuse, 'adgemebat,' Van Lennep. Goettling reads incorrendzuse, the reading of the Emmanuel MS. being incorrondzuse.

υπεστονάχιζε. 844. υπ' ἀμφοτέρων, from the lightning of Zeus and the fire (v. 827) from Typhoeus. Schol. υπό τοῦ Διὸs καl τῶν 2

βροντής τε στεροπής τε, πυρός τ' ἀπὸ τοῦο πελώρου, 845 πρηστήρων ἀνέμων τε κεραυνοῦ τε φλεγέθοντος. ἔζεε δὲ χθών πασα καὶ οὐρανὸς ἠδὲ θάλασσα· θῦε δ' ἆρ' ἀμφ' ἀκτὰς περί τ' ἀμφί τε κύματα μακρὰ ῥιπῃ ῦπ' ἀθανάτων, ἔνοσις δ' ἆσβεστος ὀρώρει· [τρέσσ' Ἀΐδης, ἐνέροισι καταφθιμένοισιν ἀνάσσων, 850 Τιτῆνές θ' ὑποταρτάριοι, Κρόνον ἀμφὶς ἐόντες, ἀσβέστου κελάδοιο καὶ αἰνῆς δηϊοτήτος.]

850. 'Afiδηs Faváσσων

845. πυρός τ' Ν. πυρός L, Ald. 847. ἔζεε πυρὶ Ν. 848. ἀμφὲ κύματα L. 850. τρέσσε δ' ἀίτης Ν. τρέσσε δ' ἄδης L, Ald. 851. Τιτήνες δ' Ν. κρόνου L (gl. περὶ τὸν κρόνον), Ald.

repairs $\tau \circ \tilde{v}$ Tupuséus, $\tau \circ \tilde{v}$ pèr dia tàs $\beta \rho orràs$, $\tau \circ \tilde{v}$ dè dia tà mechara. In fact, the next verse is exceptical of this. It is surprising therefore that Goettling should explain $\delta \pi^*$ àuporépour of both the earth and Olympus placed on it. The reading of MS. Emm. (N) àuporephyor, seems to indicate a confusion between àuporépy (viz. $\beta \rho orr \tilde{\eta}$ $\tau \epsilon$ oreporfi $\tau \epsilon$) and $\delta \pi \phi \sigma f \rho our.$ Unless in the next verse we should read $\delta \pi \sigma$, $\beta \rho orr \tilde{\eta}$ s, &c. are the genitives after $\kappa \tilde{v} \mu a$.

846. If this verse be genuine, and not another ancient reading of the preceding, the πρηστήρεs άνεμοι mean the gushing and bursting winds, which the ancients supposed to be the cause of earthquakes. Hesych. πρηστήρ· σφο-δρόs άνεμος. Cf. πρημανούσας θυέλλας, Ar. Nub. 336. The τe added by Gaisford and Van Lennep after $\pi \rho \eta \sigma \tau h \rho \omega \nu$ has but little MS. authority. The latter critic takes $\pi \rho \eta \sigma \tau h \rho \omega r$ to mean 'blasting and burning flames.' We may remark on the whole of this description, that it accurately describes, though in somewhat symbolic language, some ancient volcanic eruption. We have the rumblings, the fire bursting out of the sea, the great earthquake wave, the liquified lava, the explosion of confined gases. This account however is so mixed up with the mythical notions of the bolts of Zeus and the fire of the monster, that

the poet seems unconscious that he is describing any real natural phenomenon. It is an interesting question, whether this should be referred to the earliest recorded eruption of Etna (see Thuc. iii. 116), which is Goettling's opinion, or to the outbreak of some other volcanic mountain, now extinct (perhaps Typhaonium, near Olympus, Scut. H. 32), as Van Lennep suggests.

850-2. These verses are probably an tion. They are rejected by Wolf remarked that in this interpolation. Flach. place alone there is mention of Cronus being imprisoned with the Titans. This however may be due to the loss of some portion of the Theogony, for Aeschylus expressly says that Cronus and his allies were thrust down into Tartarus (Prom. 227). But the present passage is patched up from II. xiv. 274-9, of *ivepbe beal* Kobrov àupls iortes, -beads & ordunver δπαντας τους υποταρταρίους, of Ternves raléorra. The de seems wanted as a connecting particle in 850, and yet it violates the metre, unless with Muetzell and Van Lennep we prefer Tpée 6' 'Atons, a reading given in one of the late grammarians. (Compare for the synizesis Opp. 5.) Again the genitives in v. 852 can only depend on *Irena* understood. Hermann thought that both this verse and 846 were only variants of 844-5.-The reading of some copies, Kpórov

Ζευς δ', επεί ουν κόρθυνεν έον μένος, είλετο δ' οπλα. βροντήν τε στεροπήν τε και αίθαλόεντα κεραυνόν, πλήξεν απ' Ουλύμποιο επάλμενος αμφί δε πάσας 855 έπρεσε θεσπεσίας κεφαλάς δεινοιο πελώρου. αύταρ έπει δή μιν δάμασε πληγησιν ιμάσσας, ήριπε γυιωθείς, στενάχιζε δε γαία πελώρη· φλόξ δε κεραυνωθέντος απέσσυτο τοιο ανακτος ουρεος έν βήσσησιν αιδνής, παιπαλοέσσης, 860 πληγέντος πολλή δε πελώρη καίετο γαία

855. οὐλύμπου ἐπιάλμενος? 859. Fávartos 853. κόρθυνε Γεόν 860. df www. 861. ка́Гето

853. κέρθυνεν έδν μένος είκετο δ' όπλον Ν. 856. έπερσε Ν. έπρεε L. Ald. 858. γυρωσθείς Ν. εστέναζε δε L. 859. Joio N. 860. πεπαλοέσσης Ν.

dupls, 'apart from Cronus,' arose from no mention having been made of Cronus

being imprisoned. 853. *κορθυνεν*, had raised to the highest point; had exerted his full strength; ethper, bywaer, authyeuper. Cf. II. ix. 6, άμυδις δέ τε κύμα κελαινόν Κορθύεται. Scut. H. 148, κορύσσουσα κλόνον ανδρών. 855. For the hiatus see sup. v. 399.

856. Expere, he seared, scorched. A remarkable form for $\xi = \rho \eta \sigma \epsilon$, of which it is called an Acolic abbreviation. Undoubtedly, in Hesiod's time the η had not the power of long ϵ . Still, whether ϵ or η , o or ω , was used in writing a word, the metrical sound was in all probability the same, as far as pronun-ciation was concerned.— $\theta \epsilon \sigma w \epsilon \sigma (as, cf.$ v. 827.

857. iμάσσαs. Cf. Il. ii. 782,' δτε τ' άμφι Τυφώει γαίαν Ιμάσση είν Αρίμοις. 858. γυμοθεις, 'maimed.' Il. viii. 402,

yuidow µèr opeir iq čapaour čatas imrovs. But, if this verb is from yuia, 'limbs,' the only intelligible form is àmoyuiorau, 'to un-limb' (as it were), Amore in the only interligible form is $\lambda \pi \sigma \gamma v i \delta \sigma a a$, 'to un-limb' (as it were), and so 'to weaken.' Like $\delta \chi a$ in the formula $\delta \chi' \delta \mu \sigma \sigma \sigma$, this must be due to the clipping of some rhapsodist. Accordy us alludes again to this passage, Prom. 370, έφεψαλώθη καξεβροντήθη σθένος, καl νῦν ἀχρεῖον καl παράορον

dépas neiral -- On Hpere, intransitive, see Scut. H. 421-3.

859. того блактоз. Compare toio weλώρου, v. 845, where the demonstrative rather than the article is meant. The construction is, κεραυνωθέντος (αὐτοῦ) φλόξ απέσσυτο άνακτος, BC. Διός, έν βήσσησιν οδρεος πληγέντος κεραυνώ.---Flach doubts if this is admissible, and reads tolo Telápov, to which there is the strong objection that the same word would terminate three out of four consecutive verses. In the next line he reads 'Airrys (i.e. Airrys) for didrigs. The epithet is open to suspicion, as of a later age. Apollonius Rhodius uses it twice, i. 389, and iv. 1697. The root is aro, aFio, 'unseen,' with the termination as in maidrds, sudros. Gaisford says that this and the preceding verse, which are quite unnecessary to the context, are wanting in one MS. And objects in wanting in one MS. And objects & Bhooper occurs again at v. 865.—By salero the burning of the wood is meant, and the melting of the earth (into lava) is described as consequent on it, just as below the fire of the charceal furnace and the melting of the tin or iron are adduced in comparison. Lucret. v. 1251, 'flammeus ardor Horribili sonitu sylvas exederat alteis Ab radicibus, et terram percoxerat igni.'

 ἀτμῆ θεσπεσίη καὶ ἐτήκετο, κασσίτερος ὡς τέχνη ὅπ' αἰζηῶν ὑπὅ τ' εὐτρήτου χοάνοιο θαλφθεὶς, ἠὲ σίδηρος, ὅπερ κρατερώτατός ἐστιν, οὖρεος ἐν βήσσησι δαμαζόμενος πυρὶ κηλέφ
 865 [τήκεται ἐν χθονὶ δίη ὑφ' Ἡφαίστου παλάμησιν.]
 ὡς ἆρα τήκετο γαῖα σέλα πυρὸς αἰθομένοιο. ῥῦψε δέ μιν θυμῷ ἀκαχῶν ἐς Τάρταρον εὐρύν.

Ἐκ δὲ Τυφωέος ἐστ' ἀνέμων μένος ὑγρὸν ἀέντων, νόσφι Νότου Βορέω τε, καὶ ᾿Αργέστεω Ζεφύρου τε· 870

869. df év twv

862. ἀῦτμῆ LN. θεσπεσία καὶ ἐκαίετο Ν. 864. καρτερότατος Ν. 865. κηλαίω Ν. 866. δίη ρ' Ν. ὑπ' Ald. ὑφ' LN. 867. σέλαϊ Ν. 870. Ζεφύρου τε Ν. Ζεφύροιο L, Ald.

863. $\delta\pi'$ L. Dindorf for $\delta\pi'$. On al($\eta\delta_3$, 'stalwart,' see Opp. 441. This passage, if we were sure of its belonging to the age of Hesiod, would be an interesting testimony to the melting of metals by the means of bellows and furnaces. Compare Hom. II. xviii. 470, where the forge of Hephaestus is similarly described; $\phi \hat{\upsilon} \sigma ai$ $\delta^* \delta \nu \chi od \nu ai or <math>\delta d \tau$ - $\mu h \nu \, \delta \xi a \pi a \pi o i \eta \nu \, \delta d \tau - \mu h \nu \, \delta \xi a \pi i \pi o i \eta \nu \, \delta \eta \nu \, \delta \tau'$ $-\delta s \delta^* \delta \tau' \, \delta \eta \, \tau \rho \eta \tau o \sigma i \nu \, \delta \rho \mu \nu o \mu \lambda \rho \mu \rho \mu \delta \rho u \sigma i \eta$ $\phi \hat{\upsilon} \sigma ai \chi a \lambda \pi h \mu \nu \, \delta \tau' \, \delta$

865. δαμαζόμενος. So Euripides, speaking of Necessity, Alcest. 980, says, Kal τον έν Χαλύβοις δαμάζεις σύ βία σίδαρον.

866. $\epsilon \nu \chi \theta o \nu l$, 'on the earth,' i. e. by flowing upon it.— $\delta i \eta$ is a mere poetical epithet. But the genuineness of this line seems doubtful. It is inconsistent to speak of the $\pi a \lambda d \mu a \iota$, the art of Hephasetus, just after the $\tau \epsilon \chi \nu \eta a i \langle \eta \hat{\eta} \nu$. Flach also ejects it.

867. This verse is condemned as spurious by Ruhnken, with the assent of Heyne. Goetling regards the following as still more suspicious, and Flach condemns it.—For $\sigma \epsilon \lambda \alpha$ we should perhaps read $\sigma \epsilon \lambda \alpha a$, which is found in one or two copies. We have $\delta \epsilon \pi \alpha a$ in Od. x. S16,

Strai in xv. 149, $\sigma t \lambda s t$ in II. xvii. 739. —dxa $\chi b \nu$ Goettling for $dx d \chi e \nu$. It is hard to say whether this is a reduplicated participle of $d \chi e$, or a reduplicated root $d \chi$, forming a present $dx d \chi e$, $dx d \chi \eta \mu u$, whence $dx a \chi \eta \mu e \nu os$, sup. v. 99, which some regard as a perfect, $dx a \chi \eta - \mu t \nu os$.

868. $\kappa\rho\sigma\tau\epsilon\rho\dot{\omega}\tau a\tau os,$ 'most stubborn,' 'hardest to smelt.' Cf. Soph. Ant. 474, $\tau \delta p$ $\epsilon \gamma \kappa \rho \sigma \tau \epsilon \sigma \tau \sigma p$ $\sigma (\delta \eta \rho \rho r \dot{\sigma} \tau \tau \delta p$ $\epsilon \kappa$ $\pi v \rho \delta s$ $\pi \epsilon \rho \sigma \kappa \epsilon \lambda \eta$, where the meaning is doubtful between 'the hardest kind of iron,' and 'iron the hardest of all metals.'

870. 'Apylorese. See on v. 379. This form of the genitive is for 'Apylorese. Compare $\lambda e \delta s$, $v e \delta s$, $with \lambda a \delta s$, $v a \delta s$, δc ., and $\Pi \eta \lambda \eta a \delta \delta s$. I. i. 1. The poet here excepts the four cardinal winds, which in that passage were made the children of 'H \delta s and 'A or paios, but attributes the inconstant gales, so dangerous to mariners, to Typhoeus, himself a $\delta \beta \rho \mu \sigma \tau h s$ avelos, sup. v. 307. By a similar notion of the sudden eruption of gales, Virgil described them as pent up in the cave of Aeolus, Aen. i. 52. The common reading, $d \rho \gamma \ell \sigma \tau e \omega$ zeo $\ell \rho \sigma \sigma$, resulted from the opinion that $d \rho \gamma \ell \sigma \tau s$ was an cpithet of the bright or clear west wind.

 $\mathbf{262}$

οι γε μεν έκ θεόφιν γενεή, θνητοις μέγ' δνειαρ. αι δ' άλλαι μαψ αυραι έπιπνείουσι θάλασσαν. αι δ' ήτοι πίπτουσαι ές ήεροειδέα πόντον, πήμα μέγα θνητοίσι, κακή θύουσιν άέλλη. αλλοτε δ' άλλαι άεισι, διασκιδνασί τε νήας, ναύτας τε φθείρουσι· κακοῦ δ' οὐ γίγνεται ἀλκή ἀνδράσιν οι κείνησι συνάντωνται κατα πόντον· αι δ' αῦ και κατα γαίαν ἀπείριτον †ἀνθεμόεσσαν ἔργ' ἐρατα φθείρουσι χαμαιγενέων ἀνθρώπων, πιμπλεῦσαι κόνιός [τε] και ἀργαλέου κολοσυρτοῦ. 880 Αὐταρ ἐπεί ἑα πόνον μάκαρες θεοι ἐξετελεσσαν,

872. ἐπιπνέΓουσι 873. ἀΓεροΓειδέα 874. ἀΓέλλη 875. ἀΓεισι 879. Γέργ' 880. κόνιος καὶ Γαργαλέου

871. γενεβ L, Ald. θεόσφιν γενεὴ Ν. 872. om. N. 873. at δή τοι Ν. ἐs om. Ν. 874. θύουσι θυέλλη Ν. 875. ἀεῖσι Ν. ăησι L, Ald. διακίδνασι Ν. 877. κείνησι συναντῶνται Ν. κείνοισι συναντῶτες L, Ald. 878. ai δ' αὖτε κατὰ Ald. 879. ἔργον ἔραστὰν Ν. 880. πιμπλῆσαι L, Ald. 881. πόνων Ν.

871. of $\gamma \epsilon \mu \epsilon r$. 'But they are an offspring from the gods, a great benefit to mortals.'

872. There is a variant recognised by Hesychius and found in the majority of the copies, $\mu a \psi a \bar{\nu} \rho a \iota$, which is retained by Flach and Schoemann. Hesychius explains it by $\mu drawa v a \nu \mu o \iota$, but neither as a substantive nor as an adjective is it formed according to analogy. Schol. $\mu a \psi a \bar{\nu} \rho a \iota c a \iota$ $\mu drawa \pi \nu o a \iota \cdot o l o \nu$ Kauxias, $\Theta \rho a \iota c a \iota$. Goetling takes $\mu d \psi$ $a \bar{\nu} \rho a \iota$ to gether, comparing $\mu e \gamma$ drawt in v. 486. But it is better to construe $\mu d \psi e \pi c \pi \nu e i o v d \psi$ is $e i \kappa \tilde{\mu}$, by no fixed law. In the Emmanuel MS. (N.) this verse is omitted.

874. θύουσιν. See v. 848. Opp. 619, 37 τότε παντοίων ἀνέμων θύουσιν ἀήται. The ήτοι is answered by ai δ' að in v. 878; 'either they fall on the sea and destroy ships, or (at other times) they damage the farm-produce by clouds of sand and rubbish.'

875. $\delta \epsilon i \sigma i$ (or $\delta \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \sigma i$) is found in two or three MSS. and in Etymol. M. p. 22, 12, for the vulg. $\delta \eta \sigma i$. If the reading be

877. συναντώσιν Gaisford, with most of the copies. Others give συναντώνται, συναντώντες, κείνοισι.

συναντῶντες, κείνοισι. 878. Apparently, we should read ηνεμόεσσαν, since the poet is speaking of open highlands exposed to the wind. It is remarkable that Hesychius has ανθεμόεσσα · ύψηλη (ύψηλα cod.), δυθηέχουσα, which points to two distinctreadings, probably of this very passage.

880. πιμπλεύσαι. A rare form from πιμπλέω. If genuine, πίμπλημι, πιμπλέω, are analogous to τίθημι, τιθέω. See on Opp. 301, where πιμπλησι is the subjunctive for πιμπλη.

 $\mathbf{263}$

Τιτήνεσσι δε τιμάων κρίναντο βίηφι, δή δα τότ' ὦτρυνον βασιλευέμεν ἠδε ἀνάσσειν Γαίης φραδμοσύνησιν Ολύμπιον εὐρύοπα Ζῆν ἀθανάτων· ὁ δε τοῖσιν ἐὐ διεδάσσατο τιμάς. 885 Ζεὺς δε θεῶν βασιλεὺς πρώτην ἄλοχον θέτο Μῆτιν πλεῖστα θεῶν εἰδυῖαν ἰδε θνητῶν ἀνθρώπων. ἀλλ' ὅτε δή ῥ' ἦμελλε θεὰν γλαυκῶπιν Ἀθήνην

883. Γανάσσειν

887. Feiðvíar

882. τιτήνες δ' aὐ Ν. 884. ὀλύμπιον aἰεὶ ζήνa Ν. ζήν' L, Ald. 886. μήτην Ν. 887. ήδε Ν. καὶ L, Ald. 888. ἱα ἔμελλε Ν.

882. *kplvarto*, 'had their dispute about their prerogatives (i. e. about the sovereignty) decided by force of arms.' See on v. 535. The poet now reverts to the history of the Cronidae after the conquest of the Titans and Typhoeus. On the singular incoherency of this part of the narrative, see Col. Mure, Hist. Gr. Lit. p. 414.

Lit. p. 414. 884. Either $Z\hat{\eta}\nu$ or $Z\epsilon\hat{\nu}\nu$ seems a better reading than the vulg. $Z\hat{\eta}\nu'$, with an elision. Cf. I. xiv. 265, $\hat{\eta}$ $d\hat{\eta}s$ des $T\rho de$ $e\sigma \sigma i\nu$ damiténer eductor $Z\hat{\eta}\nu$: In both these verses however the elision is allowable by a vowel beginning the next.

885. διεδάσσατο, distributed amongst them their respective prerogatives. Cf. 587. Acsoh. Eum. 697. παλαάς διανομάς καταφθίσας. Ibid. 397, τιμάς γε μέν δη τάς έμας πεύσει τάχα. Primarily, this middle aorist meant ' to have distributed by the agency of others,' as δάσσασα elsewhere means, to take for one's share. Cf. sup. v. 112, őς τ' άφενος δάσσαντο, και ές τιμάς διέλοντο. Ibid. v. 71-4, eδ δὶ ἐκαστα ἀθανάτοις διέταξεν όμῶς και ἐπέφραδε τιμάς. Acesohylus refers to this passage, Prom. 236, δνως τάχιστα τδν πατρῶρο ἐς θρόνον καθέζετ', εὐθός δαίμοσιν νέμει γέρα ἕλλοισιν ἕλλα, και διεστοιχίζετο ἀρχήν. According to Apollodorus, i. 2, 1, Zeus was not chosen King of heaven, but obtained that position by lot.

tion by lot. 886. The singular legend about Zeus swallowing his own wife Metis, whom he had persuaded to assume a diminutive form, is called by Goettling "antiquissima ac simplicissima." Zeus thus obtained the double end of carrying about within himself an internal counsellor, and of preventing the birth of a son who the oracle had predicted would prove stronger than himself. Sir G. W. Cox remarks on this (Mythology and Folklore, p. 71), "The Hesiodic Theogony, it is true, assigns Metis, another name denoting wisdom, as a mother to Athene; but this story is reconciled with the other myth by saying that by the counsel of Ouranos and Gaia, Zeus swallowed Metis before her child was born." Schol. λέγεται ότι 5 Μήτις τοιαύτην είχε δύναμιν, δστε μεταβάλλειν είς όποῖον αν έβούλετο. Πλανήσας ούν αύτην ό Zeùs, και πικράν (l. μικράν) ποιήσας, κατέπιεν. Apollodor. i. 8, 6, μίγνυται δε Zeds Μητιδι, μεταβαλλούση els πολλάs ίδέαs, ύπερ τοῦ μη συνελθεῖν, καί αυτήν γενομένην έγκυον καταπίνει φθάσας, επείπερ έλεγε (ελέχθη?) γενσάσειν παίδα, μετά την μέλλουσαν έξ αντής yereobal Kopyr, is obparou Suraoths yertσεται.

888. A variant $\delta f \delta a \xi \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon$ is preserved by Galen, De Hippocr. et Plat. Dogm. iii. p. 273, and it is adopted from three or four MSS. by Van Lennep. But in v. 898 the metre requires $f \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon s$. Hesiod says nothing here about the subsequent birth of Athena out of the head of Zeus, but relates it inf. 924. Apollodorus gives this as an appendage to the story of Metia.

τέξεσθαι, τότ' ἐπειτα δόλφ φρένας ἐξαπατήσας αίμυλίοισι λόγοισιν ἑὴν ἐγκάτθετο νηδὺν 890 Γαίης φραδμοσύνησι, καὶ Οὐρανοῦ ἀστερόεντος. τὼς γάρ οἱ φρασάτην, ἵνα μὴ βασιληΐδα τιμὴν ἄλλος ἔχη Διὸς ἀντὶ θεῶν αἰειγενετάων. ἐκ γὰρ τῆς εἶμαρτο περίφρονα τέκνα γενέσθαι· πρώτην μὲν κούρην γλαυκώπιδα Τριτογένειαν 895 ἶσον ἔχουσαν πατρὶ μένος καὶ ἐπίφρονα βουλήν· αὐτὰρ ἔπειτ' ἄρα παῖδα θεῶν βασιλῆα καὶ ἀνδρῶν ἤμελλεν τέξεσθαι, ὑπέρβιον ἦτορ ἔχοντα· ἀλλ' ἄρα μιν Ζεὺς πρόσθεν ἑὴν ἐγκάτθετο νηδὺν, ὦς οἱ συμφράσσαιτο θεὰ ἀγαθόν τε κακόν τε. 900

890. λόγοισι Γεήν

892. Foi 893. Διγός 899. πρόσθε γεήν 896. Firov

Digitized by Google

889. τέξασθαι Ald. τόξασθαι L. δολοφρένας Ald. 890. ἐςκάτθετο L, Ald. ἐκάτθετο θϋμον (γρ. νηδύν) Ν. 892. γάρ ρ οἱ L. 893. ἔχοι Ν. 895. μὲν γὰρ L, Ald. 898. ἤμελε Ν. 900. οἱ φράσσαιτο L, Ald. οἱ φράσατο Ν.

890. έγκάτθετο. See on v. 487. Here also there is a variant έσκάτθετο.

892. $\tau \partial s$, viz. obrow rougive, katarlveur $\tau \partial r$ M $\eta \tau u$, log $\mu \eta$ k. τ . λ ., to prevent any other having the sovereignty over the gods in place of Zens; viz. to prevent a stronger son being born to supersede him.

894. $\pi \epsilon \rho (\phi \rho \sigma ra.$ This is used in an ambiguous sense, as became an oracle; wise, in reference to Pallas, overbearing ($\delta \pi \epsilon \rho \beta \delta \sigma v, v. 898$), in reference to the son to be born next. Aeschylus uses $\pi \epsilon \rho (\phi \rho \sigma v r)$ in this latter sense, Suppl. 737, $\pi \epsilon \rho (\phi \rho \sigma v r)$ is the oracle of Gaea (see v. 626) had foretold that the progeny of Metis should partake of their mother's sagacity. Hence Pallas had the attribute of wisdom, Aesch. Eum. 812. In the Prometheus, v. 785 seqq. it is predicted that if Zeus married Thetis he would have a son to supplant him, $-\hbar \tau \epsilon \xi \epsilon rai$ $\gamma \epsilon \pi a \delta a \phi \epsilon \rho r \epsilon \rho \sigma \pi a \tau \rho \delta s.$ In neither case is it declared who this son is to be, if born at all.

900. The common reading of this

verse, is 84 of opdarairo, gives no meaning, since $\phi passes$ is required in place of the middle. We might indeed read ppasserved by Galen (ut sup.), where this verse fol-lows v. 890, ws 8 of συμφράσσαιτο κ.τ.λ., gives an easy sense : Zeus swallowed Metis that she might suggest to him both good and evil. Schoemann, com. crit. p. 67, would transpose 900 to follow 887. Compare sup. v. 471, $\mu\eta\tau\mu$ $\sigma\nu\mu\phi\rhod\sigma\sigma\sigma\sigma\thetaa$. II. ix. 374, oùdé τ í ol βουλάς συμφράσσομαι οὐδέ μέν Έργον. Ibid. i. 537, οὐδέ μιν Ήρη ήγνοίησεν ίδοῦσ, δτι οἱ συμφράσσατο βουλάς. The δ' in Galen's citation suggests two variants, is by and is of ouppedoouro, afterwards combined into &s & of and des δή οι φράσσατο. Goettling retains this last; but his explanation is not tenable :—" devoravit Jupiter Metidem, nequis practer sese deus saperet, i.e. ut sibi cognosceret bonum et malum, non ut sibi diceret." Gaisford encloses the verse in brackets, with Wolf and Heyne; Flach also condemns it.

Δεύτερον ήγάγετο λιπαρήν Θέμιν, ή τέκεν [°]Ωρας, Εὐνομίην τε Δίκην τε καὶ Εἰρήνην τεθαλυῖαν, αἶτ' ἔργ' ἀρεύουσι καταθνητοῖσι βροτοῖσι, Μοίρας θ', ἦς πλείστην τιμὴν πόρε μητίετα Ζεὺς, [Κλωθώ τε Λάχεσίν τε καὶ ^{*}Ατροπον, αἶτε διδοῦσι 905 θνητοῖς ἀνθρώποισιν ἔχειν ἀγαθόν τε κακόν τε.]

Τρεῖς δέ οἱ Εὐρυνόμη Χάριτας τέκε καλλιπαρήους, [΄Ωκεανοῦ κούρη, πολυήρατον εἶδος ἔχουσα,]

903. at Fépy' 907. For

902. δίκην καὶ Ν. 903. ὡρεύουσι Ν. ὡραίουσι L (gl. φυλάσσουσι), Ald. 905. Κλωθὼ καὶ Ν. 908. κούρην Ν.

903. ἀρεύουσι, 'care for,' φυλάσσουσι, ἐποπτεύουσιν. Most copies give ἀpalουσι, Stobaeus (Flor. ix. p. 110) έρέ-ουσι. By έργα the farms are meant, as sup. v. 879, which flourish under peace and good government, and bring forth the produce of the seasons, $\tau \dot{a}$ $\dot{b} \rho a \hat{a} a$. The foudness of the Greeks for etymologies would account for the disregard of the aspirate in connecting develous with Sea. So sup. v. 209, Terfives is derived from rivalvew. But it is more likely that the verb is from $\delta \rho os = o\delta \rho os$, i. e. φύλαξ. Compare πυλωρός, στασιω-ρός, κηπωρός, and κηπουρός. Hesychius, όρεύειν· φυλάσσειν. Id. ώρεύειν· τό τῶν ἀγρίων νομῶν καὶ ἐθνῶν ἐπιμελεῖσθαι. Like the Fates, the Graces, the Gorgons, the Phorcides, the Hesperides, and a great many others, both male and female, the *triple* association is seen in Eunomia, Dike, and Eirene. On the same principle the Muses make a multiple of three, and elsewhere we have six; see on v. 134. The Hours have siz; see on v. 134. (seasons) were three in conformity with the most ancient division of the year into spring, summer, and winter. Pindar, Ol. xiii. 6-10, makes the same goddesses the daughters of Themis :---έν τῆ γὰρ Εὐνομία ναίει, κασίγνηταί τε, βάθρον πολίων ἀσφαλès, Δίκα καὶ δμότροπος Elpára, ταμίαι ἀνδράσι πλού-του, χρύσεαι παίδες εὐβούλου Θέμιτος. Though he does not immediately mention the Horae in connexion, he adds in

ν. 21, πολλά δ' έν καρδίαις άνδρων έβαλον
 [°]Ωραι πολυάνθεμοι άρχαϊα σοφίσματα.

905-6. Nearly the same two verses occurred before, v. 217-8. Gaisford, after Wolf, encloses them in brackets, and also v. 904. In one or the other place, if not in both, they are probably interpolations. Flach retains them here, but rejects them in the former passage. Here the Fates are made the daughters of Themis, while before they were called the daughters of night. Apollodor. i. 8, 1, $dr \, 0 \ell \mu dos \, \tau \hat{\eta} s \, O d \rho av \hat{\eta} r Themis, Alarge$ $Molpas, Klaubs, Adxeous, "Arporor-<math>d\xi$ Ebpurdungs Edvard, Advaras, 'Achadaw Edvacod'urn, Odvara,

Madpas, how of hage of the providence of the product of the Graces, how of the Graces, how working was so celebrated at Orchomenus, was to be expected from the Ascracan Bard, though he speaks of them very briefly. Pausan ix 35, 1, 'Holodos de de Occover, and the operation of the Graces, the ecoperity, Pausan is 35, 1, 'Holodos de de Occover, 'A volume' for the the four of the form the Ascrace of the operation, the operation of the Graces, the ecoperity, and the speaks of the ecoperation, the volume the the the operation of the operation of the ecoperation o

908. ellos. Here the digamma is not observed. Cf. sup. 153. 259. 619, where we similarly have $\hbar \delta^2 \kappa a \in \delta \delta s$. Probably these verses are later additions.



'Αγλαΐην τε καὶ Εὐφροσύνην Θαλίην τ' ἐρατεινήν τῶν καὶ ἀπὸ βλεφάρων ἔρος εἴβετο δερκομενάων 910 λυσιμελής· καλὸν δέ θ' ὑπ' ὀφρύσι δερκιώωνται. Αὐτὰρ ὁ Δήμητρος πολυφόρβης ἐς λέχος ἦλθεν,

ή τέκε Περσεφόνην λευκώλενον, ήν 'Αϊδωνευς ήρπασεν ής παρα μητρός έδωκε δε μητίετα Ζεύς.

Μνημοσύνης δ' έξαῦτις ἐράσσατο καλλικόμοιο, 915 ἐξ ῆς οἱ Μοῦσαι χρυσάμπυκες ἐξεγένοντο ἐννέα, τῆσι ἄδον θαλίαι καὶ τέρψις ἀοιδῆς.

Λητώ δ' `Απόλλωνα καὶ ^{*}Αρτεμιν ἰοχέαιραν, ἱμερόεντα γόνον περὶ πάντων Οὐρανιώνων,

913. ἀΑΓιδωνένς 914. Γής 916. Γοι 917. ἐννέξα ξάδον 918. ἰοχθταιραν 🖝

909. τε cm. LN, Ald. 910. είβεται LN, Ald. 911. δ ὑπ^{*} Ν. δ' θ' ὑπ^{*} L, Ald. 916. ai Moῦσαι L, Ald. oi Ν. 917. ἀειδῆs Ν. ἀοιδ<u>Γ</u>s Ald.

911. Sepriârda: appears to be Smat heydueror, and it sounds like a tautology after the preceding Seproperdary. We have does hourgeh's sup. v. 121, and it is possible that this verse also is due to the rhapsodists. It is to be observed however that sup. v. 64, "Imepos is associated with the Graces. Flack reads Sepreduero, with Schoemann, cf. 268.

912. $\pi o \lambda v \phi \delta \rho \beta \eta s$. This is an unusual form for $\pi o \lambda v \phi \delta \rho \beta o v$, and we might be tempted to suggest $\pi o \lambda v \phi o \rho \beta \delta o s$. Homer however, II. ix. 568, has $\pi v \lambda \lambda a$ δk ral $\gamma a \hat{a} x \pi o \lambda v \phi \delta \rho \beta \eta \gamma \chi e \rho o l \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda o (a. See on$ Opp. 704, and on Aesch. Eum. 7.58.

913. Περσεφόνην. This goddess, with the variants θερρέφαrτa and the Latin Proscrpina, has the same origin as Πέρσης, the Titanio name for the sun, sup. v. 377. Compare the Persiau name Pharasmanes, and possibly φάρος, 'a beacon,' has the same root, meaning 'Light.' As Perscs was the light above the earth, so Περσεφόνη (or Περσεφάνη?) was the light below the earth, viz. when the moon is hid from mortals, and visits the regions of Tartarus.—'Atbave's, a form of the name used in II. v. 190. xx. 61. Acsech, Pers, 651. Gaisford com-

pares Hymn. ad Cerer. 2, $h^{\nu} \Lambda t \delta \omega r e b s h paragraphic formation of the second state of the second$

916. χρυσάμπυκες, wearing a golden frontal, Hesych. χρυσόδεσμοι. Goettling appears to be mistaken in referring this to the top-knot, which was sometimes kept together with a golden ornament (II. xvii. 52). The birth of the Muses from Zeus and Mnemosyne had already been stated at v. 58, a passage of doubtful authenticity.—For oi most copies give al.—Mnemosyne, goldess of memory, is symbolically the mother of the Muses, viz. of literature, Aesoh. Prom. 469.

267

γείνατ' ἐν αἰγιόχοιο Διὸς φιλότητι μιγεῖσα. 920 Λοισθοτάτην δ' Ήρην θαλερὴν ποιήσατ' ἀκοιτιν. ἡ δ' Ἡβην καὶ ᾿Αρηα καὶ Εἰλείθυιαν ἔτικτε μιχθεῖσ' ἐν φιλότητι θεῶν βασιλῆϊ καὶ ἀνδρῶν. Αὐτὸς δ' ἐκ κεφαλῆς γλαυκώπιδα Τριτογένειαν,

δεινην, έγρεκύδοιμον, άγεστρατον, άτρυτώνην, 925 πότνιαν, ή κελαδοί τε άδον πόλεμοί τε μάχαι τε.

^{*}Ηρη δ^{*}^{*}Ηφαιστον κλυτόν οὐ φιλότητι μιγείσα γείνατο, καὶ ζαμένησε καὶ ἦρισε ῷ παρακοίτη, ἐκ πάντων τέχνησι κεκασμένον Οὐρανιώνων.

Έκ δ' 'Αμφιτρίτης και έρικτύπου Έννοσιγαίου 930

920. Afós

926. Fáðor

928. Fŵ

920. αρ έν φ. διος μεγάλοιο Ν. 922. η βην αρηα L, Ald. 925. αγροκύδοιμον LN, Ald. 928. η ρισε L. 929. κεκαυμένον Ν.

920. The common reading is $\gamma \epsilon i ra\tau'$ $\delta \rho'$, where the $\delta \rho a$ is an otice particle. As the Emmanuel MS. gives ϵr $\phi i \lambda \delta - \tau \eta \tau i \Delta i \delta s$ $\mu \epsilon \gamma \delta \lambda c i o$, the ϵr has now been substituted for $\delta \rho'$. Compare inf. v. 923. 941.

921. $\lambda o i \sigma \theta o r d \tau \eta r$ is perhaps not elsewhere found as a superlative. We have $\lambda o \tilde{i} \sigma \theta o s$ in II. xxiii. 536, and Eur. Hel. 1597. But Hesiod's account here differs from Homer's, though the latter also makes Ares born from Hera, II. v. 893 and 896, where Zeus says to Ares, $\epsilon \kappa$ $\gamma d \rho \epsilon \mu e \tilde{v} \gamma \epsilon ros \epsilon \sigma \sigma i, \epsilon \mu o l \delta \epsilon \sigma e \gamma \epsilon i r a \tau o$ $<math>\mu \eta \tau \eta p$.

924. *λκ κεφαλ*η̂s. See sup. on v. 888. Hence perhaps Acschylus derived his statement that Pallas was born without a mother, Eum. 635. 706. Flach, with MS. Med., reads γλακάπιδα γείνατ' 'Αθήνην. Schoemann inclines (p. 67) to transpose 924—6 to follow 899; 'Zeus swallowed Metis, but gave birth to Athene from his own head,' i. e. the dawn springs from the forehead of the sky.

sky. 927. où $\mu_{ij}\gamma\epsilon\hat{i}\sigma\alpha$. This statement seems to belong to a later mythology. The earlier accounts (as 11. i. 572) make Zous the father and Hera the mother of

Τρίτων εὐρυβίης γένετο μέγας, ὄστε θαλάσσης πυθμέν' ἔχων παρὰ μητρὶ φίλῃ καὶ πατρὶ ἄνακτι ναίει χρύσεα δῶ, δεινὸς θεός. αὐτὰρ Ἄρηϊ ῥινοτόρῷ Κυθέρεια Φόβον καὶ Δεῖμον ἔτικτε δεινοὺς, οἶτ' ἀνδρῶν πυκινὰς κλονέουσι φάλαγγας 935 ἐν πολέμῷ κρυόευτι σὺν Ἄρηϊ πτολιπόρθῷ. ʿΑρμονίην θ', ἦν Κάδμος ὑπέρθυμος θέτ' ἄκοιτιν.

Ζηνὶ δ' ἄρ' ᾿Ατλαντὶς Μαίη τέκε κύδιμον Ἑρμῆν, κήρυκ' ἀθανάτων, ἱερὸν λέχος εἰσαναβασα. Καδμείη δ' ἄρα οἱ Σεμέλη τέκε φαίδιμον υἱὸν 940 μιχθεῖσ' ἐν φιλότητι Διώνυσον πολυγηθέα, ἀθάνατον θνητή· νῦν δ' ἀμφότεροι θεοί εἰσιν. ᾿Αλκμήνη δ' ἄρ' ἔτικτε βίην Ἡρακληείην

932. Fávakti 940. Foi

939. είσαναβοῦσα Ν. 942. θνητόν Ν. 941. διόνυσον LN. διόνυσσον Ald.

pocr. et Platon. Dogm. iii. p. 273. The commencement runs thus, and is certainly less abrupt and more intelligible than the text as we now have it: —'Ex ratrns tpidos (f. tpidos d') $\dot{\eta} \mu e \tau tex$ failsuor vidr, "Hoauoror $\tau t \chi r y \sigma i r$, arev Aids alyidxoio, 'Ex ratraw randups: semaguéror Objaviáres. What follows in Galen is a different version of the legend of Zeus swallowing Metis, and of the birth of Pallas.

S31. Totrew. This god is not mentioned by Homer, but he is so by Herodous, iv. 179, and Pindar, Pyth. iv. 49. Later poets, as Moschus, Id. ii. 123, made a plurality of Tritons, the assessors of Poseidon. For the connexion of Triton, Amphitrite, and Tritogeneia, with the Vedic god Trita, see "Mythology and Folk-lore," p. 71.

933. $\chi \rho \delta \sigma \epsilon a \delta \tilde{\omega}$. Here alone $\delta \tilde{\omega}$ is used for the plural $\delta \delta \mu a \sigma a$. The place meant is Aegue, as the commentators remark, from II. xiii. 21, Alyas, $\ell \nu \delta a \tau \ell$ $\sigma \ell \kappa \lambda \nu \tau \lambda \delta \delta \mu a \tau a \delta \ell \nu \delta \sigma \epsilon \cdot \lambda \lambda \mu \tau \eta s$, $\chi \rho \delta \sigma \epsilon a$ $\mu a \rho \mu a \ell \rho \sigma \tau \tau \tau \tau \tau \delta \chi a \tau a$.

934. δωστόρφ, an Homeric epithet, Il. XII. 392, 'shield-piercing.' Φόβος καl Δείμως are the assessors of Ares in Scut. Herc. 195. 463. Schol. φόβος έστιν ή φυγή, φέβω γάρ το διώκω. δείμως δε ούχ ή φυγή, άλλ' ό έκ δειλίας ένίοις έπιγινόμενος δεσμός.

938. Goettling contends that Main is a doubtful form for Maia or Maids. We may compare $\delta(\eta \tau \epsilon Merissin, \sup. v. 260,$ if the text be right.

942. Perhaps a spurious verse. Flach rejects it, with the two following. Nothing is here said of the death of Semele by lightning, and the enclosure of the infant Dionysus in the thigh of Zeus, as related by Euripides in the Bacchae, and Apollodorus, iii. 4, 8. Homer, II. xiv. 317 seqq., enumerates Semele and Alcmens among other wives of Zeus. Here only and in II. vi. 132 is Dionysus mentioned, but twice also in the Odyssey.— $\pi o\lambda u \gamma \eta \delta \epsilon$ is here used as $\chi d \mu \mu \beta \rho or \hat{o} c w$ II. xiv. 325. Cf. Opp. 614, $\delta \partial \rho a \Delta u w r \delta \sigma s$.

943. The Schol. has an obscure remark on this verse. —σημειωτέον, δτι δόο συλλαβών άποκοπαί είσιν άθετοῦνται έφεξῆς στίχοι έννέα. τοὺς γὰρ ἐξ ἀμφοτέρων θεών γενεαλογεῖν αἰτιξ πρόκειται.

μιχθεῖσ' ἐν φιλότητι Διὸς νεφεληγερέταο. ᾿Αγλαΐην δ' ἘΗφαιστος ἀγακλυτὸς ἀμφιγυήεις 945 ὅπλοτάτην Χαρίτων θαλερὴν ποιήσατ' ἄκοιτιν. χρυσοκόμης δὲ Διώνυσος ξανθὴν ᾿Αριάδνην, κούρην Μίνωος, θαλερὴν ποιήσατ' ἄκοιτιν. τὴν δέ οἱ ἀθάνατον καὶ ἀγήρω θῆκε Κρονίων. ἘΗβην δ' Ἀλκμήνης καλλισφύρου ἄλκιμος υἱὸς, 950 ἱς Ἡρακλῆος, τελέσας στονόεντας ἀέθλους, παίδα Διὸς μεγάλοιο καὶ ἘΗρης χρυσοπεδίλου, αἰδοίην θέτ' ἄκοιτιν ἐν Οὐλύμπῳ νιφόεντι, ὅλβιος, ὃς μέγα ἕργον ἐν ἀθανάτοισιν ἀνύσσας ναίει ἀπήμαντος καὶ ἀγήραος ἦματα πάντα. [°]Ηολίω δ' ἀνάματα σίας κολυτὸς ᾿Ομερινίως

'Ηελίφ δ' ἀκάμαντι τέκε κλυτὸς ἀκεανίνη Περσητς Κίρκην τε καὶ Αἰήτην βασιλῆα.

944. Ards	949. For	951. Fis	954. Γέργον
	•		

946. θαλερή π. ἄκοιτην Ald. 947. χρυσακόμη Ν. διόνυσος L. διόνυσσος Ald. 948. μίνωνος Ν. 953. δλύμπφ L, Ald. 955. άγήρως Ν. 956. ωκεανίη Ν. 957. αἰήντην Ν.

Van Lennep with some probability refers ἀποκοπαl κ.τ.λ. to δώ for δώματα in v. 933. If this be right, the nine rejected verses may have been 936-944. But Schoemann, p. 68, thinks $\ell\nu\nu\epsilon\alpha$ (θ') a transcriber's mistake for $\delta\omega\alpha$ (β'), and that the distich 943-4 was alone condemned. Goettling supposes a reference to the common elisions in $\delta' t \rho' (v.)$ 938), and reads intà for invia, viz. 938 -944. In rejecting nine consecutive verses from v. 943 inclusive, the Schol. gives as a reason that "the poet is at present concerned in giving the pedigree of those who were born from both Zeus and Hera." Accordingly at v. 952 we come upon the mention of $\pi a \partial a$ Aids wal "Hons, though this does not allow of the two preceding verses being left out. We conjecture, therefore, that the nine verses which were rejected (viz. by some grammarians) were v. 942-950, and that in v. 951 the reading Was "Ηβην δ' 'Ηρακλέης κ.τ.λ.

945. 'Αγλαΐην. Compare II. xviii. 382, την δὲ Τδε προμολοῦσα Χάρις λιπαροπράδεμνος Καλή, την ϋπυιε περίκλυτος ἀμφιγνήεις. But in Od. viii. 267-70, Aphrodite is the wife of Hephaestus.

948. A redundant and perhaps interpolated verse. Ariadne is mentioned in Od. xi. 321-5, in connexion with both Thesens and Dionysus.

952. This verse occurs in Od. xi. 604, where Bekker amits it as interpolated from this passage; abros δè ('Hρακλέηs) μετ' άδανάτοισι θεοῖσι τέρπεται ἐν θαλίης καὶ ἔχει καλλίσφορον "Ηβην, παίδα Διὸς μεγάλοιο κ.τ.λ.

954. ἐν ἀθανάτοισυ. Perhaps ἐν ἀνθρόποισυ, or ἐνὶ θνητοῖσυ. Otherwise, with Goettling, we must construe μέγα ἐν ἀθανάτοισυ, 'great in the sight of the gods,' rather than ναίει ἐν ἀθανάτοισυ. With ναίει we may supply αὐτοῦ ἐν ᾿Ολύμπφ.

955. Hesych. ant partos abrabhs.

957. Teponts. See sup. v. 356. As

Αίήτης δ' νίος φαεσιμβρότου 'Ηελίοιο κούσην 'Ωκεανοίο τελήεντος ποταμοίο γήμε θεών βουλήσιν 'Ιδυίαν καλλιπάρηον. 960 ή δέ νύ οι Μήδειαν έΰσφυρον έν φιλότητι γείναθ' υποδμηθείσα δια χρυσέην 'Αφροδίτην. Υμείς μεν νυν γαίρετ' Ολύμπια δώματ' έχοντες, [νησοί τ' ήπειροί τε και άλμυρος ένδοθι πόντος.] νῦν δὲ θεάων φῦλον ἀείσατε, ἡδυέπειαι 965 Μοῦσαι ἘΟλυμπιάδες, κοῦραι Διὸς αἰγιόχοιο, όσσαι δή θνητοίσι παρ' άνδράσιν εύνηθείσαι άθάναται γείναντο θεοῖς ἐπιείκελα τέκνα. Δημήτηρ μέν Πλοῦτον ἐγείνατο, δία θεάων, Ίασίω ήρωϊ μιγείσ' έρατη φιλότητι 970

960. Γιδυΐαν 961. Γοι 965. ΓηδυΓέπειαι 966. Διδος 968. ἐπιΓείχελα

958. alýrns d'að vlos paeri $\mu\beta$ porov N. 960. $\gamma\hat{\eta}\mu ai$ N. eidviav LN, Ald. 961. $\hat{\eta}$ d'oi N. $\hat{\eta}$ dé oi L, Ald. 963. μ èv oiv N. 964. à $\lambda\mu$ upod Ald. 967. orai L. rap'om. N. 968. γ eírorro LN, Ald.

an enchantress, Circe has the same mother as the goddees Hecate, who presided over poisons and baleful drugs, Eur. Ion 1050. In Od. x. 136 she is called the *abrokaoryrfrn* of Acetes, and daughter of the sun and an Oceanid nymph Perse. This clearly illustrates the meaning of *Perses*. The union of *the sun* with one of the *Oceanides* refers to the apparent rise and setting of the sun over the sea. From this passage to the end (excepting however v. 963, and adding to it *abrap drydr butters*, and he rejects the list of the *huldeon*, the offspring of mortal by an immortal, as taken from some other epico. If it is the work of Hesiod at all. it is perha; s the commencement of another poem, a 'Howoyou'a, as Wolf and others have supposed. There followed (see v. 1021) a corresponding list of heroines (the same perhaps as those of the MeydAa' Hoïa:

attributed to Hesiod), as a counterpart to the verses now concluding the Theogony; and that list seems to have included the herces born from gods and mortal women,—"a far more frequent occurrence in Greek mythology," says Müller, Hist, Gr. Lit, p. 93.

Müller, Hist. Gr. Lit. p. 93. 960. 'Idviay, the knowing one, has reference to Medea's skill in incantations.

963. Both this and the next verse are considered spurious by Wolf. Goettling condemns only the latter, remarking that the plural fractor appears to belong to the later epic. Sophocles indeed uses it, Trach. v. 100, Sussairus Artifous Extrés. Van Lennep thinks it hardly defensible here without supposing the loss of some verses. He oites from Dionys, Perieg. 1181, Spairs S' fractor verse is all galaers, vizo.

yevia, as Wolf and others have supposed. There followed (see v. 1021) a 'lasiwn. Goettling thinks the former corresponding list of heroines (the same is a contraction of the latter; but it is perhaps as those of the Meyddau 'Hoîau easier to suppose the poet adopted a

Digitized by Google

νειῷ ἐνι τριπόλφ Κρήτης ἐν πίονι δήμφ, ἐσθλὸν, ὃς εἶσ' ἐπὶ γῆν τε καὶ εὐρέα νῶτα θαλάσσης †πᾶσαν· τῷ δὲ τυχόντι καὶ οῦ κ' ἐς χεῖρας ἶκηται, τὸν δ' ἀφνειὸν ἔθηκε, πολύν τέ οἱ ὦπασεν ὅλβον.

Κάδμφ δ' 'Αρμονίη, θυγάτηρ χρυσέης 'Αφροδίτης, 'Ινω καὶ Σεμέλην καὶ 'Αγαυὴν καλλιπάρῃον, 976 Αὐτονόην θ', ἡν γῆμεν 'Αρισταῖος βαθυχαίτης, γείνατο καὶ Πολύδωρον ἐῦστεφάνφ ἐνὶ Θήβῃ.

971. vefý (veffý) 974. For 976. 'Ayaffyv

971. κρήτης ένὶ Ν. 977. αὐτονόμην θ Ν. 972. γην καὶ LN, Ald.

974. anarav N.

nominative 'Idous. This story of Demeter in the wheat-field is perhaps but another version of Selene and Endymion. The symbolical meaning may be, that corn is produced by a union of the male and female powers represent-ing Earth. For 'Idous is possibly connected with ia, ala, 'IwAros, perhaps 'Id. The story was clearly of Cretan origin. Probably it formed one of the secret instructions at the Eleusinian mysteries. Cf. Theocr. iii. 50 (where En-dymion is mentioned together with Insion); ζαλωτός μέν έμιν ό τόν άτροπου Επνον Ιαύων 'Ενδυμίων ζαλώ δέ, φίλα γύναι, Ίασίωνα, δε τόσσων εκύρησεν, δσ ού πευσείσθε, βέβαλοι. Hom. Od. v. 125, ώς δ' όπότ' Ίασίωνι έθπλόκαμος Δημήτηρ, δ θυμφ είξασα, μίγη φιλότητι και ευνή Νειφ ενι τριπόλφ, ούδε δην ήεν άπυστος Zebs, δs μιν κατέπεφνε βαλών ἀργῆτι κεραυνώ. Apollodor. iii. 12, 1, ἀΗλέκτραs δὲ τῆs Ἅτλαντοs καl Διδs Ἱασίων καl Δάρδανος εγένοντο. 'Ιασίων μέν οδν έρασθείς Δήμητρος και θέλων κατασχύναι την θεόν, κεραινούται.-- For reids 600 Opp. 456. Hom. Π. xviii. 541, έν δ' ετίθει νειόν μαλακήν, πίειραν άρουραν,

elpeiar rolwohor. 972-8. Something is wrong in the syntax of these lines, especially in $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \omega$ referring to $\gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$, and $\tau \tilde{\varphi} \tau \nu \chi \delta \sigma \tau$ to oi $\delta \sigma \pi \sigma \sigma \epsilon \nu$, with several words respectively intervening. For $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \omega$ Goettling would read $\tau \nu \varphi \lambda \delta s$, Hermann, with much greater probability, $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \nu,$ - he

comes to all, but enriches only him who gets wealth into his hands.' This is adopted by Flach. Two or three MSS. are said to give $\partial\sigma \partial \Delta \delta$. We might read thus; $\pi a \sigma a \delta s e lo' <math>\partial \pi r = \gamma \eta r$ re mal $e b \rho \epsilon a \gamma \delta \pi a \theta a \Delta d \sigma \sigma \eta s$, 'E $\sigma \theta \lambda \delta s$ the $r \sigma \tau \chi \delta \sigma r \delta s ' \delta s \chi e \hat{\rho} a s Ie \eta \tau a$. The $\delta' \delta \phi r e i \delta r \delta s ' \delta s \chi e \hat{\rho} a s Ie \eta \tau a$. The $\delta' \delta \phi r e i \delta r \delta s ' \delta s \chi e \hat{\rho} a s Ie \eta \tau a$. The $\delta' \delta \phi r e i \delta r \delta s ' \delta s \chi e \hat{\rho} a s Ie \eta \tau a$. That II $\lambda \sigma \sigma r \delta s$ so if the confer $\delta \phi e r o s$ and $\delta \lambda \beta o s$ presents no difficulty. Cf. Opp. 637, obs $\delta \phi e r o s$ $\phi e \ell \gamma w r o b \delta \delta \tau \lambda \sigma \delta \tau \sigma r e r al \delta \lambda \beta o s$. Property is but cf. Od. i. 165, $\delta \phi r e i \sigma \theta \eta \tau o s r e$.

975. Kdδμφ. Cf. sup. v. 937, 'Αρμονίην θ', ην Kdδμος ὑπέρθυμος θέτ' ἄκοττικ. As the name Kdδμος (root καδ or κας) may, to the Greek ear, have suggested order and arrangement, so Harmonia, "fitness of things,' is symbolically his wife. She is the daughter of Aphrodite, because love engenders Harmony. Aeschylus, Prom. 560, ούπως ταν Διός 'Αρμονίαν θυατῶν παρεξίωσι βουλεί. Suppl. 1024, δίδοται δ' 'Αρμονία μοῦφ' 'Αφροδίτας.

Suppl. 1027, στονται ο πρωστα μουρ 'Αφροδίτας. 976. Compare Pind. Pyth. ix. 104. Ησπ. Od. v. 353, Κάδμου θυγάτηρ καλλίσφυρος 'Ινώ. Theocr. xxvi. 1, 'Ινώ καδτονόα χά μαλοπάφηος 'Αγαία. Ειπ. Bacch. 1227, και τον μέν 'Ακταίων' 'Αριστέα ποτέ τεκοῦσαν είδον Αδτονόην. ΑροΙοίοτ. iii. 4, 3, Γίνονται δὲ Κάδμφ θυγατέρες μέν Αδτονόη, 'Ινώ, Σεμέλη, 'Αγαυή, παϊς δὲ Πολύδωρος.

[Κούρη δ' 'Ωκεανοῦ, Χρυσάορι καρτεροθύμω μιχθεῖσ' ἐν φιλότητι πολυχρύσω 'Αφροδίτη, 980 Καλλιρόη τέκε παίδα βροτῶν κάρτιστον ἁπάντων, Γηρυονέα, τὸν κτεῖνε βίη 'Ηρακληείη βοῶν ἐνεκ' εἰλιπόδων ἀμφιρρύτω εἰν Ἐρυθείŋ.] Τιθωνῷ δ' Ἡῶς τέκε Μέμνονα χαλκοκορυστὴν, Αἰθιόπων βασιλῆα, καὶ Ἡμαθίωνα ἀνακτα. 985 αὐτάρ τοι Κεφάλω φιτύσατο φαίδιμον υἱὸν,

983. ev Fepvbeig?

y? 984. åfús

Fús 985. Fáranta

979. κούρη τ' Ν. ἀκεανοῦο LN, Ald. 980. πολυχρύσψ 'Αφροδίτη LN, Ald. Vulg. πολυχρύσου 'Αφροδίτης. 981. κάλλιστον LN, Ald. 982. γηρυονήα LN. 983. εἰν om. L, Ald. ἀμφιφρύτω εὐρυθείη Ald. 986. φιτύσσατο Ν. φυτήσατο, L, Ald.

979-83. This passage has been adapted here from v. 287 sup., as Wolf and others have perceived. For the genitive (π . $\lambda\phi\rhoo\delta(r\eta s)$ the dative has been restored from the Aldine and good M88. Cf. $\delta i \lambda \chi\rho\nu\sigma\epsilon\eta \gamma' \lambda\phi\rhoo\delta(r\eta \tau v.$ 1005. Wolf rightly objected to the $phrase <math>i \tau \phi_i\lambda\delta(r\eta \tau r. \Lambda\phi\rhoo\delta(r\eta r, and also$ threw a doubt on Geryon being called $<math>\beta\rho\sigma\tau\bar{w}\kappa\,d\rho\tau\iota\sigma\tau\sigma\sigma$, since he was rather a monster than a mun. It may be added, that the synizesis in $\beta\sigma\bar{w}$ is very unusual, and that $\epsilon i\lambda tra\delta\delta w$ should take the digamma. The old reading may have been elseka $f \epsilon i\lambda tra\delta\delta w$, the descriptive epithet being used in place of the noun; see 'E $\rho\gamma$. 524, 571. Goetling would read $\beta\bar{w}$, comparing $\beta\sigma\bar{v}$ for $\beta\sigma s$ in Soph. Frag. 277. This verse is clearly a clumsy variant of v. 290 sup., $\beta\sigma wol' \pi a\rho' \epsilon i\lambda tra\delta\delta\sigma\sigma i \pi \epsilon \rho i \rho \rho i r'$.

985. Allistraw. Some eastern and dark-skinned nation is meant, and not the true Ethiopians of the south. For Memnon is called 'son of the morning,' i. e. of the East; and the ancient idea of Ethiopia was that it extended from the extreme east to the extreme west, as is clear from Od. i. $23-4.-..., H\mu a$ blowra may mean 'King of the Sandydeserts,' e. g. of Assyria and Arabia, orit may be for 'Hudruos, 'the Son of the

986. Keødie. This second paramour of the goddees was also caught up in the clouds. Eur. Hipp. 455, foact is defined for the second for the second for is beeds "Eus épuros objeck". There seems to have been a slightly different version of this story, which is obviously a solar myth (Cox, Mythology and Folk-lore, p. 22). Apollodor. iii. 14, 8, "Eports de kal 'Epusî Kégalos: ob épacoleira 'Hès horace' kal µreîca ép Zupia raida érésurace 'kal µreîca ép Zupia raida érésurace tiburde, a fas érésero Caedaux. From a passage in Pausanias, i. § 3, where, after mentioning the rape of Cephalus, and his being made raogúna; the writer adds raïra állot re kal 'Holodos elpnker ér éres

Digitized by Google

ισθιμον Φαέθοντα, θεοίς επιείκελον ανδρα. τόν ba νέον τέρεν άνθος έχοντ' έρικυδέος ηβης παιδ' άταλα φρονέοντα φιλομμειδής 'Αφροδίτη ῶρτ' ἀνερειψαμένη, καί μιν ζαθέοις ἐνὶ νηοῖς νηοπόλον νύχιον ποιήσατο, δαίμονα δίον.

Κούρην δ' Αιήταο διοτρεφέος βασιλήος Αίσονίδης βουλήσι θεών αι ειγενετάων ήγε παρ' Αιήτεω, τελέσας στονόεντας άέθλους, τοὺς πολλοὺς ἐπέτελλε μέγας βασιλεὺς ὑπερήνωρ 995

> 987. emifeixedor 988. véfor

989. drala N. άπαλὰ L, Ald. φιλομειδής N, Ald. 990. avapeutapérn N. avassewauer L. Ald. 992. alýras N. 994. ainras N.

rois is yuvaikas, Wolf drew the pro-bable inference that this concluding part of the Theogony was made up by a late hand from the Furancov nara-hoyos. The fact is, the later rhapsodists united in one poem the Theo-gony and the 'Hoia, and perhaps other works of the like kind attributed to Hesiod; and so a difficulty arose in later times as to where one poem ended and another commenced.--- outvoare, for έγείνατο, is perhaps a post-epic use. Hesych. φιτύσατο εγέννησεν. 988. νέον-έχοντα, for άρτίωs, unless

véor be rather for véor bra. drald has been restored by Wolf, Gaisford, and others from several MSS. for arald. Cf. Il. xviii. 567, παρθενικαί δε και ήtθεοι άταλά φρονέοντες. Aesch. Pers. 539, άταλαῖς χερσί καλύπτρας κατερεικόμεναι (al. άπαλαιs).

990. arepeidanérn, 'having caught up in the clouds.' Cf. Od. xx. 77, róppa 83 rás κούρας άρπυιαι ανηρείψαντο.-νύχιον, Schol. τουτέστιν ἀφανῆ, λαθραῖον. Υυχίον, Schol. Του το το τιν αφω η, Λασραίος. 'Αρχίλοχος δὲ (f. 'Αρίσταρχος δὲ) γράφει, Μύχιον οἶον ἐν τῷ μυχῷ, τῷ ἀδύτφ, προφαίνουσα τῆ Κύπρφ. On the con-fusion of these two words see Opp. 523. 40 Goettling shows from Hyginus ii. 42, that Phaethon was regarded as another name of $\Phi \omega \sigma \phi \delta \rho \sigma s$, the planet Venus; and that Aphrodite is hence connected with the legend .- The phrase dalpor

 $\delta \hat{i} os$, for a demigod, is unusual, but not inappropriate. He was $\delta a \mu or$ as being more than a mortal, and became $\delta i o s$ by

990

more than a mortal, and being enrolled among the gods. 994. Aye, more usually hydyere, but see v. 998. Scut. H. 274, where conidiom yapeir in or mapa rives is also common to the Attic. Medea appears here to be enumerated among the goddesses who were married to mortals, because she was granddaughter of the sun. (Cf. sup. v. 956-61.) Medea is probably only a name of Juno, $\dot{\eta} \mu\eta\delta\sigma$ - $\mu\epsilon'\eta$ $\theta\epsilon\dot{a}$, the worship of whom under that name came from Pontus. Her son Medeus is the male form of the same name, and both are ultimately referable to the nearly universal Asiatic worship of Sun and Moon. Moreover, Medeus is the eponym hero of the Medes, as Perseus is of the Persians (Aesch. Pers. 148). Indeed this is indicated by MeJea's descent from the Sun. Van Lennep remarks that Pelias is mentioned in Od. xi. 253, and Acetes in Od. xii. 70, but Medea nowhere in Homer.

995. Hesych. ύπερήνωρ εύψυχος, avopeios. ύβριστης, υπερήφανος, παρά-νομος. The next line scems clearly an interpolation. It is redundant, if ύπερήνωρ means 'overbearing,' and com tradictory, if it means ' brave.

[ύβριστὴς Πελίης καὶ ἀτάσθαλος, ὀμβριμοεργός]. τοὺς τελέσας ἐς Ἰωλκὸν ἀφίκετο, πολλὰ μογήσας, ὠκείης ἐπὶ νηὸς ἆγων ἑλικώπιδα κούρην Αἰσονίδης, καί μιν θαλερὴν ποιήσατ' ἆκοιτιν.	
καί δ' ήγε δμηθείσ' ύπ' Ιήσονι ποιμένι λαών	1000
Μήδειον τέκε παίδα, τον ουρεσιν έτρεφε Χείρων	
Φιλλυρίδης· μεγάλου δε Διος νόος εξετελείτο.	
Αὐτὰρ Νηρῆος κοῦραι, ἁλίοιο γέροντος,	
ήτοι μεν Φωκον Ψαμάθη τέκε δια θεάων	
Αἰακοῦ ἐν φιλότητι διὰ χρυστήν ᾿Αφροδίτην,	1005
Πηλέϊ δε δμηθείσα θεα Θέτις άργυρόπεζα	
γείνατ' 'Αχιλληα βηξήνορα, θυμολέοντα.	
Αἰνείαν δ' ἄρ' έτικτεν ευστέφανος Κυθέρεια	
Αγχίση ήρωι μιγεισ' έρατη φιλότητι	
*Ιδης έν κορυφησι πολυπτύχου, ύληέσσης.	1010
Κίρκη δ', 'Ηελίου θυγάτηρ 'Υπεριονίδαο,	
· · · ·	

996. δμβριμό εργος

997. 'Ιαξωλκόν ἀφίκετο?

998. Feλικώπιδa

1003. νηρηίδες L, Ald. θεὰ δμηθεῖσα θέτις Ν. 1004. δε τέκε Ν. 1011. ήελίοιο Ν. 1006. πηλεί δέ

999. θαλερήν-άκοιτιν. See vv. 921. 946. 948.

1001. M₁3ecov. According to Apollodorus, i. 9, 28, Medea had two sons by Jason, Mermerus and Pheres. Euripides in the *Medea* always speaks of her children in the plural.

Ibid. Xelpan. One of the so-called Hesiodic poems was entitled Xelpanos $\pi a \rho a \mu \kappa \sigma c s$, on which see Müller, Hist. Gr. Lit. p. 86. Pausan. ix. 31, 4. This passage looks like an effort to link on that poem to the present (see sup. on v. 986). Chiron is called the son of Philyra, Philyrides, by Ovid, Fast. v. 383. Virg. Georg. iii. 550. Pind. Pyth. iii. 1; ix. 30.

1003. Nηρήos. Aldus and a few of the copies give Nηρηtões. Perhaps Nηρεtões should be restored. It occurs in Pindar, Nom. iv. 65, and elsewhere, and is probably the true reading in Eur. Iph. T. 428.— Ψαμάθη, of. Eur. Hel. 6, δs (8c. Προττεύs) τῶν κατ' οἶδμα παρθένων μίαν γαμεῖ Ψαμάθην, ἐπειδὴ λέκτρ' ἀφῆκεν Αίακοῦ. Pind. Nem. v. 12, βία Φώκου κρέοντος, ὁ τῶς θεοῦ, ἐν Ψαμάθεια τίκτ' ἐπὶ βηγιῶνι πόντου. Apollodor. iii. 12, 6, μίγνυται δὲ αδθις Aίακος Ψαμάθη τῷ Νηρέως εἰς ψύκην (ψώκην?) ἡλλαγμένη διὰ τὸ μὴ Βούλεσθαι συνελθεῶν, καὶ τεκνοῖ παίδα Φώκου.

1008. Alvelar. Cf. II. ii. 819, Δαρδανίων αδτ' ήρχεν έδε πάιε 'Αγχίσαο Αινείας, τον ύπ' 'Αγχίση τέκε δι' Άφροδίτη 'Ιδης έν κνημοῖσι θεὰ βροτῷ εὐνηθεῖσα. Ib. v. 311, άναξ ἀνδρῶν Αἰνείας, — ὑπ' 'Αγχίση τέκε βουκολέοντι — Διός θυγάτηρ 'Αφροδίτη.

θυγάτηρ 'Αφροδίτη. 1011. 'Υπεριονίδαο. See sup. v. 374.

т 2

γείνατ' 'Οδυσση̂ος ταλασίφρονος ἐν φιλότητι 'Αγριον ήδὲ Λατίνον ἀμύμονά τε κρατερόν τε, [Τηλέγονόν τε ἔτικτε διὰ χρυσέην 'Αφροδίτην.] οί δ' ἦτοι μάλα τη̂λε μυχῷ νήσων ἱεράων πᾶσιν Τυρσηνοῖσιν ἀγακλειτοῖσιν ἀνασσον.

1016. Fáragor

1014. om. L, Ald. dyarduroisir LN, Ald. 1015. οι δή τοι Ν. μυχών Ν. 1016.

1013. "Aypur. Of this Agrius nothing whatever is recorded; but he is here taken as the eponym hero of the Graei, Agraei, or Graeci. From a passage in Lydus de Mensibus (i. 4, p. 7, ed. Bekker), Goettling infers that he must have read Tpaîkóv 7' 18 Aarivov, and this seems an inevitable conclusion from his words :- τοσούτων οδν επιξενωθέντων της 'Ιταλίας, δσπερ έδείχθη, Λατίνους των της Ιταλιας, ωσπερ εσειχση, Λατίνους μέν τούς έπιχωριάζοντας, Γραικούς δέ τούς έλληνίζοντας έκαλουν, άπο Λατίνου τοῦ άρτι ήμῦν ήηθέντος και Γραίκου, τῶν ἀδελ-φῶν, ὅς φησιν Ἡσίοδος· Άγριον ἡδὲ Λατίνον (Γραϊκόν τ' ἡδὲ Λατίνον, Goett-ling). That by Graesi Lydus meant Indian Guyono or Means Guyonia is Ing., Ing. Of Orocce, Digital Inclus Italian Greece, or Magna Graecia, is pretty clear. Photius, Paikośs ol βάρ-βαροι τούς Έλληνας. Σοφοκλής τη λέξει κέχρηται. Hesychius, Paikos. Έλλην. 'Ρωμαΐοι δέ τό γ προσθέντες Γραικόν φασι. Some of the old commentators seem to have construed toe typior Activor, the epithet perhaps referring to Latinus being the son of Faunus (Virg. Aen. vii. 47). Ovid makes him the son of Sylvius (Fast. iv. 43), and in Acn. xii. 16⁴, Virgil speaks of Sol as the grandfather of Latinus, wherein he would seem to have had in view the pedigree here recorded. What the Schol. meant, or what reading he was explaining, is not clear;- Αγριον δέ τον αμύμονα Aarivor. One point is obvious, that Latinus, a purely Italian hero, would not have been mentioned in a poem of the age of Hesiod. He may have been spoken of in the Cyclic poems, like Telegonus, or at least, inserted in them by later rhapsodists; but at all events it is clear that the names and events here recorded are subsequent to and

founded on the Odyssey. Here there is apparent a design to connect the Roman with the Greek ethnology, which is indicative of a rather late era.

1014. This verse is wanting in at least two MSS., and it appears, if even from the objectionable *hictus*, to be spurious. We might however read $T\eta\lambda \epsilon'\gamma\sigma\sigma\nu\sigma'\delta'$ $\delta \rho' \ \ell'rucre$. Most copies give $\delta' \ \ell'rucre$. This hero was said to have founded Tusculum and Praeneste. Hence Horace, Carm. iii. 25, 8, 'Telegoni juga parricidae.' Ovid, Fast. iii. 92, 'Factaque Telegoni macuia celsa manu.'

1015. $\mu\chi\chi\tilde{\varphi} +\eta\sigma\omegar$. So Gaisford, Goettling, Flach, and Van Lennep, with several MSS. The rest give $\mu\chi\chi\tilde{\omega}r$ efow. By the 'sacred islands' the writer probably meant Sicily in particular, where the sacred oxen of the sun were hered (Od. xii. 128), but also Italy and its adjacent islands, of the geography of which it is likely that he knew little enough. So far, indeed, we have an indication of a rather early poet. Who were meant by the Tup- $\sigma\eta\nuol$, it is not easy to determine. They seem to be regarded here as a race of wider prevalence than the Pelasgic people bordering on Euboea. Probably all are included in the term who colonised the islands and strips of the Italian continent along the western sea-coast; perhaps even all the Italian nations taken collectively. See Dr. Donaldson's Ethnographical Map of Ancient Italy in Varronianus (ed. 2).

1016. Homer mentions no progeny of Ulysses and Calypeo. As that nymph showed her paramour how to build a ship by which he might reach home

ΘΕΟΓΟΝΙΑ.

Ναυσίθοον δ' 'Οδυσηϊ Καλυψώ δια θεάων γείνατο Ναυσίνοόν τε μιγεισ' έρατη φιλότητι. Αῦται μεν θνητοισι παρ' ἀνδράσιν εὐνηθεισαι ἀθάναται γείναντο θεοις ἐπιείκελα τέκνα. 1020 νῦν δε γυναικῶν φῦλον ἀείσατε, ἡδυέπειαι Μοῦσαι 'Ολυμπιάδες, κοῦραι Διὸς αἰγιόχοιο.

1020. επιδείκελα

1021. Γηδυξέπειαι

1022. Aufòs

1020. yeivaro L, Ald.

(Od. 228 seqq.), it was reasonable to represent the sons as skilled in the same craft, as their names imply.

1019-22. The four concluding verses are to be regarded as added by some one who wished to make the Hesiodic $Ka\tau d\lambda o \gamma os \gamma uraux \hat{w} a$ continuous poem with the Theogony. They are wholly omitted in two MSS.; and the two last are wanting in three others of Goettling's MSS. Van Lennep asks, "Quid-

ni poeta ipse sic transitum paraverit?" But he exhibits throughout a belief in the genuineness and integrity of the Theogony that seems scarcely borne out by the present state of the text. Dr. Flach regards as spurious the whole conclusion of the poem from v. 941, which accordingly he prints in smaller type, excepting only 945-6, 956-7, and 963-4.

277



Digitized by Google

.

. .

.

APPENDIX A.

[Reprinted, by permission, from the Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society, Vol. III. Pt. III.]



.

.

.

•



DR. PEARSON

ON SOME POINTS IN THE HISTORY OF ASTRONOMY.

Dr. PEARSON read a paper on some passages from the classics, one from Hesiod, and three from Ovid, which he considered might be fairly tested by Modern Astronomy. Admitting, as is often averred, that many allusions of this nature in the classics are either inaccurate or wrong, some he thought might still be found to have the stamp of truth about them.

On the one hand, it is certain that in Greece the phenomena of the heavens had from the earliest times many thoughtful and attentive observers. In the time of Hesiod, which may be perhaps best assumed to have been about the middle of the eighth century B.C., the rising or setting of the stars seems to have been the recognised guide in distinguishing the successive seasons of the year: the Metonic cycle, now known under the title of the Golden Numbers, was discovered as early as the time of Socrates; and the ordinary authorities, such as the article Astronomia in the Dictionary of Antiquities, show how much interest the subject attracted down to the period of Ptolemy and Hipparchus. On the other hand, it must be allowed that the references we can actually find in classical authors are often vague or rhetorical; and that, probably excepting Hesiod, those whose writings we refer to wrote on second-hand autho-It may be therefore fully admitted that the question rity. requires to be investigated with much caution.

The first reference was to Hesiod (Op. et Di. 564-7), as being the most distinct passage in that author's writing, although there are others which deserve consideration as *data* in Practical Astronomy: these lines, Dr. Pearson said, he thought deserved the best attention, as the whole character of the work in which they occur is most genuine and natural, nor is it easy to study it without the impression that the author was himself dependent, as a practical agriculturist, on the facts that he recites. The passage itself runs thus:

Εὐτ' ἀν δ' ἐξήκουτα μετὰ τροπὰς ἀελίοιο Χειμέρι' ἐκτελέση Ζεὺς ἤματα, δή ῥα τότ' ἀστὴρ ᾿Αρκτοῦρος προλιπων ἱερὸν ῥόον ἘΩκεανοῖο Πρῶτον παμφαίνων ἐπιτέλλεται ἀκροκνέφαιος.

From this we learn that, sixty days after the winter solstice, Arcturus rose during twilight in the evening. Arcturus' position for Jan. 1, 1875, is given in the Nautical Almanac as R.A. 14 h. 9 m. 55 s., Dec. 19° 50' 221" N. If we convert these data into Latitude and Longitude, reduce the star's longitude by about 36° 10', which at the annual rate of 50" .1 for precession will bring us to about 730 B.C., and reconvert the star's new longitude and latitude into R.A. and Dec., we shall find that the position of the star in the early part of the eighth century B.C., which may be fairly taken to represent the era of Hesiod, was something about 12 h. 6 m. R.A. and 33° 30' North Dec. On Feb. 19 at that time, in Lat. 381° N., about the situation of Ascra and Helicon, the Sun would set about 5.40 p.m., while Arcturus would rise above the horizon about 5.57 p.m., a relative position of the two luminaries which fairly answers to the words of the poet. And while investigating the position of the star, Dr. Pearson said he found he had unintentionally explained, as he believed, the epithet "late-setting," applied to Arcturus in Hom. Od. E' 272. Arcturus at that epoch would first have been visible at the time of its morning setting about May 15, and would set June 1 at 3.30 a.m., July 1 at 1.32 a.m., Aug. 1 at 11.30 p.m.* During the early summer therefore, when the Greek seaman or agriculturist was often spending the nights out of doors, the late time at which this brilliant star would set must have been quite unmistakeable, and Ulysses is naturally described as keeping his eye fixed on it, as carefully as he kept the Bear on his left, to determine his voyage eastwards.

In order to satisfy criticism, the series of computations by which this result is obtained are given: the computations will be omitted in two of the subsequent examples, but any one who

* A star's rising or setting is about 4 m. earlier each successive day.

will employ the same formulæ will find that the results given are approximately accurate. It is probable that theoretical astronomers may be able to suggest better or more precise methods of obtaining the required results, but those employed have the advantage of being quite simple, and are anyhow approximately correct. The calculation of Arcturus' place for the era of Ovid is also given, as it naturally accompanies that for the time of Hesiod.

The formulæ employed are those given in *Loomis's Astronomy*, and are the following:

(1) To reduce R.A. and Dec. to Long. (L) and Lat. (l).

Let A be a subsidiary angle: ω the inclination of the ecliptic,

$$\tan A = \sin \text{ R.A.. cot Dec.},$$

 $\tan L = \sin (A + \omega) \tan \text{ R.A. cosec } A,$
 $\tan l = \sin L \cot (A + \omega).$

(2) To perform the reverse process :

L' being the new Long. due to change from precession, A' the subsidiary angle,

 $\tan A' = \sin L' \cot l,$ $\tan R.A. = \sin (A' - \omega) \tan L' \operatorname{cosec} A',$ $\tan Dec. = \sin R.A. \cot (A' - \omega).$

We apply these formulæ to find the place of Arcturus about the era of Hesiod.

Taking the mean position of the star as given above: then

and $(A + \omega)$ consequently = $327^{\circ} 23' 49''$, making ω somewhat freely = $23\frac{1}{2}^{\circ}$.

Again, we have

$$\sin (A + \omega) = 9.7314403 (-) \tan R.A. = 9.8038388 (+) \cosec A = 10.0808999 (-) 9.6161790 (+) = \tan 202° 27' 5'' = \tan L$$

284

The next step is, taking the amount of annual precession, it is owned somewhat boldly, at 50".1, to estimate its amount first for 1900 years to bring it to 27 B.C., about the era of Ovid, and again for 700 years, to bring it to that of Hesiod. The first amount is about 26° 26' 30", and the second about 9° 44' 30", which will bring us to 176° 0' 35" as the Long. in the time of Ovid, and 166° 16' 5" in that of Hesiod. As it is certain that the inclination of the ecliptic has not changed more than 20' to 30', within the periods in question, we may safely deal with the Latitude of the star as stationary in the interval. Consequently, L, L' being the Longitude of the star in the time of Hesiod and of Ovid: l its latitude in both: $L = 166^{\circ} 16' 5''$. $L' = 176^{\circ} 0' 35'', l = 30^{\circ} 50' 28''$, and on these data we proceed to compute its R.A. and Dec., and from these the times of the star's rising and setting at these two epochs.

	•
$\sin L$ 9.3754437 (+) $\cot l$ 10.2239607 (+)	$\sin L'$ $8 \cdot 8422274(+)$ $\cot l$ $10 \cdot 2239607(+)$
$\tan a \dots 9.5994044(+)$	$\tan a' \dots 9.0661881(+)$
α 201° 40′ 51″ ω 23 50 0	a' 186° 38' 34 <u>4</u> " ω 23 45 0
$(a - \omega) \dots \overline{177 \ 50 \ 51}$	$(a' - w) \dots \overline{162 \ 53 \ 34\frac{1}{2}}$
$\sin (a - \omega) \dots 8.5747184(+)$	$\sin(a'-\omega)$ 9.4685814(+)
$\tan L$	$\tan L'$ 8.8435834 (-) cosec a'10.9367372 (-)
tan R.A 8·3952174 (+)	tan R.A 9.2489020 (+)
\therefore R.A. = 12 h. 5 m. 42 s.	R.A. 12 h. 40 m. 14 s.
sin R.A $8 \cdot 3956475(-)$ cot $(a - \omega) \dots 11 \cdot 4249751(-)$	$\sin R.A. \dots 9.2421704(-)$ $\cot (a - \omega) \dots 10.5117660(-)$
tan Dec 9.8206226 (+)	tan Dec 9.7539364 (+)
N. Dec 33° 29′ 25″	N. Dec. = $29^{\circ} 34' 24''$.

Also

We thus ascertain the position of the star in the time of Hesiod and in that of Ovid, to have been: for that of Hesiod, R.A. 12 h. 5 m. 42 s. N. Dec. 33° 29' 25"; for that of Ovid, R.A. 12 h. 40 m. 14 s. N. Dec. 29° 34' 24".

The next step is to compute the hour angle of the star, first for its true rising in the Latitude of Bœotia, about $38\frac{1}{2}$ N., secondly for its true setting in the Latitude of Rome, about 42° N., and also the Local mean time at the same moment.

In Bœotia since $\cos H.A. = -\tan Dec. \tan Dec.$	At Rome lat.	
$\tan \text{Dec.} = 9.8206228$ $\tan \text{lat.} = 9.9006052$	tan Dec 9.7539380 tan lat 9.9544374	-
\cos H.A. $9.721228.(-)$	\cos H.A. 9.7083754 (-))
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	H.A = $\begin{array}{c} h. m. s. \\ 8 & 2 & 54 \\ 12 & 40 & 14 \\ \hline 20 & 43 & 8 \end{array}$	
S.T. Feb. 19 22 0 0	S.T. May 26 4 15 8	
Time of Arc- turus rising 5 58 41 p.m. Local mean time of Sun-	Time of Arc- 16 28 0 turus setting (= 4 28 a.m.) for May 26 S.T. June 6 4 58 8)
set on the same day 5 39 0 p.m. As soon therefore as the day- light had sufficiently dimi-	Time of Arc- $\overline{15 \ 45 \ 0}$ turus setting (= 3 45 a.m.) for June 6)

light had sufficiently diminished for the star to be visible, it would actually have just risen.

The Sun rises at Rome on May 26 about 4.35 a.m., on June 6 about 4.30 a.m. According to Ovid, the star's morning setting was first visible on May 26, or, as he states later on, on June 6. If we consider him to have consulted two different authorities, one of which gave the true, the other the visible setting of the star, no reasonable exception can be taken to the value of his statements. The expressions the poet uses point to the time when the star's setting first occurred before sunrise; this for theoretical astronomers would actually have taken place about May 26, and for practical observers about June 6, the star setting on the first-named day at 4.28 a.m., on the second at 3.45 a.m.

Again in the Fasti of Ovid, I. 654, II. 76, we are told that Lyra, or Vega, was last visible when setting in the evening, about Feb. 1. "Ubi est hodie, quae Lyra fulsit heri?" Employing again the method of calculation indicated above, we find on that day at Rome the Sun would set about 5.10 p.m., and Lyra about 5.44. As the days at that time of the year are rapidly lengthening, while the star would set earlier every day, it is obvious that the date assigned for the last appearance of the latter is nearly exact.

Ovid makes however a remark about Capella which seems really erroneous. He says (Fasti v. 113) that she rises on May 1st, *i.e.* is then first visible in the morning. But at the time when he lived she would, according to the mode of computation used in the previous examples, have risen about 3.0 a.m., while the Sun would not have risen until after 5.0. We have a similar apparent mistake in Pliny and Columella, nearly contemporaries, who flourished in the latter half of the first century A.D. They fix Arcturus' rising for the 23rd or 21st of February; whereas on those days the Sun would set at Rome about 5.35 p.m., while the star would not pass the horizon in their time before 6.30 p.m. They seem to have copied from Hesiod without any thought.¹

The late Mr. F. Baily, in his edition of Ancient Star Catalogues, published in Vol. XIII. of the Memoirs of the Royal Ast. Society, does not seem to have actually compared the positions there given to any of the principal stars with those which in the present day we must suppose them to have then occupied, though he refers to Delambre (*Hist. Ast. Anc.* Vol. II.), who gives tabulated results on this point from his own calculations. As however the present rate of change in the obliquity of the ecliptic would have made it in the time of Eratosthenes (250 B.C.) about 23° 43', whereas that astronomer fixed it roughly at 23° 51', it is to be hoped that, making allowance

¹ In the time of Ovid the position of Vega must have been about RA. 17 h. 29 m., Dec. 38° 23' N.; that of Capella, R.A. 2 h. 55 m., Dec. 40° 35' N. for inaccuracies in the MSS., such a process of verification may be attempted with some prospect of success; and possibly some explanation found of Ptolemy's idea, that in his time (A.D. 140) the amount of annual *precession* was only 36". It is curious that the error of 15' in the latitude of Alexandria, which Delambre imputes to the Greeks, answers nearly exactly to the obliquity of 23° 43', to which we are brought by its present known rate of change.



APPENDIX B.

(COMMUNICATED.)

On the size of the cart-wheel in Hesiod, 'Works and Days,' 1. 426.

THE $\delta\psi\iota$ s is the arc A C B.



Measured along the rim ACB its length will be—

36 inches \times 3.14 \div 4 = 28 inches.

If we measure from end to end along A B we get for the length

 $\sqrt{2 \cdot 18^2}$ inches = $18\sqrt{2}$ inches = $18 \times 1 \cdot 414$ = 25 inches.

If we took the *diameter* a little *less* than 3 feet, say 32 inches instead of 36, the length A B from end to end will be

 $16 \times 1.414 = 22$ inches

the required length; since $\tau \rho \iota \sigma \pi i \theta a \mu o \nu$ is about 22 inches. Hence probably the $\ddot{a} \psi \iota_s$ was measured straight from one end to the other, and not along the curved rim.



INDEX I.

0**F**

WORDS AND PROPER NAMES.

(ADAPTED FROM GOSTTLING'S EDITION.)

άγκυλοχείλαι 8. 405

A.

'Aáoµaı E. 283. S. 101 danros E. 148. S. 75, 446. Th. 649 aaros Th. 714 aBovrns E. 451 άγαγών Ε. 105 dyabos E. 24. 191. 236. 317. 346. 356. 500. 669. 703. 783. Th. 219. 585. 602. 900. 906 àyaíerai E. 331 άγακλειτός Th. 1015 άγακλυτός Th. 945 άγάλλομαι Th. 68. 587. S. 86 ayarwratos Th. 408 ayaouat Th. 619 'Áyavý Th. 246. 976 ayavós Th. 461. 632 ayaupos Th. 832 άγγελίη Th. 781 ayyelos E. 85 ayyos E. 475. 600. 613 dycipe E. 576. 652. S. 475 ayelein 8. 197. Th. 318 dyeλη S. 168. Th. 445 ayépaoros Th. 395 αγέστρατος Th. 925 άγήνωρ E. 7. Th. 237. 641 aynpaos Th. 227. 305. 949. 955 ayureu E. 576 а́укоз Е. 389 αγκυλομήτης Ε. 48. Th. 19. 137. 168. 473. 495. 546

dyλain 8. 272. 276. 285 'Ayλain Th. 909. 945 dyλao's E. 337. Th. 366. 412. 628. 644. S. 37 áynós S. 203. E. 475 ayrupa S. 203. 279. 348. E. 534 áyrês E. 337 άγορείω Ε. 280. 402. 688. Th. 86 άγορή S. 204. E. 29, 30. Th. 89. 430 äγραυλοs Th. 26 άγρη Th. 442 dypios S. 236 Aypios Th. 1013 αγροιώτης S. 39 aypóperos Th. 92 αγρότερος 8. 407 άγχέμαχος 8. 25 άγχι Ε. 639 ἀγχίμολον S. 325 ἀγχίσης Th. 1009 άγω E. 208. 220. 768. S. 107. 480. äye S. 108. 149. äyωr Th. 998. E. 85. ayopat E. 695. 800. afaipt E. 434. 440. αγαγών Ε. 165. ν. ηγάγετο, ήγον. αγών S. 205. 312. Th. 435 adánta Th. 655 adáuas E. 147. S. 137. 231. Th. 161. 188. 239 άδηκτότατος Ε. 420 άδηλος E. 6

INDEX I.

adikos E. 260. 334. adikárepos E. 272 'Αδμήτη Th. 349 άδον Th. 917. 926 άδροσύνη Ε. 473 άδώτης Ε. 355 αεθλεύωσιν Th. 435 đeθλον S. 305. 311. Th. 437. E. 654. đeθλos S. 94. 127. Th. 951. 994 deido E. 662. S. 394. Th. 34. delaare Th. 965. 1020. deuxýs Th. 166. 172 deipo E. 761. deipas E. 692. deipóμεναι Ε. 540 đeio Th. 875 dényr: Th. 529 đeλλa Th. 874 Άελλώ Th. 267 deváovtes E. 550 déraos E. 597. 737 dééw E. 6. Th. 444. S. 96. 434. défouar E. 377. 394. 773. Th. 195. 524.641 *άεργίη* Ε. 311 depyós E. 44. 302, 303. 305. 310. 312. 498 depointórns 8. 316. E. 777 deσιφροσύνη Th. 502 deσίφρων E. 315. 335. 648 d(aλίος 8. 153 äζω E. 587. S. 397. äζομαι Th. 99. 532 άζωστος Ε. 345 άηδών Ε. 203 άημι E. 516. 552. Th. 875. αέντων E. 625. Th. 860. anpuar S. 8. v. deror dήρ E. 549 dήrη E. 621. 645. 675 ddávaros Th. 21. 43. 57. 67. 74. S. 79. 182. 201. 205. 339, 366. E. 16. 62. 110. 135. 199, and passim **ἀθέσφατος Ε. 662. Th. 830** 'Abyrain S. 126. 443. E. 430. Th. 318 'Aθήνη E. 63. 72. 76. S. 325. 343. 455, 470. Th. 13, 573, 577, 888 δθλον Ε. 656 δθλος Th. 800 **αθόλωτος Ε. 595 άθροος S. 246** ala E. 125. 255. aly S. 153 Alarós Th. 1005 Alyaiov opos Th. 484 Alyelons 8. 182 alyeipos 8. 377 alyíoxos E. 99. 483. 661. S. 322. 443. Th. 11. 25. 52. 735. 920. 966

Alyíoxos Th. 13 alyis S. 200. 344, 444 alyuniós S. 405 ảἰ**δ**ηλοs Ε. 756 'Atons Th. 311. 455. 768. 774. 850. E. 145. 153 diðýs 8. 477 didios 8. 310 didvós Th. 860 aldoîov E. 733 aldoîos E. 71. 257. 301. S. 14. 46. Th. 16. 44. 80. 194. 434. 572, 953 "Aïdos S. 151. 227 Aidóode 8. 254 dīdpeiņ E. 685 aiopis 8. 410 'Aīdaveús Th. 913 aldús E. 192. 200. 317, 318, 319. 324. S. 354. Th. 92 alei E. 114, 413, 503, Th. 117, 128. 388. 406. 562. 752 aleryevérne Th. 548. 893. 993. alér E. 298. 718. Th. 21. 33, 34. 106. 801 alerós Th. 523 al (nios 8. 408 al(nós E. 441. Th. 863 Alήτηs Th. 957, 958. 992. 994 aldalóeis Th. 72. 504. 707. 854 altho Th. 124. E. 18 Aldiones Th. 985 aldóperos Th. 324. 867. S. 60. 275. E. 755 allov E. 363. 592. 724. S. 135 aller E. 743 aï ke E. 209, 268. 350. Th. 164 alpa 8. 159. 174. 194. 252. 256. 268 aluaróeis Th. 183. S. 384 alμύλιος Th. 890. E. 78. 789 alμύλος Ε. 374 Alveías Th. 1008 alvée E. 643. 824 alvyµı E. 683 alvos E. 202 airós E. 161. 802. S. 200. 227. 264. Th. 662, 852, alvoratos S. 397 alrupa S. 41. 135. 149 ale E. 516. 585. 590. S. 407. Th. 445 **Α ίολίς Ε.** 636 aloλλoμaι 8. 399 alodounts Th. 511 ainolia Th. 445 alnús E. 83. Th. 589. 682' alpéw E. 618. alpeúneros E. 476. v. ελον, ελέειν, ήρευν

290

alpe E. 551. 632. S. 107. Th. 628. v. **ἀείρω**, ἀρέσθαι aloa E. 578. Th. 422 Alonnos Th. 342 atoriuos 8. 336 Algoridas Th. 993. 999 dioropai Th. 150. 671 aloxos E. 211 airie E. 408 aixun S. 193. 289 alχμητής 8. 178 alya 8. 370. 464, 465. 469. Th. 87. 102. 161. E. 45. 93. 185 αίψηροκέλευθος Th. 379 alés E. 9. S. 331. Th. 609 **ἀκάκητα** Th. 614 åráµas Th. 856 ака́натос Th. 39. 519. 563. 566 'Aκάστη Th. 356 ακαχήμενος Th. 99. ακαχών Th. 868 **ἀκαχμένος** 8. 135 annons E. 112. 170. Th. 61 àκήριος Ε. 823 **ἀκίνητος Ε.** 750 акиютатоs E. 435 äκμων Th. 722. 724 **ακοίτης** 8.9 äkoiris Th. 410. 608. 921. 937. 946. 948, 953, 999, E, 800 anophros 8. 346. 433. 459 arovo E. 213. 296. 721. Th. 665. 701.834 **ἀκραής Ε.** 592 **đ**κριτος S. 311 акрокнефанов Е. 567 акров Е. 233, 291, 467, S. 317. акpóraros E. 681. Th. 7. 62. S. 33 'Arrain Th. 249 darn S. 213. 290. Th. 848. E. 32. 466. 597. 805 **άκτ**ίς Th. 760 alade E. 631. 757. Th. 791 άλάλημαι Ε. 100 αλαλητός 8. 382. Th. 686 alalker Th. 527 άλαοσκοπιή Th. 466 αλαπαδιός Ε. 437 **δλαστος '**Th. 467 άλγινόειs Th. 214. 226 alyos E. 133. 200. 211. 741. 799. Th. 227.621 aλéa E. 493 aλ ίασθαι Ε. 446. 734. 780 αλεγίζω Th. 171 αλέγο E. 251

άλεείνω Ε. 828 dλéη E. 545 άλειφα Th. 553 **άλεξιά**ρη Ε. 464 άλεύομαι Ε. 505. 535. 798 άλεωρή Ε. 404 aληθείη E. 768 άληθής Th. 28. 333. E. 818 άλης, άλέα Ε. 493 Αλιάκμων Th. 341 diagros Th. 611 άλιτύς 8. 214 Αλίη Th. 245 Αλιμήδη Th. 255 äλιος Th. 1003 άλιταίγομαι Ε. 330 αλιτήμενος S. 91 aliroairo E. 241. 330 'Αλκαΐος 8. 26 'Αλκείδης S. 112 άλκή Th. 876. E. 201 άλκιμος C. 320. Th. 526. 950 Αλκμήνη S. 3. 467. Th. 526. 943. 950 αλκτήρ Th. 657. S. 29. 128 dλλa E. 11. 15. 35. 37. 47. 87. 94. 100. 130. 132, 142. 147. 175. 179. 273. 298. 335. 403. 444. 513. 527. 557. 571. 573. 588. 638. 661. 678. 684. 687. 690. 734. 736. 786. **8**. 28. 95. 108. 111. 259. 311. 352. 449-451. Th. 35. 58. 286. 332. 388. 425. 428. 465. 468. 566. 593. 615. 624. 639. 655. 687. 742, 752. 772. 797. 888. 899. αλλήλων cet. E. 135. 251. 278. S. 262. 347. 375. 379. 403. 412. 436. Th. 330, 631, 635, 646, 684, 711, 749 άλλοιος Ε. 483. 824 άλλος E. 143. 157. 265. 296. 341 bis. 344. 408. 445. 477, 478. 678. 704. 713. 725. 823, 824. 8. 28. 157, 158. 260. 330. 409. Th. 21. 142. 147. 295. 363. 462. 586. 624. 800 bis. 872. 875. 893. άλλη Ε. 262 άλλοτε E. 338. 483. 552 bis. 625. 713. 825. S. 359. Th. 830. 831. 833, 834, 835. 875 αλλότριος Th. 599. E. 84. 815, 395 άλμυρός Th. 107. 964 άλοχος Th. 886. E. 329. S. 18. 40. 87 als, v. alade άλσος 8.70.99 άλυκτοπέδη Th. 521 άλύξεται Ε. 363

291

v 2

INDEX I.

'Aλφειόs Th. 338 άλφηστής Th. 512. E. 82. S. 29 αλωή 8. 291. Ε. 599. 806 *λω*ή 8. 291. Ε. 599. 806 *λμ* μέσον S. 209. *λμ* πέλαγος Th. 190. *λμ* φυτά Ε. 571 **б**µа Th. 80. 268. 677. 691. 706. Е. 219. 441. 8. 23. 404 duáav E. 392 άμαιμάκετος Th. 319. S. 207 auata E. 426, 453, 455, 456, 692 άμάομαι E. 392*. 775. 778. Th. 599 αμαρτίνοος Th. 511 αμαρύσσω Th. 827 άμαυρότερος Ε. 284 dμαυρόω Ε. 693 ἀμάω (meto) v. ἀμάαν, ἀμάομαι, ἀμήσεις Ε. 480. Υ. ήμησε, ήμων
 äμβaτos E. 681 άμβολιεργός Ε. 413 άμβρόσιος Th. 69. 640, 642. 796 αμβροτος Th. 43 aµéyaptos Th. 666 άμείβομαι Th. 654. 749. S. 117 aueiliktos Th. 659 άμείνων Ε. 19. 285. 294. 314. 320. 445. 570. 702. 750 dμελέω Ε. 400 αμέρδω Th. 698. S. 331 duntos E. 384. 575 άμηχανίη Ε. 493 άμηχανος Ε. 83. Th. 295. 310. 589. 836 aupes S. 87 auou Bn E. 334 άμολγαίη Ε. 590 auotov S. 361 *δμπανμ*α Th. 55 Αμπυκίδης S. 181 άμυδις S. 345. Th. 689 άμύμων Th. 263, 264. 654. 1013. S. 65. 112 dμύνω S. 240 **ἀμφαγαπάω** Ε. 58 autoapaBile S. 64 αμφέπω Th. 695 άμφί Ε. 73, 74. 205. 541. S. 128. 159. 172. 179. 185. 200. 220, 221. 224. 253. 261. 305. 314. 391. 402. 411. 423. Th. 176. 190. 194. 476. 554. 576. 578. 693, 694. 726. 778. 839. 848 bis αμφιβάλλω E. 545. 787 αμφίβληστρον S. 215

aupiguneis E. 70. S. 219. Th. 571. 579. 945 Αμφιδάμας Ε. 654 αμφιδεδήει S. 62 άμφικαλύπτω Ε. 166. 555 Αμφιλογίαι Th. 229 άμφιπολεύω Ε. 803 audipputos Th. 983 Αμφιρώ Th. 360 augis Th. 748*. 851. E. 701 'Aμφιτρίτη Th. 243. 254. 930 Αμφιτρύων S. 2. 37. 44. 54. 80 Αμφιτρυωνιάδης S. 165. 416. 433. 459. Th. 317 άμφιχέαι Ε. 65 άμφότεροι Th. 553. 678. 685. 709. 751. 771. 844. 942. 8. 177. 382. 436 αμφοτέρωθεν Th. 733 άμφυτά Ε. 571 äμφω S. 171. 419. E. 774 αμώμητος S. 102 äμωμos Th. 259 avá E. 228. 328. 530. Th. 91. 130. 663 ἀναβάλλομαι Ε. 410. 412 ανάγκη Ε. 15. Th. 517. 615 ανάγω S. 20. Th. 626. V. ανήγαγον aνάελπτα Th. 660 araideín E. 324. 359 araidýs Th. 312. 833 ἀναιρέω Ε. 748. Th. 553. v. ἀνελών avalitios E. 827 äva & S. 100. 179. 226. 354. 371. Th. 493. 543. 660. 843. 859. 985 ανάπνευστος Th. 797 άνασσείσασα S. 344 άνάσσω S. 328. Th. 403. 491. 506. 837. 850. 883. 1015 άναστρωφάω S. 121 avaτίθημι Ε. 658. v. draθεîraι, avéθηκα avaudos Th. 797 'Avavoos S. 477 αναφαίνομαι Th. 710 αναφυσιάω S. 211 **ἀναχάζομαι 8. 336** araψύχω Ε. 608 ávðávæ, ⊽. áðov ardıya E. 13 dropoyóros E. 783. 789. 794 Ανδροκτασίη S. 155. 'Ανδροκτασίαι Th. 228

* This mark indicates MS. readings not admitted in the text.



ardpoples 8. 256 ardpopovos S. 98. 420 ανέηκε Th. 495 **ἀνέθηκα Ε. 658** ἀνελών Ε. 748. ἀνείλετο Th. 553 ἀνεμος Ε. 518. 551. 594. 645. 671. Th. 253. 268. 307*. 378. 706. 846. 869 ανεπίξεστοs E. 746 ανεπίρρεκτος Ε. 748 άνέρα, ∇. άνήρ arepeitapén Th. 990 ανήγαγον Th. 626 anneeros Th. 612 arn 200 E. 751 ανήρ, ανδρός Ε. 3. 19. 56. 59. 82. 92, 159, 175, 220, 230, 240, 265. 271. 285. 297. 302. 308. 317, 318. 357. 370. 372. 411. 413. 447. 451. 455. 478. 484. 495. 498. 500. 527. 586. 605. 638. 666. 680. 702. 704. 713. 717. 731. 8. 19. 27. 42. 55. 103. 129. 148. 196. 214. 238. 245. 270. 272. 274. 303. 351. 388. 400. 408. Th. 47. 95. 220. 347. 369. 435. 457. 468. 512. 542. 592. 600. 643. 838. 877. 897. 923. 935. 967. 987.1018 άνήρ, έρος Ε. 192. 303. 326. 364. 559. 754, 813. S. 48, Th. 197, 432 **"Ахвена S. 381** drθeμdeis Th. 878 ανθέω Ε. 482. ανθεῦσιν Ε. 227 Ανθη 8.474 and os Th. 576. 988 ärtownos E. 42, 49, 51, 61, 90, 95. 100. 102. 108, 109. 122. 137. 142. 166. 178. 189. 195. 199. 201. 223. 249. 251. 258. 270. 276. 322. 398. 418. 587. 678. 685. 719. 811. 8. 162. 394. Th. 50. 93. 100. 121. 204. 231. 272. 296. 302. 329, 330. 407. 416. 535. 552. 556. 564. 569. 570. 586. 588, 589. 763. 766. 782. 879.887 ànévaı, ⊽. ànŵv arin Th. 611 dvierse Th. άνίημι, άνέηκε Th. 495. 157 drinnos Th. 489 arin tos E. 740 άνιστάμενος Ε. 577 άνιών Έ. 728 aroλβίη E. 319 arougy Th. 307

dróoteos E. 524 ανστρέφομαι Th. 763 ara S. 432*. Th. 700 άντεβόλησεν 8. 439 drtí E. 57. 334. 347. Th. 489. 570. 585. 602. 893 artißlyv 8. 150. 163 artiβoλέω E. 784. S. 439 duríov E. 594. Th. 631 durios S. 361. 434. 444. 449. drtía E. 481 αντιφερίζω Th. 609. E. 210 äντρον Th. 483 arrives S. 64 dríw, dríogas E. 395. 635. Th. 954 arwya E. 367. 403. 687. drárye. Th. 549. Howye E. 68 afeiros E. 715 αξύμφορος Th. 593 άξων E. 424. 693 αοιδή Ε. 1. 583. 659. S. 205. 282. 396. 659. Th. 22. 44. 48. 60. 83. 104. 917 doubós E. 26 bis. 208. Th. 95. 99 *douros* E. 602 **аокно** Е. 595 đop S. 221. 457. Th. 283 ãovros S. 157 àπaí S. 409. 437 άπαιωρέομαι 8. 234 aπaλaμνos* E. 20 απάλαμος Ε. 20 άπαλάομαι S. 409 άπαλός Th. 3. S. 279. Th. 989* άπαλόχροος Ε. 519 dπaλύξαι 8. 304 dπaμείρομαι* E. 578*. Th. 801 άπαναίνομαι Ε. 454 dπάνευθε Th. 386 **απανήνασθαι Ε. 454** άπάντη Th. 524 άπας Ε. 57. 115. 195. 689. Th. 79. 361. 422. 627. 813. 981 dπaτάω E. 462 dπάτη Th. 224 ἀπαυράω, ▼. ἀπηύρα άπειμι, ⊽. ἀπεών dπείριτος S. 205. Th. 109. 878 απείρων Ε. 160. 487. Th. 187. 670. 8.472 απεμνήσαντο Th. 503 άπεόντος Ε. 367 'Aπέσας Th. 331 απέσσυθεν Th. 183. απέσσυτο Th. 859

INDEX I.

απέχω Ε. 135. 645 aπew E. 367 άπήμαντος Th. 955 aπήμων E. 670 anny 8. 273 άπηύρα S. 423. Th. 423. E. 240 άπηωρεύντο S. 284 **απιστ**ίη Ε. 372 άπλαστος Ε. 148^{*}. Th. 151 άπλατος^{*} Ε. 148. Th. 151. 158 aπληστοs^{*} S. 250 απλητος Th. 153. 315. 709. S. 147. 230. 250. 268 dπό S. 374. 392. Th. 632. dπd κρη-Ber 8. 7 απογυμνόω Ε. 730 αποδειροτομέω Th. 280 άποδίδωμι Ε. 349 άποδρίπω Ε. 611 άποθρώσκω S. 375 **α**ποθύμιος Ε. 710 **ά**ποκρηθεν* 8. 7 άποκτείνω S. 11 aπoλaμπoμas S. 27. Th. 583 απολείβω S. 174. 268. απολείψας Th. 793 απολείπω Ε. 489. 696 **ά**πολήγω Ε. 488 απόλλυμι Ε. 626. 763. v. απώλεσεν Απόλλω» Ε. 771. S. 58. 68. 70. 100. 478. Th. 14. 94. 847. 918 dπoλoιro E. 46. 348 άπομείρομαι Ε. 578. Th. 801 απομιμνήσκομαι Th. 503 άπονίσσομαι S. 409 **ά**πονοστέω Ε. 735 άποπέμπω Ε. 87 άποπνείουσα Th. 224 anontáuevos Th. 284 απόπροθι Ε. 390 αποπτύω Ε. 726 απόρνυμι Th. 9 anoppairer Th. 393 απορρίψοντι S. 213 άπόρρυτος Ε. 600 άποσεύω Th. 183. 859 αποτίθημι Ε. 762 άποτίνυται Ε. 247 dποτίση Ε. 260 αποτμήξας Th. 188 dπουράμενοι 8. 173 αποφθίμενος Th. 606 αποφθινύθω Ε. 243 άποφθίσειε Ε. 666 άποχήσεται* S. 409

dπύρω E. 525 anío, hour 8. 316 απώλεσε» Ε. 626 άρ τοι Th. 372 άρά Ε. 626. 8. 29. 128. Th. 657 άραβεῦσαι 8. 249 apaBos S. 404 ápaios E. 809 apapuía 8. 137. 271 άράσσω 8. 364. 461 δράχνη Ε. 777 δράχνιον E. 475 'Apyaios Th. 484 άργαλέος Ε. 66. 92. 229. 484. 640. S. 43. Th. 369. 522. 602. 718. 739. 810. 880 Appeios Th. 12 Αργειφόντης Ε. 68. 77. 84 Αργέστης Th. 379. 870 Αργη Th. 240 dργήs, --- έτι Th. 541 άργύρεος Ε. 128. 144. 8. 225. 295. 298. Th. 779. 791 αργυροδίνης Th. 340 ἀργυρόπεζα Th. 1006 ἀργύφεος Th. 574 Αρδησκος Th. 345 apeiwy E. 158. 193. 207. S. 120 ἀρέσθαι Th. 628. ἀρέσαντο 8. 255. а́рла. Е. 632. S. 107 а́ретя́ Е. 289. 313 donios 8. 66 άρηίφιλος Th. 317 άρηρώς Th. 812. άρηρυία Th. 608 Aons E. 145. S. 59. 109. 181. 192. 333. 346. 357. 425. 434. 441. 444. 457. Th. 922 'Aρητιάδης S. 57 appels E. 551 'Αριάδνη Th. 947 dpideikeros Th. 385. 532. 543 αρίζηλος Ε. 6 Αριμοι Th. 304 Apioralos Th. 977 åpioros E. 36. 279. 438. 471. 585. 694. 719. 766. 781. 801. 814. 820. S. 48 dorée S. 858 бркюз Е. 321. 351. 370. 501. 577 брктоз 8. 186 Αρκτούρος Ε. 566. 610 брµа 8. 63, 64. 97. 309. 324. 842. 463 άρμαλιή Ε. 560. 767 άρμενος Ε. 407. 424. 642. 717. 730. 786. 808. S. 84. 116. Th. 639

 $\mathbf{294}$



[•]Αρμονίη Th. 937. 975 ἀρνέσμαι Ε. 408 apres Th. 23 Άρνη 8. 381. 475 ão Ear Oas E. 781. 815 apóns E. 749. apónns E. 485. apónµeral E. 22 άροτήρ Ε. 405. S. 286 ãooros E. 384. 450. 458. 460. 467. 616 aporpov E. 432. 439. 467*. 616* броира Е. 117. 173, 237, 428, 461. 463 άρόω Ε. 429. 460. ἀρόης Ε. 479. άρόσης Ε. 485. αρόμμεναι Ε. 22 άρπάζω Ε. 38. Th. 914 *<u>ápmantós E. 320. 684</u>* δρπαξ E. 356 δρπη Th. 175. 179. E. 573 **Αρπυιαι** Th. 267 δρηκτος Ε. 96 αρρητος Ε. 4 αρσάμενος **Β.** 325 δρσην Ε. 437. Th. 667 Apress Th. 14. 918 doriéπeia Th. 29 đoros E. 442 άρίω, *Πρυστ* S. 351. Ε. 550 άρχή Th. 45. 115. 156. 203. 408. 425. 452. 512 άρχω 8. 26. Ε. 709. άρχομαι Ε. 809. 8. 395. Th. 1. 36. ἀρχόμενος Ε. 368. 467. Th. 48. ἀρξασθαι Ε. 781. 815 aopeoros Th. 849. 852 "Aoβoλos 8. 185 'Aσίη Th. 359 ασκήσας Th. 580 ασκητός Ε. 546 Ασκρη Ε. 640 άσπάζομαι 8. 84 **ασπασίωs** S. 45 **άσπαστό**ς S. 42 doweros E. 379. Th. 683, 694. 698. donis 8. 417 åggov Th. 748. 796 dorepotes Th. 748 αστεμφής Th. 812 **Αστ**ερίη Th. 409 dorrepóeis Th. 106. 127. 414. 463. 470. 685. 737. 808. 891. E. 548 άστεροπή S. 322. Th. 691 αστεροπητής Th. 390 αστήρ Ε. 417. 565. Th. 381 Act paios Th. 376. 378

άστράπτω Th. 690 arrow Th. 110. 382 đơr Th. 91 ασύμφορος Ε. 782. Th. 593* dσφαλίως Th. 86 dσφαλής Th. 117. 128 ασφόδελος Ε. 41 doxeros Th. 832 **araλ**á Th. 989 άτύλλο E. 131 άτάρ S. 470. Th. 198 arápBnros 8. 110 araptypos Th. 610 ἀτασθαλίη Th. 209. 516. E. 261 ἀτάσθαλος Th. 164. 996. E. 134. 241 **атекно**з Е. 602 **άτενήs** Th. 661 ärep E. 91 bis. 113. 514. S. 15. Th. 132 **ἀτερπή**ς Ε. 647 đry E. 216. 231. 352. 412. Th. 230 S. 93. άτιμάω E. 185 ariuos Th. 395 άτιτάλλο Th. 480 Ατλαγγενής Ε. 383 Ατλαντίς Th. 938 Άτλas Th. 509. 517 äτλητοs* Th. 709 dτμή Th. 862 åros S. 59 arpenées* Th. 86 ατρεμέω E. 539 Ατροπος S. 259. Th. 218. 905 dτρύγετος Th. 131. 241. 413. 696. 728. 737. 808 drpordwn Th. 925 av 8, 51, 124, 282, 283, 285, 299, 472, Th. 139, 147, 214, 237, 270, 404, 453, 724, 834, 835, 878 avaléos E. 588. S. 265* airid a, airid sea E. 478 airid Th. 566. 569. 699 airid Th. 31. 39. 97. E. 61. S. 278. 396 aύδήεις Th. 142 alli E. 35. 440. S. 281 addis E. 50. 157. 562. 711. S. 32. Th. 50 αύλαξ Ε. 439. 443 αὐλή Ε. 782 αύλητήρ 8. 283. 299 Aula E. 551 aiλós 8. 281 αὐξάνω, ηδέετο Th. 493

INDEX I.

avos E. 560. 743 aõpa Th. 872. E. 570 avoior E. 410 αύσταλέος S. 265 airáp E. 63. 83. 89. 121. 140. 156. 600. 607. 614. 728. 8. 54. 94. Th. 99. 116. 133. 213. 288. 443. 132. 226. 234. 523. 585. 727. 799. 815. 820. 857. 881. 897. 912. 933. 986. 1003 aire E. 128. 245. 297. 703. 814. 820. 8. 102. 248, 293, 296, Th. 47. 124. 367. 435. 546. 607. 831. 833. 1018 άὐτέω, αὐτευν 8. 309 ἀὐτη 8. 346. 433. 459 adrika E. 70. 219. 259. Th. 570 adris E. 386. S. 257. Th. 169. 237. 310. 313. 626. 772. 803 άυτμή Th. 696. 862 αὐτόγυος Ε. 433 αὐτόθι Ε. 96 αὐτόματος Ε. 103. 119 Αὐτονόη Th. 977 avrós E. 56. 202. 215. 227. 228. 247. 248. 265. 278. 290. 350. 517. 745. 764. 8. 35. 37. 59. 71. 139. 152. 209. 217. 229. 237. 248. 253. 305. 315. 377. 431. Th. 34. 64. 85. 115. 167. 400. 428. 482. 627. 640. 655. 759 αὐτοσχεδών 8. 190 airoi, adv. S. 332 avrov. - ns E. 293. 296. Th. 470 airoduns Th. 813 avros Th. 402. 600. 702 auxny 8. 171. 174. 418. E. 815 adaipéw Th. 443. E. 94. 748. v. ἀφελών ãфатоs E. 3 άφαυρότατος Ε. 586 αφελών Ε. 94. 748. αφείλετο Th. 443 άφενος Ε. 24. 637. Th. 112 άφθιτοs Th. 389. 397. 545. 550. 561. 805 ασθονος E. 119 aduréo dai S. 38, 378. Th. 652. 997 apreide E. 120. 306. 455. Th. 974 άφραδίη Ε. 134. 330 άφρογενέα Th. 196 $d\phi_{\rho\sigma\gamma\epsilon\nu\epsilon\iotaa\nu}^*$ Th. 196 Αφροδίτη Ε. 65. 521. Th. 16. 195. 822. 962. 975. 980. 989. 1005. 1014 adoos S. 389. Th. 191. 197

αφρων Ε. 210 άφύσσαι Ε. 613 **Αχαιοί Ε. 641** 'Αχελώϊος Th. 340 dχεύων Ε. 399 axéwy 8. 93 aχθομαι Th. 155. aχθόμενος 8. 435 ãχθοs S. 400. E. 692 'Âχιλλεύs Th. 1007 dylús S. 264 άχνύμενος S. 435. Th. 160*. 623 aros S. 457 axpeios E. 403 άχρήῖος Ε. 297 άψ Th. 169. 495. 652 averdás Th. 223 **å√ıs E. 426** ãψορρον Th. 659 awoppoos Th. 776

B.

Bá(w E. 186. 788 βaθυδίνης Ε. 171 βαθυρρείτης Th. 265 Batis S. 288. E. 635 βαθυχαίτης Th. 977 Bairo E. 328. 571. S. 232. EBn Th. 194. εβήσατο S. 334. βήσαν Ε. βεβαώς 8. 307 153. Baióy E. 418 βάλaros E. 233 βάλλω Ε. 107. 274. 297. 434. 807. 8. 140. 254. 384. 408. Th. 515 Baρύθω E. 215 βαρύκτυπος Th. 388. 818. E. 79. S. 318 βαρύς Th. 615. 681. E. 16 βασιλενέμεν Th. 883 βασιλεύς Ε. 38. 202. 261. 263. 668. Th. 80. 82. 88. 96. 434. S. 473. 476. 486. 615. 886. 897. 923. 957. 985. 992. 995. βασιλείς Ε. 263 βασιλήϊον Ε. 126 Basily is Th. 462. 892 BeBaws S. 307 βεβριθυία* 8. 160 βεβρυχυία S. 160 Βελλεροφόντης Th. 225 βέλος Th. 684. 716 βέλτερον Ε. 365. βέλτιον* Ε. 365 βένθος Th. 365 βήσση S. 386. E. 510. Th. 860. 865

296

Byoonies Th. 130. E. 389. 530 Biá (a Th. 423. v. Bino ato BiBás S. 323 βίβλινος Ε. 589 Bin E. 148. 275. 321. 8. 52. 69. 75. 115. 349. 416. 452. 480. Th. 146. 289. 315. 332. 385. 437. 490. 649. 670. 677. 689. 943. 982. Binde Th. 496. 882 Bios E. 37. 42. 232. 316. 401. 577. 601. 634. 689 Bioros E. 167. 301. 307. 400. 476. 499. Th. 605 βλαβερός E. 365 βλάπτω Ε. 193. 258. 283. βλαπτόµeros Th. 89. βλέφαρον S. 7. Th. 910 βλοσυρός S. 147. 175. 191. 250 Boáw E. 511 Bóelos Th. 539 **Βοιωτοί** S. 24 βολάων Th. 683 βορέαο Ε. 547 Bopéns Th. 379. 870. E. 506. 518. 547. 553 βόσκω Th. 595 Bórpus E. 611. S. 294 Boúdopos E. 504 . Βουκολίαι Th. 445 Bourohos Th. 293 βούλεαι* Ε. 647 βουλεύω, βουλεύσαντι E. 266. έβούλευσε βουλή Ε. 16. 71. 79. 99. 122. 266. S. 318. Th. 122. 318. 464. 534. 572. 653. 661. 822. 960 βούληαι Ε. 647 Boûs E. 46. 348. 405. 406. 429. 434. 436. 452-454. 468. 489. 514. 541. 544. 559. 574. 591. 607, 608. 790. 795. 806. S. 12. 82. 243. Th. 290, 291. 536. 540. 555. 983 βοωπις Th. 355 βοωτέω Ε. 391 Βράδιον Ε. 528 **βράχω, βράχε S. 423** Βριάρεως Th. 149. 617*. 714. 734*. 817 βριάω E. 5 bis. Th. 447 βρίθω Ε. 466. S. 290. 295. 300. βeβριθώs* S. 160 βρισάρματος 8. 441 βροντάω Th. 839. v. έβρόντησε βροντή Th. 72. 141. 286. 458. 504. 691. 707. 845. 854 **Βρόντης Th. 140** βρότεος E. 416 βροτήσιος E. 771 βροτόεις S. 367 βροτολοιγός S. 333. 425 βροτός E. 3. 15. 24. 93. 214. 310. 487. 533. 686. 760. S. 55. 78. 106. Th. 218. 223. 369. 500 βρύχω S. 160 βρώσις S. 395. Th. 797 βύβλινος* E. 589 βυστοδομεύω S. 30 βωμάς E. 1366. S. 70. Th. 4. 557

г.

Faia E. 11. 19. 32. 61. 70. 101. 121. 140, 156, 160, 162, 168, 232, 487. 505. 508. 548. 551. S. 1. 12. 344. 362, 370. Th. 108, 184, 187, 300, 334. 346. 365. 413. 427. 483. 492. 518. 571. 622. 693. 720. 723. 725. 731. 753. 839. 841. 843. 858. 861. 867.878 Taia (dea) Th. 20. 45. 117. 126. 147. 154. 158. 159. 173. 176. 238. 421. 463. 470. 479. 494. 505. 626. 644. 702. 821. 884. 891 γάλα Ε. 590 Γαλαξαύρη Th. 358 Γαλάτεια Th. 250 γαλήνη Th. 244 yaμβρόs Th. 818 yaµerós E. 406 γαμέω Ε. 698-700. **ξ**γημα Th. 604. 610. 960. 977. E. 701." γημαι Th. 604 yáµos E. 697. 784. Th. 603 γαμψώνυξ S. 405 γαστήρ Th. 26. 539. 599 ye µér S. 260. Th. 363. 817 γεγάασι Ε. 108 yenoxos Th. 15 yelvopat E. 17. 181. 721. 793. 804. 821. S. 49. 88. Th. 82. 126. 129. 139. 185. 202. 217. 219. 233. 283. 309. 313. 334. 368. 374. 385. 406. 409, 509, 920, 928, 962, 968, 969, 978. 1007. 1012. 1017. 1019 yeirwy E. 23 bis 345-347. 349. 400. 701 γελάω E. 59. 371. Th. 40. γελόωντες S. 283. v. έγελασσε

yeren E. 160. 284. 285. 736. 8. 55. vorý E. 633 327. Th. 871 yóvos Th. 495. 919 γενέθλη Th. 610 **Γόργειος** S. 237 véreior S. 167. 418 Topyones S. 230 **Γοργούs** Th. 274 γενέσθαι, ⊽. γίγνομαι yévos E. 11. 109. 121. 127. 140. 143. Γοργώ S. 224 156. 159. 176. 180. 299. Th. 21. youvara E. 587. 608. Th. 460 γουνοπαχής 8. 266 γουνός Th. 54. 329 33. 44. 50. 105. 161. 336. 346. 590, 591 уе́то Th. 199. 283 Tpaias Th. 270, 271 γέρανοs E. 448 Γρήνικος Th. 342 γépas E. 126. Th. 393. 396. 427. γύαλον Th. 499 Гууля* Th. 149. 618. 714. 734. 817 449 γέρων E. 331. 518. Th. 234. 1003 rúns Th. 149. 618. 714. 734. 817 γη E. 228. 563. 623. Th. 106. 679. yúns E. 427. 436 720. 721. 728. 736. 762. 790. 807. γυιοβόρουs* E. 66 972 γυιοκόρους Ε. 66 γηθέω E. 476. S. 116. Th. 173 yvior Th. 492 γυιόω, γυιωθείς Th. 858 γυμνός E. 391 bis, 392 γήμαι Th. 604. v. γαμίω ynpaiós E. 376 γηράντεσσι Ε. 188 yuurobeis S. 334. 418. 460 γήρας E. 92*. 114. 325. 331. S. 245. Th. 225. 604. vuraireios E. 753 yurý E. 80. 94. 235. 244. 373. 375. 399. 405. 586. 695. 698. 702. 779. γηράσκω Ε. 185. γήραντες E. 188 813. S. 4. 10. 31. 242. 274. Th. γηροκόμος Th. 605 γηρύομαι Ε. 260. Th. 28* 513, 590, 591, 600, 603, 1020 Inpuoveus Th. 287. 309. 982 riyarres Th. 50. 185 ylyvopat E. 280. 323. 492. 821. 8. Δ. 404. Th. 876. eyevoun E. 88. 175. 344. 362. 784, 785. 812. Th. 46. 108. 111. 115, 116. 123. 137. 156. dai Th. 650. 674 daidaléos S. 137. 334. 460. 575 240. 475. 512. 607. 741. 894. 931. δαίδαλος Th. 581 γένεο Th. 657. γέντο Th. 199. δαιμονίη Ε. 207 Eyerro Th. 705 daiuórios Th. 655 γιγνώσκω Ε. 281. γνώ Th. 551. Saimor S. 94. E. 122. 314. Th. 991 dals, ros E. 340. 722. 726. 742. Th. Γλαύκη Th. 244 840 γλαυκίσων 8. 430 dais S. 275. in dai Th. 650. 674 Γλαυκονόμη Th. 256 daippor 8. 119. E. 654 γλαυκόs Th. 440 daíw S. 165. dednei S. 155 8, 825, 343, 455, δάκνω, δάκεν Th. 567. čoake E. 451 γλαυκώπις Ε. 72. 470. Th. 13. 573. 587. 888. 895. δάκρυ 8. 132. 270 924 darpuóeis Th. 227 γλάφυ Ε. 533 δαλός E. 705 γλαφυρός Th. 297 δαμάω S. 11. Th. 490. 857. «δάμασσε γλάφω 8. 431 8. 408. Th. 332. Thapor and that yzukepo's Th. 83. 97. 206. 8. 331 E. 152. Th. 464. dedunuévos E. 116. Sundeis S. 48. Th. 453*. γλύφω* 8. 431 γλώσσα E. 322. 708. 719. Th. 83. 826 1000. 1006 γλωχες 8. 398. δαμεî», ⊽. δαμάα γναμπτόs E. 205 δάμναμαι Th. 122 yrê Th. 551 Δανάη 8. 216 γόμφος E. 431 ∆aratons 8. 229 yoveús E. 235. 331 δαπάνη Ε. 623

 $\mathbf{298}$

δασμός Th. 425 daggáueros Th. 587. dédagrau Th. 789. έδασσάμεθα Ε. 37. έδάσσατο Th. 520. dáoranto Th. 112. 303. δάσσασθαι Ε. 446. 781* δασύστερνος Ε. 514 daréopai Th. 606 δάφνη E. 435. Th. 30 **δαφοινε**ός S. 159 δαφοινός S. 250 dednes 8. 155 δεδμημένοι Ε. 116 δεδοκημένος 8. 214 δεδορκώς S. 145 deide, deudiferat 8. 111. deudióres 8. 248 δείελα Ε. 810. 821 δεικνύω Ε. 451. 502. 526. čdeifa Ε. 608. 612. v. deîfai δειλός Ε. 115. 214. 869. 686. 718 deîµos S. 195. 463. Th. 934 demós E. 145. 369. 675. 687. 691. 692. 760. 8. 52. 71. 129. 147, 148. 160, 161. 223. 226. 262. 404. 426. 430. 445. 459. Th. 221. 299. 307. 320. 324. 334. 670. 678. 743, 744. 759. 769. 776. 825. 829. 856. 925. deuroraros Th. 138. 933. 935. 155 δεινωπός 8. 250 ðeifas E. 612 ðeiže E. 608 δειπνέω, δειπνήσας Ε. 442 δειπνολόχος Ε. 704 δείπνον Ε. 209 δειρή S. 391. Th. 727 déra E. 602 bis. Th. 636 δεκάδωροs Ε. 426 dénaros E. 794. Th. 723, 725, 789. 803 δελφίς 8. 210. 212 **dépas** Th. 260 δέμνιον E. 328 δένδρεον Th. 216. E. 583 defirepós Th. 179 δέον (from δέω) S. 291 860s Th. 167 δερκιόωνται Th. 911 беркоµал Th. 828. 910. 8. 160. 169. 236. dedopkás S. 145 δέρμα E. 513. 544 δεσμεύω Ε. 481 dec µós S. 43. Th. 501. 522. 616. 618. 652.659.718 deûte E. 2

δεύτερος E. 127. 142. δεύτερον, adv. E. 34. S. 124. Th. 47. 214. 310. 901 ðeva E. 556 déropat E. 87. 89. Th. 184. 479. 800 δέω, v. δέον. έδησα Th. 502. 521. 618. 718. δήσασθαι Ε. 542 ôή E. 2. 176. 197. 269. 292. 323, and passim δnθá Th. 628 δηιοτής Th. 662. 852 Δημήτηρ Ε. 32. 300. 393. 465. 466. 597. 805. S. 290. Th. 912. 969. Δήμητρα Th. 454 δημος E. 261. 527. Th. 477. 538. 541. 971 δη̂ros Th. 236 δηόω S. 67 δήρις Ε. 14. 33. S. 241. 251. 306 δηρόν Th. 629. 646 δήσασθαι, γ. δέω diá E. 3. 13. 71. 122. 507. 515, 516. 519. 635. 8. 143. 318. 364. 461. Th. 65. 398. 465. 481. 522. 572. 606. 631. 653. 712. 788. 806. 822. 962.1005 διaβaire E. 740. Th. 292 **διαδάσσασθαι** Th. 544. 885 διάημι Ε. 514. 517. 519 διαιρέω, διέλοντο Th. 112 διάκειμαι 8. 20 διακρίνω Ε. 35. Th. 85 διάκτορος E. 68. 77 διαμπερές E. 236. Th. 402 διασκίδνημι Th. 875 διατάσσω Ε. 276. Th. 74 διατεκμαίρομαι Ε. 398 διδάξης E. 699. διδασκέμεναι* E. 64. διδασκήσαι Ε. 64. «δίδαξαν Th. 22. 280. Ε. 662 διδυμάονε S. 49 δίδωμι Ε. 139. 225. 238. Th. 219. 563. 985. doiev E. 188. douev E. 354. δώσω Ε. 57. 178. ἔδοσαν Th. 141. ἔδον Th. 30. δός Ε. 453. δότε Th. 104. do E. 854. bis. Th. 933. δοίη Ε. 357. δώωσι Th. 222. δῶκα E. 705. 741. S. 400. Th. 504. 819. Towa E. 92. 279. 355 bis. S. 125. Th. 399. 914 διεδάσσαο, ∨. διαδάσσασθαι διέκειτο S. 20 διέλοντο Th. 112 διερός E. 460 διζήμενος Ε. 428 δίζημαι Ε. 603.

300

INDEX I.

Bunnekéws Th. 627 dinvern's Th. 812 δικάζω, δικάσσαι Ε. 39 δíκαιος Ε. 190. 217. 226. 270. 271. 280. Th. 236. disaiórepos E. 158 δίκη E. 9. 36. 39. 124. 192. 213. 217. 219. 221. 225. 239. 249. 250. 254. 262. 264. 269. 272. 275. 278. 279. 712. S. 85. Th. 86. 434 Δίκη E. 220. 256. 902 δινέμεν E. 598 8 m Th. 791 δινήεις Th. 337 διόννητος S. 340 διόθεν S. 22. E. 765 Διός E. 4. 36. 51. 79. 99. 105. 122. 256. 267. 626. 676. 769. S. 66. 110. 126. 150. 163. 197. 202. 320. 322. 371. 392. 413. 422. 424. 443. 448. Th. 13. 25. 29. 51, 52. 76. 81. 96. 104. 228. 316. 348. 386. 465. 513. 537. 613. 708. 730. 735. 815. 893. 920, 944, 952, 966, 1002, 1021 dios E. 299. 479. S. 287. 338. Th. 266. 376. 697. 866. 969. 991. 1004. 1016 διοτρεφής S. 118. Th. 82. 992 Δίου γένος* Ε. 299 δίς Ε. 401. 711 διφάω E. 374 δίφρος 8. 61. 109. 195. 306. 321. 324. 338. 352. 370. 392. 456. 465 διφῶσα Ε. 374 δíxa E. 167 Διώνη Th. 17. 353 Διώνυσος S. 400. Th. 941. 947 δμηθείς S. 48. Th. 453*. 1000. 1006 δμώs E. 430. 459. 470. 502. 573. 597. 608. 767. S. 39. 276 δνοφερός Th. 107. 736. 807. 826 Soudi S. 173. 211. 234. E. 432 doreúw S. 333. 425. 480. Th. 466. **dedokyµévos** 8. 214 772. δόλιος Th. 160. 540. 547. 555. 560. δολιχός Th. 186 δόλος E. 83. S. 30. Th. 175. 551. 562.889 δολοφρονέων Th. 550 δολόω, δολωθείς Th. 494 δόμονδε 8. 38 δόμος E. 96. 153. 520. 746. S. 1. 38. 45. 90. Th. 386. 751. 752. 753. 767 δονέομαι S. 317*. 327 δόρυ, ν. δούρα

δορυσσόος S. 54 doors Th. 93. E. 718 δότειρα θανάτοιο Ε. 356 δοτήρες θανάτοιο 8. 131 δοῦποs Th. 70. 703. 705 δοῦρα Ε. 807. δούρατα Ε. 456. δούpati 8. 462. δουρί S. 362 δογμωθείς S. 389 δράκων S. 144. 166. 223. 233. 262. Th. 322. 825 δράω, ν. δρών δρεπάνη 8. 292 δρέπανον Th. 162 δρέπω, δρέψασθαι Th. 31 ðola É. 503 δριμύς S. 261. 411. 457 δρύα* Ε. 530 Δρύαλον S. 187 Δούας S. 179 δρύπτομαι 8. 243 δρûs Th. 35. E. 436. 486. 509. 612. 8. 376. 421 80 ŵr S. 426 δύη E. 728 δύναμαι Ε. 134. 215. δύνησι Ε. 350 Δυναμένη Th. 248 δύναμις Th. 420. S. 354. E. 336 δύνω S. 151. E. 616. δύσαι S. 329. 447. δύσειν S. 67. 124. δύσεο S. 108. ν. δύω, δυσόμενος Ε. 386 δύο S. 112. 187. Th. 278 δυσηλεγής Ε. 506. Th. 652 δυσκελαδος Ε. 196 δυσνομίη Th. 230 δυσπέμφελος Th. 440. E. 718, 722 δυσόμενος Ε. 386 δύσφημος Ε. 735 δυσφρονέων Th. 102 δυσφροσύνη 8. 528 δυσώνυμος Th. 171 δύω E. 12. 772. S. 402 δυωδεκάμηνος Ε. 752 δυωδεκαταίος Ε. 751 δυωδέκατος Ε. 774. 776. 789 δῶ, ν. δίδωμι δῶ for δώματα Th. 933 δώδεκα S. 162 δώκε, ν. δίδωμι δώμα Ε. 8. 81. 110. 128. S. 14. 471. Th. 40. 43. 63. 75. 114. 285. 303. 410. 455. 777. 783. 804. 816. 963 δωρέω E. 82 Δωρίε Th. 241. 250. 350 δώρον E. 82. 85. 86. 356. 614. S. 47. 133. 415. Th. 103. 399. 414

δωροφάγος Ε. 39. 221. 264 δώς Ε. 356 δωτῆρες ἐάων Τh. 46. 111. 633. 664 δώτης Ε. 355 Δωτώ Th. 248

B.

E. 268. S. 359. Th. 332 žaye E. 534 čap E. 462. 477. 492. 569 tary E. 730. Th. 95. 738. 809. 823 **έαντŷ** Th. 126 čáo Th. 772. E. 342. e lare 8. 424 đάων Th. 46. 111. 633. 664 έβδόματος Ε. 805 έβδόμη Ε. 770 έβη Th. 194 έβήσατο S. 338 έβιήσατο Th. 423 έβούλευσε Th. 389 έβρόντησε Th. 839 εγγυάλιξεν Th. 485 εγγύθι Ε. 288. 343. 389. 700 erris E. 249. S. 464. 473 eγείρω Ε. 20. 573. S. 176. Th. 666 713 έγέλασσε Ε. 59 **έ**γεντο Th. 705 **ёуката** Th. 538 έγκαταλείπω Ε. 378 έγκατατίθημι, έγκάτθετο Th. 487. 890. 899. evikát deo E. 27 **г**үкенран Th. 143. 145 έγκύρσαs Ε. 216 έγκώμιον E. 344 čγνω Ε. 218 έγρεκύδοιμος Th. 925 έγχέμαχοι* 8.25 ζγχος S. 135. 190. 199. 360. 365. 414. 417. 453. 456. Th. 186 έγχώριον* Ε. 344 έγώ Ε. 10. 57. 106. 174. 208. 270. 286. 396. 398. 658. S. 89. 94. Th. 164. 170. eµeîo S. 361. 449. v. µe, μευ μοι Έγωγε Ε. 682 eyw E. 654 čoare E. 451 έδάμασσε S. 408. Th. 832 έδασσάμεθα Ε. 37. έδάσσατο Th. 520 edifarto Th. 178. 184. 479 2010 av Th. 718

edidafar E. 662. Th. 22. 280 ton Th. 525 200v Th. 30 idos S. 203. Th. 117. 128 2000ar Th. 141 έδρη Th: 386 έδριόωνται Th. 388 200 Th. 525. 640. E. 303*. v. 2001 **έδωδ**ή Ε. 593 Towra E. 92. 279, 355 bis. 8, 125. Th. 399. 914 ёснта E. 86, 206, 381. Th. 24 čeus Th. 145 **έελδομαι Ε. 381** *č*έλδωρ 8. 36 έεργω E. 269. 335. 480. Th. 751 icon S. 395. Th. 83 ice Th. 695. 847 čζεσε* Th. 695 čζομαι Ε. 593. 731 έζωον Ε. 112 žην E. 11. 117. S. 142. 144. 288. Th. 58. 277. Engla E. 314 έθελημός Ε. 318 έθελω E. 39. 106. 118. 209. 210. 268. 280. 357. 392. 668. 712. S. 198. Th. 28. 164. 429, 430. 432. 439. 443.604 έθεντο E. 512. S. 261. 411. Th. 805 έθεσαν Ε. 74. 656 έθηκα Ε. 289. 777. S. 123, 136. Th. 400. 578. 974 **έθ**λασε S. 140 elapirós E. 75. 678. 682. Th. 279 elare S. 424 elato Th. 622 ellero Th. 910 eldor Th. 589. v. 186, 18eir eldos E. 63. 714. S. 5. Th. 153. 259. 619.908 Eldvia Th. 352. 960 eldús E. 155. 187. 521. 731. 827. Th. 264. 313, 545, 550, 559, 561. 887 είην Ε. 271. 348. 485. 501. 559. 577. 606. 617. 689. Th. 128. 530 ε**ίθ**αρ Th. 688 einás E. 792. 820 einelos 8. 322. 451 είκω, είκε S. 353. είκώς S. 206. είκτην 8. 390. eile E. 155. S. 139. Th. 225 Είλείθνια Th. 922 elleypévos Th. 791 είλίπους Ε. 795. Th. 290. 983

INDEX I.

eDov E. 155. 8. 139. 457. Th. 225. ελόμην 8. 135. 149. Th. 853 είλοντο, ν. ελέειν είλυφάζω 8. 275 είλυφοωντες Th. 692 είμα 8. 159. Ε. 556 είμαρτο Th. 894 elµév 8. 351 elui (sum), v. env, elnv, eluev, elvai, els, elσί, έμέν, έμμεναι, έσαν, έσεσθαι, ἕσκε, ἔσσεαι, ἔσται, ἔστην, έστω, έών, βεν, βν, βσαν, βσθα, ήστην elμι (co), v. elσι, fiσar for, léval, lθι, loμer, loar, lrov elv, E. 364. 407. Th. 290. 304. 983 elvas E. 365. 516. 541. 706. 722. Th. 401 elvás E. 810. 811 elvera, Th. 516 elo Th. 392 elnare Th. 108. 115 elnov E. 295, 453. 710. 721. S. 116. 122. 338. Th. 163. 392, 665, v. हींत्रवत्तह, हॅहात्तव eloyá(orto E. 151 elpyon* E. 494 elpéa Th. 804 elpevoai Th. 38 elpyµévos E. 370 είρήνη E. 228 Elρήνη Th. 902 elponoros E. 234. Th. 446 ειρύω, ειρύμεναι Ε. 818. elouto S. 138 είρω, ν. είρεῦσαι, εἰρημένος είς Ε. 208 els, v. µía eloravaBaire Th. 57. 508 eloaraBâoa Th. 939 eloavier Th. 761 eloaro Th. 700 είσαφικάνω 8.45 eloe Th. 174 είσεπέρησα Ε. 655 eloi E. 12. 36. 122. 252. 560. 587. 769. 822. S. 113. Th. 363. 864. 942 elo. Th. 972 étoreur E. 62 elorókev R. 562. 680 elotykei S. 264. 269 elow 8. 151 «Ixov S. 132. 214. 223. 271. 285. 310, 311. E. 89

elws 8. 378 eraínuro 8.4 έκάλεσσε Th. 391 екартинанто Th. 676 érás 8. 217 ёкаотов Е. 393. S. 283. 299. Th. 73. 151. 370. 393. 459. 672 Έκάτη Th. 411. 418 έκατη βελέτης 8. 100 έκατηβόλος S. 58 έκατόμβη 8. 479 ératóv É. 130. 456. Th. 150. 671. 825 eryeyavîa E. 256. Th. 76 έκγενόμεσθα Th. 648. v. έξεγένοντο ereivos 8. 72. Th. 648 čκέκλετο S. 341 έκηβόλος Th. 94 екут E. 4 **ἔκθορε*** Th. 281 έκθρέψασθαι Ε. 781 čriov E. 345. S. 277. 284 έκκορυφόω Ε. 106 ͼκλίνθη Th. 711 čκπαγλος Ε. 154 έκπέτομαι, έξέπτη Ε. 98 έκτελέω S. 22. 38. E. 565. Th. 1002. v. ἐξετέλεσσα έκτελής E. 466 ἐκτίθημι, マ. ἐκθησόμεθα έκτολυπεύω 8.44 έκτολμάω* 8.44 erros E. 613. 782. 785 екто́s E. 729 EKTOGORY E. 115. S. 246. 773. 813. Th. 752 έκτρέφω E. 781 EKTURON S. 61. 383 ἐκφαίνω, ἐξεφαάνθη Th. 200 ἔκφυγε Th. 182 ἐκών E. 282. Th. 232 λαιον Ε. 522 έλάτη S. 188. 190. E. 509 έλαύνω Ε. 443. v. ελήλαται, ελάω *λαφος* 8. 402. 407 έλαφρότερος Ε. 417 Thaxer Th. 422. 424 ελάω, ελασα S. 372. 414. Ε. 475. ελάσσας Th. 522. v. ήλασε, ήλήλαντο Theyros Th. 26 elter S. 337. Eler Th. 167. ελευ ελέσθαι E. 287. 366. Th. 549. εληται E. 321. 359. 605. ελών Th. 487

302

INDEX I

Texto 8. 46 Έλένη Ε. 165 *ϵλϵόν* Ε. 205. Έλευθήρ Th. 54 ελεφαίρετο Th. 330 **ελέφας 8. 141** ελήλαται Th. 726 eλθein 8. 432. E. 339. 543. 609. 630. 664 Eλίκη S. 381. 475 ελικοβλέφαρος Th. 16 Έλικών Th. 7. 23. E. 639 ^εΕλικωνιάδες Ε. 658. Th. 1 ελικώπις Th. 298. 307. 998 ελιξ Ε. 452. 795. 8. 295 Elingdon S. 302 cλew S. 158. E. 220. 469. eλκéμer E. 631. 672 έλλαβεν Th. 179 Έλλάς Ε. 653 ertheory S. 291 Throw S. 212 eλπis E. 498. 500 'Ελπίς Ε. 96 λπω, λπόμενος 8. 66. v. ζολπα λυμα E. 430. 436 εμαρνάσθην S. 238 έμβαίνω, έμβεβαώς 8. 195. Th. 12 έμβάλλω, έμβαλε 8. 414. 453 έμβασιλεύω Th. 71. Ε. 111. 167 έμβεβαώs S. 195. Th. 12 *éµew*e E. 97* έμεῖο 8. 361. 449 **έ**μελε Ε. 146 έμεν Th. 500 *μίσγετο* Th. 56 μμαπέως 8. 442 μμεμαώς 8. 439 е́циета E. 272. Th. 400. 610 eunevées S. 429. Th. 712 *έμμορε* Ε. 347 bis. Th. 414. 426 euós E. 55. 271. 633. 683. S. 362 έμπαλιν 8. 145 έμπελαδόν Ε. 734 έμπελάζω, έμπελάσαντες 8. 109 έμπεσε 8. 420 έμπης E. 142. 179. S. 259 έμπίπτω E. 511. έμπεσε S. 420 **έμπλην S. 372** έμπνέω, έμπνεύσαs E. 508. ένέπνευσαν Th. 31 έμποιέομαι, ένεποιήσαντο Th. 7 έμπορίη Ε. 646 έναίρω, ένήρατο Th. 316 eruλiyrios E. 28. S. 88. Th. 142

erartios S. 184. Th. 646, 650 έναποψύχω Ε. 759 έναρα 8. 367 irapi(o S. 194 έναρφόρος 8. 192 (al. έναρσφ.) ένατη Ε. 772 **ἕ**ναυλος Th. 129 évdékatos E. 774. 776 čronµos E. 225 E. 523*, 601*, 733* čνδοθι Th. 964. E. 523. 601. 733 Frdor E. 31. 97. 452, 476 E. 469 evoukéws 8. 427 eνέθηκe Th. 174. 583 Everna Th. 784. E. 563 Everpar E. 224 erena E. 164. 166. S. 82. Th. 983 evékeiro Th. 143. 145 ένέπνευσαν Th. 31 ένεποιήσαντο Th. 7 ένέπω E. 194. 262. v. ένισπείν, εννέπετε ένερθε Th. 720 Evepor Th. 850 en E. 770 emńs Th. 651 ένήρατο Th. 316 ένθα E. 167. 495. 654. 656. 659. S. 14. 34. 334. 335. 366. 413. 440. Th. 63. 301. 303. 330. 432. 436. 481. 586. 621. 729. 734. 736. 742 bis. 767. 775. 807. 811 evoade Th. 736*. 775. 811. E. 654 ένθεν S. 281. Th. 9. 193 ένθρέψασθαι Ε. 781 Tr. E. 685. Th. 189. 199. 297. 618. 971. 978 eví E. 107. 131. 369. 531. 622. 639. 643. 689. 742*. S. 261. 276. 434. Th. 191. 239. 549. 581. 611. 645. 713. 990 **ἐπ**αύσιος Ε. 449 érravrós E. 44. 386. 561. S. 87. Th. 50. 184. 493. 636. 740. 795. 799 eνικάτθεο E. 409. 627 erioneir Th. 369 erváeres Th. 801* έννα τηρος Ε. 436 έννα Th. 56. 60. 76. 722. 724. 790. 803. 917 έννέπετε Ε. 2 έννεσίη Th. 494 έννηφιν Ε. 410 'Erroviyalos Th. 15. 441. 456.818.930

303

304

INDEX I.

ervúxios S. 32. Th. 10 eνοπή Th. 708 Erogis Th. 681. 706. 849 **ἐνοσίχθων Ε.** 667 εντίθημι ενέθηκε Th. 174. 583 ertos E. 269. S. 312. Th. 37. 51. 159. 408. 751. 753 έντοσθε E. 520. 545. Th. 598. 741 **έντοσθεν** S. 180. 246* έντρέφω E. 781 έντύνασθαι Ε. 632 'Erváλιos S. 371 'Eνυώ Th. 273 έξάγω, έξάγαγε Th. 586 E£áðios S. 180 έξαιρέω, ν. έξείλετο έξαλαπάξει Ε. 189 έξαλέασθαι Ε. 105. 758. 802 έξαμάο Th. 497 ζαπατάω Ε. 48. 323. Th. 565. 889 ¿ξαπάτη Th. 205 έξαπατίσκων Th. 537* έξαπαφίσκων Th. 537 έξάρχω S. 205 ¿ξαῦτις Th. 654. 659. 915 ¿ξεγένοντο Th. 106. 124. 147. 154. 263. 362. 421. 630. 648*. 668. 916 έξέθορε Th. 281 έξείης Th. 738. 809 έξείλετο Ε. 104. έξελετο S. 89 έξελάαν Th. 491. έξελάουσι Ε. 224. έξελασε Th. 820. εξελάσωσι Ε. 224έξελθείν Th. 772. Εξελθούσα Ε. 218 έξέμεν Th. 394 é Eevapeir 8. 329. ¿Eevápife Th. 289 έξέπτη Ε. 98 έξερέω S. 330* έξεριπών S. 174. Th. 704 έξερύσας Ε. 626 έξετέλεσσα Th. 403. 881. E. 83 έξεφαάνθη Th. 200 έξήκοντα Ε. 564 έξήμεσσε Th. 497 έξήμησε Th. 497* έξίκετο S. 471 έξιτόs Th. 732 έξόπιθεν S. 130 έξοπίσω Ε. 88. Th. 182. 500 έξοχα Ε. 771 έξω Ε. 272. έξέμεν Th. 394 έσικώς Ε. 235. Th. 295. 584. 834. S. 215. 228. 314 έολπα Ε. 273. 475 ẻόντα, **⊽.** ἐών

łós E. 58. 328. 360. S. 9. 45. 87. 93. 385. 454. Th. 401. 464. 467. 472. 489. 496. 687. 818. 819. 853. 890. 899. Joi for oyoi E. 381. v. őrðe ἐπάγω Th. 176. v. ἐπήγαγον έπαινέω, έπαινέσσειε Ε. 12*. έπαινήσειε ib. enjungar Th. 664 έπαινή Th. 768. 774 emakovós E. 29 έπακούω Ε. 275. 448 έπαλέα E. 493* έπάλμενος Th. 855 επάρμενα Ε. 601. 627 **επάσαντ**ο Th. 642 έπασσύτερος Th. 716 έπαυρέω Ε. 240. 419 eπeθnκe Th. 732. E. 334 enei E. 83. 104. 121. 140. 157. 271. 278. 365. 433. 589. 728. 749. 802. S. 110. 218. 336. Th. 171. 420. 428. 820. 853. 857. 881 έπείγομαι, έπείγετο 8. 21 eneidy Th. 585. E. 121 έπειμι, έπην Ε. 114. έπήσαν S. 286* έπειμι, επιόντα Ε. 675. 8. 333. 425. 458 čπειτα E. 137. 174. 175. 294. 607. 616. 8. 332. 347. 424. 465. 469. 492. Th. 116. 132. 193. 210. 405. 469. 492. 536. 562. 889. 897 έπελάω, ν. έπήλασε έπεμβαίνω, έπεμβεβαώς 8. 324 έπεμβάλλω, έπέμβαλε Ε. 98 έπεμνήσαντο Th. 503 έπέπλων Ε. 650 έπερρώσαντο Th. 8 έπέσχετο Th. 177 enéparro S. 166 έπεφνεν 8. 57 eπéφραδe Th. 74. 162 έπέφρασδε Th. 74* έπεφράσσατο Th. 160 έπέφυκον Ε. 149. S. 76. Th. 152. 673 ἐπέχω Th. 711. ἐπέσχετο Th. 177 έπήγαγον Ε. 242 emperavós E. 31. 517. 607 έπήλασε Ε. 242* **ἐπἡν Ε. 114** enήν E. 291. 600. 614. 728*. Th. 799 έπήνησαν Th. 664 επηνύσθη S. 311 έπήρατος E. 63. Th. 67

X

empeons Th. 598 eπησαν 8. 266* eni E. 11. 20. 90. 102. 111. 133. 136, and passim έπιβαίνω, έπέβησα E. 580. 659. έπεβήσετο 8. 338*. επιβήμεναι 8. 40. emißirai S. 16. emißás S. 268. E. 679 enideielos E. 810*. 821* επιδέρκομαι Ε. 268. Th. 760 enidevýs Th. 605 έπιδίδωμι, έπιδώσω Ε. 396 enteikedos S. 182. Th. 968. 987. 1019 eπιθeis E. 697 eπιθήκη E. 380 enilorto E. 69. Th. 474 έπιθρώσκω S. 438 έπίκειμαι Th. 143* eπίκλησιs Th. 207 έπίκλοπος Ε. 67. 78 έπίκουρος Th. 815 έπικρατέοντα 8. 308* enuxparées E. 206. S. 321. 419. 461 έπικροτέοντα S. 308 έπικυρτόω, έπικυρτώοντε 8. 234 έπιλήθομαι Th. 102. επιλήθεο Ε. 275. Th. 560 έπιμάρτυρos S. 20 επιμειδήσας Th. 547 έπιμετρέω, έπιμετρήσω Ε. 397 'Επιμηθεύς E. 84. 85. Th. 511 επιμίσγομαι Th. 802, 803 έπιμωμητός Ε. 13 έπιόντα E. 675. S. 333. 425. 458 eπίορκος Ε. 282. 804. Th. 232. 793 έπιπάγχυ Ε. 264* έπιπειθόμενος 8. 369 eninλόμενοs Th. 493. S. 87 έπιπλου 8. 291* έπιπλώω, έπέπλων Ε. 650 ἐπιπνέω, ἐπιπνείουσαι Th. 872 έπίρροθος Ε. 560 έπιρρώννυμι, έπερρώσαντο Th. 8 έπισμυγερός 8. 264 έπίσταμαι, έπιστάμενος Ε. 107 έπισταμένως Th. 87 έπιστεναχίζω Th. 843* έπιστένω Th. 679 έπιστολάδην 8. 287 έπιστρέφομαι Th. 753 έπισχε S. 446. επίσχες S. 350*. έπίσχετον ib. erireλλω Th. 995. έπιτελλομαι 8. 94. E. 383, 567

έπιτέρπομαι Th. 158 έπιτίθημι, v. ἐπέθηκε, ἐπιθείs Entrov S. 291 έπιφράζομαι, έπεφράσσατο Th. 160 επιφροσύνη Th. 659 eπίφρων Th. 122. 661. 896 eπιχεύετο E. 583* ϵπιχθόνιος Ε. 123. 141*. 822.
 231. 872. 416. 755
 . Th. **έπιψ**αύω S. 217 ἐπιών, ν. ἔπειμι, ἐπιόντα. ἔπλετο Th. 193*. 425. 836 **ἔ**πλην 8. 372* έπλητο Th. 193 **έ**πομαι Ε. 406. 441. S. 727. Th. 268 ἐπόμνυμι, ἐπομόσση Th. 793 έποπτεύω Ε. 767 έπόρνυμι, έπωρσε 8. 69 έπορούω 8. 442. 452 mos E. 186. 332. 456. 710. S. 117. 326. 330. 425. Th. 84. 90 έποτρύνω Ε. 597 enpere Th. 856 **έπ**τά 8. 272 έπταπόδης Ε. 424 έπτάπορος Th. 341 έπτάπυλος S. 49. Ε. 162 entaro 8. 222* έπτοίηται Ε. 447 endroupos Th. 144. 282 enwore 8. 69 epage E. 421. 473. S. 174. 268 épareuros Th. 136. 642. 909 eparós Th. 65, 70. 259. 353. 355. 879. 970, 1009, 1017 Έρατώ Th. 78. 287 έράω, έράσσατο Th. 915 έργάζομαι Ε. 151. 299. 309. 312. 314. 382, 438, 622, 827. έργάζευ Ε. έργάσσαιο Ε. 43 299. 397. έργμα Th. 823. E. 801 έργον E. 20. 21. 28. 46. 64. 120. 124. 146. 231. 238. 254. 306. 308. 311. 316. 334. 382 bis. 393. 398. 409. 412. 422. 440. 444. 454. 494. 521. 554. 578. 579. 641. 767. 773. 779. S. 22. 34. 38. 165. 244. 297. 313. Th. 89. 146. 158. 166. 171. 172. 210. 264. 595. 601. 603. 677. 710. 836. 879, 903. 954 έρδω Ε. 35. 136. 336. 362. 382. 760. Th. 417. v. *epşas* έρδω Th. 417*. έρδοις Ε. 362* έρεβεννός Ε. 17. Th. 213. 744

Epeßos Th. 123. 125. 515. 'Eoéβευσφι Th. 669*. 'Ερέβεσφι ib. έρείδω, έρειδε, ∨. ήρείσατο έρείκω, ήρεικον 8. 287 έρείπομαι Th. 704. έριπε S. 423. *<i>πριπε* S. 421. Th. 958. v. *πρειψαν* έρεμνός S. 444. Th. 334. 744. 758 έρεύμενον Ε. 476* έρέω Ε. 202. 286. 661. S. 330 έριβρύχηs Th. 832 ερίγδουπος Th. 41 έρίζω S. 5. Th. 534. Πρισεν Th. 928. **⊽. ἐρίσαντες** *ἐριθη*λής Th. 30 epillos E. 602. 603 οίκτυπος Th. 441. 456. 930 έρικυδήs Th. 988 έρίμυκος Ε. 790 Έρινύς Th. 185. 472. Ε. 803 έριπε 8. 423 Epis S. 148. Th. 637. 705. 710 ^{*}Eois E. 16. 24. 28. 804. Th. 225. 226. S. 148*. 156. "Epides E. 11 έρίσαντες E. 439 έρισθενής Th. 4. Ε. 416 έρισμάραγος Th. 815 ριφος E. 543. 592. 786 forces Th. 726 Eppeias E. 68 Έρμης Th. 444. 938 **Έρμος** Th. 343 έρξas E. 710. έρξει Ε. 327. έρξης E. 708 epóeis Th. 245. 251. 357 έρος Th. 120. 201. 910 έρρηξε 8. 140. 415 έρρίγοντι 8. 228 έρριψε Th. 181 έρρώοντο S. 230 Έρυθείη Th. 290. 983 έρύκω Th. 616. E. 28 **ξ**ρυμα Ε. 536 έρυσάρματας S. 369 έρύω, έρύσαι Ε. 624. έρυσσάμενος S. 457. Louro S. 415. Th. 304 έρχετο S. 200* έρχομαι Th. 91. 272. 751. 796. S. 439. E. 419. 515. v. ελθείν ήλθον čoav Th. 829 έσάντα 8. 432 έσεσθαι Th. 210 έσθήs Th. 574 έσθίω Th. 524. 773. E. 147 έσθλός E. 116. 120. 214. 286. 295. 306. 347. 366. 474. 634. 640. 716.

774. 788. 794. 812. 8. 14. 107. Th. 225. 435. 439. 444. 609. 972 čσθω E. 278. 306. 749 eordeir E. 610. Th. 82 έσκατατίθημι, έσκάτθετο* Th. 890. 899 **е́оке Е.** 151 éoriédaoe E. 95 **έσκίασαν** Th. 716 έσκομίζω, έσκομίσαι Ε. 606 έσμαράγησεν Th. 679 Έσπερίδες Th. 215. 275. 518 έσπερος Ε. 552 έσπον Th. 114. 201. 418 έσσάμενος Ε. 125, 223. 255. έσσασθαι E. 526 έσσεαι Ε. 310. έσσείται Ε. 503. έσσεται Ε. 184. 190. 201. 645. έσσόμενος Th. 32. 38. Ε. 56 έσσυμένως S. 340. 411. 435. Th. 181 τσυτο S. 458 žorai 34. 193. 403. 478 έστάλατο S. 288 έστασι» Th. 769 έσταώς 8. 61. έστηώs Th. 519. 747 έστειχε Th. 690 έστεφάνωται Th. 382. έστεφάνωτο S. 204 έστηκεν Th. 745 έστην S. 191. 196. 361. 434 έστήρικται Th. 779. έστήρικτο S. 218 έστηώς Th. 519. 747 έστίη Ε. 734 'Eστίη Th. 454* έστω E. 306. 370 έσφαράγιζον Th. 706 έσφμάγιζον* Th. 706 έσχατα Th. 731 έσχατιή Th. 275. 622 έσχετο Th. 608* έσχον Ε. 126. S. 457*. Th. 113. 608 έσω Th. 750 έταῖφος E. 183 bis. 707 έτανύσθη Th. 177 έταρος Ε. 716 erene Th. 60. 211. 287. 295 έτελέσθη Th. 59 eτελεσσε E. 59* έτεροζήλως Th. 544 ετερος Ε. 17. 27. 106. 189 bis. 378. 434 bis. Th. 367. 602. 752. 762. έτέρηφι Ε. 216 έτέρωθεν S. 184. 281. Th. 676 eréturto S. 208 έτήκετο Th. 862

306

ετήτυμος Ε. 10 eri E. 157. 382. 463. 602. S. 50*. 176. 241. Th. 428. 531. 666. 687. črustov Th. 45. 212. 310. 319. 922. 934. 943. 1008. 1014. v. ríkro črioa S. 10 έτλη 8. 73. 432 éros E. 130. 173. 698. Th. 803 έτραπον Th. 58. S. 456. έτράπεον S. 301 **ετυμοs** Th. 27 ετύχθη 8. 366 έτωσιοεργός Ε. 411 έτώσιος Ε. 402. 441. Th. 182 el E. 23. 107. 295. 349 bis. 491. 522. 600. 672. 706. 765. 806. S. 355. Th. 73 # Th. 885 Εὐαγόρη Th. 257 εὐάηs Ε. 597 Eủápm Th. 259 EiBoin E. 651 ridaiuw E. 826 έΰδμητοs S. 242 Εὐδώρη Th. 244. 360 εύει Ε. 705 eveidýs Th. 250. 354 εὐεργεσίη Th. 503 εὐεργής Ε. 629 evern's E. 732 evenos S. 31 Eunpos Th. 345 εὐθετίζω, εὐθετίσαs Th. 541 εύθημοσύνη Ε. 471 εύκηλήτειρα Ε. 464 εύκηλος Ε. 671 εὐκόσμος Ε. 628 εὐκραής* Ε. 594 Eurodorn* Th. 243 Euroárn Th. 243 euroums E. 671 evertiperos 8. 81 ευμμελίη 8. 368 evráčo E. 339 Einein Th. 247 evrý S. 36. 40. Th. 404. E. 329 eundeis Th. 133. 380. 634. 967. 1018. **S.** 6 Εὐνομίη Th. 902 εύξη Ε. 738 . evector 8. 352 ейоркоз S. 207. E. 190. 285 evoxéev* E. 477 εὐοχθέων Ε. 477 ίνπεπλος* Th. 273. εύπεπλον ib.

ευπλεκής S. 306. ευπλεκής S. 370 έυπλόκαμος Ε. 300* einointos S. 64 Ευπόμπη Th. 261 εύπυργος S. 270 edpe S. 58. evons E. 351. 427 evopeirns Th. 343 Εύουάλη Th. 276 Εὐρυβίη Th. 239. 375. 931 edpupéranos S. 382. Th. 291 Εύρυνόμη Th. 358. 907 evovodena E. 197. S. 464. Th. 119. 498. 620. 717. 787 edovona E. 229. 239. 281. Th. 514. 884 edpús E. 246. 407. 651. S. 373. Th. 45. 110. 373. 458. 480. 517. 679. 746. 762. 840. 868 Εὐρυσθεύς S. 91 εύρύστερνος Th. 117 Εύρυτίων Th. 293 edpácis E. 153. Th. 731. 739. 810 Εὐρώπη Th. 357 ẻởs E. 50. S. 56. Th. 565. v. ẻΰ, éáwr ivorowrpos S. 273 έῦστέφανος S. 80. Th. 196. 255. 978. 1008. E. 300 ένσφυροs Th. 254. 961. S. 16. 86 ebre E. 323. 430. 448. 458. 561. 564. 598. 609. 619. 646. 768. S. 164. 255. 331. Th. 28 Eurépan Th. 77 evroyros Th. 863 έυτρόχαλος E. 599. 806 εύτροχος S. 463 evructos* 8. 136. evructos ib. **εὐφ**ρώνη Ε. 560 Εὐφροσύνη Th. 909 εῦφρων Ε. 775 εὐχή Th. 419 εύχομαι Th. 441. εύχεσθαι Ε. 465. εύξη Ε. 738 εύχος Th. 628 εύχωλή S. 68 εύώνυμος Th. 409 200 Th. 677 έφάλλομαι, ⊽. ἐπάλμενος. έφαρμόζω, マ. έφήρμοσε έφασαν Th. 29 éparo E. 59. 69. 212. S. 368. 450. Th. 395. ẻφέζομαι Ε. 583. 747. S. 39 έφέπω Th. 220. 366 έφέστασαν 8. 258

308

έφετμή Ε. 298 έφήρμοσε Ε. 76 εφίημι, εφίεσαν S. 307 έφίμερος S. 15. Th. 132 ἐφίστημι, ν. ἐφέστασαν ἐφοίτων* 8. 212 έφόρευν 8. 293. 296 έφορμάω, έφορμηθηναι Ε. 459 έφρασάμην Ε. 86. Th. 892 Zxe S. 95. 159. 220. 352. Th. 467 exéper E. 457. S. 369 **έχεσκεν** Th. 533 χέτλη Ε. 467 έχέφρων Th. 88. 122* εχθαίρω Ε. 300. ήχθηρε Th. 138 χθρός E. 342. Th. 766 "Exidva Th. 297. 304 έχολωσε Th. 568 xω (pres. and impf.) E. 13. 42. 44. 139. 147. 207. 257. 340. 457. 532. 546. 622. S. 79. 97. 105. 199. 247, 248. 251. 273. 305. 306. 369. Th. 2. 64. 101. 118. 203. 219. 262. 283. 348. 373. 413. 422. 425. 462. 466. 517. 746. 758. 765. 794. 804. 893. 906. V. έξω, έσχον, έχε, έχέμεν, έχεσκεν, σχείν, σχέσθαι έχων (part.) E. 81. 110. 112. 128. 133. 170. 438. 445. 470. S. 157. 183. 188. 193. 199. 227. 238. 241. 292. 339. 444. Th. 61. 72. 75. 78. 114. 139. 186. 239. 456. 611. 621.

988 έών (part.) E. 29. 44. 154. 208. 249. 292. 363. 452. 476. 502. 514 bis. 704. 718. S. 73. 101. 189. 410. Th. 21. 32. 33. 38 bis. 105. 145. 448. 465. 616. 698. 719. 752, 753. 801. 851. 877

623. 629. 635. 670. 675. 755. 783. 823. 833. 896. 898. 908. 932. 963.

'Εωσφόρος Th. 381

 Zdθens
 Th. 2. 6. 23. 192. 253. 300. 483. 990

 ζαμένησε
 Th. 928

 ζείδωρος
 E. 117. 173. 237

 Zeuža
 Th. 352

 Zeús
 E. 2. 8. 47. 52, 53. 87. 104. 138. 143. 158. 168. 229. 239. 245. 253. 273. 333. 379. 416. 483 bis. 565. 638. 661. 668. S. 33. 89. 270. 318.

328. 383. Th. 11. 41. 47. 56. 141. 285. 388. 399. 412. 428. 457. 468. 479. 498. 514. 520. 529. 545. 548. 550. 558. 561. 568. 601. 669. 687. 784. 820. 853. 884. 886. 899. 904. 914. v. Diós Zépupos Th. 379. 870 (éw, v. č(ee (77) os E. 195. Th. 384 ζηλόω Ε. 23. 312 ζητεύης Ε. 400 ζοφερός Th. 814 ζόφος S. 227. Th. 653. 658. 729 (vyór E. 581. 815 **ໄ**ພ່າກ 8. 233 ζώντυμι, ζώσαντο Ε. 345. 72. Th. 573 Coore E. (woos S. 157. 189. 194. 244. Th. 584 ζώω Th. 606. 611. Ε. 112. 304. S. 86. 244. (wernov E. 90. 112*. 132. v. č(wor

H.

*H. (adv.) E. 208. 220. 651 # E. 175 bis, 246 bis. 247. 339. Tb. 6 bis. 35. 531. 646. 666. S. 1. 9. 43. 253. 407. 421 ĥ oĩŋ 8. 1 ήβάω, ήβήσειε Ε. 133. ήβώοι Ε. 698 Ηβη Th. 17. 922. 950. 988 ήβη E. 132. 438 ήγάγετο Th. 266. 410. 508. 901 ηγάθεος Th. 499 ήγεμονεύω Th. 387 ηγέομαι E. 712 ηγερέθοντο S. 184 ηγνοίησε Th. 551 ήγον Th. 994. ήγόμην S. 274 ήδέ E. 22. 102. 310. 318. 767. 812 bis, 813. S. 168. 190. 352. 381. 475. Th. 15. 47. 72. 113. 120. 131. 146. 200. 203. 331. 885. 395. 403. 427. 429. 431. 436. 457. 469. 474. 504. 510. 582. 586. 618, 619. 698. 701. 817. 847. 883. 1013 ήδη E. 37. 588. S. 172. 359 ήδυέπειαι Th. 965. 1020 •ກໍ່∂ບໍ່ຣ Th. 40 ήέ E. 209. 710. S. 345. Th. 864 ήελιος E. 155. 414. 479. 526. 564. 575. 612. 663. 725. Th. 18. 371. 596. 760. 956. 958

Ber S. 15. 22

Digitized by Google

INDEX I.

Z.

ήέρα, -ρι Ε. 125. 223. 255. 697 Th. 9. nepoerdn's E. 620. Th. 252. 757. 873 nepóeis Th. 119. 294. 653. 658. 721. 729. 736. 807 #θελον E. 136 hos E. 67. 78. 137. 167. 222. 525. 699. Th. 66 Hibry Th. 255 ina Th. 547 ne S. 343. Th. 669. ήλασα S. 363. 419. 464. Th. 291 Hλέκτρη Th. 266. 349 *ήλεκτρον* S. 142 'Ηλεκτρύων 8. 3. 82 'Ηλεκτρυώνη S. 16. 35. 86 **ήλ**ήλαντο S. 143 λθον E. 396. 635. S. 81. 325. 444. Th. 176. 397. 404. 912 ήλiBaros S. 422. Th. 483. 675. 786 ή λιτεν S. 80 ηλύθαμεν Th. 660* ήλυθον S. 2. Th. 435. 660 'Ημαθίων Th. 985 ήμαθόεις 8. 360 ђµа: 8. 214. *ђµе*гос Е. 480. 501. v. είατο, είσε, ήστο huap E. 43. 176. 385. 488. 504. 524. 562, 563. 612. 663. 765. 770. 772. 778, 785, 792, 799, 810, 813, 819, Th. 59. 291. 305. 390. 401. 525. 596. 647. 667. 722. 724. 836. 955 ήμάτιος E. 419. Th. 597 ήμεῖς, ⊽. νῶι ήμελλον Th. 478. 888. 898 ήμέρη Th. 124. E. 102. 769. 825 ήμέρα Th. 748 ήμερόκοιτος Ε. 605 притероз E. 298. S. 109. 360. 367. Th. 172. 653 ήμησε Th. 181 ήμίθεοs E. 160 nuíoros E. 46. 607. 816 ήμισυ E. 40. Th. 298. 299 Juos E. 414. 420. 486. 582. 679. S. 393 8. 288 مىس μ E. 150. S. 178. 201. 216. 260. 297. Th. 282. 637. 825. v. her **в Е.** 401 ήν pro έήν S. 93. Th. 819 ηνεμόειs Th. 119* hviov 8.95 Ήνιόχη S. 83

ήνίοχος S. 307. 323. 372 hropen Th. 516. 619 fraye E. 68 ArwEa S. 479 map Th. 523 Areipos Th. 189. 582. 964. E. 624 ήπειτα E. 292*. Th. 405*. 562* ήπεροπεύσας Ε. 55 firios Th. 235, 236, 407. E. 787 h που S. 92 HTVOV S. 316 Ηρακλέης Th. 318. 527 Ηρακληείη 8. 52. 69. 115. 349. 416. 452. Th. 289. 315. 332. 943, 982 Hoaklins S. 74. 138. 448. 458. Th. 530.951 *ђр*еско<mark></mark> 8. 287 ήρείσατο 8. 362 **ήρευν S. 302** Hon Th. 228. 314. 381. 454. 921. 927. 952 ηριγέ**νε**ια Th. 381 Hoidavós Th. 338 ήριπε S. 421. Th. 857 *πρισεν* Th. 928 ήρπασεν Th. 914 กุ้อบ**อม** S. 301 ήρωs E. 159. 172. S. 19. 37. 78. 118. Th. 970. 1009 hs (from éós) S. 18. 40 hoar E. 111. S. 20. 135*. Th. 142. 144.146 σθα 8. 119 ήσιν E. 47. 381. Th. 607 βσw (from elm) E. 294 Hoiodos Th. 22 hoov Th. 426 ήστην S. 50 horo S. 214 ήσυχη Ε. 119* ησυχος Th. 763. E. 119 Aron E. 166. 385. S. 413. Th. 116. 142.1004 Arop E. 360. 593. S. 429. Th. 139. 163. 456. 568. 764. 898 ήύκομος Ε. 165. Th. 241. 267. 625. 634. S. 216 ηΰξετο Th. 493 ກໍບໍຣ Th. 817 ^чНфаютов Е. 60. S. 123. 244. 297. 313. 319. Th. 866. 927. 945 ήχέτα E. 582. S. 393 ηχέω Th. 42. 835 ήχή S. 438 ηχήεις Th. 767

309

INDEX J.

Ϋχθηρε Th. 138 *Ϋχθοντο* Th. 155 *Ϋχώ* S. 279, 348 *Ϋφ̂ος* E. 548. S. 396 *Ϋώς* E. 547. 574. 578, 579, 580. 610. 725. 821 'Hώς Th. 18. 372. 378. 451. 984

θ.

Θαλαμήΐος Ε. 807 θάλασσα Ε. 101. 164. 388. 648. 666. 676, 681, S. 207, Th. 413, 582, 728. 762. 781. 790. 847. 872. 931. 972 θάλεια Th. 77. E. 231. 742 θαλερός Th. 138. 921. 946. 948. 999 θαλίη S. 284. Th. 65. 917. E. 115 Θαλίη Th. 245. 909 θάλλω Ε. 173. 286. ν. τεθαλώς. τέθηλε θάλπω, θαλφθείς Th. 864 θaμá É. 362 θάνατος Ε. 155. 167. 356. 8. 131, 132. 357. Th. 212. 756 Baveiv E. 175. 378. 687 θάπτω S. 472. v. έθαψαν, ἐτάφη, τέθαπται θαρσέω, θαρσήσας Th. 168 θάρσος E. 319. S. 96. 434 θαρσύνω S. 326. Th. 163 θασσον 8. 95 θαῦμα S. 140. 165*. 218. 224. 318. Th. 500. 575. 581. 588. 834 Oavuas Th. 237. 265. 780 Oavudorios Th. 584 θeá E. 62. 72. 73. S. 205. 325. 338. 343. Th. 24. 41. 48. 103. 196. 213. 221. 240. 314. 366. 376. 380. 405. 419. 426. 432. 436. 573. 888. 900. 965. 969. 1004. 1006. 1016 θείναι Ε. 815 θείος Ε. 159. 556. 731. S. 138. Th. 32. 135. 297. 342. 345. 371 θελω Th. 446 θέμεθλον Th. 816 θέμεν E. 61. 67 θέμις E. 137. S. 22. 447. Th. 16. 135. 396. 901 θέμιστες E. 9. 221. Th. 85. 235 Θεμιστονόη S. 356 Θεμιστώ Th. 261 deoeidýs Th. 350

Beós E. 42. 59. 80. 85. 108. 112, and passim θεόσδοτος Ε. 320 θεόφω Th. 871 θεραπεύω Ε. 135 θεράπων Th. 100 0eouds Th. 696 θέρος E. 462. 503. 584. 640. 664. S. 394. 399. Oépeus E. 502 θέσθαι E. 23. 371. 432. 457. 643 θέσκελος 8. 415 θεσπέσιος Th. 700. 827. 856. 862. S. 383 Oéres Th. 244. 1006 θéro Th. 886. 937. 953 θέω S. 103. 225. θεόντων S. 147 Θηβαγενής Th. 530 θήβαι 8. 2. 13 Θήβη E. 162. S. 49. 80. 105. Th. 978 θήγω 8. 388 θηέομαι, θηήσονται Ε. 482 θηητόs Th. 31 θήκα (τίθημι), θήκαν S. 465. θήκατο S. 128. θήκε Ε. 18. 80. Th. 450. 601. 949. θηκεν Th. 447. 705* On Aus 8. 395. Th. 667. θηλύτερος, θηλυτεράων S. 4. 10. Th. 590 θήρ Ε. 277. 512 θηρευτής 8. 303. 388 θήs E. 602 θησαυρός Ε. 719 θησεύς S. 182 θλάω, έθλασε 8. 140 θνήσκω Ε. 116. v. θανείν Orntos E. 88. 103. 108. 123. 141. 201. 253. 458. 472. 484. 665. S. 6. 73, Th. 223, 277, 296, 302, 500. 506. 535. 552. 564. 588. 592. 600. 837. 871. 874. 887. 906. 942. 967. 1018 **Ooirdos** S. 212 θοίνη S. 114 006s E. 631. 671. 817. S. 97. 342. Th. 245. 354. 481 θόρον S. 370. θόρε S. 321. 392 0000s S. 418 θρασυκάρδιος S. 448 Opaoris S. 263 θρεπτήριον Ε. 188 θρέφθη Th. 198 θρέψαι, θρέψασα Th. 228. Opértre Th. 314 **Θρηίκιος Ε. 553** Θρήκη Ε. 507

310

445

684

86

θρίξ, ν. τρίχες θυγάτηρ S. 3. 197. Th. 76. 265. 346. 383. 474. 776. 780. 819. 975. 1011 60e Th. 848 θυέεσσι Ε. 338 θύελλα Th. 742. θυελλη 8. 345. Th. 742. 874*. E. 551 θύεσσι Ε. 338* Ouners Th. 557 θυμαλγής Th. 629. 635 θυμαίνω, θυμήνασαι 8. 262 θυμοβορέω Ε. 799 θυμολέων Th. 1007
 θυμός Ε. 13. 27, 28. 58. 112. 147. 170. 297. 315. 335. 340. 358. 366. 381. 399. 445. 491. 499. 646. 683. 797. S. 9. 116. 387. 428. 450. Th. 61. 98. 239. 443. 446. 536. 549. 551. 554. 567. 612. 617. 641. 645. 665, 833, 868 θυμοφθόρος Ε. 717 Ourie 8. 156. 210. 257. 286 θύνω E. 621 θύον Th. 131. 848 θύος, ₹. θνέεσσι θύραζε Ε. 97. Th. 750 θύρα(ev Th. 750* θύρηφω Ε. 365 Ovoraros 8. 225 θύω Th. 109. 131. 848. 874. E. 621. V. 8000 Baros E. 493. 574 θώμισν Ε. 559*. v. τώμισυ θώρηξ 8. 124 θωρήσσομαι Th. 431 θωῦτά 8. 165*

I.

Iaλλov Th. 269 Járeioa Th. 356 'Ιάνθη Th. 349 'Iágios Th. 970 laneriovidys E. 54. Th. 528. 543. 559. 614 larrerós E. 50. Th. 19. 134. 507. 565. 746 laxe Th. 69 láχεσκε 8. 232 laxý S. 404. 441. Th. 708 ĩaxor S. 382. ĩaxe Th. 69. láxovtes 8. 436. láxov 8. 451 'Iawλκόs S. 380. 474 **ιδάλιμος Ε. 415**

78 S. 19. 185. 397. Th. 19. 887 ideir S. 166. 318. Th. 701. ider Th. 555. 569. 18éorbas S. 140. 224. Th. 575. 581. 100rro Th. 451. ldys S. 335. v. 1000 "Iðn Th. 1010 Toper Th. 27, 28. 656 ίδμοσύνη Th. 377 Bos S. 397. idpis E. 778. S. 351 idpás E. 289 'Idvîa Th. 352*. 960* ίδών Ε. 9. 21. 267. 738. 773. S. 432. iéper E. 598. ieîorat Th. 10. 43. 65. 67. 830. iéperos 8. 23. 65. 169. 196. 304 bis. léperal 8. 231. Lerro 8.251 léval S. 40. 353 iepós E. 136. 336. 339. 466. 566. 597. 653. 755. 770. 805. 819. S. 99. Th. 21. 57. 93. 105. 292. 346. 417. 460. 692. 788. 939. 1014 ίημι, ίησι Th. 806. ίεσαν S. 278. Th. **Ίήσων** Th. 1000 ίθι E. 493 1008inn E. 230 *θύνω* S. 324. E. 7. 9. 263 ίθύνεον 8. 210* lous S. 97. E. 36. 224. 226. 443. Th. ίκάνω Th. 681. 697. v. iκέσθαι, ίξε üκελος E. 304. 535. S. 198. 209. 211. 244. 345. 392 Ixer Th. 725* Ικέσθαι, Ικόμην 8. 83. 466. 469. Th. 193. 285. 554. 685. Ικηαι Ε. 291*. 468. Innra E. 291. Th. 604. 754.

- iκοίμην E. 132. Th. 460. 723. 725. 741. Ικοι Th. 725*. Ικτο Th. 481. v. Σe ikereiw, ikérevoe S. 13 ikétys É. 327. S. 85 итар Th. 691
- IKTO Th. 481
- λαδόν E. 287
- ίλαος E. 340
- ildo ropai E. 338. Th. 91. 417
- *ίμάσσαs* Th. 857
- ίμείρω S. 31. Th. 177
- іµербеія 8. 202. 280. Тр. 8. 104. 359. 919 iµepos E. 618. Th. 64. 201
- iµeprós Th. 577

312

ĩra E. 461, 539. 546. 577. 626. 632. ίωή Th. 682 8. 107. Th. 127. 275. 461, 892 **Ιωλκός Th. 997** ίών E. 720. S. 257. Th. 202. 748. 'Ivá Th. 976 770. 773 ife 8. 32. ifeat E. 477 lωχμός Th. 683 loeidýs Th. 3. 844 'Ιδλαος S. 74. 77, 78. 102. 118. 323. 340. 467. Th. 317 lós (sagitta) S. 409 K. loχέαιρα Th. 14. 918 ίππειος 8. 321. 392 Κάββαλε S. 462. Th. 189. καββάλετο ίππεύς, ίππηες S. 305. ίππήεσσι Th. 8.130 439 ràð ðé 8, 384 ίππόδαμος S. 346 κάδ δύναμιν Ε. 336 'Ιπποθόη Th. 251 'Ιππονόη Th. 251 Kadueios Th. 226. 240. S. 13 Kadunis E. 162 ίππος E. 816. S. 61. 63. 65. 96. 97. Káduos Th. 937. 975 120. 191. 286. 307. 337. 341. 347. καθαπτόμενος Ε. 332 καθαρώς Ε. 337 350, 369, 372, 463, 466, 470. Th. rale (duevos S. 34. E. 259 281 καθίζω Th. 434. Ε. 750 ίππότα S. 216 καθίστημι, ν. κατέσταθεν Κάϊκος Th. 343 ίπποτρόφος Ε. 507 Ίππου κρήνη Th. 6 Ίππώ Th. 351 Kaweńs S. 179 ίρηξ E. 203. 212 καίνυμαι, έκαίνυτο S. 4. . κεκασμένος Ipis Th. 266. 780. 784 καίπερ Th. 533 raipó's E. 694 7s Th. 332. 951. E. 518 ĩoar Th. 68 raíw E. 337. Th. 557. 694. 828. 861. ίσασιν E. 40. 814. 824. Th. 370 862 loos E. 327. 533. 707. 752. Th. 126. kåk Th. 446* 524. 638. 721. 896 kåkeîvos E. 295 ίσοῦσθαι Ε. 562. ν. Ισώσαντο κάκιστος E. 266. 472 ισοφαρίζω Ε. 490 κακκρύπτων Ε. 471* ίστημι, ίστάμενος Ε. 569. 780. 798. κακοθημοσύνη Ε. 472 κακός Ε. 14. 57, 58. 88, 89. 91. 101. ίστασο 8. 449. ν. είστήκει, έσταώς, έστηκεν, έστησεν, σταθείς, στήσειν 103. 115. 191. 193. 201. 214. 223. 239, 240. 265 bis, 266. 271. 327. **Ίστίη** Th. 454 ίστοβοεύς Ε. 431. 435 331. 346. 348. 352. 356. 496. 499. iorós E. 64. 779 638, 640, 645, 669, 684, 703, 708, ⁷Iotros Th. 339 716. 721. 761. Th. 55. 158. 160. ίστωρ Ε. 792 165. 219. 222. 512. 527. 551. 570. **ισχάνω Ε.** 495 585, 600, 602, 609, 612, 770, 798, ίσχύς Th. 146. 153. 823 874. 876. 900. 906. Kákiotos E. ίσχω Ε. 217. 515. 625. S. 440. Th. 266.472 687 какотть Е. 93. 287. 740. S. 42 какохартов Е. 28. 196 ίσώσαντο S. 263 erov E. 199. ernv E. 199* кактаµена 8. 453 Trus S. 314 ralierrer Th. 207 καλέω Ε. 122. 141. 159. 342, 343. ίφθιμος E. 704. S. 136. Th. 455. 698, 768. 774, 987 Th. 187. 234. 271. καλέεσκεν Th. ζφι S. 11. E. 541 207. ἐκαλεσσε Th. 391. ἐκέκλετο **Ιφικλείδης S. 111** 8. 341. Kekhnotas Th. 410. Kek-Τφικλής, Τφικλή 8. 54 Ιχθυάω, Ιχθυάοντες 8. 210 Ιχθύς 8. 212, 213. 215. 217. Ε. 277. λομένων Th. 686 καλιή E. 301. 307. 374. 411. 503 καλλιγύναικα Ε. 653 ixvos E. 680 καλλίθριξ S. 372

καλλίκομος Ε. 75. Th. 915 **Καλλιόπη** Th. 79 καλλιπάρησε Th. 238. 270. 298. 907. 960. 976 καλλιρέεθρος Th. 839 Καλλιφόη Th. 288. 351. 981 καλλίρροος Ε. 737 κάλλιστος, V. καλός καλλίσφυρος Th. 384. 507. 526. 950 καλλίτριχας 8. 872 κάλον Ε. 427 καλός E. 63. 198. 708*. 738. S. 125. 468. Th. 8, 17, 22, 63, 68, 194. 201. 216. 417. 468. κάλλιστος Th. 120, 981 καλύπτρη Th. 574 καλύπτω Th. 127. 798. καλυπτόμενοι 8. 134. v. καλύψαι, κεκαλυμμένοs καλύψαι, καλύψας Th. 539. 541. Kahurte E. 121. 140. 156. Kahurtáµeros E. 198 Καλυψώ Th. 359. 1016 κάμαξ S. 298 ка́µатоs Е. 177 καματώδης Ε. 584. 664 kaµ µév E. 439 καμπύλος 8. 324. Ε. 427 кấ́и Е. 357 καναχή 8. 160. 164 καναχηδά Th. 367 καναχίζω S. 373 Kanvós E. 45. 629 ка́троз В. 172. 387. Е. 790 κάρη E. 534. S. 138. 223. Th. 42. 118. 794. καρήστι Th. 577 кáрпра 8. 234. 236 καρπαλίμως S. 452. Th. 492 карто́s E. 117. 172. 237. 563. 576. 775. Th. 216 Raprepólupos Th. 225. 378. 476. 979 κάρτιστοs Th. 981 κάρτος Th. 49. 73. 437. 710 καρτύνομαι, έκαρτύναντο Th. 676 κάρφω, κάρφει Ε. 7. 575 καρχαρόδους Th. 175. 180. S. 303. E. 604. 796 Ragiyuntos E. 184. 328. 371. 707. 8. 17. 50. Th. 756 ravolitepos Th. 862. S. 208 καταβαίνω Th. 761. V. καταβήσεται καταβάλλω, 🗸. κάββαλε καταβεβρίθασι Ε. 234 καταβήσεται Th. 750 καταβρίθω Ε. 234 καταγηράσκω Ε. 93

καταδεύω Ε. 546 καταδύω, καταδύμεναι S. 196. rataδύντα Th. 596 καταθείο E. 45. 361 κατάθηαι Ε. 601 καταθνητός Th. 903. E. 484 καταιωρέομαι, V. κατηωρεύντο κατάκειμαι Ε. 31. 364 κατακρύπτω Ε. 471 катактеі́ню, ⊽. какта́µена καταλέγω, 🛛. καταλέξεται, κατέλεξε καταλείβομαι Th. 786 καταλείπω E. 746 καταλέξεται Ε. 523. ν. κατέλεξε καταμάρπτω, καταμάρψη Ε. 496 καταναίω, Τ. κατένασσε καταπαύω, γ. κατέπαυσε καταπέμπω, V. κατέπεμνε καταπεπτηνία S. 265 καταπίνω Th. 459. 467. 473. 497 καταπτήσσω, ν. καταπεπτηνία καταπύθομαι, √. κατεπύθετο κατάσκιος E. 513 καταστύφελος Th. 806 κατασχέθω, ν. κατέσχεθε κατατίθημι, 🛛. καταθέιο, κατέθηκε καταφθίμενος Th. 850 καταφλέγω, καταφλέξαι 8.18 καταφράζομαι, καταφράζεσθε Ε. 248 καταχέω, καταχεύετο Ε. 583 κατέθηκε Th. 539. 541 κάτειμι, κατείεν 8. 254. .. κατιών κατελέγχω Ε. 714 κατέλεξε Th. 627 Katevartior 8. 73 κατένασσε E. 168. Th. 329. 620 κατενήνοθεν S. 269 κατέπαυσε Th. 87 κατέπεμψε Th. 515 Katéotadev Th. 674 raréoyele Th. 575 κάτεχεν Th. 700. 844 κατέχω Th. 700. 844 κατηρεφήs Th. 594. 778 κατηωρεύντο 8. 225 катію́» Th. 723. 725 κατοπάζω E. 324 кáтю Th. 301 ravá£ais E. 666. 693 raîµa Th. 700. 844. E. 415. 588 réato S. 241 κέγχροs S. 398 Kedvós E. 130. 699. Th. 66. 169. 608 кејато В. 175

Keîmai S. 172, 221, 227, 795, 797. кейменон 8. 253. кейато 8. 175. Kéato S. 241 Keivos Th. 387. 628. 639. 667. 836. 877. 8.75 κείρω, **ν. κέρσε** кекалициенов Th. 9. 745. 757 κεκασμένος Th. 929 κεκεύθει Th. 505 κεκλήγοντες 8. 379. 412. κεκληγώς S. 99. 442. E. 449 κεκλήσθαι Th. 410. κεκλομένων Th. 686 κέκλυτε Th. 644 KEKOVIJIÉVOS E. 481 κεκορημένος Ε. 593 κεκριμένος 8. 55 κεκρύφαται Ε. 386. Th. 730 κεκτήσθαι Ε. 437 κέλαδος Th. 852. 926 κελαινεφής 8. 53 Kelauros S. 153. 173. 429 κέλευθος E. 580. S. 352 κελεύω Ε. 60. 816. 536. 623. 687*. Th. 645. κελεύων 8. 193 κελομαι E. 603. Th. 33 Ker E. 187 Keveós E. 498 **Kérraupot S. 184** repaper's E. 25 bis Repairs E. 529 répas Th. 789 Repayros Th. 72. 141. 504. 515. 690. 699. 707. 846. 854. S. 422 κεραυνωθέντος Th. 859 Képßepos Th. 311 κερδαίνω Ε. 352 κέρδος E. 323. 352. 632. 644 bis. 788 Kepenis Th. 355 κέρσε 8. 419 κερτομέων Th. 545 κέρτομοs E. 788 κευθμών Th. 158. E. 532 κεῦθος Th. 300. 334. 483 κεύθω, ν. κεκεύθει κεφαλή E. 65. 418. 587. S. 104. 161. Th. 151. 280. 321. 519. 672. 747. 825. 828, 829. 856. 924. κεφαλήφι E. 545. κεφαληφιν Th. 578 Kéφaλos Th. 986 κεχάρητο S. 65 κεχαρισμένος Ε. 683 κεχρημένοs E. 317. 478. 500. 634 κέχυται Th. 727 κήδοs E. 49. 95. 362. Th. 102

κήλεος Th. 865 κήλον Th. 708 Kr/p S. 156. Th. 211. Krpes S. 249. Th. 217 κήρ E. 360*. S. 435. κήρες E. 92 κηρίον Th. 597 κηρόθι 8. 85 κηροτρεφής Ε. 418 κήρυξ E. 80. Th. 939 Κητώ Th. 238. 270. 833. 336 Knut 8. 854. 472. 476 κηφή» E. 304. Th. 595 **KiBiois 8. 224 κιθ**αρίζω 8. 202 κιθαριστής Th. 95 κικλήσκω Th. 197. 418. E. 818 Kipky Th. 957. 1011 **κίω**, **▼. έκιο** Kimy Th. 522. 779 κλάζω, κλάζοντε 8. 406. V. κεκλή-YOUTES κλαίω, κλαίουσα Ε. 222 κλείος, κλεία Th. 100 RACITÓS Th. 815. S. 380. 473, 474. 479 κλείω Th. 32. 44. 67. 105. E. 1 Kleić Th. 77 κλέος S. 107. Th. 530 κλέπτω Ε. 51. κλέψαι Th. 613. κλέψας E. 55. Th. 566 κλήρος E. 37. 341 κλίνω, «κλίνθη Th. 711 κλονίω E. 553. S. 317. Th. 935 κλόνος **S.** 148 κλύζω, κλυζομένφ 8. 209 κλῦθι Ε. 9 Κλυμένη Th. 351. 508 Κλυτίη Th. 352 KAUTÓS E. 70. 84. S. 67. 123. 219. 244. 297. 313. 329. 337. 447. Th. 215. 274. 288. 294. 303. 777. 927. 956 κλύω E. 726. Th. 32*. 474. S. 68. v. κέκλυτε, κλῦθι Κλωθώ S. 258. Th. 218. 905 κνήμη 8. 123 Kryuides 8. 122 κνώδαλον Th. 582 κόθουρος Ε. 304 κοίλος E. 52. 689. S. 129. Th. 301. 567 κοιμάομαι, κοιμηθείσα Th. 213 KOLVOS E. 723 Koios Th. 134. 404 KOLPANÉON Th. 331

коїтос Е. 574 KOKKÚČO E. 486 KÓKKUE E. 486 κολλήεις S. 309 KOLOGUOTÓS Th. 880 κομέω, κομείν E. 604 кору Е. 636 кори́сы Е. 317. 393. κομίσασθαι Ε. 600 κοναβέω, κονάβησε Th. 840 κόναβος Th. 709* κονίη S. 365 **κονίοντες 8. 342. ▼. κεκονιμένος** Kóvis Th. 706. 880 копто Е. 423*. коптонен В. 63 κορέομαι, κορέσασθαι Ε. 368. κορεσσάμενος Ε. 33. V. κεκορημένος KOOUVEV Th. 853 κορυνήεις S. 289 κορύσσουσα 8. 148 Th. 62. 1010 κορυφή 8. 374. κορώνη E. 679. 747 коршно́шта 8. 289* κοσμέω, κοσμείν Ε. 306. κόσμησε Ε. 72. Th. 573 κόσμος E. 76. Th. 587 коте́о Е. 25. S. 169. 176. 403. 454. Th. 315 Kórros Th. 149, 618, 654, 714, 784. 817 κούρη E. 781. 783. 794. S. 126. 443. Th. 13. 25. 29. 52. 60. 81. 191. 242. 264. 288. 307. 363. 507. 895. 908. 948. 959. 966. 979. 992. 988. 1003. 1021 κουρίζω Th. 347 κουρότερος Ε. 447 κουροτρόφος E. 228. Th. 450. 452 κουφίζω, κουφίζουσαν Ε. 463 KOUDOS S. 323. E. 761 κράδη Ε. 681 **xpadin E. 340. 451.** S. 41. Th. 99. 612. 623. 764 κράζοντε S. 406* Koart Th. 248 кратеро́я 8. 43. 52. 77. 101. 106. 323. 427. 446. Th. 50. 153. 185. 312. 320. 322. 465. 517. 618. 681. 647. 663. 670. 683. 712. 824. 1013 κρατερώτατοs Th. 864 κρατερόφρων Th. 297. 308. 509. S. 458. E. 147 κρατέω Th. 403 κρατί S. 136 κρατός S. 328. Th. 385. 662

Koéas E. 591 Kocios Th. 134*. 375. ▼. Koîos **Κρείων S. 83** Recionar E. 210. 217 κρεμάω, κρεμάσασθαι Ε. 629 κρήδεμνον 8. 105 κρήθεν S. 7. Th. 574 κρήνη Th. 3. 6. E. 595. 754 Konvnis Th. 359 Κρήτη Th. 477. 480. 971 κρητήρ Ε. 744 κρίνω Ε. 221. 768. 828. Th. 535. κρίνας E. 801. κρίναντο Th. 882. v. кекринеров Криоз Th. 134. 375 Κρισίη (Κρηνηίs) Th. 359* **к**рóка **E.** 538 κροκόπεπλος Th. 273. 358 Koovidys E. 18. 138. 158. 168. 240. Th. 53. 412. 423. 450. 572. 247. 624 Kporier E. 69. 242. 259. 276. S. 53. 56. Th. 4. 534. 949 Kpóros E. 111. 169. Th. 19. 73. 137. 168. 395. 453. 459. 473. 476. 495. 625. 630. 634. 648. 660. 668. 851 κρόταφος S. 137. 226 κρυερός Th. 657. E. 153 Rovoers S. 225. Th. 936 κούος E. 494. 543 κουπτάδιος Ε. 329 κρύπτω, ν. κεκρύφαται, κρύψας κρύφιος Ε. 789 κρύψας Ε. 42. 47. 50. 138. Th. 174. 482 κρώζω Ε. 747 кта́µероз S. 402. E. 541 кте́агог Е. 315 κτείνω, κτείνε Th. 982. κτείνας S. 82. 448. Th. 293. KTEIVEµEVal S. 414. **∨. ёкта**⊁е κτήμα Ε. 34 κτήσις Th. 606 **KTIJTÓS E. 406** κτυπέω, ν. εκτυπον κτύπος S. 98 RVÁVEOS S. 7. 167. 249. Th. 745. E. 527 κυανόπεπλος Th. 406 κυανόπτερος 8. 398 **kúavos S. 149** κυανοχαίτης Th. 278. S. 130 κυανώπις 8. 356 κυδαίνω Ε. 38 κυδάλιμος S. 74. 467

INDEX I.

κύδιμος Th. 938 RUDIÓW 8. 27 κύδιστος Th. 548 Rudrós E. 257*. Th. 328. 442 **Κυδοιμός S.** 156 Rûdos E. 313. S. 339. Th. 433. 438 κυδρός E. 257 Κυθέρεια Th. 196. 198. 934. 1008 Κύθηρα Th. 192. 198 RÚRIOS 8. 141 κυκλοτερής 8. 288. Th. 145 Κύκλωπες Th. 139. 144 KÚKPOS S. 316 Κύκρος 8. 57. 65. 329. 331. 346. 350. 368. 413. 468. 472 κῦμα E. 687. 691. Th. 252. 848 κυμαίνω, κυμαίνοντος Ε. 390 Κυματολήγη Th. 253 Κύμη Ε. 636 Κυμοδόκη Th. 252 Κυμοθόη Th. 245 Κυμοπόλεια Th. 819 Kuµώ Th. 255 rúveos E. 67 Κυπρογένεια Th. 199* Κυπρογενής Th. 199 Κύπρος Th. 193. 199 κυρέω, κυρήσας Ε. 755 κῦρσαι Ε. 691 κυσσάμενος (κυσ.) Th. 125*. 405* κύω, κυσαμένη Th. 125. 405 κύων Th. 309. 311. 769. E. 604. 796 κώμα Th. 798 κώμη Ε. 739 κωτίλλουσα Ε. 374

۸.

λαβείν, λάβησι Th. 773. λάβησιν Th. 765. λαβών E. 468. Th. 482. v. τλλαβεν λαγός S. 302 λαγχάνω, τλαχε Th. 422. 424. v. λάλων Th. 334 λαθείν, λαθών E. 52. λάθεσθε E. 264 λαθίφθογγος S. 131 λάϊνος Th. 811* λαΐνμα E. 164 λαιψηροκίλευθος Th. 379 λάκε Th. 694. v. λέληκας λακέρυζα E. 747 λαμβάνω, έλλαβεν Th. 179. v. λαβείν, λάβεσκεν λαμπετόων Th. 110. 382. S. 390 λαμπρός Ε. 155. Th. 18. 371 λάμπω S. 71. λαμπόμενος S. 60. 143. 145. Th. 186 λανθάνω, V. λαθείν, λελάθοντο Aaouédera Th. 257 λaos E. 222. 227. 243. 652. 764. 768. S. 27. 41. 330. 472. 475. Th. 84. 88. 430. 974*. 1000 λαοσσόος 8. 3. 37. 54 Λαπίθαι 8. 178 Λατίνος Th. 1013 Λάχεσις S. 258. Th. 218. 905 λάχνη Ε. 513 λέγο Th. 27 Λειαγόρη Th. 257 λείβω 8. 398. Ε. 724 λειμών Th. 279 λείος E. 288 λείπω Ε. 200. 690. Th. 490. έλιπον Ε. 155. λέλειπται Ε. 284. v. λιπέειν λειριόειs Th. 41 λείχω, ⊽. λελειχμότες λελάθοντο Th. 471 λέλακας Ε. 207* λελειχμότες Th. 826. λελειχμότος Th. 826* λέληκας Ε. 207 λελογχε Th. 203 λεπτός Ε. 497 Aepvaios Th. 314 λέσχη Ε. 493. 501 λevyaλéos E. 525. 754. Th. 602* λευκός E. 198. 535. 789. S. 141. 146. 249. 294. 388. Th. 190. 540. 553. 555. 557. 597 λευκώλενος Th. 314. 913 λεύσσω Ε. 250* λέχος S. 16. Th. 57. 508. 798. 912. 939 λέων S. 168. 175. 177. 402. 426. Th. 227. 321. 323. 833 λήγω Th. 48. 221. E. 368. 414. 421. λήγουσαι Th. 48* λήθη Th. 227 λήθω E. 268. 491. Th. 236. 547 ληίζομαι Ε. 702 λητον 8. 288 ληίs Th. 444 ληίσσομαι Ε. 322 **Ληναιών Ε. 504** λησμοσύνη Th. 55

316

I

Δητοίδης 8, 479 Anto S. 202. E. 771. Th. 19. 406. 918 λιγέως 8. 233 λιγί 8. 206 λεγυρός Ε. 583. 609. 8. 278 λιγύφωνος Th. 275. 518 λίθος Th. 485. 489. 497. E. 624 λιλαίομαι S. 113. Th. 665 λιμήν 8. 207 λίμη Th. 365 Auds E. 230. 243. 299. 302. 363. 404. 647. 8. 265 Autós E. 299. 303 λίπ' έλαίφ Ε. 522 λιπαρός Th. 63. 901 λιπέει S. 332. λίποιεν Ε. 440. λιπών 8. 12. 81. 367 λῶ 8. 172 λιτανεύω Th. 469 λιχμάζω 8. 235. ⊽. λελειχμότες λoyos E. 78. 106. 789. Th. 229. 890 λόεσθαι Ε. 749. λοεσσάμενοs Th. 5. E. 522 λοιγός S. 240 λοιμός E. 243. Th. 227 λοισθότατος Th. 921 **Λοκροί S. 25** λούομαι, ⊽. λόεσθαι λουτρόν Ε. 753 λοφιή S. 391 λοχεός* Th. 178 λόχος Th. 174. 178 AUYKEUS S. 327 λυγρός Ε. 49. 95. 100. 200. 261. 530. Th. 276. 304. 313. 650. 674 AÚRTOS Th. 477. 482 λυπέω, λυπβs Ε. 401 λῦσαι Ε. 608. λῦσε Th. 501. ελύσατο Th. 528 Δυσιάνασσα Th. 258* λυσιμελήs Th. 121. 911 λύσις Th. 637. E. 404 λύω, ν. λῦσαι λώβη Th. 165 λωβητός S. 366 λώτον Ε. 350. 433. 759. 810

M.

μâζa E. 590 Μαίανδρος Th. 339 Μαίη Th. 938* μαίομαι, μαιόμενοι E. 532 µárapes E. 136. 139. 141. 171. 549. 706. 718. 730. S. 79. 247. 328. 476. Th. 33. 101. 128. 881 μακέλη Ε. 470 μακρός E. 290. 560. S. 266. 417. 438. 466. Th. 129. 180. 391. 680. 778. 835.848 μάλα E. 204. 424. 461. 481. 696, 697. 701. 758. 762. 782. 793. 799. 806. S. 92*. 103. 116. 355. 427. Th. 419. 474. 623. 646. 1014. ν. μάλλον, μάλιστα μαλακός Th. 90. 279. E. 537 μαλάχη Ε. 41 μαλερός 8. 18 μάλιστα Ε. 343. 640. 700. Th. 414. S. 428* μαλκιόωντες Ε. 530* μαλλός Ε. 234 μάλλον E. 191. S. 85. 176. Th. 428. 666 μαπέειν 8. 231. 304. γ. μεμάποιεν μαρμαίρω Th. 699 μαρμάρεος Th. 811 μάρναμαι Th. 647. 663. S. 110. E. 164. µάρναντο S. 242. 401. Th. 629 μάρποιεν S. 252* μάρπτω, v. μέμαρπο» μαρτυρίη Ε. 280 μάρτυς Ε. 371 μαστιέτην S. 466 μαστιόω 8. 431 μαστιχόων 8. 431*. μαστιχόωντι 8. 389* μαυρόω Ε. 325 μάχη 8. 198. 248. 261. 361. 411. 449. Th. 228. 635. 666. 711. 713. 926 μάχλος, μαχλόταται Ε. 586 μάχομαι S. 164. 176. 301. 406. 432. Th. 392. 636. 712. μαχέσασθαι S. 387 μάψ Th. 872 μαψαῦραι Tb. 872* μe E. 656. 659. 662. Th. 24. 33. 645 μεγαθαρσής 8. 385 μεγάθυμος Th. 734. 8. 17. 25. 57 μεγαλήτωρ S. 450. E. 656 μεγάλως Th. 429 μέγαρον Ε. 377. Th. 384 μέγας E. 4. 122. 148. 321. 643. 792. and passim μέγεθος Th. 620. S. 5 μεγήρατος Th. 240

318

Į

INDEX I.

μεγήριτα Th. 240* μέγιστος Th. 49. 548. 703 μεδέουσα Th. 54 **Médouga** Th. 276 μέζεα Ε. 512 μεθίημι, μεθήσω Ε. 209 μειδάω, Υ. μείδησεν μείδημα Th. 205 μείδησεν 8. 115 μείζων Ε. 272. 380. 644. 721 μειλιχίη Th. 92. 206 μείλιχος Th. 84. 406. 408. 763 μείναντες Ε. 652 µeis E. 557 μείων Th. 447. E. 690 μελαγχαίτης 8. 186 μελαίνω, V. μελάνθησαν μελάνδετος 8. 221 μελάνθησαν 8. 167. 300 μέλας Ε. 151. 155. 636. 8. 153*. 252. 294. Th. 20. 69. 211. 481. 788 μελεδώνες Ε. 66 μελέεσσιν Ε. 149 μέλεος Th. 563 μέλεσσιν S. 76. Th. 152. 673 μελετάω Ε. 316. 443 μελέτη Ε. 380. 412. 457 Melía Th. 187 μελίη S. 420. μελιάν Ε. 145 μελιηδής Ε. 172 μέλισσα Th. 594. Ε. 233. 305 Μελίτη Th. 246 μελίφρων S. 425 μέλλω S. 126. Th. 468. 490. 552. v. ήμελλον μέλος, ν. μέλεσσι, μελέεσσιν Μελπομένη Th. 77 μελπομαι Th. 66. S. 206 μέλω Th. 216. Εμελε Ε. 146. V. μέμβλεται, μέμηλεν μεμάποιεν 8. 252 μέμαρπον S. 245. μεμαρπώs E. 204 μεμαώς S. 240. 414. 453 μέμβλεται Th. 61 μέμηλε Ε. 238. 531. μεμηλότα Ε. 231 μεμίξεται Ε. 179 μέμνημαι Th. 103. μεμνημένος Ε. 298. 422. 616. 623. 641. 711. 728. Th. 562 Μέμνων Th. 984 μέμυκε Ε. 508 μέμφομαι, μέμψονται Ε. 186 μενεαίνω S. 361 Meveort Th. 357 Μενίππη Th. 260 μενοινάω 8. 368

Meroirus Th. 510, 514 μάνος E. 414. 625. S. 343. 446. Th. 324. 492. 563. 687, 688. 832. 853. 869.896 μέντοι Ε. 287 μένω E. 674, S. 235, 364, Th. 598. 814*. Eµeire E. 97*. µeirarres E. 652 μέριμνα Ε. 178 peppepos Th. 603 μερμηράων Th. 55 μεροπες Ε. 109. 143. 179 μέσαβον Ε. 469 μέσος Th. 522, 709, E. 609, S. 209 μεσσηγύς S. 417 μεσσόθι Ε. 369 μέσσος S. 133, 144, 201, 462, E. 233, 503. 782. 795. 805. 810. 820. Th. 143. 223 μετάδουπος Ε. 823 μεταναιέτης Th. 401 μεταξύ Ε. 394 μεταπρέπω Th. 120*. 377. 430 μεταστοναχίζομαι S. 92 μετάτροπος Th. 89 μετάφρενον 8. 223 μεταχθόνιαι Th. 269* μεταχρόνιος Th. 269 µeréeine Th. 643 μετείναι Ε. 174 μετειπείν, ⊽. μετέειπε μετόπισθε Ε. 284. μετόπισθεν Ε. 127. 285. Th. 210 μετοπωρινόν Ε. 415 μετρέομαι Ε. 349 μέτρια Ε. 306 μέτρον Ε. 132. 350. 438. 600. 648. 694. 720 μέτωπον 8. 147. Th. 143. 145 μev Th. 644 μήδεα Ε. 54. Th. 180. 188. 200. 398. 545. 550. 559. 561 Μήδεια Th. 961 Mydeios Th. 1001 μηδέν S. 98. E. 395 μήδομαι 8.34 μήδος, ν. μήδεα μηκέτι Ε. 174. 444. 622 Μηκώνη Th. 536 Μηλόβοσις Th. 354 μήλον Ε. 120. 163. 786. 795. Th. 215. 284. 335. μήν S. 11. 101 μήν, -ός, Ε. 504. 766. 772. 780. 790. 800. 814. 820. Th. 59

µŋns 8. 21 ринтоте Е. 86. 399 μήπου Ε. 88. 591 μηρίον Ε. 337 μηρός 8. 363. 460 μηρύσασθαι Ε. 538 μήσατο Th. 166. 172. εμήσατο Ε. 49. 95 μήτε E. 270, 271. 296. 489 bis, 492 bis, 698, 699. 729 μήτηο Ε. 131. 520. 563. 825. Th. 169. 284. 448. 460. 914. 932 μητίετα Ε. 104. S. 33. 383. Th. 56. 520. 904. 914 uerióeis Th. 286. 457. E. 153. 273. 769 μήτις Th. 393 μήτις S. 28. Th. 471 Mỹrıs Th. 358. 886 µήто E. 747 μητρυή Ε. 825 μηχανάομαι Ε. 241 μηχανή Th. 146 µía Th. 278. 321. 792 payeis S. 55. Th. 53. 125. 333. 375. 383. 920. 927. 970. 1009. 1017 μίγη S. 36. μιγήμεναι Th. 306 μεμίξεται, μιγείς, μίγη, μίγνυμι, Ψ. μιχθείς Miµas 8. 186 μιμνήσκω, τ. μέμνημαι, μνησάμενος μίμνω Ε. 97. 498. 520. 630. Th. 754 μιν E. 18. 48. 224. 258. 325. 515, and passim μινύθω Ε. 6. 244. 325. 409 Miros Th. 948 μίσγομαι Th. 56. 238 μσθόs E. 370 μιχθείs Th. 288. 923. 941. 944. 980 Μνημοσύνη Th. 54. 135. 915 μνησάμενος Th. 651 μογέω, μογήσας Th. 997 μόθος S. 158 μοι Th. 30, 31. 35. 114 μοίρα E. 121*. 745. 765. Th. 204. 348, 413, 520, 544, 607, 789 Moipat Th. 217. 904 μολπή Th. 69 μόρος Th. 211 μόρφνος S. 134 μουνογενής Ε. 376. Th. 426. 448 μοῦνος Ε. 11. 96. Th. 143 Movoas E. 1. 658. 662. S. 206. Th. 1. 25. 36. 52. 75. 93, 94. 96. 100. 114. 916. 966. 1021

μόχθος S. 306 Móvos S. 181 μυδαλέος Ε. 556. 8. 270 μυθέομαι, μυθησαίμην Ε. 10. μυθή-σασθαι Th. 28 µ0005 E. 194. 206. 263. S. 116*. Th. 24. 169. 665 μυλιόωντες Ε. 530 μύξαι 8. 267 μυρίοι Ε. 100. 252 Mupudóres S. 380. 474 μύρω S. 132. Ε. 206 μύχιος Th. 991*. Ε. 523 μυχός Th. 119. 1014 μώλos S. 257 μαμεύω Ε. 756 Mouos Th. 214

N.

valerás E. 389. Th. 564. 592. 621. 775.816 raío E. 8. 18. 170. 288. 343. 391. 700. S. 14. 473. Th. 274. 285. 330. 455. 735. 777. 814. 933. 955 vápôné E. 52. Th. 567 νάσσατο Ε. 639 ναῦς, ∇. νέας, νηα, νηυσί, νηῶν Navσίθοος Th. 1016 Navoíroos Th. 1017 vavrys Th. 876 pauriλin E. 618. 642. 649 véas E. 247 veikely E. 332. reineiwr Th. 208 νεικεστήρ Ε. 716 νεικέω, ⊽. νεικείη νεικητήρ E. 716* veinos E. 29, 30. 33. 35. Th. 87. 229. 782 Neilos Th. 338 veiµns E. 701 veióði Th. 567 veiós E. 463, 464. Th. 971 κίσσομαι Ε. 237* *че́ктар* Th. 640. 642. 796 Neperatos Th. 327 Neµeín Th. 329. 331 Népeous E. 200. Th. 223 reperrán E. 685. 741. 756 νέμω, νέμονται Ε. 231. νέμοντο Ε. 120. Everyar E. 224. v. verys νεοθηλήs Th. 576 veoknôńs Th. 98 νέομαι, νέασθαι Ε. 554. 573

319

Digitized by Google

-

INDEX I.

véos E. 569. 674. S. 281. Th. 988. νεώτερος E. 445 reoútatos S. 157. 253 νεάω, マ. νεωμένη Néoros Th. 341 weuph S. 409 veroov E. 544 νεύω Ε. 473 νεφέλη Th. 745. 757 νεφεληγερέτα Ε. 53. Th. 558 νεφεληγερέτης E. 99. Th. 730. 944 vépos E. 204. 449. 553 véo E. 777 vewµévn E. 462 νεώτερος Ε. 445 vna E. 624. 631. 665. 671. 817. vnós E. 628, Th. 899. mi E. 636. 650. vneogue E. 164. vnas E. 622. 809 νηδύs Th. 460. 487. 890. 899 vήιον E. 808 **νήκεροι Ε. 529** νήκεστος E. 283 νηλέες Th. 456. 765. νηλέι Th. 316 νηλειής Th. 770 νηλεόποινοι Th. 217 νηλής, ⊽. νηλεές νήμα Ε. 777 νημερτής Th. 235 Νημερτής Th. 262 νηοπόλος Th. 991 vyós Th. 990 νήπιος E. 40. 131. 218. 286. 397. 456.633 νήποινον Ε. 305* νήποτμος Th. 795* Nnoevs Th. 233. 240. 263. 1003 Nyonides Th. 1003 νήριτοs E. 511 Nησαίη Th. 249 νησος Th. 964. 1014 Nησώ Th. 261 νηυσί E. 634. 808. νηυσίν E. 689 νήῦτμοs Th. 795 νήχω, νηχομένοις S. 211. νήχον S. 317 νηών Ε. 236. 269. 280 viráco, virágras Th. 73. 437. 719. E. νικηθείs Th. 496 657. viny S. 311. 339. Th. 433. 628. 647. Ė. 211 Nim Th. 384 νίσσομαι Ε. 237. S. 469. νισσομένων Th. 71. v. νείσσομαι víφa E. 535

rico deis Th. 42. 62, 118, 794, 953 voéw E. 89. 202. 286. 296. 8. 410. Th. 488. 10eûrtes E. 641. voñoral νόημα E. 129. S. 88. 122. Th. 656 νοήσαι Ε. 484. νοήσας Ε. 12. 267. vónge Th. 838. vongn E. 293 voµós E. 403. 526 voµos E. 276. 388. Th. 66. 417 vóos E. 67. 105. 260. 373. 483. 661. 685. 714. 793. S. 5. 149. Th. 37. 51. 122. 262. 537. 613. 661. 1002 νόσφι Th. 870. νόσφιν Ε. 91. 113. S. 15. Th. 57. 777 vóros Th. 380. 870. E. 675 rovors E. 92, 102, S. 43, Th. 527. 799 ví E. 207. 268. 275. 424. 513. 684. 764. S. 116. 170. 336. 350. Th. 22. 84. 687. 836 νύκτωρ Ε. 177 νύμφη Th. 298. 305 Νύμφη Th. 187. νυμφέων Th. 130 νῦν Ε. 176. 202. 270. 396. S. 99. 120. 328. Th. 416. 661. 942. 963. 965. 1020 rúf E. 102. 385. 419. 562. 612. 730. S. 35. 227. Th. 56, 107. 275. 481. 525. 722. 724. 726. 744. 788 Núč E. 17. Th. 20. 123, 124. 176. 211. 213. 224. 748. 757, 758 νύσσω, νύσσοντες S. 62 νύχιος Th. 991. E. 523 vất S. 358. vất S. 350 νωμάω, νωμήσας 8. 462 νώνυμνοι Ε. 154 νώνυμοι E. 154* pôrov E. 468. 534. 544. S. 167. 286. 333*. Th. 762, 781, 790, 972

Z.

ξάνθη Th. 356 ξανθός Th. 947 ξεινοδόκος E. 183 ξείνος E. 183. 225. 327 ξεοτός S. 133 ξύλον E. 808 ξύμπας E. 240 ξύν τh. 347 ξύνειμι, ν. ξύνισαν ξυνήων, ξυήονας Th. 595. 601 ξύνισαν Th. 686. ξυνιών Th. 705 ξυνός, ν. ξυναί

320

Οαρισμός Ε. 789 dapos Th. 205 **Οβριάρεως** Th. 617. 734 όβριμοεργός Th. 996*. v. δμβρ. δβριμος Ε. 619. S. 135. Th. 148. 839 dydóaros E. 772. 790 δγε, όδε passim όδός Ε. 216. 288. 579. 729. Th. 387. 754 odoús S. 146, 164, 235, 249, 388, 404 δδύσσομαι, ν. ωδύσσατο 'Οδυσεύς, 'Οδυσητ Th. 1016 'Odvorevs Th. 1012 ö(os 8. 181. 394. Th. 30 δθι Th. 748 Οθρύς Th. 632 olye E. 819 olda E. 456. Th. 236. S. 355. v. ίδμεν, ίσασιν, οίσθα, είδείη, είδώς **Οἰδίπους Ε.** 163 oldµa Th. 109. 131 δīζυρός E. 195. 639 δīζύs E. 113. 177. S. 351. Th. 214 on 8.1 olade E. 576. 611. 632 oixeios Th. 330 оїкстан Th. 733* olkhior E. 457 olkior Th. 64. 744. 758 oiroi E. 365 olkos E. 23. 132. 150. 244. 325. 364. 376. 395. 405. 407. 428. 432. 495. 512. 523. 525. 601. 627. 695. 733. 744. 800. olkóvde E. 554. 673 oluos E. 290 olin 8. 292. E. 570. olvéwy E. 572 olvos E. 585. 589. 592. 596. 674. 724 οίνοχόη Ε. 744 oboy E. 622. 817 olos S. 8. 106. 111. Th. 26. 446 olos E. 269. 314. 322. S. 386. 400. Th. 93. v. oin õis E. 234. 775 oïoreis E. 482 olo 0a 8. 355 δίστός S. 130 οίχομαι, V. φχετο οίωνιστής S. 185 olwrós E. 277. 801. Th. 268 όκτάβλωμος Ε. 442

0.

όκταπόδης E. 425 δλβιος E. 172, 826, Th. 96, 954 δλβos E. 281. 319. 321. 326. 379. 637. S. 204. Th. 420, 974 δλεθοος Th. 226 όλέσει Ε. 180. δλέσσαι E. 668 δλίγος E. 30. 288*. 480. 643. 723. Th. 447. ολιγίστη Ε. 723 δλλυμι, ⊽. ὀλέσει, ὤλεσαν 'Oλμειόs Th. 6 δλμος Ε. 423 όλοός E. 745. S. 156. Th. 224. 226. 501. 604. 757 'Ολυμπιάδες Th. 25. 52. 966. 1021 'Ολύμπιος Ε. 24. 81. 110. 128. 389. 474. Th. 75. 114. 390. 529. 783. 804. 884. 963 Ολυμπος Ε. 139. 197. 257. 8. 79. 203. 466. Th. 37. 42. 51. 62. 68. 101. 113. 118. 391. 408. 680. 689. 794.842 *δλώϊος* Th. 591 δμά 8.50 Sµados S. 257 Oµados S. 155 όμαρτέω, όμαρτήσας Ε. 676. δμαρτήσει Ε. 196. ν. ωμάρτησεν ouSonvou E. 451* δµβρηρός Ε. 451 όμβρέω, όμβρήσαντος Ε. 415 δμβριμοεργός Th. 996 δμβριμόθυμος Th. 140 όμβριμοπάτρη Th. 587. 839. E. 114. 619. S. 135 öμβρos E. 492. 626. 674. 676. S. 478 όμείται Ε. 194 όμηλιε Ε. 444. 447 δμηρεῦσαι Th. 39 δμιλαδόν S. 170 όμιχείν Ε. 727 δμμα S. 262 δμνυμι, ν. δμείται, δμόσσας δμόθεν E. 108 δμοίιος E. 182 όμοῖοs E. 144. Th. 27 δμοκλή S. 341 δμόν Th. 508. v. δμά όμόσσας Ε. 282. δμόσση Th. 232 δμοῦ Ε. 243 δμόφρων Th. 60 δμφαξ 8. 399 όμῶς E. 3. 20. 372. 459. 669. Th. 74. 366. 672. 771 övde S. 38



-

överap E. 41. 346. 822. Th. 871 dreidico E. 718 överdos E. 311 bis överpos Th. 212 δνίνημι E. 318. Th. 429. 436 öroua Th. 144. 369 δνομαίνω, δνόμηνα Ε. 80 dropartos Th. 148 ονοτάζω Ε. 258 öruyes S. 254. 263. 266. 427. E. 204. 205 déús S. 233. 243. 289. 385. 348. 457. E. 414. Th. 838 öπa Th. 830. ởπί Th. 41. 68 δπάζω Th. 420. 438. E. 474. δπάσαι Th. 433. dnágas E. 167. v. อี่สินบระข όπηδέω Ε. 142. 230. 313. 326. Th. 80 **όπίζομαι** S. 21 όπιπεύω Ε. 29. 806 öπιs E. 187. 251. 706. Th. 222 δπισθεν Ε. 469. 474. S. 133. Th. 223 δπίσσω Ε. 741. S. 92. 256. Th. 488 Οπλεύς S. 180 όπλή Ε. 489 όπλον Ε. 627. Th. 853 όπλότατος Th. 137. 333. 478. 821. 946 όπόταν Ε. 543*. 571 όπότε Ε. 258. 494. 543. S. 397. Th. 431. 435. 594 öππη Th. 387 όππότε S. 126. Th. 478. 782 όππότερος Th. 549 όπτάω, ν. ώπτησαν όπυίω Th. 819. S. 356. ν. ώπυιεν δπωρινός E. 674. 677 **όπωs** Th. 156. 471 δράω E. 534. Th. 85 όργή Ε. 304 ορέγω, ∨. δρέξαι υρείχαλκος S. 122 opéfai Th. 433. opefaueros S. 456, 457. v. Spéčaro δρεσσίνομος 8. 407 бопта. Th. 782 δρθιοs E. 290 όρθογόη Ε. 568* Ορθος Th. 293. 309. 327 δρθός E. 540. 727. S. 391 δρθρογόη Ε. 568 Ορθρος ν. Όρθος δρθρος E. 577

δρίνω, ν. ώρινε öpkos E. 194. 804. Th. 231. 400. 784.805 *Opros E. 219 δρμή S. 365. 456. Ε. 304 όρμησωσι S. 403. όρμηθήναι Ε. 526. S. 73 δρμος Ε. 74 Jones E. 212. 470, 828. Th. 525 δρνυμι, δρνυμένοιο Th. 843. V. δρηται, όροῦσα, όρώρει, ῶρσε ὦρτο opos Th. 484. S. 374. 386 čoos E. 428. Th. 2 δρούσα S. 412. 436. 437 δρπηέ Ε. 468 όρυμαγδός S. 232. 401 δοφανός E. 330 όρχέομαι, όρχεῦνται Th. 4 όρχηθμός S. 282 δρχος S. 294. 296 δρώρει S. 274. 401. Th. 70. 703. 709. 849 os (relat.) E. 37. 138. 145. 238. 367. 534. S. 6. 85. 87. 318. 450. Th. 187. 215. 314. 394. 396. 409. 419. 429. 432. 439. 823. 894. 904. 913. 916. 957. 977. rai S. 162. 229. 276. Th. 36. rás 53. 271 ör (suus) E. 131. S. 408. Th. 928. v. ην, όνδε
 δσγε Th. 1000 öros E. 40. 41. 250. 679. Th. 475. 525. 630. 648. 668. 720 δσπερ Th. 864 δσσa Th. 10. 43. 65. 67. 701. 832 δσσε S. 390. Th. 698 örrois S. 430. örroiri S. 426. σοισιν S. 145. öσσων Th. 826 боторан, ботего Th. 551 ботов Е. 308. 340. Th. 49. 154. 183. 421. 424. 582. 651. 967 δσσοστε E. 346 öore E. 318. 450. 520. 580. 704. S. 134. 360. 395. Th. 2. 382. Táre E. 398. Th. 382 dorfor S. 152. Th. 540. 555. 557 öoris E. 31. 241. 343. 406. 506. 701. 763. S. 150. 163. 480. Th. 81. 96. 222. 395. 459. 783 öray E. 132. 339*. 467 öre E. 89. 111. 132. 339. 427. 524. 575. 741. 778. S. 42. 80. 374. 399. 421 bis. 437. Th. 58. 232. 280, 282. 291. 390. 416. 468. 535. 639. 702.888

öre E. 81. S. 479. Th. 115. 198. 199. 200. 426. 656 öroβos Th. 709 οτραλέως S. 410 ότρύνω Th. 883. v. ώτρυνον örri E. 48. S. 21. örri ráziora E. 60. 673. S. 21. 428 ov E. 534. S. 318. 450. Th. 823. 973. **▼. ē. ēθev. ei**o ovara E. 546. Th. 701. 771 οὐδαμŷ S. 218 ovdas E. 534. Th. 741 oùðé E. 40. 41. 85. 97. 113, 134, and passim oudeis E. 144. 311. Th. 295 oudénore Th. 221. E. 640 oùðérepoi S. 171. Th. 638 ovdós Th. 749. 811. E. 331 oùkéti E. 34. 572. S. 50. 118 ούλιος S. 192. 441 ούλόμενος Th. 225. 593. Ε. 717 Ούλυμπος S. 30. 471. Th. 633. 855. 953. Ούλυμπόνδε Th. 397 ouv Th. 853. S. 299* ойнека Е. 138. 517. Тр. 88. 144. 197. 235. 464. 534 ούποτε Ε. 813 ούπω Ε. 273. 521. S. 10 Ouparidys Th. 486. 502 Ouparin Th. 78. 350 Oupariers Th. 461, 919, 929 ουρανόθεν Ε. 242. 555. S. 384. Th. 723. 761 oùparós E. 111. 548. 610. Th. 71. 106. 110. 373. 382. 414. 427. 517. 679. 685. 689. 720. 737. 746. 761. 779. 808. 820. 840. 847 Oùpavós Th. 45. 127. 133. 147. 154. 159. 176. 208. 421. 463. 470. 644. 702.891 obpeios S. 186 **Objetios S. 186*** oùpéw E. 729. 758 οὐρή S. 431. Th. 771. E. 512 ouphes E. 791. 796 ovos (mons) E. 232. 510. Th. 129. 130. 835. 860. 865. 1001 ούτάω, ούτάμεν 8. 335. ούταμένου 8. 363. obrase S. 461 обте Е. 129 bis. 190. 191. 269 bis. 285. 666. 784. 8. 88. 140. 217. 259. 317. 337 bis oör: E. 105. 445. 516. 823. S. 110*. 144. 161. Th. 182. 310 ovris E. 15. 355. 763. S. 5

ovroi E. 759. S. 110 обтов Е. 27. 121. 126. 130. 140. 150. 156. 179. 263. 274. 293. 362. 443. 505. 559. 561. 682. 697. 752. Th. 35. 75. 111. 170. 203. 263. 348. 362. 422. 520. 532. 562. 1018 ούτω E. 424. 490. Th. 448 ourus E. 105. 433. Th. 452 οφελλω E. 14. 33. 213. 412. 495. v. διάτλον όφθαλμός E. 267. Th. 143, 145, 451. 701. S. 72. 335 öchis Th. 229. 322. 334. 825. S. 161. δφίων S. 161 όφρα E. 260. 299. 341. 544. 606. 625. S. 28. 108. Th. 128. 530. 645 όφρύς Th. 827. 911 οχθέω, οχθήσας Th. 558 όχυρώτατος Ε. 429 δψαρότης E. 490 ỏ∳é E. 485 П. Dayagaios S. 70 πάγκακος Ε. 813 πάγοs S. 439 παγχρύσεος Th. 335 πάγχυ Ε. 264 παθείν, παθόντες Th. 651. 660. maθοῦσα Th. 276. παθών Ε. 218 παίζω S. 277. 282. 299 παιπαλόεις Th. 860 πais E. 130. 182 bis. 378. 399. 464. 656. 751. S. 26. 49. 112. 356. 371. 385. 454. Th. 138. 148. 155. 162. 164. 208. 234. 398. 401. 464. 467. 472, 473. 478. 496. 509. 565. 758. 821. 897. 952. 981. 989. 1001

πάις Ε. 50. 376. S. 26^{*}. Th. 178. 746

παλαίω Ε. 413

παλάμη S. 219. 320. Th. 580. 866

παλάσσω, V. πεπαλαγμένος

πάλιν Ε. 673. Th. 181. 772

παλινάγρετος 8. 93

Παλίωξις 8. 154 Πάλλας, Πάλλαντος Th. 376. 383

Παλλάς Ε. 76. S. 126. Th. 577

- πάλλω S. 321. 451
- πάμπαν Ε. 275. 302. 335. 763
- παμφαΐνω Ε. 567 παναίολος S. 139



παναπήμων Ε. 811 πανάριστος Ε. 293 **Hardioris E. 568** Πανδώρη Ε. 81 Πανέλληνες Ε. 528 πάνεφθος S. 288 πανημέριος S. 396 παννύχιος S. 46 Havón Th. 250 πάντη E. 125. 255. S. 121. Th. 178. 366. 778 πάντοθεν Ε. 625 πaproios E. 621. Th. 830 παπταίνω Ε. 444 παραγίγομαι Th. 429. 432. 436 παραιβασίη Th. 220 παραιφάμενος Th. 90 παρακαίριος Ε. 329 παρακοίτης Th. 928 παράκοιτις Th. 228. S. 14. 46 παραλέγομαι, ▼. παρελέξατο παραμείβομαι Ε. 409 παρασχείν Ε. 712 παρατίθημι, παρέθηκε Th. 577 παρατρέπω, ν. παρέτραπε παραφαινέμεν Ε. 734 παράφημι, ν. παραιφάμενος παρειή 8. 243. 267 πάρειμι Th. 420. v. παρεόντος παρεκβαίνω Ε. 226 παρελαύνω S. 353 παρελέξατο Th. 278 παρελθείν Ε. 216. Th. 613 πάρεξ S. 352, 353 παρεόντος Ε. 366 παρέρχομαι, ν. παρελθειν παρεστάμεν Th. 439 παρέσχεθεν Th. 639 παρέτραπε Th. 103 παρέχω S. 84. v. παρασχείν, παρέσχεθεν παρεών, ⊽. παρεόντος παρθενική Ε. 63. 519. 699 παρθένιος Th. 205. 344 mandéros E. 71. 256. Th. 514. 572 παρίστημι, γ. παρεστάμεν παρκλίνω Ε. 262 Παρνησόs Th. 499 πάρος E. 184. S. 119. Th. 394 πas E. 40. 54. 76. 81. 116. 267 bis. 293. 392. 407. 491. 504. 511. 531. 563. 601. 611. 627. 642. 672. 688. 694. 701. 826. S. 70. 84. 141. 223. 251, 261, 284, 315, 364, 380. Th. 66. 85. 117. 121 bis. 127. 157. 167.

175. 184. 305. 369. 372. 377. 390. 401, 402, 411, 449, 543, 559, 639. 641. 647. 667. 672. 688. 695. 738. 740. 803. 809. 828, 829. 847. 855. 919. 929. 955. 973. 1015 Haribén Th. 247 Πασιθόη Th. 352 πάσχω Ε. 211. v. παθείν πατήρ Ε. 2. 59. 84. 143. 168. 182. 259. 638. S. 11. 27. 59. 89. 103. 322. 471. Th. 36. 40. 47. 53. 71. 73. 164, 165. 171. 180. 207. 262. 398. 457. 468. 472. 502. 542. 580. 617. 643. 838. 896. 932 πατρίς S. 1. 12 πατροκασίγνητος Th. 501 πατρώϊος Ε. 376 παυρίδιου Ε. 133 πaύρος E. 326. 482. 538. 814. 818. 820. 824. Th. 780 παύω 8. 449. παύσονται Ε. 177. παύθη Th. 533 παχνόω Ε. 360 παχύς Ε. 497. 509 πέδιλον S. 220. E. 541. Th. 12 πεδίον S. 342. E. 388. πεδίονδ' S. 378 πεδόθεν Th. 680 πείθω S. 450. πείθεσθαι Th. 165. v. πέποιθα, επίθοντο, πιθήσας Πειθώ E. 73. Th. 349 πείκω Ε. 775 πειράομαι, ν. πειρηθήναι, πεπείραμαι πείρατα Th. 335. 518. 622. 738. 809. **E. 168** πειρηθήναι 8. 359 Πειρίθοος S. 179 πέλαγος Th. 131. 190 431. 732. v. πελάζω, πελάσας Ε. έπλητο πελεμίζομαι Th. 458. 842 Πελίης Th. 996 πέλω Ε. 292. 825. S. 164. 259. πέλομαι Ε. 30. 388. 420. 547. 665. 678. 682. 686. 761. 808. έπλετο πελώρη Th. 159. 173. 479. 505. 731. 821. 858. 861 πελώριος Th. 179 πέλωρον Th. 295. 299. 845. 856. S. 223 πέμπτος Ε. 174. 698. 802, 803 πέμπω Ε. 84. Th. 716. 784. πέμψαν Th. 477. 718 πένθος Th. 98. 467. 623

πενίη E. 497. 638. 717. Th. 593 πένομαι Ε. 773 πέντε Ε. 613 πεντήκοντα Ε. 663. Th. 151. 264. 672 πεντηκοντακάρηνος Th. 312 πεντηκοντακέφαλος Th. 312* πέντοζος Ε. 742 πεπαλαγμένος Ε. 733 πεπαρμένος Ε. 205 πεπείραμαι Ε. 660* πεπείρημαι Ε. 660 πεπνυμένος E. 731. 793* πέποιθα Ε. 375 πεπότητο S. 148 πέπρωτο Th. 464. 475 πεπυκασμένος Ε. 793. Th. 484 πέπων S. 350. 357. Th. 544. 560 περάω Ε. 738 πέρην Th. 215. 274. 294. 814 περίαχε Th. 678 περιέννυμι, περιέσσασθαι Ε. 539 περικαλλής Th. 10 $\pi\epsilon\rho i\kappa\epsilon\mu a Th. 733^*$ περικλυτός E. 60. Th. 571. 579 περιμαίνομαι 8.99 **Περιμήδηs S. 187** περιμήκης S. 133 περιναιετάω Th. 370 περιπλόμενος Ε. 386. Th. 184 περίβρυτος Th. 193. 290 περισσός Th. 399 περιστενάχητε S. 344* περιστοναχίζω S. 344 περιταμνέμεν Ε. 570 περιτεμνέμεν Ε. 570* περίφρων Th. 894. S. 297. 313 Περμησσός Th. 5* περοίχομαι Th. 733 Перосейя S. 216. 229. Th. 280 Περσεφόνεια Th. 768. 774 Περσεφόνη Th. 913 Περσηίς Th. 356. 957 Hépons E. 10. 27. 213. 274. 286. 299. 399. 611. 633. 641 Hépons Th. 377. 409 πέσον S. 379. πεσείν, πέσεν 8. 365. πέσωσι 8. 375. πεσών Ε. 547 πέταλον Ε. 486. 680 πετεεινός Ε. 277* πετεηνός Ε. 277 πέτηλον 8. 289 πέτομαι 8. 308. **ёптато S. 222*** Πετραίη Th. 357 πετραίος S. 185. E. 589

πέτρη S. 375. 421. 437. Th. 301. 778-786. 792 πετρήεις Ε. 533 πεύθομαι Th. 463 Πευκείδης S. 187 πεύκη S. 376 πεφραδέμεν Ε. 766. πεφραδέτην Th. 475 Πεφρηδώ Th. 273 πεφύασι Th. 728 πέφυκα Th. 728* πεφύλαξο Ε. 797. πεφυλαγμένος Ε. 706. 765 πή Ε. 105 πηγάs E. 505 Πήγασος Th. 281. 325 πηγή Th. 282. 738. 809 πήγνυμι E. 809. v. πήξας πηδάλιον Ε. 45. 629 πηκτός E. 433 Πηλεύς Th. 1006 πημα Ε. 56. 82. 242. 346. 366. 691. 804. Th. 223. 329. 592. 792. 874 πημαίνω Th. 232 Iqueiós Th. 343 πήξας Ε. 430. πήξασθαι Ε. 455 πηόs E. 345 πιέειν S. 252 πιέζω, πιέζοις Ε. 497 πιέμεν E. 592* Iliepídes S. 206 Ilepin Th. 53. Πιερίηθεν Ε. 1 πιθήσας Ε. 359. 571. πίθηται Ε. 295. πίθοιο Ε. 98 πίθος Ε. 94. 97. 368. 815. 819 πιλνάω Ε. 510. πίλνατο Th. 703 πίλος Ε. 542. 546 πιμπλεῦσαι Th. 880 πίμπλημι Ε. 301. 411. πίμπλαται S. 429 πίνω Ε. 592. ν. πιέειν, πιέμεν πίπτω Th. 791. S. 251. 253. 873. E. 620. ν. πεσείν πίστις Ε. 372 πιστός Th. 735 πίσυνος Th. 506 πιτνείν S. 291 πιφάσκομαι Th. 655 πίων Ε. 390. S. 408. Th. 477. 538. 971. πιότατοs Ε. 585 πλάσσω Ε. 70 πλαστός Th. 513 πλατύς Th. 445 πλείον Th. 531. E. 419 πλείος E. 101 bis. 778

325

INDEX I.

πλείστος Th. 231. 887. 904. Ε. 720. 723 πλείων Ε. 379. 380. Th. 636. v. πλείον πλείων Ε. 617 πλεκτός S. 63 πλέος, πλέφ ήματι Ε. 792 πλευρά S. 430 πλέων Ε. 380. 690. S. 241. πλέον E. 40. 559 πληγείς S. 422. Th. 861 πληγή Th. 857 πλήθω Ε. 307. 461. πλήθων S. 314. 478 IIAniádes E. 383. 572. 615. 619 πλήμνη S. 309 πλήν S. 74 Πληξαύρη Th. 353 πληξεν Th. 855 πλήξιππος S. 24 πλήσσω, ν. πληγείς, πλήξεν πλήτο S. 146. πλήντο Th. 688 πλόος Ε. 630, 665, 678, 682 πλούσιος Ε. 22 πλουτέω, πλουτεῦντα Ε. 313 πλουτοδότης Ε. 126 πλούτος Ε. 313. 377. 381. 637 Πλούτος Th. 969 Πλουτώ Th. 355 πλωίζεσκεν Ε. 633 πνέω Th. 319. πνείοντες S. 24. πνεύσαντοs E. 506 πνοιή Th. 253. 268 ποδοίιν S. 158 ποδώκης S. 191. Th. 320 πόθος Ε. 66. S. 41 ποιέω Ε. 110. 128. 144. 158. 708. 746. 751. S. 319. 477. Th. 161. 579. 818. noiéopai E. 209. 407. 503. 602. 707. 714. Th. 921. 946. 948. 991. 999 moin Th. 194, 576 moineis S. 381 ποικιλόβουλος Th. 521 ποικιλόγηρυς Ε. 203* ποικιλόδειρος Ε. 203 ποικίλος Th. 300. 511. S. 423 ποιμαίνω Th. 23 ποιμήν S. 39. 41. Th. 26. 1000 ποίμνη Th. 146 ποιμνήΐον Ε. 787 ποινή E. 749. 755 πολείν Ε. 462 πολεμήϊος S. 238 πόλεμος Ε. 161. 396. 609. S. 23, 59,

101. 113. 150. 163. 196. 385. Th. 431. 665. 714. 926. 936 πολέω Ε. 462 πόλεος 8. 285 πόληα S. 105. πολιοκρόταφος Ε. 181 πολιός Ε. 477. 492. Th. 161. 271 πόλιος S. 239. 477 πόλις Ε. 120. 189. 222. 227. 240. 269. 527. 8. 105. 239. 270. 284. 285. 380. 469. 473. 474. ν. πόληα, πόλιος πολλάκι Ε. 240 πολλός S. 475. πολλόν Ε. 19. 119. 207. 279. 320. 417. S. 48. Th. 787 πολυγηθής Th. 941. E. 614 πολύνομφος Ε. 560 πολυδαίδαλος Ε. 64. S. 125. 315. 465 πολυδερκής Th. 451. 755 Πολυδώρη Th. 354 Πολύδωρος Th. 978 πολυήρατος Th. 404. 908. Ε. 739 πολύϊδρις Th. 616 πολυκλήϊς Ε. 817 πολύκλυστος Th. 189. 199 πολύμηλος Ε. 308 Πολύμνια Th. 78 πολύξεινος Ε. 715. 722 πολυόμβριμος Th. 785* πολύπτυχος Th. 113. 1010 πολύς Ε. 37. 127. 232. 309. 328. 402. 427. 433. 498. 509. 538. 581. 635. 652, 655, 677, 696, 697, 763, 808, S. 78, 92, 114, 130, 209, 241, 269, 274, 316, 376 bis, 401. Th. 9, 27. 59. 363. 418. 428. 442. 447. 581. 583 bis. 597. 861. 974. 995. πολέας E. 580. v. πολλός πολυσπερής Th. 365 πολύφλοισβος Ε. 648 πολύφορβοs Th. 912 πολυφραδής Th. 494 πολυχρύσεος Th. 335 πολύχρυσος Ε. 521. S. 8. 47. Th. 980 πολυώνυμος Th. 785 πονησάμενος Ε. 432 πόνος Ε. 91. 113. 470. S. 44. 305. 310. 351. Th. 226. 629. 881 Ποντοπόρεια Th. 256 ποντοπόρος Ε. 628 πόντος Ε. 247. 390. 507. 622. 635. 650. 670. 672. 677. 691. 817. Th. 107. 109. 132. 189. 232. 241. 253.



678. 696. 737. 808. 841. 844. 873. 877.964 Πόντος Th. 132. 233 πορείν E. 379. Th. 412. 602. 904 πόρος Th. 292 Horeidáwr E. 617. Th. 15 Hogeidar Th. 732 πόσις S. 395 ποσσί E. 541, 738, S. 431, Th. 842. ποσσίν 8. 220. Th. 8. 195 ποταμός E. 550. 737, 738. 757. Th. 109. 242. 367 Horauoi Th. 337. 348 ποτάσμαι, ποτάτο 8. 222. ν. πεπότητο noré E. 176. 228. 230. 399. 555. 605. 635. 651. 717. 724. 737. 744. 757. Th. 22. 409. 751. 759. 796. 802 **ποτέοντο** Th. 691 ποτί Ε. 552, 695, S. 80 πότνια Ε. 73. Th. 11. 368, 926 πού E. 105*. Th. 416 πουλυβότειρα Th. 531. E. 157. 252. 510 Πουλυνόμη Th. 258 πουλύς Th. 190 πούς Ε. 114. 497. 514. S. 63. 158. 217. 373. Th. 3. 70. 682. 780. 824. ν. ποσσί πραθέειν 8. 240 πραπίδες Th. 608. 656 πρέπω Th. 92 πρεσβεύς, πρεσβήες S. 245 πρεσβύτατος Th. 234. 363. 777. S. 260 πρέω, ν. ἔπρεσε πρηνής 8. 365 πρήξις Ε. 402 πρηστήρ Th. 846 πρηύνω Th. 254. E. 797 πρηών S. 437 πρίν Ε. 90. 778. S. 16. 17. 40. Th. 222. 533. 711 πρίνινος Ε. 429 πρίνος Ε. 436 πρό S. 303. Th. 32. 38 προβάδην Ε. 729 προβαίνω, προβέβηκε 8. 855 προβάλοιτο Ε. 779 πρόβατον Ε. 558 προγίγνομαι, προγένοντο S. 345 προθέειν S. 240* προϊδέσθαι S. 386 Προίωξις S. 154 πρόκειμαι S. 312

προλιπών Ε. 199. 566. 636. S. 1. 90 Πρόλοχος S. 180 Προμηθεύς E. 48. 86. Th. 510. 546. 614 Προνόη Th. 261 πρόπαρ Th. 518 προπάροιθε S. 285. Th. 769 προπάροιθεν Ε. 289 πρόπας Th. 525. 596 προπεφασμένα Ε. 655* προπεφραδμένα Ε. 655 προρέω Th. 792. προρεόντων Ε. 757 προσαρήρεται Ε. 431 προσαυδάω, ν. προσηύδα προσβαίνω, προσεβήσατο 8. 33 προσέειπον Ε. 203. S. 102. 349. Th. 542. 546. 749 προσείναι Ε. 353 προσέκυρσε Th. 198 προσελέξατο Ε. 499 προσέφη Ε. 53. S. 77. Th. 558 προσηύδα S. 117. 326. 445. Th. 169 πρόσθε Ε. 175. S. 277. 284. 746. Th. 323 πρόσθεν S. 132. Th. 767. 813. 899. E. 98. 457 προσιδέσθαι S. 386* προσιόντα S. 425*. προσιόντι Ε. 353 προσκύρσαι, V. προσέκυρσε προσλέγομαι, ν. προσελέξατο πρόσφημι, ▼. προσέφη πρόσωπον Ε. 594. 8. 147* πρότερον S. 349 πρότερος Ε. 17. 160. 708. S. 349. Th. 100. 424. 486 προτίθημι, προύθηκε Th. 537 προύκειτο 8. 312 προφερής S. 260. προφερέστατος Th. 79. 361 προφέρω E. 579 bis προφρονέως Th. 433. 677 πρόφρων E. 667. Th. 419. 536 προφυγείν Ε. 647 προχέω Ε. 598. προχέουσιν Th. 83* προχοή Ε. 757 πρόχοος Th. 785 πρυλέεσσι S. 193 Πρυμνώ Th. 350 πρωί Ε. 461 πρωτηρότης Ε. 490 πρώτιστα Ε. 109. 405. 458. 811. Th. 24, 116 πρωτόγονος Ε. 543. 592 Πρωτομέδεια Th. 249

INDEX I.

πρώτος Ε. 291. 567. 598. 659. 770. 784. 785. S. 252. Th. 34. 44. 125. 126. 156. 192. 309. 397. 482. 513. 617. 713. 741. 765. 886. 895. v. ταπρώτα, τοπρώτον Πρωτώ Th. 243. 248 πτελέη Ε. 435 πτερόεις S. 117, 220, 326, 445 πτερόν Ε. 628 πτέρυξ Ε. 584. S. 134. Th. 269 πτοιέω, ν. έπτοίηται πτολεμίζω 8. 358 πτολεμος Th. 638 πτολίεθρον 8. 81 πτολίπορθος Th. 936 πτόρθος E. 421 πτύξ, πτύχες S. 143 πτώσσω Ε. 395 πτωχός E. 127 bis πυγοστόλος Ε. 373 πυθμήν Th. 932. E. 369 πύθομαι S. 153. Ε. 626 Πυθοί Th. 499. Πυθώδε S. 480 πυκάσαι Ε. 624. πυκάσσας Ε. 542 πυκίνος Th. 935. E. 532 πυκνός Ε. 553. 584 πύλη S. 246. 272. Th. 732. 741. 773. **811** Πύλος S. 360 πύματος Th. 497 πύξ S. 302 πῦρ Ε. 50. 55. 57. S. 18. 60. 72. 145. 345. 390. Th. 224. 319. 563. 566. 569. 570. 694. 827. 828. 845. 865. 867 πύργος 8. 242 πυροφόρος Ε. 549 πώ Th. 560 πώεα Ε. 516. 786 πωλέομαι, πωλείται Th. 781 πῶμα Έ. 94. 98 πώποτε Ε. 650 πωs E. 394

Р.

ρ΄αδινός Th. 195
ρ΄αθάμιγξ Th. 183
ρ΄α E. 5 bis
ρ΄αθορον Ε. 738. Th. 695
ρ΄α Ε. 329. 685. Th. 417*. v. ρ΄αξαι,
⁷ρεξε
Pέη Th. 467
ρ΄α Ε. 6. 7. 325. 379. 762. Th. 254.
419. 438. 443

'Peîa Th. 135, 453, 625, 634 ρεκτήρ Ε. 191 péfai Th. 209 ρέω S. 267. 314. Th. 39. 84. 97. 788. péorres Th. 367 ρήγνυμι, ρήγνυνται S. 377. ▼. ἔρρηξεν ρηδιος Ε. 292. 453. 454 ρητδίως Ε. 43. 215. 288. Th. 90. 442 ρηξήνωρ Th. 1007 Ρησος Th. 340 parós E. 4 ρινηλός S. 131 ρίγιον Ε. 703 ρίζα Th. 728. 812. Ε. 19 ρίμφα 8. 342. 378 biros S. 152, 267. Th. 539. E. 515 . δινοτόρος Th. 934 ριπή Th. 681. 849 ρίπτασκον 8. 256 ρίπτω, ρίψε Th. 868. v. έρριψε Ρόδεια Th. 351 Pódios Th. 341 ροδοδάκτυλος Ε. 610 ροδόπηχυς Th. 247. 251 ροή Th. 841 ρόθος Ε. 220 οοίζασκε Th. 835* poifeone Th. 835 boos E. 566 ρύομαι S. 105. ρυσόμεθα Th. 662 putá S. 308 δώννυμι, ∇. ἐρρώοντο

S.

Σαγγάριος Tb. 344 oalvo Th. 771 σαίρω, ν. σεσαρυία σάκος S. 24. 139. 217. 232. 315. 319. 334. 363. 364. 414. 455. 460, 461* σαπείσης S. 152 σάρξ, σάρκας Th. 538. σαρκός S. 461 **Σαώ** Th. 243 σβεννυμενάων Ε. 580 σειόμενος S. 298. Th. 680 Selpios S. 153. 397. E. 417. 587. 609 σέλας S. 60. 275. Th. 867 Σελήνη Th. 18. 371 Σεμέλη Th. 940. 976 σεσαρνία S. 268 σεσοφιμένος Ε. 649* σεσοφισμένος Ε. 649

328

σεύω, έσσυτο 8. 458 σηκός Ε. 787 σήμα S. 385. 477. Th. 500. E. 450 σημάντωρ S. 56 σθένος Ε. 62. 437. 598. 615. 619. S. 97.420 σιγή Ε. 104 σιδήρεος Ε. 176. Th. 764 σίδηρος Ε. 151. 387. 420. 743. S. 128. Th. 864 σίμβλος Th. 594*. 598 Σιμόεις, Σιμούντα Th. 342 σίνομαι Ε. 318 σίτος Ε. 147. 604 σκαιός Th. 179 Σκάμανδρος Th. 345 GRÁDOS E. 572 σκεδάννυμι, έσκέδασε Ε. 95 σκέπας Ε. 532 σκήπτρον Th. 30 σκιάζω, έσκίασαν Th. 716 σκίδναμαι, σκιδναμένη Th. 42 σκιερός E. 572 σκιή Ε. 589. 593 σκληρός Th. 839 σκολιός Ε. 7. 192. 219. 221. 250. 264 σκολιώς Ε. 258. 262 σκόλυμος E. 582 σκοτόεις E. 555 σκύλαξ Th. 834 σκυλεύσαντες 8. 468 σμαραγέω, έσμαράγησεν Th. 679 σμαραγίζω Th. 693 σμερδαλέος S. 341. Th. 710. 840 σμήνοs Th. 594 σμικρός E. 360, 361 bis oós E. 107. 272. S. 104. 107. Th. 858 σπαργανίσασα Th. 485 σπείρω Ε. 391. 463. 8. 399 Σπειώ Th. 245 σπέος Th. 301. σπητ Th. 297 σπέρμα Ε. 446. 471. 781 σπερμαίνω Ε. 736 σπερχνός S. 454 σπεύδω Ε. 22. 24. 461. 576. 673. S. 228. Th. 597 σπονδή Ε. 338 σταθμός Th. 294. 444 σταφυλή S. 300 στάχυς Ε. 473. 8. 290 στεινομένη Th. 160 στείχω Th. 10. 690 στέλλω, έστάλατο S. 288

στενάχιζε Th. 858. στεναχίζετο Th. 159 στέρομαι Ε. 211 Στεροπή Th. 140 στεροπή Th. 286. 505. 699. 707. 845. 854 στεφάνη Th. 578 στέφανος Th. 576 στέφω Ε. 75 στήθος Ε. 77. S. 124. 129. Th. 61. 122. 611. 641. 645. 765. στήθεσφι E. 77* στήμων Ε. 538 στηρίζω, στήριξε Th. 498. εστήρικται Th. 779. εστήρικτο S. 218 στήσειν S. 114. στήσαιτο Ε. 779 στιβαρός S. 76. 319. Ε. 149. Th. 152. 673. 675. 692. 715 στιγμαί S. 166* στίγματα S. 166 στίξ, στίχες 8. 170 στολίσας Ε. 628 στόμα S. 146. 279. 389. Th. 40. 65. 84.97 στονάχιζε, Th. 858*. στοναχίζετο Th. 159στονόεις E. 146. S. 127. Th. 684. 951. 994 στρατός Ε. 246 Στρυμών Th. 339 στρωτόs Th. 798 στρωφάομαι Ε. 528 στυγερός Th. 211. 226. 775 στυγερώπης Ε. 196 στυγερώπις Ε. 196* στυγέω E. 310. Th. 739. 810 Στύξ Th. 361. 383. 389. 397. 776. 805 σύ E. 27, 28. 34. 44. 56. 107. 207, and passim. offer E. 343. 700 σύες S. 177 συλήσαντες S. 468* σύμμικτος Ε. 563 συμπλάσσω Th. 571 συμφέρω, マ. συνοισόμεθα σύμφορος Ε. 302. 783 συμφράσσαιτο Th. 900 συμφράσσασθαι Th. 471 συναίγδην S. 189 ouvairon S. 189* συναντάω Th. 877 συνάντομαι Th. 877* σύνειμι, 🛛. σύνισαν συνενείκεται S. 440 ouverteus Th. 636

INDEX I.

συνέχω S. 315 συνήθης Th. 230 συνίημι, συνιέμεν Th. 831 σύνισαν S. 383 συνοισόμεθα 8. 358 συνωχαδόν Th. 690 σῦριγξ S. 278 συρράπτω Ε. 544 συρφέτος Ε. 606 oûs 8. 68. v. oúes συσκιάζα Ε. 613 σφαραγίζω Th. 706 σφάς Th. 34. σφέ S. 62. 225. 404. σφίας S. 169. 326. 403. Th. 624. v. σφίων, σφί, σφίν ordérepos E. 2. 152. S. 90. 239. 247. Th. 155. 599 σφέων Th. 144. 361 σφί S. 113. 152. 173 σφίγγ' Th. 326* σφίν S. 114. 172. 258. 310. 343. Th. 63. 627. E. 56*. σφίσιν S. 279. 296.348 σφοίσιν Th. 398 σφραγίζω Th. 706* σφῦρα Ε. 425 σχεδόν S. 113. 432. 435 σχέτλιος Ε. 15. 124. 185. 238. 254. S. 92. 149. Th. 488 σχίσσαs S. 428 σώζω Ε. 376 σώμα Ε. 540. S. 426 σωρόs E. 778

T.

Ταλαεργός Ε. 46. 791. 796
ταλακάρδως S. 424
τάλαρος S. 293. 296
ταλασίφρων Th. 1012
ταμείν Ε. 807. τάμοιο Ε. 425
τάμνω Ε. 423. 426. 743. 786. ταμνέμεν Ε. 791
τανύθριξ Ε. 516
τανύθριξ Ε. 516
τανύθριξ S. 377
τανύσμιζος S. 377
τανύσφυρος Th. 364. S. 35
τανύω, έτανύσθη Th. 177
ταπφῶτα Ε. 387. 467. Th. 108. 113. 202
Τάρταρο S. 255. Th. 682. 721. 725.

736. 807. 822. 868

rapdées Th. 693 ταύρεος S. 104 ταῦρος Th. 832 Τάφιοι S. 19 ráфos S. 477. E. 735 τάχα Ε. 312. 362. 401. 721. S. 32. 87. Th. 490 ταχέως Th. 103 τάχιστα Ε. 60. 673. S. 21. 108 ταχύς Ε. 85. ν. θασσον τεθαλυία S. 276. Th. 902 τέθηλε Ε. 227 reduciós S. 158. 454. redunós S. 175 τείνω, ∇. τέταται reixos E. 246. Th. 724*. 733 TEREIN E. 804. Th. 53. 125. 131. 133. 208. 212, 213. 224, 225, 226 bis. 266. 270. 337 bis. 375. 378. 383. 411. 453. 625. 634. 821. 901. 907. 913, 938, 940, 956, 981, 984, 1001. 1004. S. 6. TERÓN Th. 471. TERÉGORI Th. 308. 478 текраїрораї Е. 229. 239 τέκνον Ε. 235. 330. Th. 104. 149. 240. 308. 366. 385. 453. 644. 894. 968. 1019 Téros S. 216. 247 Téktov E. 25 bis τελαμών 8. 222 τελέθω E. 122*. 181. 506. S. 398 τελέσαι Th. 170. 799. S. 36. τελέσας E. 554. Th. 951. 994. 997 Teλeστώ Th. 358, vulg. Teλeσθώ τελεσφόρος Th. 740 τελεῦσι Th. 89 τελευτή E. 333. S. 357. Th. 637 τελέω Th. 552. τελεύσι Th. 89. v. έτελέσθη, τελέσαι, τετελεσμένος τελήεις Th. 242. 959 τέλος E. 65. 218. 294. 474. 664. 669. Th. 638 τέμενοs S. 58 τέμνω E. 524*. τέμνη Th. 610*. v. ταμείν, τμηθείσα τένδω Ε. 524 τένων, τένοντε S. 419 τέξασθαι Th. 889. τέξεσθαι Th. 469. 898 reós E. 27. 331. 341. 374. 627. 695 τέραs Th. 744 τέρην Ε. 522. Th. 5. 988 τερμιόεις Ε. 537 τέρπω Ε. 58. 115. 358. 487. S. 47. Th. 37. 51 τερπικέραυνος Ε. 52. 273



τέρψις S. 273. Th. 206. 917 Teptixopy Th. 78 τεσσαράκοντα Ε. 385 τεσσαρακονταετής Ε. 441 τέταρτος Ε. 157. 800 τέταται Ε. 549. τέτατο Th. 608 τετελεσμένος E. 561. 799. Th. 795 τετεύχατο Th. 581 τετιημένη Th. 163 TETIMÉNOS Th. 419 τετίμηται Th. 449 τέτλαθι Ε. 718 τέτμω Th. 610 τετοκυίηs E. 591 τέτορε Ε. 698 τ erpaµµévos E. 727 тетра's E. 770. 794. 798. 809. 819 Térparos S. 363. E. 596 τετράτρυφος Ε. 442 τέττιξ S. 393. E. 582 τέτυκται Ε. 745. 752. τέτυκτο S. 154 Tev E. 330 τεῦξαι Ε. 79. S. 219. Th. 141. 162. 570. 585 τεύξεαι Ε. 401 τευχος Ε. 150. S. 60. 67. 71. 108. 183. 238. 329. 332. 337. 423. 447. 451. 460. Th. 186 τεύχω Ε. 265. ν. έτευξε, ετέτυκτο, έτύχθη, τετεύχατο, τέτυκται, τεῦξαι τέχνη Th. 160. 496. 540. 547. 555*. 560. 770. 863. 929 rnde E. 635. 795 τηθύς Th. 136. 337. 362. 368 τήκομαι Th. 866. τήκετο Th. 867 τήλε S. 275. Th. 1014 Τηλεβόαι S. 19 Τηλέγονος Th. 1014 τηλεκλειτός 8. 327 τηλέσκοπος Th. 566. 569 τηλόθεν Th. 785 τηλοῦ S. 118. Th. 302. E. 169 τήμος Ε. 422. 488. 559. 585. 670. S. 398 τημούτος E. 576 τητάω E. 408 **τίεσκεν** S. 9 τίη Th. 35 . τίθημι Ε. 470. 518. 581. 672. 689. 744. 797. S. 385. Th. 597. v. έθεντο, έθεσαν, θείναι, θέμεν, έθηκα, θέσθαι, θεσσάμενος, θέσσαν, θέτο, θηκα T.00000 Th. 984

τίκτω Ε. 235, 244, Th. 223, 346, 381. τετοκυίης τιμάω E. 16. 192. S. 91. 104. 476. Th. 81. 399. 415. 533. v. reríμηται τιμή E. 138. 142. 347. Tb. 74. 112. 203. 393. 396. 414. 418. 422. 426. 452. 462. 491. 882. 885. 892. 904 τινάσσω Th. 680 τίννυμαι Ε. 711*. 804* τίνυμαι Ε. 711. 804 τίνω, γ. τισαίμεθα Τίρυ**νθ**α Th. 292 Τίρυνθον S. 81 τισαίμεθα Th. 165. τίσαιτο S. 17. Th. 472 τίσις Th. 210 τιταίνω S. 229. Th. 209 τί**ταν**ος **S.** 141 Τιταρήσιος S. 181 Τιτήνες Th. 207. 392. 424. 630. 632. 648. 650. 656. 668. 674. 676. 697. 717. 729. 814. 820. 851. 882 τίω Th. 428. S. 25, 85. ετισα S. 10. τίεσκεν 8.9. γ. τετιμένος, τισαίμεθα, έτισας τλάω, έτλη S. 73. 432 τμηθείσα Ε. 38. 420 τόθεν S. 32 Toios S. 8. 41. 433. Th. 93*. 703. 805 τοίχος E. 732. Th. 724 TOKEÚS E. 185. 188. 235*. Th. 138. 155, 438, 469, 8, 90, 239 τοπάροιθε Th. 666. τοπάροιθεν Th. 531 τοπάρος Th. 394 τοπρίν Th. 505 τοπρώτον Ε. 487. 659. 679. 8. 127. Th. 188. 425 τόσος Ε. 711 τόσσος S. 441. Th. 367. 705. E. 660. 680 τότε Ε. 197. 360. 417. 452. 456. 459. 511. 529. 533. 536. 565. 572. 588. 611. 616. 621. 622. 631. 671. 681. S. 44. 77. 340. 370. Th. 68. 469. 487. 536. 542. 635. 643. 674. 883. 889 тобуека E. 49. Th. 88 τραφέμεν Th. 480 τρείς Th. 148. 321. 907 τρέον S. 213. τρεέτην S. 171. τρέσσε

Th. 850

INDEX 1.

τρέπω Ε. 416. έτραπον S. 456. Th. 58. ν. τρέψας, τετραμμένος τρέσσε Th. 850 τρέφω Th. 107. 192. 582. 1001. E. 131. ν. τραφέμεν, θρέψαι, θρέ- $\phi \theta \eta$ τρέχω Ε. 219 τρέψας Ε. 316. 594. 646 τρέω, ν. τρέον Τρητόs Th. 331 Τρηχίν S. 353. 355. 469 τρηχύς Ε. 291. S. 119 τρίβω Ε. 251 τριηκάs E. 766 τριήκοντα E. 696. τριηκόντων Ε. 696* τριηκόσιοι Th. 715 τρικάρηνος Th. 287 τρικέφαλον Th. 287* τρίπηχυς Ε. 423 τριπόδης Ε. 423 τρίπολος Th. 971 τρίπος 8. 312 τρίπους Ε. 533. 657 τρίς Ε. 173. 252. 401. 596. S. 362. Th. 364 τρισεινάς Ε. 714 τρισκαιδεκάτη Ε. 780 τρισπίθαμος Ε. 426 τριστοιχεί Th. 727 Трітоує́чена S. 197. Th. 895. 924 τρίτος E. 144. 488. 578. Th. 313 Τρίτων Th. 931 τρίχες Ε. 517. 539. S. 391 Tpoin E. 166. 653 τροπή E. 479. 564. 663 τροχαλός Ε. 518 τρυγάω 8. 292 τρυγητήρ S. 293 τρυφάλεια 8. 199 τρύχω Ε. 305 τυγχάνω, ₹. τυχόντι τύη Ε. 10. 541. Th. 36 τύπτω, τυπείς S. 362 Τυρσηνοί Th. 1015 τυτθόs E. 469. Th. 62 **Τυφαόνιος S. 32** Tudáw Th. 306 Τυφωεύς Th. 821. 869 τύχη Th. 360 τυχόντι Th. 973 τώμισυ Ε. 559 τώς S. 219. 478

Y.

úáðes E. 615 ύβρις E. 134. 146. 191. 213, 214. 217 239. Bows E. 217 ύβριστής Th. 307. 514. 996 ύγρός Th. 869. Ε. 625 Ύδμη Th. 313 ύδωρ E. 596. 737. 739. S. 317. Th. 785.805. υδει E. 61 veros E. 545 vié Th. 660. viéi Th. 476. viées Th. 368. vu S. 150. 163 viós E. 271. S. 66. 110. 202. 320. 392. 413. 424. 448. 467. Th. 532. 940. 986. v. vić υλη E. 421. 498. 501. Th. 694 ύλήεις Th. 484. 1010 ύληκοίτης Ε. 529 ύλοτομείν Ε. 422 ύλοτόμος Ε. 807 ύλοφάγος Ε. 591 ύμεις Ε. 248. Th. 649. 963 iméraios S. 274 ύμέτερος Th. 166 **õµµı S. 328** ύμνεῦσι Th. 48. ύμνέω Th. 33. 101. ύμνείουσαι Ε. 2. ύμνεῦσαι Th. 11. 37. 51. 70 υμνος E. 657. 662 ύμός Th. 662 ύπαί 8. 71. 278 ύπαλεύασθαι Ε. ύπαλεύομαι Ε. 760. 557 ύπαλύξαι S. 304 intédento S. 442. Th. 513 ύπεθήκατο Th. 171 υπειμι, ∀. υπησαν ύπεκπροφύγη S. 42 ύπεναντίος S. 347 ύπένερθε S. 418 ύπεξήλυξε Th. 615 ύπερβάλλω E. 489 ύπερβασίη Ε. 828 υπέρβιος E. 692. Th. 139. 898 ύπερήνωρ Th. 995 ύπερήφανος Th. 149 υπερθεν Ε. 9. 545. 744. Th. 110. 702. 727.840 υπέρθυμος Th. 719. 937 ύπερθύριον 8. 271 Ynepiovidns Th. 1011 Υπερίων Th. 134. 374 ύπερκύδαντα Th. 510 ύπερμενής S. 413. Th. 534

υπέροπλος Th. 516. 619. 670 υπερος Ε. 423 ύπερπροφύγη S. 42* ύπέρτατος Ε. 8 ύπέστη Th. 402 ύπεστονάχιζε Th. 843 ύπευνηθείσα Th. 374* ύπησαν S. 266 ύπισχνέομαι, ν. ύποσχόμενος ύπνος Th. 212. 756. 759. Ε. 116 ύποδδείσας 8. 98 ύποδέχομαι Th. 419. ν. υπέδεκτο ύποδμηθείσα S. 53. Th. 327. 374. 453 ύπόδρα S. 445 ύποκυσαμένη Th. 308. 411 ύπολαμπής 8. 142 υπόπορτις Ε. 603 ύποσευομένων 8. 373* ύποστεναχίζω Th. 843 ύποσχόμενος Th. 170 ύποταρτάριος Th. 851 ύποτίθημι, ν. ύπεθήκατο ύποφραδμοσύνη Th. 658 ύποχθόνιος Ε. 141 ύσμίνη S. 119. 178. Th. 228. 631. 663. 714 ύστερον Ε. 351. Th. 34 ύφαίνω Ε. 64. S. 28 υφήσσων S. 258 ύφίστημι, ν. ὑπέστη ύψηλός S. 374. 406. 440. Th. 632. 787 Whi E. 204 ύψιβρεμέτης Ε. 8. Th. 568. 601 ύψίζυγος Ε. 18 infinopos S. 376. E. 509 ύψιμέδων Th. 529 ύψόθεν Th. 704. E. 549 ύψοῦ Ε. 551 υω E. 488. 552

Φ.

φαεινός S. 122. 142. 225 φαείνω Ε. 528. Th. 372 Φαέθων Th. 987 φαέθων Th. 760 φαεσίμβροτος Th. 958 φαίδιμος Th. 453. 492. 940. 986 φαιδρύνομαι Ε. 553 φαίνω Ε. 387. Th. 443. 650. 677. 689. ν. επέφαντο, φανηναι φάλαγξ Th. 676. 935

Φάληρος 8. 180 Carnival E. 458. 580. 598. 680 dáos E. 156. 189. 339. Th. 157. 451. 626. 652. 755 Φαρέτρη S. 129 φάρμακον E. 485 φάρος Ε. 198 Φάσις Th. 340 φάσκε Th. 209 pareiós S. 144. 161. Th. 310 φάτο S. 115. Th. 167. 173. 545. 561. 654.664 Φατόs E. 3. S. 230 Φείδομαι Ε. 369. 604 φειδώ Ε. 369 Φειδωλή Ε. 720 φερβέμεν E. 377 φερέμεν Ε. 215 φερέοικος E. 571 φερέσβios Th. 693 φερεσσακής S. 13 φέρτατος S. 330. Th. 49 φέρτερος S. 114*. Th. 49* φέρω Ε. 32. 103. 117. 173. 204. 223. 232, 233. 237. 292. 363. 427. 450. 657. 762. 823. S. 150. 163. 342. Th. 181. 190. 216. 248. 286. 481. 708. v. οίσεις, φερέμεν φεύγω Ε. 531. 572. 574. 620. 637. Th. 603. Φεύξεσθαι S. 112. v. φύγοις φηλήτησι E. 375 φήμη Ε. 760, 761, 763
 φημι Ε. 455, 656, 803, S. 115, 359, Th. 167, 173, 306, 545, 550, 561. 654. 664. v. ¿φασαν, ¿φατο, φάτο φημίξουσι Ε. 764 φθάμενοs E. 554. 570 φθέγγομαι Th. 831. φθέγξατο Th. 168 φθείρω E. 178. Th. 876. 879 φθίνω Th. 59. E. 798 φθισήνωρ Th. 431 φθονέω E. 26 **ģîr**a Th. 326 Φίκιον 8. 33 φιλέω E. 15. 300. 342. 353 bis. 788. φιλεῦνται Th. 97*. φίλωνται Th. 97 φιλήτης, φιλήτησι Ε. 375* Φιλομμειδής Th. 259, 989 φιλομμηδής Th. 200 φίλος E. 184. 306. 360. 370. 520. 608. 713. S. 95. 476. Th. 162, 163. 180. 283. 398. 410. 469. 472. 474.

568. 932. Oltrepos E. 309. S. 114. φίλτατος 8. 78 φιλότης Ε. 712. S. 15. 31. 36. Th. 125. 132. 177. 206. 224. 306. 333. 374. 375. 380. 405. 625. 651. 822. 920. 923. 927. 941. 944. 961. 970. 980. 1005. 1009. 1012. 1017 φίλτατος S. 78 φίλτερος E. 309. S. 114 Φιλυρίδης Th. 1002 φίλως S. 45 φιτύσατο Th. 986 φλεγέθω Th. 846 φλεγύας S. 134 φλδέ Th. 692. 697. 859. S. 451 Φοβέεσκον 8. 162 φόβος S. 144. 195. 237. 463. Th. 934 Φ6βos S. 155 Φόβοι Th. 228* Φοίβη Th. 136. 404 Φοίβος S. 68. 100. Th. 14 Colviróeis 8. 95. 194 Corrán E. 103. 125. 255. 535 φόνος S. 17 Φόνος S. 155* Φόνοι Th. 228 έφόρευν S. 293. 296 φορέω Ε. 38. Φόρκυς Th. 237. 270. 333. 336 φόρμιγξ S. 203. 280 000 Los E. 482 φορτίζομαι Ε. 690 φορτίον Ε. 643 Φόρτος E. 631. 643. 672 φραδμοσύνησι Ε. 245. Th. 626. 884. 891 Φράζομαι Ε. 250. 367. 404. 448. 688. v. έφρασάμην, έπέφραδε, πεφραδέ-LEV φράσσασθαι S. 218. Ε. 86. φρασάτην, Th. 892. 900*. φρασσάμενος Ε. 294 Φρένες E. 47. 55. 107. 274. 381. 455. 531. 688. S. 28. 30. 34. 89. 96. 149. 255. 434. Th. 173. 239. 488. 549. 554. 688. 889 Φρίσσω S. 171. 391. E. 512. 540 φρονίω S. 50. 387. E. 582. Th. 461. 989 φύγοις E. 684 ψνή E. 129. S. 88. Th. 259. 355 φύλαξ Ε. 123. 253. Th. 737 φυλάσσω Th. 335. 769. E. 124. 254. φυλάσσομαι Ε. 263. 491. 561. 694

Φῦλλον Ε. 421. 8. 295. 298 φύλον E. 90. 199. S. 4. 162. Th. 202. 212. 330. 556. 591. 965. 1021 φύλοπις Ε. 161. 8. 23. 114. 200 φύρω Ε. 61 φυτεύω Ε. 22. S. 29. φυτευέμεν Ε. 812 φυτόν E. 571. 781, 782 φύω, ∨. πεφύασι, επέφυκον Dennes 8. 25 Φωκοs Th. 1004 φωνή Th. 39. 685. 829. S. 382. E. 79. 104. 448 0000 meis Th. 584 φωνήσασα S. 326 φώs E. 193. 792. S. 51. 149. 159. 261. 420 X.

xaipo E. 55. 358. 481. S. 327. Th. 104. 438. 963 ν. κεχάρητο χαλαίνω S. 308 yalends E. 91. 178. 186. 292. 332. 334. 558 bis. 603. 677. 762. S. 44. 94. 386. Th. 637. xales wrates E. 557. Th. 800 χαλέπτω Ε. 5 χαλεπώς Ε. 684 χάλκειος E. 144. 493. S. 213. Th. 733 χάλκεος E. 151 bis. S. 222. 243. 414. 453. Th. 722. 724. 726. 750. 764. 811 Xalkís E. 655 χαλκεόφωνος Th. 311 χαλκοκορυστής Th. 984 χαλκός E. 151. S. 67. 185. 335. 415. 423. Th. 316 χαμαί S. 365. Th. 272 χαμαιγενής Th. 879 Xáos Th. 116. 123. 700. 814 χαράσσω 8. 235. χαρασσέμεναι Ε. 573. χαρασσόμενος Ε. 387 χαρίεις Th. 129. 246. 260 χαρίζομαι, χαριζόμενος Th. 580. v. κεχαρισμένος χάριν Ε. 709 χάρις E. 65. 190. 723. Th. 503. 583 Xápires E. 73. Th. 64. 907. 946 χάρμα E. 701. S. 400 xapono's S. 177. Th. 321

χάσμα 'Th. 740 yarićo E. 21. 394 χαυλιόδων S. 387 χείλος E. 97 χείμα E. 451. 641 χείμαροs E. 626 xeipépios E. 494. 524. 565. S. 478 Xeiµwy E. 498. 652. 675 xeiovour Th. 83 xeip E. 94. 114. 148. 152. 192. 321. 468. 479. 480. 497. 725. 739. 740. 8. 61. 75. 107. 139. 151. 188. 193. 199. 214. 247. 263. 266. 276. 287. 292. 339. 367. 446. Th. 95. 150. 174. 178. 182. 186. 283. 284. 304. 482. 487. 490. 531. 553. 649. 671. 675. 677. 692. 715. 719. 756. 823. 973. v. χέρεσσι χειροδίκαι Ε. 189 χειρότερον Ε. 127. S. 51 Χείρων Th. 1001 χελιδών Ε. 568 χερείων Ε. 821 χέρεσσι» Th. 747 χέρεσσι Th. 519. χέω E. 421. S. 396. v. κέχυται, γείουσιν x60rios E. 141*. 465. Th. 697. 767 x66r E. 90. 157. 252. 510. 571. 577. 617. S. 162. 373. 462. 464. Th. 119. 455. 458. 498. 556. 564. 620. 669. 695. 717. 787. 847. 866 xilioi Th. 364 Хіµаıра Th. 319. 322, 323 XITO' E. 537. S. 287 χλαίνα Ε. 537 χλοερός S. 393 χλούνης 8. 168. 177 χλωρός Ε. 743. S. 231. 265 χóaros Th. 863 xolos Th. 221. 533. 554. 615 χολόω, χολούμενος Ε. 138. χολωσάμενος Ε. 47. 53. εχόλωσε Th. 568 χορτάζω Ε. 452 χόρτος E. 606 хоро́я S. 201. 272. 277. 280. 284. Т. 7. 63 χράομαι, ⊽. κεχρημένος χρέα Ε. 647 xpeios E. 404 χρεμίζω, χρέμισαν 8. 348 χρέος Ε. 647 χρηίζω Ε. 351. 367. 499 χρήμα Ε. 320. 344. 407. 605. 684 χρισαμένη Ε. 523

χρόα E. 198. 522. 575. 753. S. 397. Th. 5. χροί Ε. 74. 76. S. 183. χροός Ε. 536. Th. 191 χρονος E. 133. 326. 754. Th. 190 χρυσάμπυξ Th. 916 χρυσάωρ Ε. 771. Th. 979 Χρυσάωρ Th. 281. 287 χρύσειος Ε. 74. 8. 125. 183. 199*. 226. 271. 313. Th. 283. 822 χρύσεος Ε. 65. 109. 129. S. 183. 192. 226. 297. Th. 12. 216. 578. 785. 822. 933. 962, 975. 1005. 1014 Xovonis Th. 359* χρυσοκόμης Th. 947 χρυσωπέδιλος Th. 454. 952 χρυσός 8. 142 χρυσοστέφανος Th. 17. 136 χρώς Ε. 416. 586. χρώτα Ε. 555. ν. χρόα χυτρόπους Ε. 748 χωόμενος Th. 533. 561. V. χωσάμενος χώρος E. 390. 599. S. 410. Th. 731. 806 χωσάμενος S. 12. χώσατο Th. 554

Ψ.

ψαμάθη Th. 260. 1004 Ψεύδεα Th. 229 ψεύδομαι Ε. 709. Th. 783. ψεύσεται Ε. 283 ψεῦδος Ε. 78. 789. Th. 27 ψιάς S. 384 ψολόεις S. 422. Th. 415 ψυχή S. 151. 173 254. E. 686 ψυχρός Ε. 514. 547. Th. 786.

Ω.

335

INDEX I.

ώκύπους 8, 96, 97, 470, Ε. 816 **Ω**κυρόη Th. 360 arús S. 61. 307. 350. Th. 266. 269. 758 ώλεσαν Ε. 372. ώλεσε Ε. 163. ώμάρτησε Th. 201 άμηστής Th. 300. 311 δμος Ε. 150. 705. 8. 76. 128. 159. 221. 269. 430. 468. Th. 150. 152. 671. 673. 824 **δνέομαι Ε. 341** öπaσe Th. 442. **б**πаст Th. 974 **Deas E. 75.** Th. 901 wpaior E. 32. 307. 617. 631. 642. 665. 695 ພໍ່palovor Th. 903* ώρέξατο Th. 178 อ่ครั้งบบ Th. 903 a'on E. 30

Son E. 75. 409. 450. 460. 494. 575. 584. 664. S. 401. Th. 58. 754. v. Ωραι ώριγνώντο S. 190 Souve E. 508. 676 Spios E. 392. 394, 422, 492, 543, 697 Ωρίων Ε. 598. 609. 615. 619 ώρνυτο Th. 191 δρσε Th. 523 брто S. 30. 40. E. 568. Th. 990 woel S. 189, 194, 198, 298 Someρ E. 633. Th. 402 Sore S. 222, 405, Th. 32, 831 öτρυνον Th. 883 *dtúeis* **E**. 657 ώφελον Ε. 174 бусто S. 91. 200 ώV. &πa E. 62



336



•

INDEX II.

N.B.—Proper Names omitted in Index II. will be found in Index 1.

A.

a, drd, privative, T. 660. 797 ă, ā, in contract verbs in aw, E. 241. 892 ā in duâr, duntos, E. 384 Accusative plural in ŏs, ăs, S. 302. E. 564. 675 Acorns, esculent, E. 233 Acronych rising of stars, E. 567 Adamant, S. 137. E. 146. T. 161 Adrastus, his horse Arion, S. 120 Aethiopians, visited by sun, E. 527 placed in the far east, T. 965 Aganippe, T. 3 dγaπâr, 'to hug,' E. 57 Age, golden, E. 109 - silver, E. 128 - brazen, E. 144 - precedence of, T. 361 Agora, loitering in, E. 28 Agrius, T. 1013 Aldo's and Népeous leave earth, E. 199 Amphidamas, King of Euboea, E. 654 Amphitryon, birth-place of, S. 81 Anaurus, general term for rivers, S. 477 Angels (see Daemons) Aorists, reduplicated, S. 245 infinitive with verbs of promising, &c., T. 218

Aphrodite, foam-born, T. 188 assessors of (IIdoos &c.), T. 201 - allied to deceit, T. 224 Apollo, birth-day of, E. 771 Arcturus, rising of, E. 566 - vintage regulated by, E. 610 άρείων, άρεύς, Ε. 158 Argestes, name of wind, T. 379 Argos, ancient limits of, T. 12 Arimi, Aramaei, T. 304 Article, same as demonstrative, E. rarely used in epic, E. 193 Ascra, E. 640 Ash-trees, men fashioned out of, E. 145 - nymphs of, T. 187 Asphodel used for food, E. 41 Asteria, T. 409 Astraea, Astraeus, T. 375 Atlas, T. 509 supporter of sky, T. 517. 746 Axle, length of for wain, E. 424

B.

Bards, appointed by Muses and Apollo, T. 94 Bath, distinct for sexes, E. 753 —— libation taken from, E. 749 Bay-tree, wood of used for plough, **Ě. 435** Cuckoo, note of, E. 486 staff of, T. 30 Bees, simile from, T. 595 - in oak-trees, E. 233 Beggars, profession of in heroic times. **T.** 139 Ĕ. 25 505 Birds, omens from, E. 828 Birth, prerogative of elder, E. 17 Bones, why offered in sacrifice, T. S. 58 556 Bread, fermented, E. 590 E. 636 Breakwater for ships, E. 624 Briareus, Obriareus, T. 617 - same as Poseidon, T. 817 Bybline wine, E. 589 D. C. 314 Calypso, sons of by Ulysses, T. 1017 123-5 Caps, felted for winter use, E. 546 Cerberus, T. 770 - origin of name, T. 311 age, E. 116 Ceres, crowned with wheat-ears, E. - brother of Sleep, T. 759 300 Deceit, regarded as a merit, E. 788 Digamma, E. 666 - a power to be propitiated, E. 465 Ceyx, father-in-law of Cycnus, S. 472 Chalcis, Hesiod's visit to, E. 655 Chaos, T. 116 χερείων, χερεύς, Ε. 158 Chestnuts, perhaps known to Greeks, E. E. 233 8. 133 Chimaera, T. 319 Chiron, Χείρωνος ύποθηκαι, Τ. 1002 Cicada, fed on dew, S. 394 116 - sound made by, E. 583 Circe, related to Hecate, T. 957 Echidna, T. 295 Club-feasts, E. 722 - cave of, T. 300 Cold, effects of on animals, E. 506 Electra, ελκήτρα, Τ. 265 seqq. Contention, two kinds of, E. 11 Copper (bronze) used before iron, E. 151 2. 12. 78 Corn, gathered in baskets, E. 482 - thrashing of, E. 597 T. 94 - winnowing, E. 597 - storing in vessels, E. 600 T. 986. 1019 Cosmogony, Hesiodic and Mosaic, T. 116

Crane, note of, a sign of spring, E. 448

Crocodiles, teeth of, 387

Crow, croak of ill-omened, E. 746 Cuttle-fish, habits of, E. 524 Cycles, of golden age, &c., E. 157 Cyclopes, perhaps Pelasgic settlers, - makers of thunderbolts. T. Cycnus, his stronghold at Pagasae,

- Cyme. birth-place of Hesiod's father.

Cythera, isle of Cypris, T. 193

Daemon (daiuwr) meaning Fate, E.

Daemons, guardians of men, E.

- same as Manes, E. 141 Death, resembling sleep in golden

Dog, a house-guardian, E. 604

Dragon, device on shield, S. 141

Drones, simile from, E. 304. T. 595

Eagle, plume of for feathering arrows, Earth, produced from Chaos, T. - etymology of word, T. 120 - the πρωτόμαντις, T. 463. 475 Electrum, alloy of gold, S. 142 Electryon, slain by Amphitryon, S. Eloquence, the gift of the Muses, Eoiae or Γυναικών Κατάλογος, S. 1. Epimetheus, E. 85. T. 511 Erinyes, blood-sucking, S. 255 ------ birth-day of, E. 802 Eruption, description of volcanic, T. 846



Erythes, T. 290

eore, -ore, verbal endings, S. 480

Eurystheus, premature birth of, S. 90 Exarch of dithyrambs, S. 205

F.

Farm, stock needful for, E. 405 - first visited on return, S. 39 Farming, creditableness of as an employment, E. 309 - painting of, S. 258 - Μοΐραι, Τ. 217 Fermented bread, E. 590 Fig-leaf, unfolding of, E. 679 Fire, used by man only, E. 47 - stolen by Prometheus, E. 47. 50 - withheld by Zeus, T. 563. E. 50 Flute used in marriage procession, S. 281 Fodder, winter store of, E. 606 Fragrance, attribute of deities, S. 6 Friendship, precepts on, E. 707 Furnaces, for melting metals, T. 863

G.

Geryon, three-headed, T. 287 Giants, hundred-handed, T. 148 concealed within the earth. T. 157 - liberated by Zeus, T. 624 - appointed jailors of Titans, T. 735 refreshed with nectar, T. 942 Gifts, pleasure of voluntary, E. 357 Goat's milk, E. 590 Gods, preternatural weight of, S. 441 - triple numbers of, T. 273 - soon adult, T. 493 Good and evil, equal balance of, E. 177 Gorgons, described as winged, S. 231 - their birth, T. 273 - snaky locks of, S. 237 - triple number of, T. 273 - abode of, T. 274 Graces, born at Pieria, T. 64 - worshipped at Orchomenus, T. 907

Grapes, treading of, S. 301

drying of, É. 612

- changing colour of, S. 398 Guests, injury to punished, E. 327

Gypsum, ground of shield, S. 141

H.

Half greater than whole (proverb), E. 40 Hands, washing of for libations, E. 725 Hare-hunting, S. 302. Harpies, T. 267 Hearth, religious respect to, E. 734 Hecate, her prerogatives, T. 412 seqq. called Perseid, T. 411 - called pouroyern's, T. 426 Hephaestus, marries one of the Graces, T. 945 Hera, born from the head of Zeus, T. 924 Hercules, why called Alcides, S. 26 liberates Prometheus, T. 527 Hermes, god of flocks, T. 444 Herces, age of, E. 157 Hesiod, contemporary with Homer, E. 656 - his migration from Aeolis, E. 636 Hesperides, T. 215. 518 Holidays, work allowed on, E. 772 - half, E. 810 Holm-oak, used for plough, E. 436 Holmius, T. 6 Homer, his contest with Hesiod, E. 656 Honey-dew, E. 233 Hope, left to man by Pandora, E. 96 Horcus, birth-day of, E. 802 - avenging deity, T. 231. 400 Hydra, Lernaean, T. 313 Hyperion, T. 371 1.

i before v — vv, E. 247. T. 207—9. 428 in $\pi\epsilon\rho$ i elided, T. 687. 733 Iapetus, sons of, T. 508

lasius and Demeter, T. 970



Insolence hard to stand against, E. 214 Ionic rhapsodists, E. 504 Iris, T. 266 —— messenger to Tartarus, T. 780 Isles of the Blest, E. 171

J.

K.

 κ in perfect active, T. 728 κ pronounced κχ, Τ. 178 καλός, κάλός, Ε. 63. Τ. 585 Kid's flesh, when best, E. 585 Kings, accused of bribery, E. 37 - appointed by Zeus, T. 96 - made eloquent by the Muses, T. 94 - judges in heroic times, T. 85 seqq Kite, fable of the, E. 203 Kronidae, their conflict with Titans, T. 629 Kronos, golden age of, E. 111 youngest of the Titans, T. 137 connected with Xpóros, T. 463 - imprisoned with Titans, T. 851 devours his children, T. 459 - swallows a stone, T. 487 KŪW, KŬEW, T. 308

L.

Ladle, setting of across a wine-bowl, E. 744 Lapithae, battle of, S. 178. 189 Latinus, T. 1013 Lava, description of, T. 692. 846 Lead, melting of, T. 859 Lenaeon, month of, E. 504 Lethum, Virgil's rendering of $\lambda/\theta\eta$, T. 227 Libations, propitiatory, E. 338

M.

Magna Graecia, T. 1013 Mallet, E. 445 Mallows used for food. E. 41 Marriage, omens of, E. 801 lucky days of, E. 800 precepts relating to, E. 695 fittest age for, E. 698 evils of, T. 605 Mean ($\mu \epsilon \tau \rho o \nu$) best in all things, E. 694 Mecone (Sicyon), T. 535 Medea, a name of Hera, T. 994 Meliae, nymphs of trees, T. 187 Memnon, son of Morning, T. 984 Menoetius, T. 510. 514 Mensis same as pels, pyrs, E. 557 Meteoric stones, T. 500. 721 Metis, wife of Zeus, T. 886 swallowed by him, T. 899 Millet, beards of, 398 Mists, good for corn crops, E. 548 Mnemosyne, mother of Muses, T. 54 Money, called $\sqrt{\nu\chi\eta}$, 686 Months, triple division of, E. 765 Moral of fables (iniuvolion), E. 210 Morning, the fittest time for work, E. 579---80 Mortar (δλμος), instructions to make, E. 423 Mules, procreation of, E. 791 Muses, hymn to, T. 1 givers of eloquence, T. 94 appear to Hesiod on Helicon, **T.** 8 their office in singing to Zeus, T. 37 seqq. - born of Mnemosyne, T. 54 - companions of kings, T. 79 seqq. Music, a solace in grief, T. 98 Myrmidons, S. 880 Mysteries, not to be curiously inquired into, E. 756



N.

- Nails, cutting of at a sacrifice, E. 742
- Narthex (fennel), E. 52. T. 567
- Navigation, precepts on, E. 618
- Neighbours, more prompt than relations, E. 345
- Nereus, daughters of, T. 240 Night, children of, T. 211
- and day compared to watches, T. 748
- Nightingale, fable of, E. 203
- Nymphs, mountain abodes of, T. 130

0.

'Oak and rock,' a proverb, T. 35 Oaks, produce honey and acorns. E.

- 233
- Oceanus, circling stream of, S. 314. E. 171
- different from Pontus, T. 132 - subterranean channels of, T. 790
- Olympus, seat of gods, T. 62. 118
- Orchomenus, Hesiod buried at, E. 635

Orichalcum, S. 122

Orion, E. 615

- the hunter, E. 619

- Orphans, wrong to punished, E. 327 Orpheus, his precepts on lucky days, E. 824
- Oxen, slouching step of, E. 795

P.

- Pagasae, temple of Apollo at, S. 58. 68
- abode of Cycnus, S. 58 Pandora, E. 81
- decked by goddesses, E. 64. T. 575
- Panhellenes, E. 526
- Peace, called κουροτρόφος, E. 228
- Pegasus, bearer of thunderbolts, T. 282
- Perjury, punishment of, E. 219; among the gods, T. 793
- Persephone, origin of name, T. 913 Perses robs his brother of his inheritance, E. 37

Perses, called dior yéros, E. 299' ------ the sun, T. 409 Perseus, represented in paintings, S. 221-3 Pestle, instructions to make, E. 423 φ. terminating dative and genitive, 669 Phoebe, mother of Latons, T. 404 Phoreides, called ypaîae, T. 270 Φόρκυς, Φόρκος, Τ. 336 Planting and sowing, E. 781 Pleiads, rising of at reaping-time, E. 834 - obscuration of, E. 386 - heliacal setting of, E. 386 Plough, directions for making. E. 427 seqq. pole of, E, 430 Ploughing (πολείν, νεάν), Ε. 460 Plug in ships' boats, Ε. 626 Pontus, children of, T. 238 Poseidon called taurine, S. 104 - ⁴Ιππιος, S. 120 - same as Bouaper's, T. 817 Poverty, not to be taunted, E. 717 Present tense, reduplicated form of (πεφύκω, &c.), S. 228 Prometheus, author of evil to man, E. 47 defrauds Zeus, E. 47. T. 537

steals fire, E. 47. 50 his punishment, T. 521

0.

Quadra, scored cake, E. 442

R.

Rain, red, S. 384

Raven, perching of on roofs, E. 746

Reapers, attitude of, E. 480

Reduplication of roots, S. 207

Rhapsodists, holding bay-branch, T. 3Ō

Rivalry in trades, E. 23

Rivers, ceremony in crossing, E. 737

- not to be defiled. E. 757
- names of, T. 338
- Hesiod familiar with Asiatic. T. 338

Rivers, called κουροτρόφοι, T. 346. Styx, children of, T. 383 - a spring, T. 775. 784 450 Rudder (paddle), hung up over fire-Subjunctive in -yoda, E. 314 place, E. 45. 629 Suus, 8s, opòs, 8. 59 Rumour, a goddess, E. 764 Swallows, called early songsters, E. 568 Synizesis, E. 5. 462 8. Sacrifice, bones burnt at, T. 537 Sailing, seasons of, E. 663 T. Sails, furling and stowing of, E. 627 Serfs, Onres, E. 602 Taphii, slain by Amphitryon, S. 2 Serpents, licking of, S. 235 - called heroes, S. 19 Sexes, duality of in gods, T. 120. pirates, S. 17 134 Tartarus, different from Hades, S. Shame, two kinds of, E. 317 254 Sheep-shearing, lucky time for, E. - depth of from heaven and 775 earth, T. 718. 721 Shepherds, upbraided as idle, T. 26 - poetical conception of, T. 726 Shield, face exposed above, S. 24 Ships, lucky days for building, E. τε in καί τε, &c., Τ. 3 809 Telegonus, T. 1014 - protected by breakwater, E. Teneriffe, peak of, T. 517 624 Termessus, Permessus, T. 5 Thaumas, T. 265 Shoes, lined with felt, E. 542 - made of sound hide, E. 541 Theia, T. 371 Sicyon (Mecone), ancient council at, Theogony, procemium of, T. 1 **Ť. 535** spurious ending of, T. Sirius, glowing heat of, E. 417. 587 963 - a star in Canis, E. 610 Thieves, called day-sleepers, E. 605 Sky, creation of, T. 126 Thrashing of corn, E. 597 Thriftiness commended, E. 368 Titanomachia, T. 686 Sleep, mid-day, E. 574 Titans, born from Uranus, T. 134 - origin of name, T. 207 Smithy, lounging at, E. 493 Snails, a sign of summer, E. 571 Tombs, return from, an ill-omened Son, advantage of an only, E. 376 time, E. 735 Sons, likeness of to parents, E. 182. setting children upon, E. 235 750 Sowing twice (encomopin), E. 446 Triplicity of gods, T. 903 covering the seed after, E. Triptolemus, origin of name, E. 460 469 Trireme, metaphor from, E. 17 Triton, Tritones, T. 931 - late to be avoided, E. 483 Sphinx, S. 32. T. 326 Tu and rivy, E. 9 - riddle of, E. 533 Typhson, god of eruptions, T. 306 Typhaonium, volcanic hill, S. 32. T. Spiders, spinning suggested by, E. 846 777 - to be kept from store-jars, Typhoeus, birth of, T. 821 E. 475 god of earthquakes, T. 306 Spondees, hexameters terminating his various forms and with three, T. 48 Spring, why called grey, E. 477 voices, T. 830 - blasted by Zeus, T. 858 Step-mother, days called after, E. 825 Tyrrheni, T. 1015

342

Uranus, mutilation of by Cronus, T. 174 segg.

V.

Vetus and eros, E. 31 Victory, title of Athena, S. 338 Virgil, erroneous renderings by, E. 802. T. 227 Virgo, the constellation, E. 610 Virtue and vice, up-hill and level roads to, E. 287

W.

Wafn, dimensions, &c. of, E. 423 Water-nymphs, distinct from Nereids, T. 346

West, abode of gloom, T. 622

Wheels, composed of segments (awîdes), E. 426

Winds, born of Typhoeus, T. 870

- Wine, various mixtures with water, E. 595
- Winnowing, E. 597
- Witness, in dealings with a brother, E. 371
- Women, separate creation of, E. 61 - invectives against, T. 590 called πυγοστόλοι, E. 373
- Wood, best time for cutting, E. 420
- "Works and Days," meaning of title, E. 1
- Wrestlers, metaphor from, S. 362

Y.

Year of ten months. T. 59 - called 'full,' T. 636 'perfect,' T. 740; 'great,' **T.** 799

Z.

Zeus, youngest son of Cronus, T. 457 born in Crete, T. 477-80 - destined to be expelled by a

stronger son, T. 886. 894

THE END.

LOHDON : WM. CLOWES AND SOMS, LIMITED, STAMFORD STREET AND CHARING CROSS.



.

•

.....

.

•



·



,